On a divisor problem related to the Epstein zeta-function, IV

by

GUANGSHI LÜ (Jinan), JIE WU (Jinan and Nancy) and WENGUANG ZHAI (Beijing)

1. Introduction. In this paper, we continue our study of divisor problems related to the Epstein zeta-function [12–14]. Let $\ell \geq 2$, $\mathbf{y} := (y_1, \ldots, y_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$ and $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$ be an integral matrix such that $a_{ii} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Then a positive definite quadratic form $Q(\mathbf{y})$ can be written as

$$Q(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{t}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1 \le i \le \ell} a_{ii}y_i^2 + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le \ell} a_{ij}y_iy_j,$$

where \mathbf{y}^t is the transpose of \mathbf{y} . The corresponding Epstein zeta-function is initially defined by the Dirichlet series

(1.1)
$$Z_Q(s) := \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{1}{Q(\mathbf{y})^s} = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{r(n, Q)}{n^s}$$

for $\Re e s > \ell/2$, where

$$r(n,Q) := |\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell} : Q(\mathbf{y}) = n\}|.$$

According to [21], $Z_Q(s)$ has an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} with only a simple pole at $s = \ell/2$, and satisfies a functional equation of Riemann type.

For each integer $k \ge 1$, we are interested in the mean value of the k-fold Dirichlet convolution of r(n, Q) defined by

(1.2)
$$r_k(n,Q) := \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_k = n} r(n_1,Q) \cdots r(n_k,Q).$$

The asymptotic behavior of the error term

(1.3)
$$\Delta_k^*(x,Q) := \sum_{n \le x} r_k(n,Q) - \operatorname{Res}_{s=\ell/2}(Z_Q(s)^k x^s s^{-1})$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11N37, 11N75, 11F30, 11F66.

Key words and phrases: Epstein zeta-function, divisor problem, modular form.

has received much attention [11, 3, 21]. In particular Sankaranarayanan [21] showed, by the complex integration method, that for $k \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 3$,

(1.4)
$$\Delta_k^*(x,Q) \ll x^{\ell/2 - 1/k + \varepsilon};$$

here and throughout this paper, ε denotes an arbitrarily small positive constant.

Recently, inspired by Iwaniec's book [8, Chapter 11], Lü [12] noted that (1.4) can be improved for quadratic forms of level one. These quadratic forms satisfy the following supplementary conditions:

 $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, **A** is equivalent to \mathbf{A}^{-1} , $|\mathbf{A}| = 1$.

For such forms, we have [8, (11.32)]

(1.5)
$$r(n,Q) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\ell/2}}{\zeta(\ell/2)\Gamma(\ell/2)} \sigma_{\ell/2-1}(n) + a_f(n,Q) \quad (n \ge 1),$$

where $\sigma_{\alpha}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{\alpha}$, $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function, $\Gamma(s)$ is the Gamma function and $a_f(n, Q)$ is the *n*th Fourier coefficient of a cusp form f(z, Q) of weight $\ell/2$ with respect to the full modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, satisfying Deligne's bound [4]

(1.6)
$$|a_f(n,Q)| \le n^{(\ell/2-1)/2} \sigma_0(n) \quad (n \ge 1).$$

Thus

(1.7)
$$Z_Q(s) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\ell/2}}{\zeta(\ell/2)\Gamma(\ell/2)}\zeta(s)\zeta(s-\ell/2+1) + L(s,f)$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\ell/2\}$, where L(s, f) is the Hecke *L*-function associated with f(z, Q). In view of basic properties of $\zeta(s)$ and L(s, f), it is not difficult to see that $\zeta(s - \ell/2 + 1)$ is more dominant and we may view $\Delta_k^*(Q; x)$ as the classical *k*-dimensional divisor problem associated to the Riemann zeta-function. With the help of these ideas, Lü, Wu & Zhai [13] obtained, via a simple convolution argument,

(1.8)
$$\Delta_k^*(x,Q) \ll x^{\ell/2-1+\theta_k+\varepsilon} \quad (x \ge 2)$$

for k = 2, 3 (¹), where θ_k is the exponent in the classical k-dimension divisor problem

(1.9)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \tau_k(n) = \operatorname{Res}_{s=1}(\zeta(s)^k x^s s^{-1}) + O(x^{\theta_k + \varepsilon}) \quad (x \ge 2).$$

Moreover, an Ω -result for k = 2, 3 and a mean value theorem for $\Delta_2^*(x, Q)$ have been established in [13] and [14], respectively.

In this paper we shall refine Sankaranarayanan's result (1.4) for general positive definite quadratic forms Q. In this case, it is known that [8, Theorem 11.2]

^{(&}lt;sup>1</sup>) When $k \ge 4$, a similar result has been proved by Lü [12] using complex integration.

A divisor problem

(1.10)
$$r(n,Q) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\ell/2}}{\Gamma(\ell/2)\sqrt{|\mathbf{A}|}} n^{\ell/2-1} \sigma(n,Q) + O(n^{\ell/4-\delta_{\ell}+\varepsilon})$$

for $\ell \ge 4$, where with $e(t) := e^{2\pi i t}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$,

$$S(Q) := \sum_{\substack{0 \le y_1, \dots, y_\ell \le q-1}} e(Q(\mathbf{y})),$$
$$\sigma(n, Q) := \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^\ell} \sum_{h=1}^q S\left(\frac{hQ}{q}\right) e\left(-\frac{hn}{q}\right),$$
$$\delta_\ell := \begin{cases} 1/4 & \text{if } \ell \text{ is odd,} \\ 1/2 & \text{if } \ell \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Here and below, the symbol \sum^* means $\sum_{(h,q)=1}$. We propose two methods to bound $\Delta_k^*(x,Q)$: the complex integration method and the convolution method. The former allows us to establish nontrivial estimates for $\Delta_k^*(x,Q)$ for all $k \ge 1$ and $\ell \ge 4$. But the convolution argument is more powerful for k = 1, 2, 3 when $\ell \ge 6$.

