

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR (Melbourne and Johannesburg)

APPROXIMATING THE VOLUME INTEGRAL BY A SURFACE INTEGRAL VIA THE DIVERGENCE THEOREM

Abstract. By utilising the *divergence theorem* for n -dimensional integrals, we provide some error estimates for approximating the integral on a body B , a bounded closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with smooth (or piecewise smooth) boundary ∂B , by an integral on the surface ∂B and some other simple terms. Some examples in the 3-dimensional case are also given.

1. Introduction. Consider a closed, bounded and convex subset D of \mathbb{R}^2 . Denote by

$$A_D := \iint_D dx dy$$

the *area* of D and by $(\overline{x_D}, \overline{y_D})$ the *centre of mass* of D , where

$$\overline{x_D} := \frac{1}{A_D} \iint_D x dx dy, \quad \overline{y_D} := \frac{1}{A_D} \iint_D y dx dy.$$

Let $f = f(x, y)$ be a function of two variables. We assume that the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ satisfy the Lipschitz type conditions at a point $(u, v) \in D$:

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(u, v) \right| \leq L_1|x - u| + K_1|y - v|$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(u, v) \right| \leq L_2|x - u| + K_2|y - v|$$

for any $(x, y) \in D$, where L_1 , K_1 , L_2 and K_2 are given positive constants.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 26D15.

Key words and phrases: volume integral, surface integral, divergence theorem, integral inequalities.

Received 17 July 2019; revised 8 October 2019.

Published online 10 June 2020.

In the recent paper [7] we established the following result on approximating the double integral by a contour integral:

THEOREM 1. *Let ∂D be a simple, closed counterclockwise oriented curve bounding a region D and let f be defined on an open set containing D and having continuous partial derivatives on D . Assume that $(u, v) \in D$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ satisfy the Lipschitz type conditions (1.1) and (1.2). Then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \frac{1}{A_D} \iint_D f(x, y) dx dy - \frac{1}{2A_D} \oint_{\partial D} [(\beta - y)f(x, y) dx + (x - \alpha)f(x, y) dy] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(u, v)(\alpha - \overline{x_D}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(u, v)(\beta - \overline{y_D}) \right| \\ \leq \frac{L_1}{2A_D} \iint_D |\alpha - x| |x - u| dx dy + \frac{K_1}{2A_D} \iint_D |\alpha - x| |y - v| dx dy + \frac{L_2}{2A_D} \iint_D |\beta - y| |x - u| dx dy + \frac{K_2}{2A_D} \iint_D |\beta - y| |y - v| dx dy.$$

In particular,

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \frac{1}{A_D} \iint_D f(x, y) dx dy - \frac{1}{2A_D} \oint_{\partial D} [(\overline{y_D} - y)f(x, y) dx + (x - \overline{x_D})f(x, y) dy] \right| \\ \leq \frac{L_1}{2A_D} \iint_D |\overline{x_D} - x| |x - u| dx dy + \frac{K_1}{2A_D} \iint_D |\overline{x_D} - x| |y - v| dx dy + \frac{L_2}{2A_D} \iint_D |\overline{y_D} - y| |x - u| dx dy + \frac{K_2}{2A_D} \iint_D |\overline{y_D} - y| |y - v| dx dy$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \frac{1}{A_D} \iint_D f(x, y) dx dy - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(u, v)(x_{f, \partial D} - \overline{x_D}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(u, v)(y_{f, \partial D} - \overline{y_D}) \right| \\ \leq \frac{L_1}{2A_D} \iint_D |x_{f, \partial D} - x| |x - u| dx dy + \frac{K_1}{2A_D} \iint_D |x_{f, \partial D} - x| |y - v| dx dy + \frac{L_2}{2A_D} \iint_D |y_{f, \partial D} - y| |x - u| dx dy + \frac{K_2}{2A_D} \iint_D |y_{f, \partial D} - y| |y - v| dx dy,$$

where

$$x_{f,\partial D} := \frac{\oint_{\partial D} x f(x, y) dy}{\oint_{\partial D} f(x, y) dy} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{f,\partial D} := \frac{\oint_{\partial D} y f(x, y) dx}{\oint_{\partial D} f(x, y) dx}$$

provided the denominators are not zero.

For other integral inequalities for multiple integrals see [3]–[15].

In this paper, motivated by the above results and by utilising the famous *divergence theorem* for n -dimensional integrals, we provide some error estimates for approximating the integral on a body B , a bounded closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with smooth (or piecewise smooth) boundary ∂B , by an integral on the surface ∂B and some other simple terms. Some examples for the 3-dimensional case are also given.

