

The complete determination of wide Richaud–Degert types which are not 5 modulo 8 with class number one

by

JUNGYUN LEE (Daejeon)

1. Introduction and statement of results. Let d be a square free integer and $h(d)$ be the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Let $d = n^2 + r$ be a square free integer such that $r \mid 4n$ and $-n < r \leq n$. In this case, we call d a *Richaud–Degert type*. If $|r| \neq 1, 4$, then it is called a *wide Richaud–Degert type*, while if $|r| = 1$ or 4 , then it is called a *narrow Richaud–Degert type*.

There had been many conjectures about the upper bound of Richaud–Degert types d with $h(d) = 1$. Yokoi [15] conjectured that $h(n^2 + 4) > 1$ if $n > 17$. Chowla [7] conjectured that $h(4n^2 + 1) > 1$ if $n > 13$. Biró [1], [2] proved the above two conjectures. Also Mollin [10] conjectured that $h(n^2 - 4) = 1$ if $n > 21$. Mollin’s conjecture was confirmed by Byeon, Kim and the author [5]. This determines all real quadratic fields of narrow Richaud–Degert types with class number 1.

In this paper, we prove the following Mollin–Williams conjecture (see Conjecture 5.4.4 on page 176 of [11]). From this, we show that there are exactly 14 wide R-D types $d \not\equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ with $h(d) = 1$.

MOLLIN AND WILLIAMS CONJECTURE. *Let $d = n^2 \pm 2$ be a squarefree integer. Then $h(d) > 1$ if $n > 20$.*

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $d \not\equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ be a wide Richaud–Degert type. Then $h(d) = 1$ if and only if*

$$d = 3, 6, 7, 11, 14, 23, 33, 38, 47, 62, 83, 167, 227, 398.$$

2. Computation of special values of the zeta function associated with $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n^2 - 2})$. Let $d = n^2 - 2$ be a positive square free integer, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and $O(K)$ the ring of integers of K . Then $\epsilon = n^2 - 1 + n\sqrt{n^2 - 2}$ is a fundamental unit of K (see [8]), and $\{1, \omega\}$ is an integral basis for $O(K)$, where $\omega = \sqrt{n^2 - 2}$. For an integral ideal \mathfrak{a} , let $N(\mathfrak{a})$ be the number of

cosets of $O(K)/\mathfrak{a}$, and for an element α of K , let $N_K(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \bar{\alpha}$. We note that $N_K(\epsilon) = 1$. Let $I(K)$ be the set of nonzero fractional ideals of K . Let K^+ be the set of totally positive elements in K , and $i(K^+)$ be the set of principal fractional ideals generated by the elements in K^+ . Let χ be an odd primitive character with conductor q . Then from the fact that $N_K(\epsilon) = 1$ and $N_K(\omega) < 0$, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1 ([9, pp. 242–243]). *If $h(d) = 1$, then*

$$I(K) = (q) \cdot i(K^+) \cup (q\omega) \cdot i(K^+). \blacksquare$$

Thus if $h(d) = 1$, then

$$(1) \quad \zeta_K(s, \chi) := \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in I(K) \\ \text{integral}}} \frac{\chi(N(\mathfrak{a}))}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} \\ = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in (q) \cdot i(K^+) \\ \text{integral}}} \frac{\chi(N(\mathfrak{a}))}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} + \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in (q\omega) \cdot i(K^+) \\ \text{integral}}} \frac{\chi(N(\mathfrak{a}))}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s}.$$

By defining

$$R(\mathfrak{b}) := \{a + b\epsilon \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } 0 < a \leq 1, 0 \leq b < 1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{b} \cdot (a + b\epsilon) \subset O(K)\}$$

for an integral ideal \mathfrak{b} in K , we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.2 ([5, Lemma 2.2]). *An integral ideal \mathfrak{a} of K is in $\mathfrak{b} \cdot i(K^+) := \{\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{c} \in i(K^+)\}$ if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \cdot (a + b\epsilon + n_1 + n_2\epsilon)$$

for some $a + b\epsilon \in R(\mathfrak{b})$ and nonnegative integers n_1, n_2 . \blacksquare

In the following lemma, we find the set of all (x, y) for which $x + y\epsilon \in R((q))$.