Let

(1.11)
$$L_Q(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma(n,Q)}{n^s} \quad (\Re e \, s > 1)$$

In view of the bound (cf. [8, Lemma 10.5])

(1.12)
$$S(hQ/q) \ll q^{\ell/2} \quad ((h,q)=1),$$

the Dirichlet series $L_Q(s)$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re e s > 1$ provided $\ell \geq 5$. In Section 2 we shall prove that $L_Q(s)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on the half-plane $\Re e s > 0$, which has a simple pole at s = 1 with residue 1 (see Lemma 2.1 below), and establish some individual and average subconvexity bounds for $L_Q(s)$ similar to $\zeta(s)$ (see Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3). With the help of these new tools, the standard complex integration method allows us to deduce the following result, which improves Sankaranarayanan's (1.4) when $k \geq 3$.

THEOREM 1. Let
$$\ell \ge 4$$
 and $k \ge 1$. We have
(1.13) $\Delta_k^*(x, Q) \ll x^{\ell/2 - 1 + \vartheta_{k,\ell} + \varepsilon}$ $(x \ge 2),$

$$\vartheta_{k,\ell} = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq 4 \text{ and } \ell \geq 4, \\ k/(k+4) & \text{if } 5 \leq k \leq 12 \text{ and } \ell = 4 \text{ or } \ell \geq 6, \\ (13k-4)/(13k+44) & \text{if } 5 \leq k \leq 12 \text{ and } \ell = 5, \\ (k-3)/k & \text{if } 13 \leq k \leq 49 \text{ and } \ell = 4 \text{ or } \ell \geq 6, \\ (4k-11)/(4k+1) & \text{if } 13 \leq k \leq 49 \text{ and } \ell = 5, \\ 1-(2738k^2)^{-1/3} & \text{if } k \geq 50 \text{ and } \ell \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

G. S. Lü et al.

The convolution argument of [13] can also be generalized to estimate $\Delta_k^*(x, Q)$. Though (1.10) is more complicated than (1.5), we can use it to establish a connection between $\Delta_k^*(x, Q)$ and the divisor problem with congruence conditions. We will discuss this in Section 4. For $\mathbf{q} := (q_1, \ldots, q_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and $\mathbf{r} := (r_1, \ldots, r_r) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ such that $r_i \leq q_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$, define

$$\tau_k(n;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}) := \sum_{\substack{n_1 \cdots n_k = n \\ n_i \equiv r_i \pmod{q_i} \, (1 \le i \le k)}} 1, \quad D_k(x;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}) := \sum_{n \le x} \tau_k(n;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}).$$

The divisor problem with congruence conditions aims to bound the error term

(1.14)
$$\Delta_k(x;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}) := D_k(x;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}) - \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \left(\zeta(s,r_1/q_1) \cdots \zeta(s,r_k/q_k) x^s s^{-1} \right)$$

where $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ is the Hurwitz zeta-function defined by

(1.15)
$$\zeta(s,\alpha) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+\alpha)^s} \quad (0 < \alpha \le 1, \sigma > 1).$$

With the help of a convolution argument, we can prove the following result, which offers better exponents than (1.4) for k = 1, 2, 3 when $\ell \ge 6$.

THEOREM 2. Let $\ell \geq 6$ and k = 1, 2, 3. Assume that there is some $\vartheta_k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\Delta_k(x; \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) \ll_{k,\ell,\varepsilon} (x/(q_1 \cdots q_k))^{\vartheta_k + \varepsilon}$$

uniformly for $1 \le r_i \le q_i \ (1 \le i \le k)$ and $q_1 \cdots q_k \le x$. Then

$$\Delta_k^*(x,Q) \ll_{k,\ell,\varepsilon} x^{\ell/2-1+\vartheta_k+\varepsilon}.$$

In particular we can take

(1.16)
$$\vartheta_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 131/416 & \text{if } k = 2, \\ 43/96 & \text{if } k = 3. \end{cases}$$

Another interesting problem related to r(n, Q) is to evaluate its kth power sum. In this direction, Landau [11] first showed that

(1.17)
$$\sum_{n \le x} r(n, Q) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\ell/2}}{\Gamma(\ell/2 + 1)\sqrt{|\det Q|}} x^{\ell/2} + O(x^{\ell/2 - \ell/(\ell+1)}).$$

For k = 2, Müller [16] proved that

(1.18)
$$\sum_{n \le x} r(n,Q)^2 = \begin{cases} A_Q x \log x + B_Q x + O(x^{3/5} \log x) & \text{if } \ell = 2, \\ C_Q x^{\ell-1} + O(x^{\ell-1-2(\ell-1)/(4\ell-3)}) & \text{if } \ell \ge 3, \end{cases}$$

where A_Q, B_Q and C_Q are some constants depending on Q. In this paper we study a more general correlated sum of r(n, Q), which contains the kth power sum as a special case. THEOREM 3. Let $\ell \geq 5$, $k \geq 1$ and a_1, \ldots, a_k be fixed nonnegative integers. Then

$$\sum_{n \le x} \prod_{1 \le i \le k} r(n+a_i, Q) = C_Q(a_1, \dots, a_k) x^{(\ell/2-1)k+1} + O_{a_1, \dots, a_k} (x^{(\ell/2-1)k+\eta_\ell(\varepsilon)}),$$

where $C_Q(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ is a constant depending on Q and (a_1, \ldots, a_k) , and

$$\eta_{\ell}(\varepsilon) := \begin{cases} 1/2 + \varepsilon & \text{if } \ell = 5, \\ \varepsilon & \text{if } \ell = 6, 7, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell \ge 8. \end{cases}$$

Obviously the two particular cases of Theorem 3:

"
$$k = 1, a_1 = 0$$
" and " $k = 2, a_1 = a_2 = 0$ "

improve (1.17) for $\ell \geq 6$ and (1.18) for $\ell \geq 5$, respectively. It is worth indicating that our method is different from Müller's [16] and simpler.

As an application of Theorem 3, we give the following asymptotic formula for the correlated sum involving the divisor sum function $\sigma_{\ell/2-1}(n)$.

COROLLARY 1.1. Let $8 \mid \ell, k \geq 2$ and a_1, \ldots, a_k be fixed nonnegative integers. Then

$$\sum_{n \le x} \prod_{1 \le i \le k} \sigma_{\ell/2 - 1}(n + a_i) = D_{\ell}(a_1, \dots, a_k) x^{(\ell/2 - 1)k + 1} + O_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(x^{(\ell/2 - 1)k}),$$

where $D_{\ell}(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ is a constant depending on ℓ and a_1, \ldots, a_k .

2. Study of $L_Q(s)$. This section is devoted to $L_Q(s)$, which is important in the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 2.1. If $\ell \geq 5$, then $L_Q(s)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on the half-plane $\Re e s > 0$, which has a simple pole at s = 1 with residue 1.