2. Some preliminary facts. Let B be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with smooth (or piecewise smooth) boundary ∂B . Let $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ be a smooth vector field defined in \mathbb{R}^n , or at least in $B \cup \partial B$. Let \mathbf{n} be the unit outward-pointing normal on ∂B . Then the divergence theorem (see for instance [16]) states that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_B \operatorname{div} F dV = \int_{\partial B} F \cdot \mathbf{n} dA,$$

where

$$\operatorname{div} F = \nabla \cdot F = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial x_k},$$

dV is the volume element in \mathbb{R}^n and dA is the surface area element on ∂B .

If $\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_n)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B$ and we write dx for dV , we can rewrite (2.1) more explicitly as

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \int_B \frac{\partial F_k(x)}{\partial x_k} dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\partial B} F_k(x) n_k(x) dA.$$

By taking the real and imaginary parts, we can extend the above equality to complex-valued functions F_k , $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, defined on B .

If $n = 2$, the normal is obtained by rotating the tangent vector through 90° (in the correct direction so that it points outwards). The quantity $t ds$ can be written as (dx_1, dx_2) along the surface, so that

$$\mathbf{n} dA := \mathbf{n} ds = (dx_2, -dx_1).$$

Here t is the tangent vector along the boundary curve and ds is the arc-length element.

From (2.2) we get, for $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$(2.3) \quad \int_B \frac{\partial F_1(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} dx_1 dx_2 + \int_B \frac{\partial F_2(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\ = \int_{\partial B} F_1(x_1, x_2) dx_2 - \int_{\partial B} F_2(x_1, x_2) dx_1,$$

which is Green's theorem in the plane.

If $n = 3$ and if ∂B is described as a level-set of a function of three variables, i.e. $\partial B = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid G(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0\}$, then a vector pointing in the direction of \mathbf{n} is $\text{grad} G$. We shall use the case where $G(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_3 - g(x_1, x_2)$ for (x_1, x_2) in D , a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 for some differentiable function g on D , and

$$B = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_3 < g(x_1, x_2)\}.$$

Also assume that B is bounded in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{(-g_{x_1}, -g_{x_2}, 1)}{(1 + g_{x_1}^2 + g_{x_2}^2)^{1/2}}, \quad dA = (1 + g_{x_1}^2 + g_{x_2}^2)^{1/2} dx_1 dx_2$$

and

$$\mathbf{n} dA = (-g_{x_1}, -g_{x_2}, 1) dx_1 dx_2.$$

From (2.2) we get

$$(2.4) \quad \int_B \left(\frac{\partial F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial F_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{\partial x_3} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\ = - \int_D F_1(x_1, x_2, g(x_1, x_2)) g_{x_1}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\ - \int_D F_2(x_1, x_2, g(x_1, x_2)) g_{x_2}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\ + \int_D F_3(x_1, x_2, g(x_1, x_2)) dx_1 dx_2,$$

which is the Gauss–Ostrogradsky theorem in space.

Following Apostol [1], consider a surface described by the vector equation

$$(2.5) \quad r(u, v) = x_1(u, v)\vec{i} + x_2(u, v)\vec{j} + x_3(u, v)\vec{k}$$

where $(u, v) \in [a, b] \times [c, d]$.

If x_1, x_2, x_3 are differentiable on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$, we consider the two vectors

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u} \vec{i} + \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u} \vec{j} + \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u} \vec{k}, \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v} \vec{i} + \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v} \vec{j} + \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial v} \vec{k}.$$

Their *cross product* $\frac{\partial r}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial r}{\partial v}$ will be referred to as the fundamental vector product of the representation r . Its components can be expressed as *Jacobian determinants*. In fact, we have [1, p. 420]

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial r}{\partial v} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v} & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial v} \end{vmatrix} \vec{i} + \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial v} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v} \end{vmatrix} \vec{j} + \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v} \end{vmatrix} \vec{k} \\ = \frac{\partial(x_2, x_3)}{\partial(u, v)} \vec{i} + \frac{\partial(x_3, x_1)}{\partial(u, v)} \vec{j} + \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(u, v)} \vec{k}.$$

Let $\partial B = r(T)$ be a parametric surface described by a vector-valued function r defined on the box $T = [a, b] \times [c, d]$. The area of ∂B denoted $A_{\partial B}$ is defined by the double integral [1, pp. 424–425]

$$(2.7) \quad A_{\partial B} = \iint_{a \ c}^{b \ d} \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial r}{\partial v} \right\| du dv \\ = \iint_{a \ c}^{b \ d} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial(x_2, x_3)}{\partial(u, v)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial(x_3, x_1)}{\partial(u, v)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(u, v)} \right)^2} du dv.$$

We define surface integrals in terms of a parametric representation for the surface. One can prove that under certain general conditions the value of the integral is independent of the representation.

