## Another smallest part function related to Andrews' spt function

by

## ALEXANDER E. PATKOWSKI (Centerville, MA)

**1. Introduction and main results.** In [A2], we find the identity of Andrews

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)(q^n)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n\geq 1} np(n)q^n + \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(3n+1)/2}(1+q^n)}{(1-q^n)^2}.$$

Here p(n) is the number of partitions of n, and the last series on the right generates  $N_2(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} m^2 N(m, n)$ , N(m, n) being the number of partitions of n with rank m (see [A1]). The largest part minus the number of parts is defined to be the rank. The function  $\operatorname{spt}(n)$  counts the number of smallest parts among integer partitions of n. For some other functions counting smallest parts among partitions see [P1]. Lastly, we have used the familiar notation  $(a)_n = (a;q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq)\cdots(1-aq^{n-1})$ (see [GR]).

In this note we find a spt-type function that is related to the generating function in (1.1) and falls into the same class of spt-type functions as the one offered in [P2]. However, this note differs from [P2] in that we will find the "crank companion" to create a "full" sptfunction related to Andrews' spt function. Here we are also appealing to relations to spt(n) modulo 2, whereas in [P2] we concentrated on relations to spt(n) modulo 3. Lastly, the partitions involved in this study are different, and deserve a separate study.

Let  $M_2(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} m^2 M(m, n)$ , where M(m, n) is the number of partitions of n with crank m (see [AG]).

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11P81; Secondary 11P83. Key words and phrases: partitions, q-series, smallest parts function.

THEOREM 1.1. We have

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{q^n (q^{2n+1}; q^2)_{\infty}}{(1-q^n)^2 (q^{n+1})_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n\geq 1} N_2(n)q^{2n},$$

(1.3) 
$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2}(q^{2n+1};q^2)_{\infty}}{(1-q^n)^2(q^{n+1})_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{(q^2;q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n\geq 1} M_2(n)q^{2n}.$$

For our next theorem, which is a number-theoretic interpretation of Theorem 1.1, we will use the following definitions. We define a triangular partition to be of the form  $\delta_l = (l - 1, l - 2, ..., 1), l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the smallest part of a partition  $\pi$  to be  $s(\pi)$ , and the largest part to be  $l(\pi)$ . We will also consider the partition pair  $\sigma = (\pi, \delta_i)$ , where we set  $i = s(\pi)$ . The latter condition yields  $s(\pi) - l(\delta_{i=s(\pi)}) = s(\pi) - (s(\pi) - 1) = 1$ . If we include  $\delta_i$  in a partition, we are increasing its size by  $\binom{i}{2}$  and including the component  $q^{1+\dots+i-1}$  in its generating function. This has the property that all parts from 1 to i - 1 appear exactly once and are less than i.

THEOREM 1.2. Let  $\operatorname{spt}_o^+(n)$  count the number of smallest parts among the integer partitions  $\pi$  of n where odd parts greater than  $2s(\pi)$  do not occur. Let  $\operatorname{spt}_o^-(n)$  count the number of smallest parts among the integer partitions  $\sigma = (\pi, \delta_{s(\pi)})$  of n such that  $\pi$  is a partition where odd parts greater than  $2s(\pi)$  do not occur. Define  $\operatorname{spt}_o(n) := \operatorname{spt}_o^+(n) - \operatorname{spt}_o^-(n)$ . Then  $\operatorname{spt}_o(2n) = \operatorname{spt}(n)$ .

With the above definitions, we can write the generating function. We have

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \operatorname{spt}_o(n)q^n = \sum_{n\geq 1} (q^n + 2q^{2n} + 3q^{3n} + \dots) \frac{(q^{2n+1}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{n+1})_\infty} (1 - q^{1+2+\dots+n-1}).$$

**2. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.** The proofs require the methods used in [B, G, P2] and a few more observations. A pair of sequences  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$  is known to be a *Bailey pair* with respect to *a* if

(2.1) 
$$\beta_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\alpha_r}{(aq;q)_{n+r}(q;q)_{n-r}}$$

The next result is Bailey's lemma [B].

