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1. Introduction. The multiple zeta values and non-strict multiple zeta values (MZVs and NMZVs, for short) are defined respectively by

\[ \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_n) := \sum_{m_1 > \cdots > m_n > 0} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}}, \]

\[ \tilde{\zeta}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) := \sum_{m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_n > 0} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}}, \]

where \( k_1, \ldots, k_n \) are positive integers and \( k_1 \geq 2 \). Considerable amount of work on MZVs has been done from various points of view.

There are several relations among the MZVs (duality formula, sum formula, Hoffman’s relations, Ohno’s relations, derivation relations and cyclic sum relations, cf. [2], [4], [6], [8]), and these relations can be described in a purely algebraic manner (cf. [6]). On the other hand, NMZVs have not been investigated so much compared to MZVs. But recently, a few works on NMZVs have appeared ([1], [9]) and they indicate that NMZVs have similar properties to MZVs.

In this article, we introduce an algebraic setup of NMZVs and use it to prove some relations among them, which are analogous to Hoffman’s relations for MZVs.

2. Algebraic setup of NMZVs

2.1. Algebraic setup of MZVs. We summarize the algebraic setup of MZVs introduced by Hoffman (cf. [3], [6]). Let \( \mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{Q} \langle x, y \rangle \) be the noncommutative polynomial ring in two indeterminates \( x, y \), and \( \mathfrak{H}^1 \) and \( \mathfrak{H}^0 \) its subrings \( \mathbb{Q} + \mathfrak{H}^1 y \) and \( \mathbb{Q} + x \mathfrak{H}^1 y \). We set \( z_k = x^{k-1}y \) (\( k = 1, 2, \ldots \)). Then \( \mathfrak{H}^1 \)
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is freely generated by \( \{ z_k \}_{k \geq 1} \). For any word \( w \), let \( l(w) \) be the degree of \( w \) with respect to \( y \), and \( |w| \) the total degree.

We define the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linear map (called the evaluation map) \( Z : \mathcal{H}^0 \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
Z(1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Z(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_n}) = \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_n).
\]

We next define two products of MZVs. The one is the harmonic product \( * \) on \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) defined by

\[
1 * w = w * 1 = w, \\
z_k w_1 * z_l w_2 = z_k (w_1 * z_l w_2) + z_l (z_k w_1 * w_2) + z_{k+l} (w_1 * w_2)
\]

\((k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) and \( w, w_1, w_2 \) are words in \( \mathcal{H}_1 \)), extended by \( \mathbb{Q} \)-bilinearity. The harmonic product \( * \) is commutative and associative, therefore \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) is a commutative \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra with respect to \( * \). We denote it by \( \mathcal{H}_1^* \). The subset \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) is a subalgebra of \( \mathcal{H}_1^* \) with respect to \( * \) and we denote it by \( \mathcal{H}_0^* \). We then have

\[
Z(w_1 * w_2) = Z(w_1)Z(w_2) \quad \text{for any} \quad w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}_0.
\]

The other product is the shuffle product \( \circ \) on \( \mathcal{H} \) defined by

\[
1 \circ w = w \circ 1 = w, \\
u_1 w_1 \circ u_2 w_2 = u_1 (w_1 \circ u_2 w_2) + u_2 (u_1 w_1 \circ w_2)
\]

\((u_1, u_2 \in \{ x, y \} \) and \( w, w_1, w_2 \) are words in \( \mathcal{H} \)), extended by \( \mathbb{Q} \)-bilinearity. The shuffle product \( \circ \) is also commutative and associative, so \( \mathcal{H} \) is a commutative \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra with respect to \( \circ \). We denote it by \( \mathcal{H}_\circ \). The subsets \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) are subalgebras of \( \mathcal{H}_\circ \) with respect to \( \circ \) and we denote them by \( \mathcal{H}_1^\circ \), \( \mathcal{H}_0^\circ \) respectively. For this product, we also have

\[
Z(w_1 \circ w_2) = Z(w_1)Z(w_2) \quad \text{for any} \quad w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}_0.
\]

The finite double shuffle relations for MZVs are

\[
Z(w_1 * w_2 - w_1 \circ w_2) = 0 \quad (w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}_0).
\]

The evaluation map is generalized in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1** ([6]). We have two algebra homomorphisms

\[
Z^* : \mathcal{H}_1^* \to \mathbb{R}[T] \quad \text{and} \quad Z^\circ_\circ : \mathcal{H}_1^\circ \to \mathbb{R}[T]
\]

which are uniquely characterized by the property that they both extend the evaluation map \( Z : \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathbb{R} \) and send \( y \) to \( T \).

