COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. XXXVIII

FASC. 1

A NEW CONSTRUCTION OF THE BLOCK SYSTEMS. B(4, 1, 25) AND B(4, 1, 28)

BY

B. ROKOWSKA (WROCŁAW)

Let B(v) denote a family of 4-element subsets, called *blocks*, of a v-element set E such that each 2-element subset of E is contained in exactly one block B(v).

Constructions of B(13) and B(16) are well known: there exists exactly one B(13) (projective plane PG [2], [3]) and exactly one B(16) (Euclidean plane EG [2], [4]).

In 1939, Bose [1] constructed B(25), B(28), and B(37). His results were then used by Hanani [3] who proved that the relation

$$v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{12}$$

is a sufficient and necessary condition for the existence of B(v). Pukanow [4] showed that there exist at least two non-isomorphic B(v) for each $v \ge 181$. Wojtas [5] proved, using essentially results of [4], that there exist at least 2[(v-1)/720] non-isomorphic B(v) for each $v \ge 781$. His method, however, cannot be applied for small v.

In this paper we give a construction of B(25) and B(28) which are non-isomorphic to those in [1]; and, therefore, there are at least two B(25)and B(28).

In the bibliography of Steiner systems [2], compiled by Doyen and Rosa, there is a table providing numbers of non-isomorphic Steiner systems $B(k, \lambda, v)$ for $v \leq 26$ and the case of B(4, 1, 25) is there open. Thus the present paper yields an answer: the number is at least 2.

B(25). In the set

 $E = \{0, (i, j): i = 1, ..., 6, j = 1, ..., 4\}$

we construct the following blocks:

 $\{0, (1, j), (2, j), (3, j)\}, \{0, (4, j), (5, j), (6, j)\}$ for j = 1, 2, 3, 4; $\{(i, j), (i+3, j), (i, j+k), (i+3, j+k)\}$ for i = 1, j = 1, 3, k = 1, or i = 2, j = 1, 2, k = 2, or i = 3, j = 2, k = 1;

 $\{(i, j), (i+4, j), (i, j+k), (i+4, j+k)\}$ for i = 1, j = 1, k = 3, or i = 1, j = 2, k = 1, or i = 2, j = 1, 3, k = 1, or i = 3, j = 1, 2, k = 2,i+4 = 4; $\{(i, j), (i+5, j), (i, j+k), (i+5, j+k)\}$ for i = 1, j = 1, 2, k = 2, or i = 2, j = 1, k = 3, i+5 = 4, or i = 2, j = 2, k = 1, or i = 3, j = 1, 3, k = 1, i+5 = 5; $\{(i, 1), (i+1, 2), (i+2, 3), (2i+2, 4)\};$ $\{(i, 1), (i+2, 2), (i+1, 4), (2i+3, 3)\};$ $\{(i, 1), (i+1, 3), (i+2, 4), (2i+4, 2)\};$ $\{(i, 1), (2i+3, 2), (2i+2, 3), (2i+4, 4)\}, (2i+k) \in \{4, 5, 6\} \text{ and } 2i+k$ is taken mod 3. In order to show that this block system is non-isomorphic to that in [1] it is sufficient to notice that it has the following property: For each pair of blocks that contain 0, say $\{0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $\{0, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$, one can choose two other blocks containing 0, say $\{0, z_1, z_2, z_3\} \text{ and } \{0, t_1, t_2, t_3\},$ (1)locks $\{x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1\}, \{x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2\}, \{x_3, y_3, z_3, t_3\}$ (25)1207 7(名1)(such that blocks belong to B(25). It is easy to see that none of the elements in the construction from [1] has that property. B(28). We take $E = \{(i, j): i = 1, ..., 7, j = 1, ..., 4\}.$ The set E can be written in the form of a (7×4) -matrix A = (i, j) for i = 1, ..., 7, and j = 1, ..., 4. Let us form a (7×3) -matrix B consisting of pairs of $I = \{1, ..., 7\}$ according to the following procedure. A pair (x, y) belongs to the j-th column if $x + y \equiv j \pmod{7}$, a pair (x, y) belongs to the *i*-th row if $|x-y| \equiv i \pmod{7}$. Next, let C be the (6×3) -matrix: $C = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 0 \ 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$

Blocks: Consider all pairs (a, b) of elements of C such that a and b belong to the same column and $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, a \neq b$. Let a be in the *i*-th row and in the *j*-th column, and b in the *k*-th row and in the *j*-th column. If (x, y) is in the *i*-th row and in the *n*-th column of B, and (z, t) in the *k*-th row and in the *n*-th column of B, then $\{x, y, z, t\} \in B(28)$, where

(x, y) are elements of the *a*-th row of the matrix A, and (z, t) are elements of the *b*-th row of the matrix A, and $i \neq k, i = 1, 2, 3, n = 1, ..., 7$. Moreover, in B(28) we have

 $\{(i, 1), (i, 2), (i, 3), (i, 4)\};\$

 $\{(i, 1), (i+1, 2), (i+3, 4), (i+5, 3)\};$

 $\{(i, 1), (i+6, 2), (i+2, 3), (i+5, 4)\}, i = 1, ..., 7, and i+k is taken mod 7.$

It is easy to see that this construction has only 21 blocks that form 3 groups of 7 mutually disjoint blocks each, and the remaining blocks have not this property. In the construction described in [1] all blocks form 9 groups of 7 mutually disjoint blocks each.

REFERENCES

- R. C. Bose, On the construction of balanced incomplete block designs, Annals of Eugenics 9 (1939), p. 353-399.
- [2] J. Doyen and A. Rosa, A bibliography and survey of Steiner systems, Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana 7 (1973), p. 392-419.
- [3] H. Hanani, The existence and construction of balanced incomplete block design, Annals of Mathematical Statistics 32 (1961), p. 361-386.
- K. Pukanow, On the number of non-isomorphic block systems B(4, 1, v), Prace Naukowe Instytutu Matematyki Politechniki Wrocławskiej 12 (1976), p. 11-37.
- [5] M. Wojtas, On non-isomorphic balanced incomplete block designs B(4, 1, v), Colloquium Mathematicum 35 (1976), p. 327-330.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 2. 5. 1975