LEMMA 2.3.

$$\{(x, y) \mid x + y\epsilon \in R((q))\} \\ = \left\{ (x, y) \mid x = -\frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{D + qj}{nq} + \sigma_1(j) \text{ and } y = \frac{D + qj}{nq} \right. \\ \left. \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq C, D \leq q-1 \right\},$$

where

$$\sigma_1(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{nr_{C,D}(n) - D}{q} \right\rfloor, \\ 0 & \text{if } \left\lfloor \frac{nr_{C,D}(n) - D}{q} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$r_{C,D}(n) = nD - C - q \left[\frac{nD - C}{q} \right].$$

Proof. Since $\{C + D\omega \mid 0 \leq C, D \leq q - 1\}$ represents all elements in $O(K)/qO(K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(x, y) \mid x + y\epsilon \in R((q))\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \mid x + y\epsilon \in q^{-1}O(K) \text{ and } 0 < x \leq 1, 0 \leq y < 1\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \mid q(x + y\epsilon) = C + D\omega + q(i + j\omega) \text{ for } 0 \leq C, D \leq q - 1, \\ & \quad \text{integers } i, j \text{ and } 0 < x \leq 1, 0 \leq y < 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the equation

$$\omega = \frac{\epsilon}{n} - \frac{n^2 - 1}{n}$$

implies that

$$q(x + y\epsilon) = C + qi + (D + qj) \left(\frac{\epsilon}{n} - \frac{n^2 - 1}{n} \right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{D + qj}{nq}, \\ x &= \frac{C}{q} - \frac{(D + qj)(n^2 - 1)}{nq} + \left[1 + \frac{(D + qj)(n^2 - 1)}{nq} - \frac{C}{q} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. The equation

$$\frac{(D + qj)(n^2 - 1)}{nq} - \frac{C}{q} = \left[\frac{nD - C}{q} \right] + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{D + qj}{qn} + nj$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C}{q} - \frac{(D + qj)(n^2 - 1)}{nq} + \left[1 + \frac{(D + qj)(n^2 - 1)}{nq} - \frac{C}{q} \right] \\ = 1 - \frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{D + qj}{qn} + \left[\frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{D + qj}{qn} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left[\frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{D + qj}{qn} \right] + 1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq \left[\frac{nr_{C,D}(n) - D}{q} \right], \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 + \left[\frac{nr_{C,D}(n) - D}{q} \right] \leq j \leq n - 1, \end{cases}$$

the proof is complete. ■

We also find the set of (x, y) with $x + y\epsilon \in R((q\omega))$:

LEMMA 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(x, y) \mid x + y\epsilon \in R((q\omega))\} \\ &= \left\{ (x, y) \mid x = 1 + \frac{D}{q} - \frac{(n^2 - 1)(C + iq)}{qn(n^2 - 2)} + \sigma_2(i) \text{ and } y = \frac{C + iq}{qn(n^2 - 2)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n(n^2 - 2) - 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq C, D \leq q - 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_2(i) = k \Leftrightarrow l(k) \leq i < l(k + 1)$$

for $k = -1, 0, 1, \dots, n^2 - 2$ and

$$l(k) = \left\lceil \frac{n(n^2 - 2)(qk + D)}{q(n^2 - 1)} - \frac{C}{q} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. The set $\{C + D\omega \mid 0 \leq C, D \leq q - 1\}$ represents all elements in $O(K)/qO(K)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(x, y) \mid x + y\epsilon \in R((q\omega))\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \mid x + y\epsilon \in (q\omega)^{-1}O(K) \text{ and } 0 < x \leq 1, 0 \leq y < 1\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \mid q\omega(x + y\epsilon) = C + D\omega + q(i + j\omega) \text{ for } 0 \leq C, D \leq q - 1, \\ & \quad \text{integers } i, j \text{ and } 0 < x \leq 1, 0 \leq y < 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