Let $\partial B = r(T)$ be a parametric surface described by a vector-valued differentiable function r defined on $T = [a, b] \times [c, d]$ and let $f : \partial B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be bounded. The surface integral of f over ∂B is defined by [1, p. 430]

$$(2.8) \quad \iint_{\partial B} f dA = \iint_{a \ c}^{b \ d} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial r}{\partial v} \right\| du dv \\ = \iint_{a \ c}^{b \ d} f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \\ \times \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial(x_2, x_3)}{\partial(u, v)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial(x_3, x_1)}{\partial(u, v)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(u, v)} \right)^2} du dv.$$

If $\partial B = r(T)$ is a parametric surface, the fundamental vector product $N = \frac{\partial r}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial r}{\partial v}$ is normal to ∂B at each regular point of the surface. At each such point there are two unit normals, \mathbf{n}_1 which has the same direction as N , and \mathbf{n}_2 in the opposite direction. Thus

$$\mathbf{n}_1 = \frac{N}{\|N\|} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{n}_2 = -\mathbf{n}_1.$$

Let \mathbf{n} be one of the two normals \mathbf{n}_1 or \mathbf{n}_2 . Let also F be a vector field defined

on ∂B and assume that the surface integral

$$\iint_{\partial B} (F \cdot \mathbf{n}) \, dA,$$

called the flux surface integral, exists. Here $F \cdot \mathbf{n}$ is the dot or inner product.

We can write [1, p. 434]

$$\iint_{\partial B} (F \cdot \mathbf{n}) \, dA = \pm \iint_{a \ c}^{b \ d} F(r(u, v)) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial r}{\partial v} \right) \, du \, dv$$

where “+” is used if $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}_1$, and “−” if $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}_2$.

If

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)\vec{i} + F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)\vec{j} + F_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)\vec{k}$$

and

$$r(u, v) = x_1(u, v)\vec{i} + x_2(u, v)\vec{j} + x_3(u, v)\vec{k} \quad \text{where } (u, v) \in [a, b] \times [c, d]$$

then the flux surface integral for $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}_1$ can be explicitly calculated as [1, p. 435]

$$(2.9) \quad \iint_{\partial B} (F \cdot \mathbf{n}) \, dA = \iint_{a \ c}^{b \ d} F_1(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_2, x_3)}{\partial(u, v)} \, du \, dv \\ + \iint_{a \ c}^{b \ d} F_2(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_3, x_1)}{\partial(u, v)} \, du \, dv \\ + \iint_{a \ c}^{b \ d} F_3(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(u, v)} \, du \, dv.$$

The sum of the double integrals on the right is often written more succinctly as [1, p. 435]

$$\iint_{\partial B} F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \, dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + \iint_{\partial B} F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) \, dx_3 \wedge dx_1 \\ + \iint_{\partial B} F_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) \, dx_1 \wedge dx_2.$$

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a solid in 3-space bounded by an orientable closed surface ∂B , and let \mathbf{n} be the unit outer normal to ∂B . If F is a continuously differentiable vector field defined on B , we have the Gauss–Ostrogradsky identity

$$(GO) \quad \iiint_B (\operatorname{div} F) \, dV = \iint_{\partial B} (F \cdot \mathbf{n}) \, dA.$$

If we express

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)\vec{i} + F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)\vec{j} + F_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)\vec{k},$$

then (2.4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad & \iiint_B \left(\frac{\partial F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial F_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{\partial x_3} \right) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\
 &= \iint_{\partial B} F_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + \iint_{\partial B} F_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_3 \wedge dx_1 \\
 & \quad + \iint_{\partial B} F_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 \wedge dx_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Some perturbed identities. For the body B we consider the coordinates of the *centre of gravity*

$$G(\overline{x_{B,1}}, \dots, \overline{x_{B,n}})$$

defined by

$$\overline{x_{B,k}} := \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B x_k dx, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where

$$V(B) := \int_B dx$$

is the volume of B .

We have the following identity of interest:

THEOREM 2. *Let B be a bounded closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with smooth (or piecewise smooth) boundary ∂B . Let f be a continuously differentiable function defined in \mathbb{R}^n , or at least in an open neighbourhood of B , and with complex values. If $\alpha_k, \beta_k, \delta_k \in \mathbb{C}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA.
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B (\gamma_k - x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \delta_k (\gamma_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \gamma_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and in particular

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad & \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B (\gamma_k - x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \delta_k (\gamma_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \gamma_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B$. We consider

$$F_k(x) = (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial F_k(x)}{\partial x_k} = \alpha_k f(x) + (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k}, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

If we sum this equality over k from 1 to n we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial F_k(x)}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k f(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} \\
 &= f(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B$.

