## On the Waring–Goldbach problem with small non-integer exponent

by

M. Z. GARAEV (Taipei)

**1. Introduction.** I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro [6] considered the following variant of the Waring–Goldbach problem. Let c > 1 be non-integer and denote by H(c) the least k such that the inequality

$$|p_1^c + p_2^c + \ldots + p_k^c - N| < \varepsilon$$

has a solution in prime numbers  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $N > N_0(c, \varepsilon)$ . It is proved in [6] that

$$\limsup_{c \to \infty} \frac{H(c)}{c \log c} \le 4.$$

Piatetski-Shapiro also proved that if 1 < c < 3/2 then  $H(c) \leq 5$ .

In this paper we sharpen the last result and prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. If  $1 < c \le (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$  then  $H(c) \le 5$ .

It should be pointed out that if c is near to unity then  $H(c) \leq 3$ . More precisely D. I. Tolev [7] showed that if 1 < c < 15/14 then  $H(c) \leq 3$ . Afterwards several authors sharpened Tolev's result improving on the range for c (see [1], [3], [5]). The best improvement to date is due to A. Kumchev [3]; he proved that  $H(c) \leq 3$  for 1 < c < 61/55. Note that from the result of A. Kumchev and M. B. S. Laporta [4] it follows that  $H(c) \leq 4$  for 1 < c < 6/5 and for almost all  $c \in (1, 2)$  (in the sense of Lebesgue measure). We also refer the readers to [8].

**2. Auxiliary lemmas.** Set  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : P^{c-1}/2 \le n \le P^{c-1}\}, B = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : P/10 \le n \le P/5\}.$ 

LEMMA 1. Let  $1 < c \leq (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ . Then for any real  $N_1$  the number of solutions of the inequality

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 11P05, 11P32.

M. Z. Garaev

(1) 
$$|x^c + y^c - u^c - v^c - N_1| < 1, \quad x, u \in A, \ y, v \in B,$$

is  $O(P^c \log P)$ .

*Proof.* For any given integer k the number  $I_k$  of solutions of the equation

$$[x^c] + [y^c] = [u^c] + [v^c] + k, \quad x, u \in A, \ y, v \in B,$$

satisfies

$$I_k = \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{y \in B} e^{2\pi i \alpha ([x^c] + [y^c])} \right|^2 e^{-2\pi i \alpha k} \, d\alpha.$$

Hence  $I_k \leq I_0$ . Furthermore, for any solution x, y, u, v of (1), one has

$$[x^{c}] + [y^{c}] = [u^{c}] + [v^{c}] + N_{1} + 4\theta,$$

where  $|\theta| \leq 1$ , and  $N_1 + 4\theta$  is an integer. Therefore, if we prove that the number J of solutions of the equation

$$[x^{c}] + [y^{c}] = [u^{c}] + [v^{c}], \quad x, u \in A, \ y, v \in B,$$

is  $O(P^c \log P)$  then we are done. To do that we follow our work [2]. Obviously

(2) 
$$J < P^c + 2 \sum_{1 \le l < P^{c-1}} J_l$$

where  $J_l$  denotes the number of solutions of the equation

 $[x^{c}] + [y^{c}] = [(x+l)^{c}] + [z^{c}], \quad x, x+l \in A, \ y, z \in B.$ 

In order to estimate  $J_l$  we fix  $x = x_0 = x_0(l)$  such that  $J_l < P^{c-1}J'_l$  where  $J'_l$  denotes the number of solutions of the equation

$$[x_0^c] + [y^c] = [(x_0 + l)^c] + [z^c], \quad y, z \in B,$$

in variables y, z. It then follows that

$$y^{c} - z^{c} < (x_{0} + l)^{c} - x_{0}^{c} + 2.$$

Since  $x_0 + l \in A, z \in B$ , we have

$$0 < (y-z)P^{c-1} < c_1 l P^{(c-1)^2}$$
, i.e.  $0 < y-z < c_1 l P^{c^2-3c+2}$ .

Therefore

(3) 
$$J_l < P^{c-1} \sum_{m < c_1 l P^{c^2 - 3c + 2}} J'_l(m)$$

where  $J'_l(m)$  denotes the number of solutions in z of the equation

(4) 
$$[(z+m)^c] - [z^c] = a, \quad z, z+m \in B,$$

where a = a(m, P) is some fixed integer.