Then we have the extended double shuffle relations for MZVs.

**Theorem 2.2** ([6]). For any \( w_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \) and \( w_2 \in \mathcal{H}_0 \),

\[
Z^*(w_1 \circ w_2 - w_1 * w_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Z^\circ_\circ(w_1 \circ w_2 - w_1 * w_2) = 0.
\]
2.2. Algebraic setup of NMZVs. In this subsection, we introduce the algebraic setup of NMZVs. Define a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\overline{Z}: S^0 \to \mathbb{R}$ by
\[
\overline{Z}(1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{Z}(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_n}) = \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_n).
\]
We call this map the $n$-evaluation map. We next define the $n$-harmonic product $\overline{*}$ on $S^1$, which is the NMZV-counterpart of the harmonic product $\ast$, inductively by
\[
1 \overline{*} w = w \overline{*} 1 = w,
\]
\[
z_k w_1 \overline{*} z_l w_2 = z_k (w_1 \overline{*} z_l w_2) + z_l (z_k w_1 \overline{*} w_2) - z_{k+l}(w_1 \overline{*} w_2)
\]
$(k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $w, w_1, w_2$ are words in $S^1$), extended by $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinearity. The $n$-harmonic product $\overline{*}$ has the following properties.

**Proposition 2.3.** The $n$-harmonic product $\overline{*}$ is commutative and associative.

**Proof.** We can prove this by induction (cf. Theorem 2.1 of [3]). But we give another proof later. 

Proposition 2.3 says that $S^1$ with the product $\overline{*}$ has the structure of a commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. We denote this algebra by $S^1_\overline{*}$. The subset $S^0$ is a subalgebra of $S^1_\overline{*}$ with respect to $\overline{*}$ and we denote it by $S^0_\overline{*}$.

We now introduce a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $S: S^1 \to S^1$. Let $S_1 \in \text{Aut}(S)$ be defined by $S_1(1) = 1$, $S_1(x) = x$ and $S_1(y) = x + y$. Define the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $S: S^1 \to S^1$ by
\[
S(1) := 1 \quad \text{and} \quad S(Fy) := S_1(F)y
\]
for all words $F \in S$. Then it is clear that $\overline{Z} = Z \circ S$ on $S^0$, i.e.,
\[
\overline{Z}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) = Z(S(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_n})) \quad (k_1 \geq 2).
\]
For example, $\overline{Z}(k_1, k_2) = \zeta(k_1 + k_2) + \zeta(k_1, k_2) = Z(S(z_{k_1} z_{k_2})), \overline{Z}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \zeta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) + \zeta(k_1 + k_2, k_3) + \zeta(k_1, k_2 + k_3) + \zeta(k_1, k_2, k_3) = Z(S(z_{k_1} z_{k_2} z_{k_3})).$

As is clear from the definition of $S$, we also have the following relation:
\[
(2.1) \quad S(w_1 w_2) = S_1(w_1) S(w_2) \quad (w_1 \in S, w_2 \in S^1).
\]

**Proposition 2.4.** For $w_1, w_2 \in S^0$,
\[
Z(w_1 \overline{*} w_2) = Z(w_1) Z(w_2).
\]

This is also proved in [5] and [7]. To prove Proposition 2.4, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $w, w_1, w_2$ be words ($\neq 1$) in $S^1$ and $p, q$ positive integers. Then
\[
(2.2) \quad S(z_p) * S_1(z_q) w = S_1(z_p z_q) w + S_1(z_q) (S(z_p) * w) - S_1(z_{p+q}) w
\]
and

\[(2.3) \quad S_1(z_p)w_1 \ast S_1(z_q)w_2 = S_1(z_p)(w_1 \ast S_1(z_q)w_2) + S_1(z_q)(S_1(z_p)w_1 \ast w_2) - S_1(z_{p+q})(w_1 \ast w_2).\]