From $\bar{\omega} = -\frac{\epsilon}{n} + \frac{n^2 - 1}{n}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} q\omega(x + y\epsilon) &= (C + qi) + \omega(D + qj) \Leftrightarrow \\ -q(n^2 - 2)(x + y\epsilon) &= -(n^2 - 2)(D + qj) + \frac{n^2 - 1}{n}(C + iq) - \frac{C + iq}{n}\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{C + qi}{qn(n^2 - 2)}, \\ x &= 1 + \frac{D}{q} - \frac{(n^2 - 1)(C + iq)}{qn(n^2 - 2)} + \left[\frac{(n^2 - 1)(C + iq)}{qn(n^2 - 2)} - \frac{D}{q} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n(n^2 - 2) - 1$. By defining

$$\sigma_2(i) := \left[\frac{(n^2 - 1)(C + iq)}{qn(n^2 - 2)} - \frac{D}{q} \right],$$

we see that $\sigma_2(i) = k$ for $k = -1, 0, 1, \dots, n^2 - 2$ if and only if

$$\left\lceil \frac{n(n^2 - 2)(qk + D)}{q(n^2 - 1)} - \frac{C}{q} \right\rceil \leq i < \left\lceil \frac{n(n^2 - 2)(q(k + 1) + D)}{q(n^2 - 1)} - \frac{C}{q} \right\rceil. \blacksquare$$

From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we deduce

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If $h(n^2 - 2) = 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_K(0, \chi) &= \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - (n^2 - 2)D^2) \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S\left(-\frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{D + qj}{nq} + \sigma_1(j), \frac{D + qj}{nq}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=0}^{(n^2-2)n-1} S\left(1 - \frac{(C + iq)(n^2 - 1)}{qn(n^2 - 2)} + \frac{D}{q} + \sigma_2(i), \frac{C + iq}{qn(n^2 - 2)}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $S(x, y) = B_1(x)B_1(y) + \frac{1}{4}(\epsilon + \bar{\epsilon})(B_2(x) + B_2(y))$ and B_1, B_2 are the first and second Bernoulli polynomials.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in (q) \cdot i(K^+) \\ \text{integral}}} \frac{\chi(N(\mathbf{a}))}{N(\mathbf{a})^s} &= \sum_{x+y\epsilon \in R((q))} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(N((q) \cdot (x + y\epsilon + n_1 + n_2\epsilon)))}{N((q) \cdot (x + y\epsilon + n_1 + n_2\epsilon))^s} \\ &= \sum_{x+y\epsilon \in R((q))} \chi(N_K(q(x + y\epsilon))) \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} N_K(q(x + y\epsilon + n_1 + n_2\epsilon))^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in (q\omega) \cdot i(K^+) \\ \text{integral}}} \frac{\chi(N(\mathbf{a}))}{N(\mathbf{a})^s} \\ &= \sum_{x+y\epsilon \in R((q\omega))} \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(N((q\omega) \cdot (x + y\epsilon + n_1 + n_2\epsilon)))}{N((q\omega) \cdot (x + y\epsilon + n_1 + n_2\epsilon))^s} \\ &= \sum_{x+y\epsilon \in R((q\omega))} \chi(-N_K(q\omega(x + y\epsilon))) \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} (-N_K(q\omega(x + y\epsilon + n_1 + n_2\epsilon)))^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we recall Shintani's result in [13], [14]:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} N_K(x + y\epsilon + n_1 + n_2\epsilon)^{-s}|_{s=0} = S(x, y).$$

We note that for $x + y\epsilon \in R((q))$,

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad N_K(q(x + y\epsilon)) &= N_K(C + D\omega + q(i + j\omega)) \\ &\equiv N_K(C + D\omega) \pmod{q} = C^2 - (n^2 - 2)D^2, \end{aligned}$$

and for $x + y\epsilon \in R((q\omega))$,

$$(6) \quad N_K(q\omega(x + y\epsilon)) = N_K(C + D\omega + q(i + j\omega)) \\ \equiv N_K(C + D\omega) \pmod{q} = C^2 - (n^2 - 2)D^2.$$

From Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and the equations (1)–(6), we deduce the assertion immediately. ■