Suppose that  $z_0$  is the smallest solution of (4). Then for any other solution z of (4),

$$(z+m)^c - z^c < (z_0+m)^c - z_0^c + 4.$$

298

This inequality can be written as

$$c(c-1)\int_{z_0}^{z}\int_{0}^{m}(\phi+\psi)^{c-2}\,d\phi\,d\psi<4.$$

Hence

$$0 \le c_2(z - z_0)mP^{c-2} < 4$$
, i.e.  $J'_l(m) \le c_3(1 + P^{2-c}m^{-1})$ .

In view of (3) we obtain

$$J_l \ll_c l P^{c^2 - 2c + 1} + P \log P$$

and therefore in view of (2),

$$J \ll_c P^{c^2 - 1} + P^c \log P.$$

Since  $c \leq (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ , the result follows.

For the proof of the following three lemmas see [6].

LEMMA 2. Let s be a positive integer,

$$\delta > 0, \quad \Delta > s\delta, \quad \Phi_s(\xi) = \frac{\sin(\Delta\xi)\sin^s(\delta\xi)}{\delta^s \xi^{s+1}}$$

and

$$\phi_s(y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_s(\xi) e^{i\xi y} d\xi.$$

Then

$$\phi_s(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |y| \ge \Delta + s\delta, \\ 1 & \text{if } |y| \le \Delta - s\delta, \end{cases}$$

and also

$$0 < \phi_s < 1$$
 if  $\Delta - s\delta < |y| < \Delta + s\delta$ .

Furthermore, if  $k \ge 0$  is an integer and  $s \ge k+2$ , then

$$g(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Phi_s(\xi)\xi^k| e^{-i\xi y} d\xi = O(y^{-2}) \quad \text{as } y \to \infty$$

Define

$$S(\xi) = \sum_{P/2$$

LEMMA 3. Let  $H = e^{\sqrt{\log P}}$ ,  $P^c/2 < N_1 < P^c$ . Then under the assumptions of Lemma 2 we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|\xi| < HP^{-c}} \Phi_s(\xi) S(\xi) e^{-i\xi N_1} d\xi \gg \frac{P^{1-c}}{\log P}.$$

LEMMA 4. For any fixed m,

$$\max_{HP^{-c} < \xi < \log P} |S(\xi)| \ll \frac{P}{\log^m P}.$$

**3. Proof of the Theorem.** The proof is similar to one in [6]. Let  $N > N_0(c, \varepsilon)$  be a large enough real number,  $P = N^{1/c}$ . We shall prove that the inequality

(5) 
$$|p^c + p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c + p_4^c - N| < \varepsilon$$

is solvable in prime numbers subject to

$$P/2$$

Set  $\Delta = \varepsilon/2$ ,  $\delta = \varepsilon/50$ , s = 20 and  $\Phi(\xi) = \Phi_{20}(\xi)$ . From Lemma 2 it follows that the number J of solutions of inequality (5) satisfies

$$J \ge \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\xi) S_A^2(\xi) S_B^2(\xi) S(\xi) e^{-i\xi N} d\xi$$

where

$$S_A(\xi) = \sum_{p \in A} e^{i\xi p^c}, \quad S_B(\xi) = \sum_{p \in B} e^{i\xi p^c}.$$

Therefore

(6) 
$$\pi J > J_1 + J_2 + J_3$$

where

$$J_{1} = \int_{|\xi| < HP^{-c}} \Phi(\xi) S_{A}^{2}(\xi) S_{B}^{2}(\xi) S(\xi) e^{-i\xi N} d\xi,$$
  

$$J_{2} = \int_{HP^{-c} < |\xi| < \log P} \Phi(\xi) S_{A}^{2}(\xi) S_{B}^{2}(\xi) S(\xi) e^{-i\xi N} d\xi,$$
  

$$J_{3} = \int_{|\xi| > \log P} \Phi(\xi) S_{A}^{2}(\xi) S_{B}^{2}(\xi) S(\xi) e^{-i\xi N} d\xi.$$

From now on, all constants implicit in the Vinogradov symbols  $\ll$  and  $\gg$  may depend on c and  $\varepsilon$ .