**Proof.** We first prove (2.2). Put \(w = z_n \tilde{w} (n \geq 1, \tilde{w} \in S^1)\). Then RHS of (2.2)

\[
= (x^{p-1}y + x^p)(x^{q-1}y + x^q)z_n \tilde{w} + (x^{q-1}y + x^q)(z_p \ast z_n \tilde{w})
\]

\[- (x^{p+q-1}y + x^{p+q})z_n \tilde{w}
\]

\[= z_p z_n \tilde{w} + z_p z_n \tilde{w} + z_p + q z_n \tilde{w} + z_n + p + q \tilde{w}
\]

\[+ z_q z_p z_n \tilde{w} + z_q z_n (z_p \ast \tilde{w}) + z_q z_n + p \tilde{w} + z_p + q z_n \tilde{w}
\]

\[+ z_n + q (z_p \ast \tilde{w}) + z_n + p + q \tilde{w} - z_p + q z_n \tilde{w} - z_n + p + q \tilde{w}
\]

\[= z_p \ast z_q z_n \tilde{w} + z_p \ast z_n + q \tilde{w} = S(z_p) \ast S_1(z_q)z_n \tilde{w} = S(z_p) \ast S_1(z_q)w.
\]

Hence (2.2) follows. Putting \(w_1 = z_m \tilde{w}_1, \; w_2 = z_n \tilde{w}_2 (m, n \geq 1, \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 \in S^1)\), we can prove (2.3) in the same way. □

**Proof of Proposition 2.4.** It suffices to show that

\[(2.4) \quad S(w_1 \ast w_2) = S(w_1) \ast S(w_2)\]

for \(w_1, w_2 \in S^1\). We set \(w_1 = z_{p_1} \cdots z_{p_m}, \; w_2 = z_{q_1} \cdots z_{q_n}\). We prove (2.4) by induction on \(m\). To ease the following calculation, we set \(z_p = z_{p_2} \cdots z_{p_m}\) and \(z_q = z_{q_2} \cdots z_{q_n}\).

(i) We prove the case \(m = 1\) by induction on \(n\). When \(n = 1\), the assertion is immediate. We assume it is proven for \(n - 1\). Using (2.1), (2.2) and the induction hypothesis, we have

\[S(z_{p_1} \ast z_{q_1} z_{q_2} \cdots z_{q_n}) = S(z_{p_1} \ast z_{q_1} z_q)
\]

\[= S(z_{p_1} z_{q_1} z_q + z_{q_1} (z_{p_1} \ast z_q) - z_{p_1 + q_1} z_q)
\]

\[= S_1(z_{p_1} z_{q_1})S(z_q) + S_1(z_{q_1})S(z_{p_1} \ast z_q) - S_1(z_{p_1 + q_1})S(z_q)
\]

\[= S_1(z_{p_1} z_{q_1})S(z_q) + S_1(z_{q_1})(S(z_{p_1}) \ast S(z_q)) - S_1(z_{p_1 + q_1})S(z_q)
\]

\[= S(z_{p_1}) \ast S_1(z_{q_1})S(z_q) = S(z_{p_1}) \ast S(z_{q_1} z_q) = S(z_{p_1}) \ast S(z_{q_1} z_{q_2} \cdots z_{q_n}).
\]

(ii) We assume the assertion is proven for \(m - 1\). We prove it for \(m\) by induction on \(n\). When \(n = 1\), the assertion follows from (i) and the commutativity of \(\ast, \ast\). We assume it is true for \(n - 1\). Using (2.1), (2.3) and
the induction hypothesis, we have
\[ S(z_{p_1} z_{p_2} \cdots z_{p_m} \ast z_{q_1} z_{q_2} \cdots z_{q_n}) = S(z_{p_1} z_{p_2} \ast z_{q_1} z_{q_2}) = S(\bar{z_{p_1}} \ast \bar{z_{q_1}}) + z_{q_1} (z_{p_1} \ast \bar{z_{q_2}}) - z_{p_1 + 1} (\bar{z_{p_1}} \ast \bar{z_{q_2}}) \]
\[ = S_1(z_{p_1})S(z_{p_2} \ast z_{q_1} z_{q_2}) + S_1(z_{q_1})S(z_{p_1} \ast \bar{z_{q_2}}) - S_1(z_{p_1 + 1})S(z_{p_2} \ast \bar{z_{q_2}}) \]
\[ = S_1(z_{p_1})(S(z_{p_2}) \ast S(z_{q_1} z_{q_2})) + S_1(z_{q_1})(S(z_{p_1}) \ast S(z_{q_2})) \]
\[ = S_1(z_{p_1})S(z_{p_2}) \ast S(z_{q_1}) + S_1(z_{q_1})S(z_{p_1})S(z_{q_2}) \]
\[ = S(z_{p_1} z_{p_2} \cdots z_{p_m}) \ast S(z_{q_1} z_{q_2} \cdots z_{q_n}). \]