Now, if we integrate (3.4) over $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B$ we get

$$(3.5) \quad \int_B \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial F_k(x)}{\partial x_k} \right) dx = \int_B f(x) dx + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_B \left[(\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} \right] dx.$$

By the divergence theorem (2.2) we also have

$$(3.6) \quad \int_B \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial F_k(x)}{\partial x_k} \right) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA,$$

and by making use of (3.5) and (3.6) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_B f(x) dx + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_B \left[(\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} \right] dx \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the representation

$$(3.7) \quad \int_B f(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} dx \\ + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA.$$

Now, observe that

$$\int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\ = \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} dx - \delta_k \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) dx \\ = \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} dx - \delta_k (\beta_k V(B) - \alpha_k V(B) \overline{x_{B,k}}) \\ = \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} dx - V(B) \delta_k (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}),$$

which for summation over $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ provides

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} dx - V(B) \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}),$$

and so

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} dx \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx + V(B) \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}).$$

From (3.7) we then get

$$\int_B f(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\ + V(B) \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA,$$

which on division by $V(B)$ produces the desired result (3.1).

The identity (3.2) follows from (3.1) for $\beta_k = \alpha_k \gamma_k$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. ■

The following particular cases are of interest:

COROLLARY 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B (\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\ + \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA,$$

and in particular

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B (\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\ + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA.$$

This follows from (3.1) on taking $\beta_k = \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

For a function f as in Theorem 2 above, we define the points

$$x_{\partial B, f, k} := \frac{\int_{\partial B} x_k f(x) n_k(x) dA}{\int_{\partial B} f(x) n_k(x) dA}, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

provided that all denominators are nonzero.

COROLLARY 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have*

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B (x_{\partial B, f, k} - x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\ + \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k \alpha_k (x_{\partial B, f, k} - \overline{x_{B,k}})$$

and in particular

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B (x_{\partial B, f, k} - x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \delta_k \right) dx \\ + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k (x_{\partial B, f, k} - \overline{x_{B,k}}).$$

This follows from (3.1) on taking $\beta_k = \alpha_k x_{\partial B, f, k}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and observing that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \int_{\partial B} (x_k - x_{\partial B, f, k}) f(x) n_k(x) dA = 0.$$

4. Some inequalities for bounded partial derivatives. Let B be a bounded closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with smooth (or piecewise smooth) boundary ∂B . Now, for $\phi, \Phi \in \mathbb{C}$, define the following sets of complex-valued functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_B(\phi, \Phi) &:= \{f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}[(\Phi - f(x))(\overline{f(x) - \phi})] \geq 0 \text{ for each } x \in B\}, \\ \bar{\Delta}_B(\phi, \Phi) &:= \left\{f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \left|f(x) - \frac{\phi + \Phi}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\Phi - \phi| \text{ for each } x \in B\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following representation result may be stated.

PROPOSITION 1. *For any distinct $\phi, \Phi \in \mathbb{C}$, the sets $\bar{U}_B(\phi, \Phi)$ and $\bar{\Delta}_B(\phi, \Phi)$ are nonempty, convex and closed and*

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{U}_B(\phi, \Phi) = \bar{\Delta}_B(\phi, \Phi).$$

Proof. We observe that for any $w \in \mathbb{C}$ we have the equivalence

$$\left|w - \frac{\phi + \Phi}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\Phi - \phi| \iff \operatorname{Re}[(\Phi - w)(\overline{w - \phi})] \geq 0.$$

This follows from the equality

$$\frac{1}{4}|\Phi - \phi|^2 - \left|w - \frac{\phi + \Phi}{2}\right|^2 = \operatorname{Re}[(\Phi - w)(\overline{w - \phi})],$$

which holds for any $w \in \mathbb{C}$.

The equality (4.1) is thus a simple consequence of this fact. ■

On making use of the properties of complex numbers we can also state that:

COROLLARY 3. *For any distinct $\phi, \Phi \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{U}_B(\phi, \Phi) &= \{f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid (\operatorname{Re} \Phi - \operatorname{Re} f(x))(\operatorname{Re} f(x) - \operatorname{Re} \phi) \\ &\quad + (\operatorname{Im} \Phi - \operatorname{Im} f(x))(\operatorname{Im} f(x) - \operatorname{Im} \phi) \geq 0 \text{ for each } x \in B\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we assume that $\operatorname{Re}(\Phi) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\phi)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi) \geq \operatorname{Im}(\phi)$, then we can define the following set of functions as well:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{S}_B(\phi, \Phi) &:= \{f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \Phi \geq \operatorname{Re} f(x) \geq \operatorname{Re} \phi \text{ and} \\ &\quad \operatorname{Im} \Phi \geq \operatorname{Im} f(x) \geq \operatorname{Im} \phi \text{ for each } x \in B\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily observe that $\bar{S}_B(\phi, \Phi)$ is closed, convex and

$$(4.4) \quad \emptyset \neq \bar{S}_B(\phi, \Phi) \subseteq \bar{U}_B(\phi, \Phi).$$