Proof. By using the definition of $\sigma(n, Q)$, a simple calculation shows that

(2.1)
$$L_Q(s) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{\ell}} \sum_{h=1}^{q^*} S(hQ/q) F(s, -h/q)$$
$$= \zeta(s) + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{\ell}} \sum_{h=1}^{q^*} S(hQ/q) F(s, -h/q)$$

for $\Re e s > 1$, where F(s, a) is the periodic zeta-function defined by

$$F(s,a) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{e}(an)}{n^s} \quad (\Re s > 1).$$

In view of well-known proprieties of $\zeta(s)$, it suffices to prove that the last double series in (2.1) can be continued analytically to the half-plane $\Re e s > 0$.

Introducing the notation

(2.2)
$$M(u, \alpha) := \sum_{n \le u} e(n\alpha) \ll \min\{u, \|\alpha\|^{-1}\},$$

where $\|\alpha\| := \min_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} |\alpha - t|$, a simple integration by parts allows us to write, for $\Re e s > 1$, $q \ge 2$, and (h, q) = 1, that

$$F(s,h/q) = \sum_{n \le |t|+1} \frac{e(hn/q)}{n^s} - \frac{M(|t|+1,h/q)}{(|t|+1)^s} + s \int_{|t|+1}^{\infty} \frac{M(u,h/q)}{u^{s+1}} \, du.$$

This formula and (2.2) give an analytic continuation of F(s, h/q) to the region $\Re e s > 0$, and the estimate

$$F(s,h/q) \ll \frac{|t|+1}{\|h/q\|}$$

holds uniformly for $\Re e s > 0$. From this and (1.12), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{\ell}} \sum_{h=1}^{q^*} |S(hQ/q)F(s, -h/q)| \ll \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{|t|+1}{q^{\ell/2}} \sum_{h=1}^{q/2} \frac{q}{h} \\ \ll (|t|+1) \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log q}{q^{\ell/2-1}}, \end{split}$$

which converges absolutely for $\Re e s > 0$ since $\ell \ge 5$.

The next two lemmas give individual and average subconvexity bounds for $L_Q(s)$.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $\ell \geq 5$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

(2.3)
$$L_Q(\sigma + it) \ll \min\{|t|^{(1-\sigma)/3+\varepsilon}, |t|^{18.4974(1-\sigma)^{3/2}} (\log |t|)^{2/3}\}$$

uniformly for $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $|t| \geq 2$.

Proof. According to [20, p. 127], we have, for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

(2.4)
$$F(s,\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{(2\pi)^{1-s}} \{ e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(1-s)} \zeta^*(1-s,\alpha) + e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(1-s)} \alpha^{-(1-s)} + e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}(1-s)} \zeta^*(1-s,1-\alpha) + e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(1-s)} (1-\alpha)^{-(1-s)} \},$$

where $\zeta^*(s,\alpha) := \zeta(s,\alpha) - \alpha^{-s}$ and $\zeta(s,\alpha)$ is the Hurwitz zeta-function defined by (1.15). By combining (2.4) with Stirling's formula, we have, for s = 1/2 + it and (h, q) = 1 with $q \ge 2$,

(2.5)
$$F(s,h/q) \ll \zeta^*(1/2 - \mathrm{i}t,h/q) + \zeta^*(1/2 - \mathrm{i}t,1-h/q) + q^{1/2}h^{-1/2} + q^{1/2}(q-h)^{-1/2}.$$

A divisor problem

Similar to the Riemann zeta-function, it is known that [2, Theorem]

(2.6)
$$\zeta^*(s,\alpha) \ll (|t|+1)^{(1-\sigma)/3+\varepsilon}$$

and

(2.7)
$$\zeta^*(s,\alpha) \ll |t|^{18.4974(1-\sigma)^{3/2}} (\log|t|)^{2/3}$$

uniformly for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $|t| \geq 10$ (see e.g. [22] and [10], respectively). Now the required estimate (2.3) follows from (2.1) and (2.4)–(2.7), by noticing that

(2.8)
$$\sum_{q\geq 2} \frac{1}{q^{\ell}} \sum_{h=1}^{q^{*}} |S(hQ/q)| (q^{1/2}h^{-1/2} + q^{1/2}(q-h)^{-1/2}) \ll \sum_{q\geq 2} \frac{1}{q^{\ell/2-1}} \ll 1,$$

since $\ell \geq 5$.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $\ell \geq 5$ and $k \geq 1$ be fixed integers. Then

(2.9)
$$\int_{1}^{T} |L_Q(1/2 + it)|^k dt \ll T^{1+\beta_{k,\ell}+\varepsilon},$$

where

$$\beta_{k,\ell} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \le k \le 4 \text{ and } \ell \ge 5, \\ 13(k-4)/96 & \text{if } 5 \le k \le 12 \text{ and } \ell = 5, \\ (k-4)/8 & \text{if } 5 \le k \le 12 \text{ and } \ell \ge 6, \\ k/6 - 11/12 & \text{if } k > 12 \text{ and } \ell = 5, \\ k/6 - 1 & \text{if } k > 12 \text{ and } \ell \ge 6. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Write s = 1/2 + it. It suffices to prove that

(2.10)
$$\int_{1}^{T} |L_Q(s)|^4 dt \ll T^{1+\varepsilon},$$

(2.11)
$$\int_{1}^{T} |L_Q(s)|^{12} dt \ll T^{2+\max\{(16-3\ell)/12,0\}+\varepsilon}.$$

Our key tools are the fourth mean value of Hurwitz' zeta-function [1, Theorem 4]

(2.12)
$$\int_{1}^{T} |\zeta^*(s,\alpha)|^4 dt \ll T(\log T)^{10},$$

which holds uniformly for $0<\alpha\leq 1,\,T\geq 2$, and the twelfth power moment

of the Dirichlet L-function (see [15])

(2.13)
$$\sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} \int_{1}^{T} |L(s,\chi)|^{12} dt \ll q^3 T^{2+\varepsilon},$$

which holds uniformly for $q \ge 1$, $T \ge 2$.