We now define the \( n \)-shuffle product \( \ast \) on \( \mathcal{S} \) which corresponds to the shuffle product \( \ast \). It is defined inductively by
\[
1 \ast w = w \ast 1 = w,
\]
\[
u_1 w_1 \ast u_2 w_2 = u_1(w_1 \ast u_2 w_2) + u_2(u_1 w_1 \ast w_2)
- \delta(w_1)\tau(u_1)u_2 w_2 - \delta(w_2)\tau(u_2)u_1 w_1
\]
\((u_1, u_2 \in \{x,y\} \text{ and } w, w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{S})\), extended by \( \mathbb{Q} \)-bilinearity, where \( \delta \) is defined by
\[
\delta(w) = \begin{cases} 
1 & (w = 1), \\
0 & (w \neq 1) 
\end{cases}
\]
for any word \( w \), and \( \tau \) is defined by \( \tau(x) = y, \tau(y) = x \). The \( n \)-shuffle product has the following properties.

**Proposition 2.6.** The \( n \)-shuffle product is commutative and associative.

**Proof.** Let \( w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \mathcal{S} \). We can check that \( w_1 \ast w_2 = w_2 \ast w_1 \) by induction on \( |w_1| + |w_2| \). We now prove \((w_1 \ast w_2) \ast w_3 = w_1 \ast (w_2 \ast w_3)\) by induction on \( |w_1| + |w_2| + |w_3| \). The case \( |w_1| + |w_2| + |w_3| \leq 2 \) is obvious. Putting \( w_1 = u_1 \tilde{w}_1, w_2 = u_2 \tilde{w}_2, w_3 = u_3 \tilde{w}_3 \) \((u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \{x,y\})\), we have
\[
(w_1 \ast w_2) \ast w_3
\]
\[
= u_1(\tilde{w}_1 \ast u_2 \tilde{w}_2) \ast u_3 \tilde{w}_3 + u_2(u_1 \tilde{w}_1 \ast \tilde{w}_2) \ast u_3 \tilde{w}_3
- \delta(\tilde{w}_1)\tau(u_1)u_2 w_2 \ast u_3 \tilde{w}_3 - \delta(\tilde{w}_2)\tau(u_2)u_1 \tilde{w}_1 \ast u_3 \tilde{w}_3
\]
\[
= u_1\{u_1 \ast (u_2 \tilde{w}_2) \ast \tilde{w}_3\} + u_3\{u_1 \ast u_2 \tilde{w}_2 \ast \tilde{w}_3\} + u_2\{u_1 \ast u_2 \tilde{w}_2 \ast \tilde{w}_3\}
\]
\[
- \delta(\tilde{w}_3)\tau(u_3)u_1 \ast (u_2 \tilde{w}_2) + u_2\{(u_1 \tilde{w}_1 \ast \tilde{w}_2) \ast \tilde{w}_3\}
\]
\[
= u_1 \ast (u_2 \ast \tilde{w}_2) \ast \tilde{w}_3 + u_3 \ast u_1 \ast (u_2 \ast \tilde{w}_2) + u_2 \ast u_1 \ast u_2 \tilde{w}_2
- \delta(\tilde{w}_3)\tau(u_3)u_1 \ast (u_2 \tilde{w}_2) + u_2\{(u_1 \tilde{w}_1 \ast \tilde{w}_2) \ast \tilde{w}_3\}.
\]


+ u_3\{u_2(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tilde{w}_2) \boxtimes \tilde{w}_3\} − \delta(\tilde{w}_3)\tau(u_3)u_2(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tilde{w}_2)
− \delta(\tilde{w}_1)\tau(u_1)u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3 − \delta(\tilde{w}_2)\tau(u_2)u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3
= u_1\{(\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_2\tilde{w}_2) \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3\} + u_2\{(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tilde{w}_2) \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3\}
+ u_3\{(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_2\tilde{w}_2) \boxtimes \tilde{w}_3\} − \delta(\tilde{w}_1)\tau(u_1)(u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3)
− \delta(\tilde{w}_2)\tau(u_2)(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3) − \delta(\tilde{w}_3)\tau(u_3)(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_2).