THEOREM 3. *Let B be a bounded closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with smooth (or piecewise smooth) boundary ∂B . Let f be a continuously differentiable function defined in \mathbb{R}^n , or at least in an open neighbourhood of B and with complex values. Assume that there exist $\phi_k, \Phi_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $\phi_k \neq \Phi_k$*

for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and such that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \in \bar{\Delta}_B(\phi_k, \Phi_k)$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{C}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1$, then

$$(4.5) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Phi_k - \phi_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k| dx.$$

We also have

$$(4.6) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} \alpha_k (\gamma_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \gamma_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Phi_k - \phi_k| |\alpha_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\gamma_k - x_k| dx$$

for all $\gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and in particular

$$(4.7) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} (\gamma_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \gamma_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Phi_k - \phi_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\gamma_k - x_k| dx.$$

Proof. By using identity (3.1) for $\delta_k := \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we get

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} \right) dx \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \in \bar{\Delta}_B(\phi_k, \Phi_k)$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, (4.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \left| \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} \right) dx \right| \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B \left| (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} \right) \right| dx \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} |\Phi_k - \phi_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k| dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.5). The rest is obvious. ■

COROLLARY 4. *With the assumptions of Theorem 3 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.9) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k| |\Phi_k - \phi_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k| dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

and in particular

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.10) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Phi_k - \phi_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

This follows from (4.6) by taking $\gamma_k = \overline{x_{B,k}}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

COROLLARY 5. *With the assumptions of Theorem 3 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.11) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} \alpha_k (x_{\partial B, f, k} - \overline{x_{B,k}}) \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Phi_k - \phi_k| |\alpha_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |x_{\partial B, f, k} - x_k| dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

and in particular

$$(4.12) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\phi_k + \Phi_k}{2} (x_{\partial B, f, k} - \overline{x_{B, k}}) \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Phi_k - \phi_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |x_{\partial B, f, k} - x_k| dx.$$

This follows from (4.6) by taking $\gamma_k = x_{\partial B, f, k}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and observing that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - x_{\partial B, f, k}) f(x) n_k(x) dA = 0.$$

5. Inequalities for Lipschitzian partial derivatives. We assume that the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, satisfy the Lipschitz type conditions at the point $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in D$:

$$(5.1) \quad \left| \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} |x_j - u_j|$$

for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D$, where $L_{k,j}$, $k, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, are given positive constants.

THEOREM 4. *Let B be a bounded closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with smooth (or piecewise smooth) boundary ∂B . Let f be a continuously differentiable function defined in \mathbb{R}^n , or at least in an open neighbourhood of B , and with complex values. Assume that for $u \in B$ there exist $L_{k,j}$, $k, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, such that the Lipschitz condition (5.1) holds for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{C}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1$, then*

$$(5.2) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B, k}}) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx.$$

We also have

$$(5.3) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (\gamma_k - \overline{x_{B, k}}) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} \alpha_k (x_k - \gamma_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} |\alpha_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\gamma_k - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx$$

for all $\gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and in particular

$$(5.4) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (\gamma_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} \alpha_k (x_k - \gamma_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\gamma_k - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx.$$

Proof. If we write the equality (3.1) for $\delta_k = \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} \right) dx \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (\beta_k - \alpha_k \overline{x_{B,k}}) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (\alpha_k x_k - \beta_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \left| \int_B (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} \right) dx \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B \left| (\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k) \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} \right) \right| dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k| \left| \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} \right| dx \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k| \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} |x_j - u_j| dx \quad (\text{by (5.1)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\beta_k - \alpha_k x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (5.2) is proved. ■

COROLLARY 6. *With the assumptions of Theorem 4 we have*

$$(5.5) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} \alpha_k(x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} |\alpha_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx,$$

and in particular

$$(5.6) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx.$$

COROLLARY 7. *With the assumptions of Theorem 4 we have*

$$(5.7) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (x_{\partial B, f, k} - \overline{x_{B,k}}) \right| \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} |\alpha_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |x_{\partial B, f, k} - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx,$$

and in particular

$$(5.8) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (x_{\partial B, f, k} - \overline{x_{B,k}}) \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |x_{\partial B, f, k} - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx.$$