From (2.1), (2.5) and (2.8), we deduce that

(2.14)
$$|L_Q(s)| \ll |\zeta(s)| + \sum_{q \ge 2} \frac{1}{q^{\ell/2}} \sum_{h \le q/2} |\zeta^*(1/2 - it, h/q)| + 1.$$

So by Hölder's inequality we have

(2.15)
$$|L_Q(s)|^4 \ll \left(\sum_{q\geq 2} \sum_{h\leq q/2} \frac{1}{q^{5/2}}\right)^3 \sum_{q\geq 2} \sum_{h\leq q/2} \frac{|\zeta^*(1/2 - \mathrm{i}t, h/q)|^4}{q^{(4\ell-15)/2}} + |\zeta(s)|^4 + 1,$$

which combined with (2.12) leads to (2.10) since $\ell \geq 5$.

In order to prove (2.11), we write, by the orthogonality of Dirichlet characters,

$$F(s,h/q) = \sum_{a=1}^{q} e(ah/q) \sum_{n \equiv a \pmod{q}} \frac{1}{n^s} = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} G(h,\overline{\chi}) L(s,\chi),$$

where $\varphi(q)$ is the Euler function and $G(h, \chi)$ is the Gauss sum defined by

$$G(h,\chi) := \sum_{a=1}^{q} \chi(a) e(ah/q).$$

By the well-known bound $|G(h,\chi)| \le q^{1/2}$ ((h,q)=1), it follows that

(2.16)
$$F(s,h/q) \ll \frac{q^{1/2}}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} |L(s,\chi)|.$$

Let $\eta > 0$ be a parameter to be chosen later. We split the sum over q in (2.1) into two parts according to $q \leq T^{\eta}$ or $q > T^{\eta}$. Using (2.16) for $q \leq T^{\eta}$ and (2.5), (2.8) for $q > T^{\eta}$, we deduce that

(2.17)
$$|L_Q(s)| \ll L_{Q,1}(s) + L_{Q,2}(s) + 1$$

where

$$L_{Q,1}(s) := \sum_{q \le T^{\eta}} \frac{1}{q^{(\ell-1)/2}} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} |L(s,\chi)|,$$
$$L_{Q,2}(s) := \sum_{q > T^{\eta}} \frac{1}{q^{\ell/2}} \sum_{h \le q/2} |\zeta^*(1/2 - \mathrm{i}t, h/q)|.$$

314

By Hölder's inequality again we have

$$|L_{Q,1}(s)|^{12} \ll \left(\sum_{q \le T^{\eta}} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} \frac{1}{q^2}\right)^{11} \sum_{q \le T^{\eta}} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} \frac{|L(s,\chi)|^{12}}{q^{6\ell-28}} \\ \ll (\log T)^{11} \sum_{q \le T^{\eta}} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} \frac{|L(s,\chi)|^{12}}{q^{6\ell-28}},$$

which combined with (2.13) gives

(2.18)
$$\int_{1}^{T} |L_{Q,1}(s)|^{12} dt \ll T^{2+\varepsilon} \sum_{q \le T^{\eta}} q^{-6\ell+31} \ll T^{2+\max\{\eta(32-6\ell),0\}+\varepsilon}.$$

The bound (2.6) implies trivially that

$$\sum_{q>T^{\eta}} \frac{1}{q^{\ell/2}} \sum_{h \le q/2} |\zeta^*(1/2 - \mathrm{i}t, h/q)| \ll T^{1/6 + \varepsilon} \sum_{q>T^{\eta}} \frac{1}{q^{\ell/2 - 1}} \ll T^{1/6 - \eta(\ell/2 - 2) + \varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, similarly to (2.15), we have

$$\left(\sum_{q>T^{\eta}} \frac{1}{q^{\ell/2}} \sum_{h \le q/2} |\zeta^*(1/2 - \mathrm{i}t, h/q)|\right)^4 \ll T^{-3\eta/2} \sum_{q>T^{\eta}} \sum_{h \le q/2} \frac{|\zeta^*(1/2 - \mathrm{i}t, h/q)|^4}{q^{(4\ell - 15)/2}}.$$

Combining these with (2.12) yields

T

(2.19)
$$\int_{1}^{1} |L_{Q,2}(s)|^{12} dt \ll T^{8\{1/6-\eta(\ell/2-2)\}-3\eta/2-\eta(4\ell-19)/2+1+\varepsilon} \ll T^{7/3-(6\ell-24)\eta+\varepsilon}.$$

Now (2.11) follows from (2.18) and (2.19) with the choice of $\eta = \frac{1}{24}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

3.1. The case $\ell \geq 5$ and $1 \leq k \leq 49$. By [21, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2], it follows that

(3.1)
$$\sum_{n \le x} r_k(n, Q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\ell/2+\varepsilon - iT}^{\ell/2+\varepsilon + iT} Z_Q(s)^k \frac{x^s}{s} \, ds + O\left(\frac{x^{\ell/2+\varepsilon}}{T} + x^{\varepsilon}\right).$$

In view of (1.10) and Lemma 2.1, we have

(3.2)
$$Z_Q(s) \ll |L_Q(s - \ell/2 + 1)| + 1$$

uniformly for $\Re e s \ge (\ell + 3)/4 + \varepsilon$ and $t \ne 0$. By noticing that $(\ell + 3)/4 \le (\ell - 1)/2$ (since $\ell \ge 5$), we can move the integration in (3.1) to the parallel

segment with $\Re e s = (\ell - 1)/2 + \varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.1 and the residue theorem,

(3.3)
$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\ell/2+\varepsilon - iT}^{\ell/2+\varepsilon + iT} Z_Q(s)^k \frac{x^s}{s} \, ds = \operatorname{Res}_{s=\ell/2} (Z_Q(s)^k x^s s^{-1}) - \int_{\mathscr{L}} Z_Q(s)^k \frac{x^s}{s} \, ds,$$

where \mathscr{L} is the contour joining $\ell/2 + iT$, $(\ell-1)/2 + \varepsilon + iT$, $(\ell-1)/2 + \varepsilon - iT$, $\ell/2 - iT$ with straight line segments. With the help of (3.2) and Lemmas 2.2–2.3, the contribution of the horizontal segments to the last integral of (3.3) is

$$(3.4) \qquad \ll x^{\ell/2+\varepsilon}T^{-1}$$

provided $T \leq x^{3/k} \ (2 \leq k \leq 49),$ and the contribution of the vertical segment is

(3.5)
$$\ll x^{(\ell-1)/2+\varepsilon} \int_{1}^{T} \frac{|L_Q(1/2+\varepsilon+\mathrm{i}t)|^k}{t} dt \ll x^{(\ell-1)/2+\varepsilon} T^{\beta_{k,\ell}+\varepsilon}.$$

Combining (3.3)–(3.5) with (3.1) and taking $T = x^{1/(2+2\beta_{k,\ell})}$, we obtain the required estimate for $\ell \geq 5$ and $k \leq 49$.

