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#### Abstract

Summary. We deal with compact surfaces immersed with flat normal bundle and parallel normalized mean curvature vector field in a space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{2+p}$ of constant sectional curvature $c \in\{-1,0,1\}$. Such a surface is called an $L W$-surface when it satisfies a linear Weingarten condition of the type $K=a H+b$ for some real constants $a$ and $b$, where $H$ and $K$ denote the mean and Gaussian curvatures, respectively. In this setting, we extend the classical rigidity theorem of Liebmann (1899) showing that a non-flat LW-surface with non-negative Gaussian curvature must be isometric to a totally umbilical round sphere.


1. Introduction and statement of the main result. The study of surfaces immersed in a 3-dimensional Riemannian space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{3}$ of constant sectional curvature $c$ plays an important role in the theory of submanifolds. In relation to this topic, in 1897 Hadamard [3] proved that an ovaloid, that is, a compact connected surface with positive Gaussian curvature, in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a topological sphere. In view of this result, it was natural to look for conditions which allowed one to conclude that such a surface was necessarily a totally umbilical round sphere. In 1899 Liebmann [5] obtained his celebrated rigidity result, which states that every compact connected surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with constant Gaussian curvature is necessarily a totally umbilical round sphere.

Later on, there have been different generalizations of Liebmann's theorem from several points of view for surfaces, and more generally hypersurfaces, in the Euclidean space [4, 7, 8, ,9, 10], or in the hyperbolic space or an open hemisphere [6]. In [1], as an application of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem

[^0]along with a formula involving the Gaussian curvatures of the first and second fundamental forms of the surface, Aledo, Alías and Romero established a new direct proof of these results.

Here, we consider a wide class of surfaces $M^{2}$ immersed in a $(2+p)$ dimensional space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{2+p}$ of constant sectional curvature $c \in\{-1,0,1\}$, which extend those of constant Gaussian curvature, the so-called linear Weingarten surfaces or simply $L W$-surfaces. We recall that a surface is said to be an LW-surface when its mean curvature $H$ and its Gaussian curvature $K$ satisfy a linear relation of the type $K=a H+b$ for some constants $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. These surfaces were originally introduced by Weingarten [11, 12] in the context of the problem of finding all surfaces of the Euclidean space isometric to a prescribed surface of revolution. In this setting, we obtain the following rigidity result which can be regarded as an extension of the previously mentioned ones:

Theorem 1.1. Let $M^{2}$ be a compact non-flat $L W$-surface immersed in a Riemannian space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{2+p}$ of constant sectional curvature $c \in\{-1,0,1\}$, with flat normal bundle, parallel normalized mean vector field and such that its Gaussian curvature $K$ and mean curvature $H$ satisfy $K=a H+b$ with $a^{2}+2(2 b-c) \geq 0$. If $K$ is non-negative on $M^{2}$, then $M^{2}$ is a totally umbilical round sphere.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. Before, in Section 2 we recall some basic facts concerning the geometry of surfaces immersed in a space form.
2. Preliminaries. Let $M^{2}$ be a connected surface immersed in a space form $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{2+p}$ of constant sectional curvature $c$. We will use the following convention on the range of indices:

$$
1 \leq A, B, C, \ldots \leq 2+p, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \ldots \leq 2, \quad 3 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots \leq 2+p
$$