COROLLARY 8. *With the assumptions of Theorem 4 we have*

$$(5.9) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (u_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} \alpha_k (x_k - u_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} |\alpha_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |u_k - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx,$$

and in particular

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.10) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (u_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - u_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |u_k - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1. With the assumptions of Theorem 4 and for $G = (\overline{x_{B,1}}, \dots, \overline{x_{B,n}}) \in B$ if there exist $M_{k,j} > 0$, $k, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, such that the Lipschitz conditions

$$(5.11) \quad \left| \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial f(G)}{\partial x_k} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n M_{k,j} |x_j - u_j|$$

hold for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.12) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} \alpha_k (x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} |\alpha_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k| |x_j - \overline{x_{B,j}}| dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

and in particular

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.13) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_{k,j} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k| |x_j - \overline{x_{B,j}}| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that if a function g has bounded partial derivatives on B , which is assumed also to be convex, then for all $x, y \in B$ we have the Lipschitz type condition

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} |x_j - y_j|$$

where

$$\left\| \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} := \sup_{x \in B} \left| \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x_j} \right| < \infty.$$

We can state the following result that is more convenient to apply:

COROLLARY 9. Let B be a bounded closed convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with smooth (or piecewise smooth) boundary ∂B . Let f be a twice differentiable function defined in \mathbb{R}^n , or at least in an open neighbourhood of B , and with complex values and assume that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} := \sup_{x \in B} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right| < \infty$$

for all $k, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then for all $u \in B$ we have

$$(5.14) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (u_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} \alpha_k (x_k - u_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} |\alpha_k| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |u_k - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx,$$

and in particular

$$(5.15) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial x_k} (u_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - u_k) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |u_k - x_k| |x_j - u_j| dx.$$

We also have the centre of gravity inequality

$$(5.16) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} \alpha_k (x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_k| \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k| |x_j - \overline{x_{B,k}}| dx,$$

and in particular

$$(5.17) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_{\partial B} (x_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) f(x) n_k(x) dA \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k| |x_j - \overline{x_{B,k}}| dx.$$

6. Example for 3-dimensional spaces. Let B be a bounded closed convex subset of \mathbb{R}^3 with smooth (or piecewise smooth) boundary ∂B . Let f be a twice differentiable function defined in \mathbb{R}^3 , or at least in an open neighbourhood of B , and with complex values and assume that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} := \sup_{x \in B} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right| < \infty \quad \text{for all } k, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Assume that ∂B is described by the vector equation

$$r(u, v) = x_1(u, v)\vec{i} + x_2(u, v)\vec{j} + x_3(u, v)\vec{k}$$

where $(u, v) \in [a, b] \times [c, d]$. Then, by using the notations from the second section, we have

$$(6.1) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_k \frac{\partial f(y_1, y_2, y_3)}{\partial x_k} (y_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) \right. \\ - \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d \alpha_1 (x_1(u, v) - y_1) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_2, x_3)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \\ - \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d \alpha_2 (x_2(u, v) - y_2) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_3, x_1)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \\ \left. - \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d \alpha_3 (x_3(u, v) - y_3) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \right| \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 |\alpha_k| \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |y_k - x_k| |x_j - y_j| dx$$

for all $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in B$ and $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1$.

In particular, we have

$$(6.2) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial f(y_1, y_2, y_3)}{\partial x_k} (y_k - \overline{x_{B,k}}) \right. \\ - \frac{1}{3V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d (x_1(u, v) - y_1) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_2, x_3)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \\ - \frac{1}{3V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d (x_2(u, v) - y_2) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_3, x_1)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \\ \left. - \frac{1}{3V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d (x_3(u, v) - y_3) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |y_k - x_k| |x_j - y_j| dx$$

for all $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in B$.

We also have the *centre of gravity inequalities*

$$(6.3) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx \right. \\ - \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d \alpha_1(x_1(u, v) - \overline{x_{B,1}}) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_2, x_3)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \\ - \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d \alpha_2(x_2(u, v) - \overline{x_{B,2}}) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_3, x_1)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \\ \left. - \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d \alpha_3(x_3(u, v) - \overline{x_{B,3}}) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \right| \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 |\alpha_k| \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right\|_{B, \infty} \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,k}} - x_k| |x_j - \overline{x_{B,j}}| dx$$

for all $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1$.