3.2. The case $\ell \geq 5$ and $k \geq 50$. In this case we apply Lemma 2.2. After applying Perron's formula, we move the integration to the parallel segment with $\Re e s = \sigma_0 = \ell/2 - 2Ak^{-2/3}$ and choose $T = x^{Ak^{-2/3}}$, where A > 0 is an absolute constant which will be determined later. By applying (3.2) and Lemma 2.2, the contribution of the vertical segment is

$$\ll x^{\ell/2 - 2Ak^{-2/3}} T^{18.5k\{\ell/2 - (\sigma_0 - \ell/2 + 1)\}^{3/2}} (\log x)^{2k/3 + 1}$$

= $x^{\ell/2 - (2A - 18.5 \times \sqrt{8}A^{5/2})k^{-2/3}} (\log x)^{2k/3 + 1}$,

and the contribution of the horizontal segments is

$$\ll x^{\ell/2+\varepsilon}T^{-1}(\log x)^{2k/3} + \max_{\sigma_0 \le \sigma \le \ell/2} x^{\sigma}T^{18.5k\{1-(\sigma-\ell/2+1)\}^{3/2}-1}(\log x)^{2k/3}$$
$$\ll (x^{\ell/2-(A-\varepsilon)k^{-2/3}} + x^{\ell/2-(2A-37\sqrt{2}A^{5/2})k^{-2/3}})(\log x)^{2k/3+1}.$$

Now we choose A to satisfy $A = 2A - 37\sqrt{2} A^{5/2}$, which gives $A = 2738^{-1/3}$. Therefore for $k \ge 50$ we have

$$\Delta_k^*(x,Q) \ll x^{\ell/2 - (2738k^2)^{-1/3}} (\log x)^{2k/3 + 1}$$

3.3. The case $\ell = 4$. It is known that in this case

$$\theta(z,Q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r(n,Q) \mathbf{e}(nz)$$

is a modular form of weight 2 and level N (N is an integer such that $N\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ is also an integral matrix; see [8, Theorem 10.9]). Then by the standard

theory of modular forms, $Z_Q(s)$ can be written as

$$Z_Q(s) = L_Q(s) + L(s, f),$$

where $L_Q(s)$ is a linear combination of series of the form

$$(t_1t_2)^{-s}L(s,\chi_1)L(s-\ell/2+1,\chi_2),$$

and L(s, f) is the Hecke *L*-function associated with a cusp form of weight 2 and level *N*. Here t_1 , t_2 are positive divisors of *N*, and χ_1 , χ_2 are Dirichlet characters modulo N/t_1 , N/t_2 respectively.

According to (1.6) with $\ell = 4$, we learn that $|L(s, f)| \ll_{\varepsilon} 1$ for $\Re e s \ge 3/2 + \varepsilon$. When $\ell = 4$, we also have $\ell/2 - 1/2 = 3/2$. Therefore similar to (3.2), we have

$$|Z_Q(s)| \ll |L_Q(s)| + 1$$

for $\Re e s \geq 3/2 + \varepsilon$. On recalling the classical results (²)

(3.6) $L(1/2 + it, \chi) \ll (|t| + 1)^{1/6 + \varepsilon},$

(3.7)
$$L(1/2 + it, \chi) \ll (|t| + 1)^{18.4974(1-\sigma)^{3/2}} (\log |t|)^{2/3},$$

(3.8)
$$\int_{1}^{1} |L(1/2 + \mathrm{i}t, \chi)|^4 \, dt \ll T^{1+\varepsilon}$$

(3.9)
$$\int_{1}^{T} |L(1/2 + \mathrm{i}t, \chi)|^{12} dt \ll T^{2+\varepsilon},$$

it is easy to see that the estimates in Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 also hold when $\ell = 4$. Thus we can follow the arguments of Section 3.1 to show that (1.13) is also true for $\ell = 4$. We omit the details.

4. The divisor problem with congruence conditions. The divisor problem with congruence conditions (1.14) was first studied by Nowak [18, 19] and Müller & Nowak [17]. They established very interesting Ω -type results for $\Delta_k(x; \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})$. As they indicated ([18, p. 456; p. 110], [17, Remarks]), it is straightforward to obtain the same *O*-results as in the classical divisor problem, since the theory of $\zeta(s)$ developed in the textbooks [22, 7] may be readily generalized to *L*-series. Here we state this *O*-result as a lemma, since it is important in the proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 4.1. Suppose k = 1, 2, 3. Then

$$D_k(x; \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{x}{q_1 \cdots q_k} \mathcal{P}_{k-1}\left(\log \frac{x}{q_1 \cdots q_k}\right) + O_{k,\varepsilon}\left(\left(\frac{x}{q_1 \cdots q_k}\right)^{\vartheta_k + \varepsilon}\right)$$

 $[\]binom{2}{(3.6)}$ is a special case of [5, Corollary 1]; (3.7) can be deduced easily from (2.7); (3.9) is a consequence of (2.13).

uniformly for $x \ge 3$, $1 \le r_i \le q_i$ $(1 \le i \le k)$ and $q_1 \cdots q_k \le x$, where $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree k-1 and ϑ_k is given by (1.16). Furthermore,

(4.1)
$$\max |\text{coefficients of } \mathcal{P}_{k-1}| \ll \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \le k} \frac{q_{i_1} \cdots q_{i_{k-1}}}{r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_{k-1}}}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$D_k(x; \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le n_1 \cdots n_k \le x \\ n_i \equiv r_i \; (\text{mod } q_i) \; (1 \le i \le k)}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{m_1 \ge 0, \dots, m_k \ge 0 \\ (m_1 + r_1/q_1) \cdots (m_k + r_k/q_k) \le x/(q_1 \cdots q_k)}} 1.$$

Thus the case of k = 1 is trivial. When k = 2, we can deduce from the above formula, by the well-known hyperbolic approach, that

$$D_2(x;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}) = (x/q_1q_2)\mathcal{P}_1(\log(x/(q_1q_2))) + \Delta_2(x;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}),$$

where $\psi(t) := \{t\} - 1/2$ ($\{t\}$ is the fractional part of t) and

$$\Delta_2(x; \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) = -\sum_{1 \le i \le 2} \sum_{m_i \le \sqrt{x/(q_1 q_2)} - r_i/q_i} \psi\left(\frac{x/(q_1 q_2)}{m_i + r_i/q_i}\right) + O(1).$$

Using Huxley's new result on exponential sums [6] we get

$$\Delta_2(x;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}) \ll (x/(q_1q_2))^{131/416+\varepsilon}$$

For k = 3, we could also follow Kolesnik's argument [9] to show $\vartheta_3 = 43/96$.