In the last equality, we use the following three relations:

\begin{align*}
u_1(\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_2\tilde{w}_2) + u_2(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tilde{w}_2)
&= u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_2\tilde{w}_2 + \delta(\tilde{w}_1)\tau(u_1)u_2\tilde{w}_2 + \delta(\tilde{w}_2)\tau(u_2)u_1\tilde{w}_1,
\tau(u_1)u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3
&= \tau(u_1)(u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3) + u_3(\tau(u_1)u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes \tilde{w}_3) − \delta(\tilde{w}_3)\tau(u_3)\tau(u_1)u_2\tilde{w}_2,
\tau(u_2)u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3
&= \tau(u_2)(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3) + u_3(\tau(u_2)u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tilde{w}_3) − \delta(\tilde{w}_3)\tau(u_3)\tau(u_2)u_1\tilde{w}_1.
\end{align*}

On the other hand,

\begin{align*}
w_1 \boxtimes (w_2 \boxtimes w_3)
&= u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_2(\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3) + u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_3(\tilde{w}_3\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3)
− \delta(\tilde{w}_2)u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tau(u_2)u_3\tilde{w}_3 − \delta(\tilde{w}_3)u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tau(u_3)u_2\tilde{w}_2
= u_1\{\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_2(\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3)\} + u_2\{u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes (\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3)\}
− \delta(\tilde{w}_1)\tau(u_1)u_2(\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3) + u_1\{\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_3(\tilde{w}_3\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3)\}
+ u_3\{u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes (u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes \tilde{w}_3)\} − \delta(\tilde{w}_1)\tau(u_1)u_3(\tilde{w}_3\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes \tilde{w}_3)
− \delta(\tilde{w}_2)u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tau(u_2)u_3\tilde{w}_3 − \delta(\tilde{w}_3)u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tau(u_3)u_2\tilde{w}_2
&= u_1\{\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes (u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3)\} + u_2\{u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes (\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3)\}
+ u_3\{u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes (u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes \tilde{w}_3)\} − \delta(\tilde{w}_1)\tau(u_1)(u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3)
− \delta(\tilde{w}_2)\tau(u_2)(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3) − \delta(\tilde{w}_3)\tau(u_3)(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_2\tilde{w}_2).
\end{align*}

In the last equality, we use the following three relations:

\begin{align*}
u_2(\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3) + u_3(\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3)
&= u_2\tilde{w}_2 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3 + \delta(\tilde{w}_2)\tau(u_2)u_3\tilde{w}_3 + \delta(\tilde{w}_3)\tau(u_3)u_2\tilde{w}_2,
u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tau(u_2)u_3\tilde{w}_3
&= u_1(\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tau(u_2)u_3\tilde{w}_3) + \tau(u_2)(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_3\tilde{w}_3) − \delta(\tilde{w}_1)\tau(u_1)\tau(u_2)u_3\tilde{w}_3,
u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tau(u_3)u_2\tilde{w}_2
&= u_1(\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes \tau(u_3)u_2\tilde{w}_2) + \tau(u_3)(u_1\tilde{w}_1 \boxtimes u_2\tilde{w}_2) − \delta(\tilde{w}_1)\tau(u_1)\tau(u_3)u_2\tilde{w}_2.
\end{align*}

So we obtain the assertion by the induction hypothesis.
Proposition 2.6 says that $\mathfrak{H}$ with the product $\mathfrak{m}$ has the structure of a commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. We denote it by $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{m}$. The subsets $\mathfrak{H}^1$ and $\mathfrak{H}^0$ are subalgebras of $\mathfrak{H}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ and we denote them by $\mathfrak{H}^1\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{H}^0\mathfrak{m}$ respectively.

**Proposition 2.7.** For $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^0$,
\[ \bar{Z}(w_1 \mathfrak{m} w_2) = \bar{Z}(w_1) \bar{Z}(w_2). \]

**Proof.** It suffices to prove that
\[ S(w_1 \mathfrak{m} w_2) = S(w_1) \mathfrak{m} S(w_2) \]
for $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^1$. We put $w_1 = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_m$ and $w_2 = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n$ ($u_i, v_i \in \{x, y\}$). We prove (2.5) by induction on $m$. In order to simplify the proof, we set $u_\bar{m} := u_2 \cdots u_m$ and $v_\bar{n} := v_2 \cdots v_n$.