In particular,

$$(6.4) \quad \left| \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B f(x) dx \right. \\ - \frac{1}{3V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d (x_1(u, v) - \overline{x_{B,1}}) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_2, x_3)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \\ - \frac{1}{3V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d (x_2(u, v) - \overline{x_{B,2}}) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_3, x_1)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \\ \left. - \frac{1}{3V(B)} \int_a^b \int_c^d (x_3(u, v) - \overline{x_{B,3}}) f(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(u, v)} du dv \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{V(B)} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} \right\|_{B, \infty} \int_B (\overline{x_{B,1}} - x_1)^2 dx \right. \\ + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} \right\|_{B, \infty} \int_B (\overline{x_{B,2}} - x_2)^2 dx + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_3^2} \right\|_{B, \infty} \int_B (\overline{x_{B,3}} - x_3)^2 dx \left. \right] \\ + \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{V(B)} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \right\|_{B, \infty} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,1}} - x_1| |x_2 - \overline{x_{B,2}}| dx \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3} \right\|_{B, \infty} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,2}} - x_2| |x_3 - \overline{x_{B,3}}| dx \\
 & + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} \right\|_{B, \infty} \int_B |\overline{x_{B,1}} - x_1| |x_3 - \overline{x_{B,3}}| dx \Big].
 \end{aligned}$$

7. Example for 3-dimensional balls. Consider the 3-dimensional ball centred at $C = (a, b, c)$ and having radius $R > 0$,

$$B(C, R) := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 + (z - c)^2 \leq R^2\}$$

and the sphere

$$S(C, R) := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 + (z - c)^2 = R^2\}.$$

Consider the parametrisation of $B(C, R)$ and $S(C, R)$ given by

$$B(C, R) : \begin{cases} x = r \cos \psi \cos \varphi + a, \\ y = r \cos \psi \sin \varphi + b, \\ z = r \sin \psi + c, \end{cases} \quad (r, \psi, \varphi) \in [0, R] \times [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \times [0, 2\pi],$$

and

$$S(C, R) : \begin{cases} x = R \cos \psi \cos \varphi + a, \\ y = R \cos \psi \sin \varphi + b, \\ z = R \sin \psi + c, \end{cases} \quad (\psi, \varphi) \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \times [0, 2\pi].$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \psi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \psi} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \varphi} \end{vmatrix} &= -R^2 \cos^2 \psi \cos \varphi, \\
 \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \psi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \psi} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \varphi} \end{vmatrix} &= R^2 \cos^2 \psi \sin \varphi, \\
 \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \psi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \psi} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \varphi} \end{vmatrix} &= -R^2 \sin \psi \cos \psi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the transformation $T_2 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$T_2(r, \psi, \varphi) := (r \cos \psi \cos \varphi + a, r \cos \psi \sin \varphi + b, r \sin \psi + c).$$

It is well known that the Jacobian of T_2 is

$$J(T_2) = r^2 \cos \psi$$

and T_2 is a one-to-one mapping defined on $[0, R] \times [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \times [0, 2\pi]$, with values in $B(C, R)$. Thus we have the change of variables

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.1) \quad & \iiint_{B(C,R)} f(x, y, z) \, dx \, dy \, dz \\
&= \int_0^R \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} f(r \cos \psi \cos \varphi + a, r \cos \psi \sin \varphi + b, r \sin \psi + c) r^2 \cos \psi \, dr \, d\psi \, d\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\iiint_{B(C,R)} |z - \overline{z_{B(C,R)}}|^2 \, dx \, dy \, dz &= \int_0^R \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \sin^2 \psi r^2 \cos \psi \, dr \, d\psi \, d\varphi \\
&= \int_0^R \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} r^4 \sin^2 \psi \cos \psi \, dr \, d\psi \, d\varphi = \frac{4}{15} \pi R^5,
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\iiint_{B(C,R)} |x - \overline{x_{B(C,R)}}|^2 \, dx \, dy \, dz = \iiint_{B(C,R)} |y - \overline{y_{B(C,R)}}|^2 \, dx \, dy \, dz = \frac{4}{15} \pi R^5.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iiint_{B(C,R)} |x - \overline{x_{B(C,R)}}| |y - \overline{y_{B(C,R)}}| \, dx \, dy \, dz \\
&= \int_0^R \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} |r \cos \psi \cos \varphi| |r \cos \psi \sin \varphi| r^2 \cos \psi \, dr \, d\psi \, d\varphi \\
&= \int_0^R \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} r^4 \cos^3 \psi |\sin \varphi \cos \varphi| \, dr \, d\psi \, d\varphi = \frac{8}{15} R^5,
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iiint_{B(C,R)} |x - \overline{x_{B(C,R)}}| |z - \overline{z_{B(C,R)}}| \, dx \, dy \, dz \\
&= \iiint_{B(C,R)} |y - \overline{y_{B(C,R)}}| |z - \overline{z_{B(C,R)}}| \, dx \, dy \, dz = \frac{8}{15} R^5.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $V(B(C, R)) = \frac{4\pi R^3}{3}$, by (6.4) we get