Next we prove (4.1). When s is near to 1, it is well known that (we suppose $0 < \lambda \leq 1$)

$$\zeta(s,\lambda) = \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\lambda) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \gamma_n(\lambda)(s-1)^n$$

where $\gamma_n(\lambda)$ is the *n*th Stieltjes constant. By the Cauchy formula, it is not difficult to see that $\gamma_n(\lambda) \ll_n 1$ uniformly for $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. On the other hand, since s = 0 is a pole of order 1 of $\Gamma(s)$, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\lambda) \ll \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Finally we note that the polynomial \mathcal{P}_{k-1} is determined by

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=1}(\zeta(s,\lambda_1)\cdots\zeta(s,\lambda_k)x^ss^{-1}) = \frac{x}{q_1\cdots q_k}\mathcal{P}_{k-1}\left(\log\frac{x}{q_1\cdots q_k}\right).$$

From all the above information, we can easily deduce (4.1).

5. Proof of Theorem 2. In this section for any function g(n) we define

$$g_j(n) := \sum_{n=n_1\cdots n_j} g(n_1)\cdots g(n_j),$$

which is similar to (1.2). Let

$$A := (2\pi)^{\ell/2} / (\Gamma(\ell/2)\sqrt{|\mathbf{A}|}), \quad \tilde{r}(n,Q) := A^{-1}n^{1-\ell/2}r(n,Q).$$

Since $r_k(n,Q) = A^k \tilde{r}_k(n,Q) n^{\ell/2-1}$, it is sufficient to prove that

(5.1)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \tilde{r}_k(n, Q) = x \tilde{P}_{k-1}(\log x) + O_{k,\varepsilon}(x^{\vartheta_k + \varepsilon})$$

where $\tilde{P}_{k-1}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree k-1 and ϑ_k is defined by (1.16). We first establish the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.1. Suppose $\ell \geq 6$ and k = 1, 2, 3. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

(5.2)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \sigma_k(n, Q) = x P_{k-1}^* (\log x) + O_{k,\varepsilon}(x^{\vartheta_k + \varepsilon}),$$

where $P_{k-1}^{*}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree k-1 and ϑ_k is defined by (1.16). Proof. Write

$$\sigma(n,Q) = \tilde{\sigma}(n,Q) + \hat{\sigma}(n,Q),$$

with

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\sigma}(n,Q) &:= \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q^{\ell}} \sum_{h=1}^{q^*} S\left(\frac{hQ}{q}\right) e\left(-\frac{hn}{q}\right), \\ \hat{\sigma}(n,Q) &:= \sum_{q > x} \frac{1}{q^{\ell}} \sum_{h=1}^{q^*} S\left(\frac{hQ}{q}\right) e\left(-\frac{hn}{q}\right). \end{split}$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{\sigma}(n,Q) \ll 1$ and $\hat{\sigma}(n,Q) \ll x^{-1}$ (since $\ell \geq 6$). From these facts, we can deduce that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_j(n,Q) \ll \tau_j(n), \quad \hat{\sigma}_j(n,Q) \ll x^{-j}\tau_j(n)$$

and

(5.3)
$$\sigma_k(n,Q) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sum_{dm=n} \tilde{\sigma}_{k-j}(d,Q) \hat{\sigma}_j(m,Q)$$
$$= \tilde{\sigma}_k(n,Q) + O(x^{-1}\tau_{k-1}(n)).$$

Thus in order to prove (5.2), it is sufficient to show that

(5.4)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \tilde{\sigma}_k(n, Q) = x P_{k-1}^* (\log x) + O(x^{\vartheta_k + \varepsilon}).$$

By using Lemma 4.1, it follows that

(5.5)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \tilde{\sigma}_k(n, Q) = \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{q_i \le x} \frac{1}{q_i^\ell} \sum_{h_i=1}^{q_i} S\left(\frac{h_i Q}{q_i}\right) \sum_{r_i=1}^{q_i} e\left(-\frac{h_i r_i}{q_i}\right) D_k(x; \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})$$
$$= x S_1(x) + S_2(x) + S_3(x),$$

,

where

$$S_{1}(x) := \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{q_{i} \leq x \\ q_{1} \cdots q_{k} \leq x}} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{\ell+1}} \sum_{\substack{h_{i}=1}^{q_{i}}}^{q_{i}} S\left(\frac{h_{i}Q}{q_{i}}\right) \sum_{r_{i}=1}^{q_{i}} e\left(-\frac{h_{i}r_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \mathcal{P}_{j-1}\left(\log\frac{x}{q_{1} \cdots q_{k}}\right),$$

$$S_{2}(x) := \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ q_{1} \leq x}}^{k} \sum_{\substack{q_{i} \leq x \\ h_{i}=1}}^{q_{i}} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{\ell}} \sum_{\substack{h_{i}=1}}^{q_{i}} S\left(\frac{h_{i}Q}{q_{i}}\right) \sum_{r_{i}=1}^{q_{i}} e\left(-\frac{h_{i}r_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) D_{k}(x;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}),$$

$$S_{3}(x) := \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{q_{i} \leq x} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{\ell}} \sum_{\substack{h_{i}=1}}^{q_{i}} S\left(\frac{h_{i}Q}{q_{i}}\right) \sum_{r_{i}=1}^{q_{i}} e\left(-\frac{h_{i}r_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \Delta_{k}(x;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}).$$

It is easy to estimate

(5.6)
$$S_3(x) \ll x^{\vartheta_k + \varepsilon} \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{q_i \le x} \frac{1}{q_i^{\ell/2 - 2 + \vartheta_k + \varepsilon}} \ll x^{\vartheta_k + \varepsilon} \quad (\text{since } \ell \ge 6).$$