We observe that $-1 \leq \sigma_2(i) \leq n^2 - 2$ for $0 \leq i \leq n(n^2 - 2) - 1$ and $\sigma_2(i) = k \Leftrightarrow l(k) \leq i < l(k + 1)$. So we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i) &= \sum_{i=0}^{l(0)-1} (-1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n^2-3} \sum_{i=l(k)}^{l(k+1)-1} k + (n^2 - 2) \sum_{i=l(n^2-2)}^{n(n^2-2)-1} 1, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i)^2 &= \sum_{i=0}^{l(0)-1} 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n^2-3} \sum_{i=l(k)}^{l(k+1)-1} k^2 + (n^2 - 2)^2 \sum_{i=l(n^2-2)}^{n(n^2-2)-1} 1, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i)i &= \sum_{i=0}^{l(0)-1} (-i) + \sum_{k=0}^{n^2-3} \sum_{i=l(k)}^{l(k+1)-1} ki + (n^2 - 2) \sum_{i=l(n^2-2)}^{n(n^2-2)-1} i, \end{aligned}$$

where $l(k)$ is computed in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let*

$$s_{C,D}(n) = r_{C,D}(n)n - D - q \left\lceil \frac{r_{C,D}(n)n - D}{q} \right\rceil, \quad u_{C,D}(n) = \left\lceil \frac{nD - C}{q} \right\rceil, \\ v_{C,D}(n) = \left\lceil \frac{r_{C,D}(n)n - D}{q} \right\rceil, \quad w_{C,D}(n) = \left\lceil \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rceil.$$

Assume $n > q$ and $0 \leq k \leq n^2 - 2$ with $k = nk_1 + k_2$ ($k_2 = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$). If $r_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$ or $s_{C,D}(n) = 0$, then

$$l(k) = \begin{cases} u_{C,D}(n) + nk - k_1 + 1 & \text{if } k_1 < w_{C,D}(n) \text{ and } k_2 \leq v_{C,D}(n), \\ u_{C,D}(n) + nk - k_1 & \text{if } k_1 < w_{C,D}(n) \text{ and } k_2 > v_{C,D}(n), \\ u_{C,D}(n) + nk - k_1 + 1 & \text{if } k_1 \geq w_{C,D}(n) \text{ and } k_2 < v_{C,D}(n), \\ u_{C,D}(n) + nk - k_1 & \text{if } k_1 \geq w_{C,D}(n) \text{ and } k_2 \geq v_{C,D}(n), \end{cases}$$

while if $r_{C,D}(n) = 0$ and $s_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$, then

$$l(k) = \begin{cases} u_{C,D}(n) + nk - k_1 - 1 & \text{if } k_1 \geq w_{C,D}(n) - 1 \text{ and } k_2 = n - 1, \\ u_{C,D}(n) + nk - k_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} l(k) &= \left\lceil \frac{n(n^2 - 2)(qk + D)}{q(n^2 - 1)} - \frac{C}{q} \right\rceil \\ &= nk + \left\lceil \frac{nD - C}{q} - \frac{k}{n - \frac{1}{n}} - \frac{D}{q(n - \frac{1}{n})} \right\rceil. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\lceil \frac{nD - C}{q} - \frac{k}{n - \frac{1}{n}} - \frac{D}{q(n - \frac{1}{n})} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{nD - C}{q} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{\frac{D}{q} + \frac{k_1}{n} + k_2}{n - \frac{1}{n}} \right\rceil - k_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we observe that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\lceil \frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{\frac{D}{q} + \frac{k_1}{n} + k_2}{n - \frac{1}{n}} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{\left\lceil \frac{r_{C,D}(n)n - D}{q} \right\rceil - k_2}{n - \frac{1}{n}} + \frac{\left\lceil \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rceil - k_1}{n(n - \frac{1}{n})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n) - q \left\lceil \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rceil}{qn(n - \frac{1}{n})} \right\rceil. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the case of $r_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$ or $s_{C,D}(n) = 0$,

$$(11) \quad k_1 < \left\lceil \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 \leq \left\lceil \frac{r_{C,D}(n)n - D}{q} \right\rceil.$$

For $n > q$ we have $\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} < n - 1$ and $\frac{r_{C,D}(n)n - D}{q} < n - 1$. So

$$(12) \quad \left\lceil \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rceil \leq n - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\lceil \frac{r_{C,D}(n)n - D}{q} \right\rceil \leq n - 2.$$