(i) We prove the case $m = 1$ by induction on $n$. First,
\[ S(u_1 \mathfrak{m} v_1) = S(y \mathfrak{m} y) = S(2y^2 - 2xy) = 2(x + y)y - 2xy = 2y^2 \]
\[ = y \mathfrak{m} y = S(y) \mathfrak{m} S(y) = S(u_1) \mathfrak{m} S(v_1). \]
So the case $n = 1$ is valid. We assume the assertion is proven for $n - 1$. Using (2.1) and the induction hypothesis, we have
\[ S(u_1 \mathfrak{m} v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n) = S(y \mathfrak{m} v_1 v_\bar{n}) \]
\[ = S(y v_1 v_\bar{n} + v_1 (y \mathfrak{m} v_\bar{n}) - xv_1 v_\bar{n}) \]
\[ = S_1(y)S_1(v_1)S(v_\bar{n}) + S_1(v_1)S(y \mathfrak{m} v_\bar{n}) - S_1(x)S_1(v_1)S(v_\bar{n}) \]
\[ = (x + y)S_1(v_1)S(v_\bar{n}) + S_1(v_1)(y \mathfrak{m} S(v_\bar{n})) - xS_1(v_1)S(v_\bar{n}) \]
\[ = yS_1(v_1)S(v_\bar{n}) + S_1(v_1)(y \mathfrak{m} S(v_\bar{n})) \]
\[ = y \mathfrak{m} S_1(v_1)S(v_\bar{n}) = S(u_1) \mathfrak{m} S(v_1 v_\bar{n}) = S(u_1) \mathfrak{m} S(v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n). \]
Thus we have the assertion for $n$.

(ii) We assume the assertion is proven for $m - 1$. We prove it for $m$ by induction on $n$. The case $n = 1$ is obvious by (i) and the commutativity of $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}$. We assume the assertion is true for $n - 1$. Then
\[ S(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_m \mathfrak{m} v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n) = S(u_1 u_\bar{m} \mathfrak{m} v_1 v_\bar{n}) \]
\[ = S(u_1 (u_\bar{m} \mathfrak{m} v_1 v_\bar{n}) + v_1 (u_1 u_\bar{m} \mathfrak{m} v_\bar{n})) \]
\[ = S_1(u_1)(S(u_\bar{m}) \mathfrak{m} S(v_1 v_\bar{n})) + S_1(v_1)(S(u_1 u_\bar{m}) \mathfrak{m} S(v_\bar{n})) \]
\[ = S_1(u_1)(S(u_\bar{m}) \mathfrak{m} S_1(v_1)S(v_\bar{n})) + S_1(v_1)(S_1(u_1)S(u_\bar{m}) \mathfrak{m} S(v_\bar{n})) \]
\[ = S_1(u_1)S(u_\bar{m}) \mathfrak{m} S_1(v_1)S(v_\bar{n}) \]
\[ = S(u_1 u_\bar{m}) \mathfrak{m} S(v_1 v_\bar{n}) = S(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_m) \mathfrak{m} S(v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n). \]
Because the $n$-evaluation map $\bar{Z}$ is a homomorphism with respect to $\bar{\ast}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{W}}$, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8 (Finite double shuffle relations for NMZVs).** For $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^0$, 
\[\bar{Z}(w_1 \bar{\ast} w_2 - w_1 \bar{\mathbb{W}} w_2) = 0.\]

**2.3. Extended double shuffle relations for NMZVs.** In this subsection, we generalize Theorem 2.8. In the following lemma, we introduce the inverse of $S$.

**Lemma 2.9.**
(i) Define $S_2 \in \mathfrak{H}$ by $S_2(1) = 1$, $S_2(x) = x$ and $S_2(y) = y - x$, and define the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\tilde{S} : \mathfrak{H}^1 \to \mathfrak{H}^1$ by
\[\tilde{S}(1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{S}(Fy) := S_2(Fy)\]
for $F \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then $\tilde{S} \circ S = S \circ \tilde{S} = \text{id}$ on $\mathfrak{H}^1$.
(ii) For $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^1$,
\[\tilde{S}(w_1 \ast w_2) = \tilde{S}(w_1) \bar{\ast} \tilde{S}(w_2), \quad \tilde{S}(w_1 \bar{\mathbb{W}} w_2) = \tilde{S}(w_1) \bar{\mathbb{W}} \tilde{S}(w_2)\]