When $q_1 \cdots q_k > x$, we use the trivial bound

$$D_k(x;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r}) \ll \frac{x}{r_1 \cdots r_k} + 1$$

to write

(5.7)
$$S_{2}(x) \ll \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{q_{i} \leq x \\ q_{1} \cdots q_{k} > x}} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{\ell/2}} \sum_{h_{i}=1}^{q_{i}} \sum_{r_{i}=1}^{q_{i}} \left(\frac{x}{r_{1} \cdots r_{k}} + 1\right)$$
$$\ll x \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ q_{1} \cdots q_{k} > x}}^{k} \sum_{\substack{q_{i} \leq x \\ q_{i}^{\ell/2-1}}} \frac{\log q_{i}}{q_{i}^{\ell/2-1}} + \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ q_{1} \leq x \\ q_{1} \cdots q_{k} > x}}^{k} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{\ell/2-2}}$$
$$\ll x \sum_{n > x} \frac{\tau_{k}(n)(\log n)^{k}}{n^{\ell/2-1}} + \sum_{n > x} \frac{\tau_{k}(n)}{n^{\ell/2-2}}$$
$$\ll x^{\varepsilon} \quad (\text{since } \ell \geq 6).$$

Obviously we can write

(5.8)
$$S_1(x) = x P_{k-1}^* (\log x) + O(R(x))$$

where

$$R(x) := \prod_{\substack{i=1 \ q_i \ge 1 \ q_i \ge x \ q_1 \cdots q_k > x}}^k \sum_{\substack{q_1 \cdots q_k > x \ q_1 \cdots q_k > x}} \frac{1}{q_i^{\ell/2 - 1}} \bigg| \mathcal{P}_{k-1}\bigg(\log \frac{x}{q_1 \cdots q_k}\bigg) \bigg|.$$

By virtue of (4.1), we deduce that

(5.9)
$$R(x) \ll \prod_{\substack{i=1 \ q_i \ge 1 \ q_i \ge 1}}^{k} \frac{1}{q_i^{\ell/2}} \sum_{r_i=1}^{q_i} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \le k} \frac{q_{i_1} \cdots q_{i_{k-1}}}{r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_{k-1}}} \log^{k-1}(q_1 \cdots q_k)$$
$$\ll \prod_{\substack{i=1 \ q_i \le x \ q_i}}^{k} \sum_{\substack{q_i < 2 \ q_i}} \frac{1}{q_i^{\ell/2-1}} \log^{2j-2}(q_1 \cdots q_k) \ll \sum_{n > x} \frac{\tau_k(n)(\log n)^{2k-2}}{n^{\ell/2-1}}$$
$$\ll x^{-\ell/2+2+\varepsilon}.$$

Inserting (5.6)-(5.9) into (5.5), we obtain (5.4).

Now we are ready to prove (5.1). By (1.10), we have

$$\tilde{r}(n,Q) = \sigma(n,Q) + \beta(n)$$
 with $\beta(n) = O(n^{-1}).$

Similar to (5.3), we have

$$\tilde{r}_k(n,Q) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sum_{dm=n} \sigma_j(d,Q) \beta_{k-j}(m), \quad \beta_j(n) \ll \tau_j(n)/n.$$

Thus Lemma 5.1 allows us to deduce

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tilde{r}_k(n, Q) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sum_{m \le x} \beta_{k-j}(m) \sum_{d \le x/m} \sigma_j(d, Q)$$
$$= x \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sum_{m \le x} \frac{\beta_{k-j}(m)}{m} P_{j-1}^* \left(\log \frac{x}{m}\right) + O(x^{\vartheta_j + \varepsilon}),$$

which implies (5.1) since

$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{\beta_{k-j}(m)}{m} P_{j-1}^* \left(\log \frac{x}{m} \right) = \sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{\beta_{k-j}(m)}{m} P_{j-1}^* \left(\log \frac{x}{m} \right) + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})$$
$$= P_{j-1}^{**} (\log x) + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon}),$$

where $P_{j-1}^{**}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree j-1.

6. Proof of Theorem 3. We reason by recurrence on k. The case of k = 1 follows from Theorem 1 since a_1 is fixed. Assume that the required asymptotic formula holds for $1, \ldots, k - 1$. Then in view of (1.10) and the fact that $\ell/4 - \delta_{\ell} \leq \ell/2 - 1$, we can write

(6.1)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \prod_{1 \le i \le k} r(n+a_i, Q) = \left(\frac{\zeta(\ell/2)\Gamma(\ell/2)}{(2\pi)^{\ell/2}}\right)^k S + O(x^{(\ell/2-1)(k-1)+1+\ell/4-\delta_\ell+\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$S := \sum_{n \le x} \prod_{1 \le i \le k} (n + a_i)^{\ell/2 - 1} \sigma(n + a_i, Q).$$

Inserting the series expansion for $\sigma(n, Q)$ and using the simple relation

$$(n+a_1)^{\ell/2-1}\cdots(n+a_k)^{\ell/2-1} = n^{(\ell/2-1)k} + O_{a_1,\dots,a_k}(n^{(\ell/2-1)k-1}),$$

it follows that

$$S = \sum_{q_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{q_k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{h_1=1}^{q_1} \cdots \sum_{h_k=1}^{q_k} \frac{S(h_1Q/q_1) \cdots S(h_kQ/q_k)}{(q_1 \cdots q_k)^{\ell}} \\ \times e\left(-\frac{h_1a_1}{q_1} - \dots - \frac{h_ka_k}{q_k}\right) \sum_{n \le x} n^{(\ell/2-1)k} e\left\{-n\left(\frac{h_1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{h_k}{q_k}\right)\right\} \\ + O(x^{(\ell/2-1)k}).$$

By (1.12), the infinite series

$$\sum_{q_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{q_k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{h_1=1}^{q_1} \cdots \sum_{h_k=1}^{q_k} \frac{S(h_1 Q/q_1) \cdots S(h_k Q/q_k)}{(q_1 \cdots q_k)^{\ell}} e\left(-\frac{h_1 a_1}{q_1} - \cdots - \frac{h_k a_k}{q_k}\right)$$

is absolutely convergent. Since

$$\sum_{n \le x} n^{(\ell/2-1)k} = \frac{x^{(\ell/2-1)k+1}}{(\ell/2-1)k+1} + O(x^{(\ell/2-1)k}),$$

the contribution of $(q_1, \ldots, q_k, h_1, \ldots, h_k)$ with $h_1/q_1 + \cdots + h_k/q_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ to S is