We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots, e_{2+p}\right\}$ along $M^{2}$, where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ are tangent to $M^{2}$ and $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=3, \ldots, 2+p}$ are normal to $M^{2}$. Let $\left\{\omega_{B}\right\}$ be the corresponding dual coframe, and $\left\{\omega_{B C}\right\}$ the connection 1-forms on $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{2+p}$. The second fundamental form $h$, the curvature tensor $R$ and the normal curvature tensor $R^{\perp}$ of $M^{2}$ can be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{i \alpha} & =\sum_{j} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{j}, \quad h=\sum_{i, j, \alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes e_{\alpha} \\
d \omega_{i j} & =\sum_{k} \omega_{i k} \wedge \omega_{k j}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l} \\
d \omega_{\alpha \beta} & =\sum_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha \gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma \alpha}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{\alpha \beta k l}^{\perp} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the components $h_{i j k}^{\alpha}$ of the covariant derivative $\nabla h$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} h_{i j k}^{\alpha} \omega_{k}=d h_{i j}^{\alpha}+\sum_{k} h_{k i}^{\alpha} \omega_{k j}+\sum_{k} h_{k j}^{\alpha} \omega_{k i}+\sum_{\beta} h_{i j}^{\beta} \omega_{\beta \alpha} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Gauss equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=c\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)+\sum_{\alpha}\left(h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{j l}^{\alpha}-h_{i l}^{\alpha} h_{j k}^{\alpha}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the components of the Ricci tensor $R_{i k}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i k}=c \delta_{i k}+2 \sum_{\alpha} H^{\alpha} h_{i k}^{\alpha}-\sum_{\alpha, j} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{j k}^{\alpha}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} h_{i i}^{\alpha}$ are the components of the mean curvature vector field $\mathbf{H}=\sum_{\alpha} H^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$.

From (2.3) we get the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 K=-2+4 H^{2}-S, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ stands for the Gaussian curvature of $M^{2}, H=|\mathbf{H}|$ is the mean curvature function and $S=|h|^{2}=\sum_{i, j, \alpha}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}$ is the squared norm of the second fundamental form $h$ of $M^{2}$.

Assuming that $M^{2}$ has flat normal bundle (that is, $R^{\perp}=0$ ), by exterior differentiation of 2.1 we obtain the Ricci identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k l}^{\alpha}-h_{i j l k}^{\alpha}=\sum_{m} h_{m j}^{\alpha} R_{m i k l}+\sum_{m} h_{i m}^{\alpha} R_{m j k l} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the Codazzi equation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}^{\alpha}=h_{i k j}^{\alpha}=h_{j i k}^{\alpha} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. In what follows, we will deal with surfaces $M^{2}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{2+p}$ having parallel normalized mean curvature vector field, which means that the mean curvature function $H$ is positive and the corresponding normalized mean curvature vector field $\mathbf{H} / H$ is parallel as a section of the normal bundle.

In this context, we can choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2+p}\right\}$ such that $e_{3}=\mathbf{H} / H$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{3}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(h^{3}\right)=H \quad \text { and } \quad H^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(h^{\alpha}\right)=0, \alpha \geq 4, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h^{\alpha}$ stands for the $2 \times 2$ matrix $\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)$.
We will consider the symmetric tensor

$$
\Phi=\sum_{\alpha, i, j} \Phi_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes e_{\alpha},
$$

where $\Phi_{i j}^{\alpha}=h_{i j}^{\alpha}-H^{\alpha} \delta_{i j}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i j}^{3}=h_{i j}^{3}-H \delta_{i j} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{i j}^{\alpha}=h_{i j}^{\alpha}, 4 \leq \alpha \leq 2+p . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $|\Phi|^{2}=\sum_{i, j, \alpha}\left(\Phi_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}$ be the square of the length of $\Phi$. From 2.4), it is not difficult to verify that $\Phi$ is traceless with

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi|^{2}=S-2 H^{2}=2\left(c+H^{2}-K\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will also need the following key lemma which is obtained by just adapting the proof of [13, Proposition 2.2]:

Lemma 3.1. Let $M^{2}$ be an $L W$-surface immersed in $\mathbb{Q}_{c}^{2+p}$, with $K=$ $a H+b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a^{2}+8(b-c) \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla h|^{2} \geq 4|\nabla H|^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if equality holds in (3.4) on $M^{2}$, then $H$ is constant on $M^{2}$.
Now, we are in a position to present the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S=\sum_{i, j, \alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \Delta h_{i j}^{\alpha}+\sum_{i, j, k, \alpha}\left(h_{i j k}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Laplacian $\Delta h_{i j}^{\alpha}$ of $h_{i j}^{\alpha}$ is defined by $\Delta h_{i j}^{\alpha}=\sum_{k} h_{i j k k}^{\alpha}$. Using the Codazzi equation (2.6) in (3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S=|\nabla h|^{2}+\sum_{i, j, k, \alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k i j k}^{\alpha} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (2.5, (3.1) and (3.6), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S= & |\nabla h|^{2}+\sum_{i, j} n H_{i j}^{n+1} h_{i j}^{n+1}+\sum_{i, j, m, k, \alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{m i}^{\alpha} R_{m k j k}  \tag{3.7}\\
& +\sum_{i, j, k, m, \alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} h_{k m}^{\alpha} R_{m i j k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, taking a (local) orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ on $M^{2}$ such that $h_{i j}^{\alpha}=\lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \delta_{i j}$ for every $\alpha$, from 3.7 we obtain the Simons-type formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S=|\nabla h|^{2}+\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3}(2 H)_{i i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, \alpha} R_{i j i j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\alpha}-\lambda_{j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define an appropriate modified Cheng-Yau operator by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\square-\frac{1}{2} a \Delta \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the square operator is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square f=\sum_{i, j}\left(2 H \delta_{i j}-h_{i j}^{3}\right) f_{i j} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