**Proof.** (i) By definition, we have $\tilde{S} \circ S(1) = S \circ \tilde{S}(1) = 1$. Let $w \in \mathfrak{H}^1 \setminus \{1\}$. Then we can write $w = w_1 y$ ($w_1 \in \mathfrak{H}$), and we have
\[\tilde{S} \circ S(w) = \tilde{S} \circ S(w_1 y) = \tilde{S}(S(w_1)y) = S_2(S(w_1))y = w_1 y = w,\]
\[S \circ \tilde{S}(w) = S \circ \tilde{S}(w_1 y) = S(S(w_1)y) = S_1(S(w_1))y = w_1 y = w.\]
This proves (i); and (ii) is clear from (2.4), (2.5) and (i). □

By Lemma 2.9(i), we can denote $\tilde{S}$ by $S^{-1}$. Then Lemma 2.9(ii) can be restated as follows:

\[S^{-1}(w_1 \ast w_2) = S^{-1}(w_1) \bar{\ast} S^{-1}(w_2),\]
\[S^{-1}(w_1 \bar{\mathbb{W}} w_2) = S^{-1}(w_1) \bar{\mathbb{W}} S^{-1}(w_2).\]

Using (2.6), we give the proof of Proposition 2.3.

**Proof of Proposition 2.3.** By using (2.6) and the commutativity of the harmonic product $\ast$, we have
\[w_1 \bar{\ast} w_2 = S^{-1}(S(w_1)) \bar{\ast} S^{-1}(S(w_2)) = S^{-1}(S(w_1) \ast S(w_2)) = S^{-1}(S(w_2) \ast S(w_1)) = w_2 \bar{\ast} w_1.\]
So the $n$-harmonic product $\bar{\ast}$ is commutative. We next prove its associativity by using (2.6) and the associativity of $\ast$:
\[w_1 \bar{\ast} (w_2 \bar{\ast} w_3) = S^{-1}(S(w_1)) \bar{\ast} (S^{-1}(S(w_2)) \bar{\ast} S^{-1}(S(w_3))) = S^{-1}(S(w_1)) \bar{\ast} S^{-1}(S(w_2) \ast S(w_3)) = S^{-1}(S(w_1) \ast (S(w_2) \ast S(w_3)))\]
\[= S^{-1}((S(w_1) \ast S(w_2)) \ast S(w_3))\]
\[= S^{-1}(S(w_1) \ast S(w_2)) \ast S^{-1}(S(w_3))\]
\[= (S^{-1}(S(w_1)) \ast S^{-1}(S(w_2))) \ast w_3 = (w_1 \ast w_2) \ast w_3. \]

**Lemma 2.10.** Let \(\circ = \ast\) or \(\circ\). A word \(y^m w\) \((m \geq 0, w \in \mathcal{F}_0)\) in \(\mathcal{F}_1\) is uniquely represented as
\[(2.8) \quad y^m w = w_0 + w_1 \circ y + w_2 \circ y \circ y + \cdots + w_m \circ y \circ \cdots \circ y \quad (w_i \in \mathcal{F}_0),\]
i.e., \(\mathcal{F}_0^0[y] \simeq \mathcal{F}_0^1\).

**Proof.** We first prove that \(y^m w\) can be represented as in (2.8). By Corollary 5 of [6], we have
\[(y + x)^m S(w) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i \circ y^{\circ i} \quad (v_i \in \mathcal{F}_0).\]
Using (2.6) or (2.7), we obtain
\[y^m w = \sum_{i=0}^{m} S^{-1}(v_i) \circ y \circ y^{\circ i}.\]
(We have \(S^{-1}(w_1 w_2) = S_2(w_1) S^{-1}(w_2)\) for \(w_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1^1\).) Therefore, the representation (2.8) follows from \(S^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_0^0) \subset \mathcal{F}_0^0\). We next prove the uniqueness of this representation. Suppose that
\[\sum_{i=0}^{m} w_i \circ y^{\circ i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i \circ y \circ y^{\circ i} \quad (w_i, v_i \in \mathcal{F}_0^0).\]
Using (2.4) or (2.5), we have
\[\sum_{i=0}^{m} S(w_i) \circ y^{\circ i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} S(v_i) \circ y^{\circ i}.\]
As \(\mathcal{F}_0^0[y] \simeq \mathcal{F}_0^1\) (see [3] and [10]), we have \(S(w_i) = S(v_i)\) for \(i = 0, 1, \ldots, m\). This yields the desired uniqueness. ■

**Proposition 2.11.** We have two algebra homomorphisms
\[Z^{\circ} : \mathcal{F}_1^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[T] \quad \text{and} \quad Z^{\circ\circ} : \mathcal{F}_1^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[T]\]
which are uniquely characterized by the property that they both extend the \(n\)-evaluation map \(\overline{Z} : \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) and send \(y\) to \(T\).