We estimate  $J_1$  from below using Lemma 3. If  $N_1 = N - p_1^c - \ldots - p_4^c$ then  $P^c/2 < N_1 < P^c$ . Hence

$$J_1 = \sum_{p_1, p_3 \in A} \sum_{p_2, p_4 \in B} \int_{|\xi| < HP^{-c}} \Phi(\xi) S(\xi) e^{-i\xi N_1} d\xi \gg \left(\frac{P^{c-1}}{\log P}\right)^2 \left(\frac{P}{\log P}\right)^2 \frac{P^{1-c}}{\log P},$$

i.e.

(7) 
$$J_1 \gg \frac{P^{c+1}}{\log^5 P}.$$

300

Now we shall obtain upper bounds for  $J_2, J_3$ . Since

$$\sum_{p_1, p_3 \in A} \sum_{p_2, p_4 \in B} e^{i\xi(p_1^c + p_2^c - p_3^c - p_4^c)}$$

is a non-negative real number for any real  $\xi$ , Lemma 4 (with m = 10) yields

$$J_2 \ll \frac{P}{\log^{10} P} \sum_{p_1, p_3 \in A} \sum_{p_2, p_4 \in B} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Phi(\xi)| e^{i\xi(p_1^c + p_2^c - p_3^c - p_4^c)} d\xi.$$

Now we make use of Lemma 1. For any integer j there exists  $t_j \in (j-1, j+1)$  such that

$$J_2 \ll \frac{P}{\log^{10} P} P^c \log P \sum_j \Big| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Phi(\xi)| e^{i\xi t_j} d\xi \Big|.$$

Therefore from the second part of Lemma 2 we derive

(8) 
$$J_2 \ll \frac{P^{c+1}}{\log^9 P}$$

For  $J_3$  we use the trivial estimation of  $S(\xi)$ :

$$J_{3} \leq P \int_{|\xi| > \log P} |\Phi(\xi)S_{A}^{2}(\xi)S_{B}^{2}(\xi)| d\xi$$
  
$$\leq \frac{P}{\log^{10} P} \int_{|\xi| > \log P} |\xi^{10}\Phi(\xi)S_{A}^{2}(\xi)S_{B}^{2}(\xi)| d\xi,$$

i.e.

$$J_3 \le \frac{P}{\log^{10} P} \sum_{p_1, p_3 \in A} \sum_{p_2, p_4 \in B} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\xi^{10} \Phi(\xi)| e^{i\xi(p_1^c + p_2^c - p_3^c - p_4^c)} d\xi.$$

Now as in the case of  $J_2$  we obtain

$$(9) J_3 \ll \frac{P^{c+1}}{\log^9 P}.$$

Thus from (6)–(9) we obtain  $J \gg P^{c+1}/\log^5 P$ .

The Theorem is proved.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referee for several corrections.

## References

- Y.-C. Cai, On a diophantine inequality involving prime numbers, Acta Math. Sinica 39 (1996), 733–742 (in Chinese).
- [2] M. Z. Garaev, On lower bounds for the  $L_1$ -norm of exponential sums, Math. Notes 68 (2000), 713–720.

- [3] A. Kumchev, A diophantine inequality involving prime powers, Acta Arith. 89 (1999), 311–330.
- [4] A. Kumchev and M. B. S. Laporta, On a binary diophantine inequality involving prime powers, in: Number Theory for the Millennium II, A K Peters, 2002, 307–329.
- [5] A. Kumchev and T. Nedeva, On an equation with prime numbers, Acta Arith. 83 (1998), 117–126.
- [6] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, On a variant of Waring-Goldbach's problem, Mat. Sb. 30 (1952), 105–120 (in Russian).
- [7] D. I. Tolev, On a diophantine inequality involving prime numbers, Acta Arith. 61 (1992), 289–306.
- [8] —, On a system of two diophantine inequalities with prime numbers, ibid. 69 (1995), 387–400.

Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica Taipei 11529, Taiwan Republic of China E-mail: garaev@math.sinica.edu.tw

> Received on 13.3.2002 and in revised form on 29.10.2002

(4247)