BAILEY'S LEMMA. If  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$  form a Bailey pair with respect to a then

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\rho_1)_n (\rho_2)_n (aq/\rho_1\rho_2)^n \beta_n \\ = \frac{(aq/\rho_1)_\infty (aq/\rho_2)_\infty}{(aq)_\infty (aq/\rho_1\rho_2)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho_1)_n (\rho_2)_n (aq/\rho_1\rho_2)^n \alpha_n}{(aq/\rho_1)_n (aq/\rho_2)_n}.$$

The following are known Bailey pairs  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$  relative to a = 1:

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad \alpha_{2n+1} = 0,$$

(2.4) 
$$\alpha_{2n} = (-1)^n q^{n(3n-1)} (1+q^{2n}),$$

(2.5) 
$$\beta_n = \frac{1}{(q)_n (q; q^2)_n}$$

(see [S, C(1)]), and

(2.6) 
$$\alpha_{2n+1} = 0,$$

(2.7) 
$$\alpha_{2n} = (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)} (1+q^{2n}),$$

(2.8) 
$$\beta_n = \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(q)_n (q;q^2)_n}$$

(see [S, C(5)]). In both pairs  $\alpha_0 = 1$ . Differentiating Bailey's lemma (putting a = 1) with respect to both variables  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  and setting each variable equal to 1 each time gives us (see [P2])

(2.9) 
$$\sum_{n\geq 1} (q)_{n-1}^2 \beta_n q^n = \alpha_0 \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n} + \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\alpha_n q^n}{(1-q^n)^2}.$$

Identity (1.2) follows from inserting the Bailey pair (2.3)–(2.5) into (2.9) and then multiplying through by  $(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^{-1}$ . Identity (1.3) follows from inserting the Bailey pair (2.6)–(2.8) into (2.9) and then multiplying through by  $(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^{-1}$ . This proves Theorem 1.1.

To get Theorem 1.2, we subtract (1.3) from (1.2), and note that  $\operatorname{spt}(n) = \frac{1}{2}(M_2(n) - N_2(n))$ , after observing that (see [G])

$$2\sum_{n\geq 1} np(n)q^n = \sum_{n\geq 1} M_2(n)q^n = \frac{2}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n}.$$

The result follows from equating the coefficients of  $q^{2n}$ .

**3.** More notes and concluding remarks. Naturally, it is of interest to investigate equations (1.2) and (1.3) individually. As we noted previously, the left side of (1.2) generates  $\operatorname{spt}_{o}^{+}(n)$ , and the left side of (1.3) generates  $\operatorname{spt}_{o}^{-}(n)$ .

THEOREM 3.1. We have  $\operatorname{spt}_o^+(2n) \equiv \operatorname{spt}(n) \pmod{2}$ .

*Proof.* After noting that  $\sigma(2n) = 3\sigma(n) - 2\sigma(n/2), \sigma(2n) \equiv \sigma(n) \pmod{2}$ , and

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \operatorname{spt}_o^+(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{n\geq 1} \sigma(n)q^n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n\geq 1} N_2(n)q^{2n},$$

we can take coefficients of  $q^{2n}$  in (1.3) to get

$$\operatorname{spt}_{o}^{+}(2n) = \sum_{k} p(k)\sigma(2(n-k)) - \frac{1}{2}N_{2}(n).$$

Hence, combining these, we compute

$$\operatorname{spt}_o^+(2n) \equiv \sum_k p(k)\sigma(n-k) - \frac{1}{2}N_2(n) \pmod{2}$$
$$\equiv np(n) - \frac{1}{2}N_2(n) \pmod{2} \equiv \operatorname{spt}(n) \pmod{2}. \bullet$$

THEOREM 3.2. We have  $\operatorname{spt}_o^-(2n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

*Proof.* The computations are similar to Theorem 3.1. Using equation (1.3) we compute

$$\operatorname{spt}_{o}^{-}(2n) \equiv \sum_{k} p(k)\sigma(n-k) - \frac{1}{2}M_{2}(n) \pmod{2}$$
  
 $\equiv np(n) - \frac{1}{2}M_{2}(n) \pmod{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$ 

In the last line we have used  $2np(n) = M_2(n)$ .

For an example illustrating Theorem 3.1, consider partitions of 4: (4), (3, 1), (2, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1). In a partition where odd parts greater than twice the smallest do not occur, we omit (3, 1). Hence  $\operatorname{spt}_{o}^{+}(4) = 7$ , and  $\operatorname{spt}(2) = 3$  (counting smallest of (2) and (1, 1)). Hence 2 divides 7 - 3 = 4.