**Proof.** The assertion follows because \(\overline{Z}\) is a homomorphism with respect to \(\circ, \circ\) and we have isomorphisms \(\mathcal{F}_0^0[y] \simeq \mathcal{F}_0^1, \mathcal{F}_0^0[y] \simeq \mathcal{F}_0^1\). ■

The \(\mathbb{Q}\)-algebra homomorphisms \(Z^{\circ}, \overline{Z}^{\circ}\) satisfy the following relations:
\[Z^{\circ} = Z^{\circ\circ} \circ S, \quad Z^{\circ\circ} = Z^{\circ\circ} \circ S\]
(○ means composition). Indeed, $Z^* \circ S$ and $Z^\Pi \circ S$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2.11.

**Theorem 2.12 (Extended double shuffle relations for NMZVs).** For $w_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_1^1$ and $w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}_0^0$,

$$Z^\Pi (w_1 \overline{w_2} - w_1 \overline{w_2}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Z^\Pi (w_1 \overline{w_2} - w_1 \overline{w_2}) = 0.$$

**Proof.** By using (2.4), (2.5) and the relation $Z^\Pi = Z^* \circ S$, we have

$$Z^* (w_1 \overline{w_2} - w_1 \overline{w_2}) = Z^* (S(w_1) m S(w_0) - S(w_1) S(w_0)) = 0.$$  

The last equality follows from Theorem 2.2 and the inclusions $S(\mathfrak{H}_1^1) \subset \mathfrak{H}_1^1$ and $S(\mathfrak{H}_0^0) \subset \mathfrak{H}_0^0$. The other identity can be proven in the same way. 

**3. Application.** In [2], Hoffman proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1 ([2]).** For positive integers $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ with $k_1 \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_i + 1, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_n)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=0}^{k_i-2} \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_i - j, j + 1, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_n).$$

In this section, we prove an analogue of Hoffman’s relations for NMZVs:

**Theorem 3.2.** For positive integers $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ with $k_1 \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - 1 + \delta_{ni}) \overline{\zeta}(k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_i + 1, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_n)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=0}^{k_i-2} \overline{\zeta}(k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_i - j, j + 1, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_n).$$

We first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.**

(i) Let $w \in \mathfrak{H}$ and let $k$ be a positive integer. Then

$$y \overline{w} z_k w = \begin{cases} z_1 z_k + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} z_k - j z_{j+1} - (k + 1) z_{k+1} + z_k z_1 & (w = 1), \\ z_1 z_k w + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} z_k - j z_{j+1} w - k z_{k+1} w + z_k (y \overline{w}) w & (w \neq 1), \end{cases}$$

where the summation is treated as 0 when $k = 1$.  


(ii) For \( k_1, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \),
\[
y \mathcal{M} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i} z_1 z_{k_{i+1}} \cdots z_{k_n} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, k_i \geq 2}^{k_i-2} \sum_{j=0}^{k_i-1} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i-j} z_{j+1} z_{k_{i+1}} \cdots z_{k_n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (k_i + \delta_{n_i}) z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i-1} z_{k_i+1} z_{k_{i+1}} \cdots z_{k_n}.
\]

Proof. (i) The case \( k = 1 \) is clear from the definition of \( \mathcal{M} \), and the case \( k \geq 2 \) can be proved by induction on \( k \).

(ii) We prove the assertion by induction on \( n \). The case \( n = 1 \) follows from (i). We assume that the assertion is true for \( n - 1 \). Using (i), we obtain
\[
y \mathcal{M} z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n} = z_1 z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n} + \sum_{j=0}^{k_1-2} z_{k_1-j} z_{j+1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n} - k_1 z_{k_1+1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n} = z_1 (y \mathcal{M} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n}).
\]
By the induction hypothesis, this equals the right hand side of (3.1).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 3.3 and the definition of \( \mathcal{M} \), we have
\[
y \mathcal{M} z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n} - y \mathcal{M} z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, k_i \geq 2}^{k_i-2} \sum_{j=0}^{k_i-1} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i-j} z_{j+1} z_{k_{i+1}} \cdots z_{k_n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (k_i + \delta_{n_i} - 1) z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i-1} z_{k_i+1} z_{k_{i+1}} \cdots z_{k_n}.
\]
The right hand side is in \( H^0 \) as \( k_1 \geq 2 \). Therefore, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.12.
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