$$(7.2) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\frac{4\pi R^3}{3}} \int_0^R \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} f(r \cos \psi \cos \varphi + a, r \cos \psi \sin \varphi + b, r \sin \psi + c) \right. \\
\left. \times r^2 \cos \psi \, dr \, d\psi \, d\varphi \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} f(R \cos \psi \cos \varphi + a, R \cos \psi \sin \varphi + b, R \sin \psi + c) \cos^3 \psi \cos^2 \varphi \, d\psi \, d\varphi \\
& - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} f(R \cos \psi \cos \varphi + a, R \cos \psi \sin \varphi + b, R \sin \psi + c) \cos^3 \psi \sin^2 \varphi \, d\psi \, d\varphi \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} f(R \cos \psi \cos \varphi + a, R \cos \psi \sin \varphi + b, R \sin \psi + c) \sin^2 \psi \cos \psi \, d\psi \, d\varphi \Big| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{15} R^2 \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right\|_{B(C,R),\infty} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} \right\|_{B(C,R),\infty} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} \right\|_{B(C,R),\infty} \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{4}{15\pi} R^2 \left[\left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \right\|_{B(C,R),\infty} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} \right\|_{B(C,R),\infty} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x} \right\|_{B(C,R),\infty} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments that have been implemented in the final version of the paper.

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Calculus. Volume II, Multi-Variable Calculus and Linear Algebra, with Applications to Differential Equations and Probability*, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1969.
- [2] N. S. Barnett, F. C. Cîrstea and S. S. Dragomir, *Some inequalities for the integral mean of Hölder continuous functions defined on disks in a plane*, in: *Inequality Theory and Applications*, Vol. 2 (Chinju/Masan, 2001), Nova Sci. Publ., Hauppauge, NY, 2003, 7–18; <https://rgmia.org/papers/v5n1/BCD.pdf>.
- [3] N. S. Barnett and S. S. Dragomir, *An Ostrowski type inequality for double integrals and applications for cubature formulae*, *Soochow J. Math.* 27 (2001), 1–10.
- [4] N. S. Barnett, S. S. Dragomir and C. E. M. Pearce, *A quasi-trapezoid inequality for double integrals*, *ANZIAM J.* 44 (2003), 355–364.
- [5] H. Budak and M. Z. Sarıkaya, *An inequality of Ostrowski–Grüss type for double integrals*, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* 62 (2017), 163–173.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, P. Cerone, N. S. Barnett and J. Roumeliotis, *An inequality of the Ostrowski type for double integrals and applications for cubature formulae*, *Tamsui Oxford J. Math. Sci.* 16 (2000), 1–16.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, *New inequalities for double and path integrals on general domains via Green’s identity*, preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 22 (2019), art. 57, 18 pp.; <https://rgmia.org/papers/v22/v22a57.pdf>.
- [8] S. Erden and M. Z. Sarıkaya, *On exponential Pompeiu’s type inequalities for double integrals with applications to Ostrowski’s inequality*, *New Trends Math. Sci.* 4 (2016), 256–267.
- [9] G. Hanna, *Some results for double integrals based on an Ostrowski type inequality*, in: *Ostrowski Type Inequalities and Applications in Numerical Integration*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002, 331–371.

- [10] G. Hanna, S. S. Dragomir and P. Cerone, *A general Ostrowski type inequality for double integrals*, Tamkang J. Math. 33 (2002), 319–333.
- [11] Z. Liu, *A sharp general Ostrowski type inequality for double integrals*, Tamsui Oxford J. Information Math. Sci. 28 (2012), 217–226.
- [12] M. E. Özdemir, A. O. Akdemir and E. Set, *A new Ostrowski-type inequality for double integrals*, J. Inequal. Spec. Funct. 2 (2011), 27–34.
- [13] B. G. Pachpatte, *A new Ostrowski type inequality for double integrals*, Soochow J. Math. 32 (2006), 317–322.
- [14] M. Z. Sarikaya, *On the Ostrowski type integral inequality for double integrals*, Demonstratio Math. 45 (2012), 533–540.
- [15] M. Z. Sarikaya and H. Ogunmez, *On the weighted Ostrowski-type integral inequality for double integrals*, Arab. J. Sci. Engrg. 36 (2011), 1153–1160.
- [16] M. Singer, *The divergence theorem*, <https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~jmf/Teaching/Lectures/divthm.pdf>.

Silvestru Sever Dragomir

Mathematics, College of Engineering & Science

Victoria University

PO Box 14428

Melbourne, MC 8001, Australia

ORCID: 0000-0003-2902-6805

E-mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

<http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

and

DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in the Mathematical and Statistical Sciences

School of Computer Science & Applied Mathematics

University of the Witwatersrand

Private Bag 3

Johannesburg 2050, South Africa