To illustrate Theorem 3.2, consider the partition pair  $\sigma = (\pi^*, \delta_{s(\pi^*)})$ of 6 where  $(3, 2) \in \pi^*$ ,  $(1) \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)}$ , and  $(3) \in \pi^*$ ,  $(2, 1) \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)}$ . Hence  $\operatorname{spt}_o^-(6)$  is equal to 2 plus the appearances of the smallest parts in  $\pi^*$ of those partition pairs  $\sigma = (\pi^*, \delta_{s(\pi^*)})$  which have the empty partition  $\emptyset \in \delta_i$ , that is,  $(3, 1, 1, 1) \in \pi^*$ ,  $\emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)}$ ;  $(4, 1, 1) \in \pi^*$ ,  $\emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)}$ ;  $(2, 1, 1, 1, 1) \in \pi^*$ ,  $\emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)}$ ;  $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \in \pi^*$ ,  $\emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)}$ ; and finally  $(3, 2, 1) \in \pi^*$ ,  $\emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)}$ . This gives us  $\operatorname{spt}_o^-(6) = 18 = 0 \pmod{2}$ .

Equating the coefficients of  $q^{2n+1}$  in Theorem 1.1 gives us a nice corollary.

THEOREM 3.3. We have  $\operatorname{spt}_{o}^{-}(2n+1) = \operatorname{spt}_{o}^{+}(2n+1)$ .

Let  $t_k(n)$  be the number of representations of n as a sum of k triangular numbers. We may use a classical result of Legendre that  $\sigma(2n+1) = t_4(n)$ to see that  $\operatorname{spt}_o^-(2n+1)$  (and therefore also  $\operatorname{spt}_o^+(2n+1)$ ) is generated by the product expansion

$$q\frac{(q^4;q^4)_{\infty}^3}{(q^2;q^4)_{\infty}^5}.$$

To see examples for Theorem 3.3, consider first n = 1. Then  $\operatorname{spt}_o^-(3) = \operatorname{spt}_o^+(3) = 5$ . This is because  $(2,1) \in \pi^*, \ \emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)}; \ (1,1,1) \in \pi^*, \ \emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)};$ and  $(2) \in \pi^*, \ (1) \in \delta_{s(\pi^*)}, \ \text{for } \operatorname{spt}_o^-(3)$ . The case of  $\operatorname{spt}_o^+(3)$  is clearer. Another example is  $\operatorname{spt}_{o}^{-}(5) = \operatorname{spt}_{o}^{+}(5) = 12$ . We only compute  $\operatorname{spt}_{o}^{-}(5)$ for the reader:  $(2,2) \in \pi^{*}$ ,  $(1) \in \delta_{s(\pi^{*})}$ ;  $(2,2,1) \in \pi^{*}$ ,  $\emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^{*})}$ ;  $(4,1) \in \pi^{*}$ ,  $\emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^{*})}$ ;  $(1,1,1,1,1) \in \pi^{*}$ ,  $\emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^{*})}$ ; and finally  $(2,1,1,1) \in \pi^{*}$ ,  $\emptyset \in \delta_{s(\pi^{*})}$ .

It is interesting to note that since  $\operatorname{spt}(n)$  is even for almost all natural n (see [FO]), the value  $\operatorname{spt}_o^+(2n)$  is even for almost all natural n in terms of arithmetic density.

We can also easily obtain congruences for  $\operatorname{spt}_o(n)$  using the Ramanujantype congruences in [A2]:

$$\operatorname{spt}_{o}(2(5n+4)) \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$
  
 $\operatorname{spt}_{o}(2(7n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{7},$   
 $\operatorname{spt}_{o}(2(13n+6)) \equiv 0 \pmod{13}.$ 

It is important to make the observation that the two Bailey pairs (2.3)-(2.5) and (2.6)-(2.8) are key in obtaining the "rank component" (1.2) and the "crank component" (1.3), respectively.

## References

- [A1] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1976.
- [A2] G. E. Andrews, The number of smallest parts in the partitions of n, J. Reine Angew. Math. 624 (2008), 133–142.
- [AG] G. E. Andrews and F. Garvan, Dyson's crank of a partition, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 18 (1988), 167–171.
- [B] W. N. Bailey, Identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 50 (1949), 1–10.
- [FO] A. Folsom and K. Ono, The spt-function of Andrews, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 105 (2008), 20152–20156.
- [G] F. Garvan, Higher order spt-functions, Adv. Math. 228 (2011), 241–265.
- [GR] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 35, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [P1] A. E. Patkowski, Divisors, partitions and some new q-series identities, Colloq. Math. 117 (2009), 289–294.
- [P2] A. E. Patkowski, A strange partition theorem related to the second Atkin-Garvan moment, preprint.
- [S] L. J. Slater, A new proof of Rogers' transformations of infinite series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 53 (1951), 460–475.

Alexander E. Patkowski 1390 Bumps River Rd. Centerville, MA 02632, U.S.A. E-mail: alexpatk@hotmail.com

> Received on 8.10.2013 and in revised form on 26.2.2015

(7606)