(6.2)
$$C_Q(a_1,\ldots,a_k)x^{(\ell/2-1)k+1} + O(x^{(\ell/2-1)k}).$$

By using (2.2), partial summation and the fact $||h_1/q_1 + \cdots + h_k/q_k|| \ge (q_1 \cdots q_k)^{-1}$, the contribution of $(q_1, \ldots, q_k, h_1, \ldots, h_k)$ with $h_1/q_1 + \cdots + h_k/q_k \notin \mathbb{Z}$ to S is

(6.3)
$$\ll x^{(\ell/2-1)k} \sum_{q_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{q_k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{x, q_1 \cdots q_k\}}{(q_1 \cdots q_k)^{\ell/2-1}} \ll x^{(\ell/2-1)k+\eta_\ell(\varepsilon)},$$

where we have used the estimate

$$\min\{x, q_1 \cdots q_k\} \le \begin{cases} x^{1/2 + \varepsilon} (q_1 \cdots q_k)^{1/2 - \varepsilon} & \text{if } \ell = 5, \\ x^{\varepsilon} (q_1 \cdots q_k)^{1 - \varepsilon} & \text{if } \ell = 6, 7, \\ q_1 \cdots q_k & \text{if } \ell \ge 8. \end{cases}$$

Now Theorem 3 follows from (6.2) and (6.3), by noticing that

$$(\ell/2 - 1)(k - 1) + 1 + \ell/4 - \delta_{\ell} + \varepsilon \le (\ell/2 - 1)k + \eta_{\ell}(\varepsilon) \quad (\ell \ge 5).$$

322

7. Proof of Corollary 1.1. By (1.5) and (1.6), we have, for $n \leq x$,

$$\begin{split} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{\ell/2-1}(n+a_i) &= \left(\frac{\zeta(\ell/2)\Gamma(\ell/2)}{(2\pi)^{\ell/2}}\right)^k \prod_{i=1}^{k} r(n+a_i,Q) \\ &+ O\left(x^{(k-d)(\ell/2-1)/2} \sum_{d=1}^{k-1} \sum_{\{i_1,\dots,i_d\} \subset \{1,\dots,k\}} \prod_{j=1}^{d} r(n+a_{i_j},Q)\right). \end{split}$$

Now Theorem 3 implies the required result since

 $(k-d)(\ell/2-1)/2 + (\ell/2-1)d + 1 \le (\ell/2-1)(k-1/2) + 1 \le (\ell/2-1)k.$

Acknowledgements. The authors deeply thank the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions.

Guangshi Lü is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11031004, 11171182), NCET (NCET-10-0548) and Shandong Province Natural Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (JQ201102). Wenguang Zhai is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11171344) and the Natural Science Foundation of Beijing (Grant No. 1112010).

References

- [1] S. Baier, Addendum to "the p^{λ} problem", Acta Arith. 117 (2005), 181–186.
- [2] K. G. Bhar and K. Ramachandra, A remark on a theorem of A. E. Ingham, Hardy– Ramanujan J. 29 (2006), 37–43.
- [3] K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, Functional equations with multiple gamma factors and the average order of arithmetical functions, Ann. of Math. 76 (1962), 93–136.
- [4] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 43 (1974), 29–39.
- [5] D. R. Heath-Brown, Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet L-functions, Invent. Math. 47 (1978), 149–170.
- [6] M. N. Huxley, Integer points, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function, in: Number Theory for the Millennium, II (Urbana, IL, 2000), A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002, 275–290.
- [7] A. Ivić, The Riemann Zeta-Function, Wiley, New York, 1985 (2nd ed., Dover, Mineola, NY, 2003).
- [8] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*, Grad. Stud. Math. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- G. Kolesnik, On the estimation of multiple exponential sums, in: Recent Progress in Analytic Number Theory, Vol. 1 (Durham, 1979), Academic Press, London, 1981, 231–246.
- [10] M. Kulas, Refinement of an estimate for the Hurwitz zeta function in a neighbourhood of the line $\sigma = 1$, Acta Arith. 89 (1999), 301–309.
- [11] E. Landau, Uber die Anzahl der Gitterpunkte in gewissen Bereichen, Göttinger Nachr. 18 (1912), 687–773.

- [12] G. S. Lü, On a divisor problem related to the Epstein zeta-function, Bull. London Math. Soc. 42 (2010), 267–274.
- [13] G. S. Lü, J. Wu and W. G. Zhai, On a divisor problem related to the Epstein zeta-function, II, J. Number Theory 131 (2011), 1734–1742.
- [14] G. S. Lü, J. Wu and W. G. Zhai, On a divisor problem related to the Epstein zeta-function, III, Quart. J. Math., to appear.
- [15] T. Meurman, The mean twelfth power of Dirichlet L-functions on the critical line, Ann. Acd. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A Math. Dissertationes 52 (1984), 44 pp.
- [16] W. Müller, The mean square of Dirichlet series associated with automorphic forms, Monatsh. Math. 113 (1992), 121–159.
- [17] W. Müller and W. G. Nowak, Third power moments of the error term corresponding to certain arithmetic functions, Manuscripta Math. 87 (1995), 459-480.
- [18] W. G. Nowak, On the Piltz divisor problem with congruence conditions, in: Number Theory (Banff, AB, 1988), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1990, 455–469.
- [19] W. G. Nowak, On the Piltz divisor problem with congruence conditions, II, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 60 (1990), 153–163.
- [20] C. D. Pan and C. B. Pan, Foundations of Analytic Number Theory, Science Press, 1991 (in Chinese).
- [21] A. Sankaranarayanan, On a divisor problem related to the Epstein zeta-function, Arch. Math. (Basel) 65 (1995), 303–309.
- [22] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., rev. by D. R. Heath-Brown, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.

Guangshi Lü School of Mathematics Shandong University Jinan, Shandong 250100, China E-mail: gslv@sdu.edu.cn

Wenguang Zhai Department of Mathematics China University of Mining and Technology Beijing 100083, China E-mail: zhaiwg@hotmail.com Jie Wu School of Mathematics Shandong University Jinan, Shandong 250100, China and Institut Élie Cartan Nancy CNRS, Université Henri Poincaré 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France E-mail: wujie@iecn.u-nancy.fr

Received on 20.11.2011 and in revised form on 1.3.2012

(6890)