Setting $f=2 H$ in 3.10, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\square(2 H) & =2 H \Delta(2 H)-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3}(2 H)_{i i}  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \Delta(2 H)^{2}-\sum_{i}(2 H)_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3}(2 H)_{i i} \\
& =\Delta R+\frac{1}{2} \Delta S-4|\nabla H|^{2}-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3}(2 H)_{i i}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, inserting (3.8) into (3.11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square(2 H)=\Delta R+|\nabla h|^{2}-4|\nabla H|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, \alpha} R_{i j i j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\alpha}-\lambda_{j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R=a H+b$, from (3.9) and (3.12 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(2 H)=|\nabla h|^{2}-4|\nabla H|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} R_{i j i j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\alpha}-\lambda_{j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Gauss equation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j i j}=c+\sum_{\beta} \lambda_{i}^{\beta} \lambda_{j}^{\beta} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using (3.14), (2.4) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, \alpha} R_{i j i j}\left(\lambda_{i}^{\alpha}-\lambda_{j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} & =\sum_{\alpha} R_{1212}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\alpha}-\lambda_{2}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.15}\\
& =\left(c+\sum_{\beta} \lambda_{1}^{\beta} \lambda_{2}^{\beta}\right) \sum_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{1}^{\alpha}-\lambda_{2}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \\
& =2\left(c+\sum_{\beta}\left(\frac{\left|h^{\beta}\right|^{2}}{2}-\left|\Phi^{\beta}\right|^{2}\right)\right)|\Phi|^{2} \\
& =2\left(c+\frac{S}{2}-|\Phi|^{2}\right)|\Phi|^{2} \\
& =|\Phi|^{2}\left(-|\Phi|^{2}+2 H^{2}+2 c\right)=2 K|\Phi|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, using Lemma 3.1 and since we are supposing that $K$ is non-negative on $M^{2}$, from (3.13) and (3.15 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(H) \geq K|\Phi|^{2} \geq 0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $(3.9)$ and 3.10 it is not difficult to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(H)=\operatorname{div}_{M}(P(\nabla H)) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=(2 H+a / 2) I-h^{3}$ and $I$ denotes the identity in the algebra of smooth vector fields on $M^{2}$.

From (3.16) and (3.17), integrating $L(H)$ on $M^{2}$, which is supposed be compact, we obtain $L(H)=0$ on $M^{2}$. So, returning to (3.13) we get $|\nabla h|^{2}=4|\nabla H|^{2}$ on $M^{2}$. Thus, using once more Lemma 3.1 we conclude that $H$ is constant on $M^{2}$. Consequently, since $K=a H+b$ and $M^{2}$ is also assumed be non-flat, from (3.16) we infer that $|\Phi|$ vanishes identically, and therefore $M^{2}$ is totally umbilical.

Consequently, since $M^{2}$ is totally umbilical and taking into account (3.1), we get

$$
h^{\alpha}=\left\langle\mathbf{H}, e_{\alpha}\right\rangle I=H^{\alpha} I=0
$$

for every $\alpha>3$. This implies that the first normal subspace

$$
N_{1}=\left\{e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{X}^{\perp}(M) ; h^{\alpha}=0\right\}^{\perp}
$$

is parallel and has dimension 1. Therefore, we can apply [2, Proposition 4.1] to reduce the codimension of $M^{2}$ to 1 and we conclude that it must be isometric to a totally umbilical round sphere.
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