If $s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n) - q \left\lceil \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rceil = 0$, then $\left\lceil \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rceil = \left\lfloor \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rfloor$. Thus from (11), (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \left\lceil \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rceil - k_1 \leq n - 2, \\ 0 &\leq \left\lceil \frac{r_{C,D}(n)n - D}{q} \right\rceil - k_2 \leq n - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{n(n - \frac{1}{n})} \leq \frac{\left\lceil \frac{r_{C,D}(n)n - D}{q} \right\rceil - k_2}{n - \frac{1}{n}} + \frac{\left\lceil \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right\rceil - k_1}{n(n - \frac{1}{n})} \leq \frac{n^2 - n - 2}{n^2 - 1} < 1.$$

So

$$(13) \quad \left[\frac{\left[\frac{r_{C,D}(n)n-D}{q} \right] - k_2}{n - \frac{1}{n}} + \frac{\left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n-r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right] - k_1}{n\left(n - \frac{1}{n}\right)} \right] = 1.$$

If $s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n) - q\left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n-r_{C,D}(n)}{q}\right] \neq 0$, then $\left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n-r_{C,D}(n)}{q}\right] = \left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n-r_{C,D}(n)}{q}\right] + 1$. From (11), (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right] - k_1 \leq n - 2, \\ 0 &\leq \left[\frac{r_{C,D}(n)n - D}{q} \right] - k_2 \leq n - 2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$1 \leq s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n) - q\left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n)}{q}\right] \leq q - 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \frac{1}{qn\left(n - \frac{1}{n}\right)} \leq \frac{\left[\frac{r_{C,D}(n)n-D}{q} \right] - k_2}{n - \frac{1}{n}} + \frac{\left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n-r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right] - k_1}{n\left(n - \frac{1}{n}\right)} \\ &\quad + \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n) - q\left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n-r_{C,D}(n)}{q}\right]}{qn\left(n - \frac{1}{n}\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{n^2 - n - 2}{n^2 - 1} + \frac{q - 1}{qn\left(n - \frac{1}{n}\right)} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(14) \quad \left[\frac{\left[\frac{r_{C,D}(n)n-D}{q} \right] - k_2}{n - \frac{1}{n}} + \frac{\left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n-r_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right] - k_1}{n\left(n - \frac{1}{n}\right)} + \frac{s_{C,D}(n)n - r_{C,D}(n) - q\left[\frac{s_{C,D}(n)n-r_{C,D}(n)}{q}\right]}{qn\left(n - \frac{1}{n}\right)} \right] = 1.$$

From (8)–(10) and (13)–(14), we have

$$l(k) = \left[\frac{nD - C}{q} \right] + nk - k_1 + 1.$$

The other cases can be handled similarly. ■

We can express the summation (7) more explicitly using Lemma 2.6. In case $r_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$ or $s_{C,D}(n) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n^2-3} \sum_{i=l(k)}^{l(k+1)-1} \\
&= \sum_{k_1=0}^{w-1} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{v-1} \sum_{i=n(k_1n+k_2)+u-k_1+1}^{n(k_1n+k_2+1)+u-k_1} + \sum_{i=n(k_1n+v)+u-k_1+1}^{n(k_1n+v+1)+u-k_1-1} + \sum_{k_2=v+1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=n(k_1n+k_2)+u-k_1}^{n(k_1n+k_2+1)+u-k_1-1} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=w}^{n-2} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{v-2} \sum_{i=n(k_1n+k_2)+u-k_1+1}^{n(k_1n+k_2+1)+u-k_1} + \sum_{i=n(k_1n+v-1)+u-k_1+1}^{n(k_1n+v)+u-k_1-1} + \sum_{k_2=v}^{n-1} \sum_{i=n(k_1n+k_2)+u-k_1}^{n(k_1n+k_2+1)+u-k_1-1} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{k_2=0}^{v-2} \sum_{i=n(n^2-n+k_2)+u-n+2}^{n(n^2-n+k_2+1)+u-n+1} + \sum_{i=n(n^2-n+v-1)+u-n+2}^{n(n^2-n+v)+u-n} + \sum_{k_2=v}^{n-3} \sum_{i=n(n^2-n+k_2)+u-n+1}^{n(n^2-n+k_2+1)+u-n} ,
\end{aligned}$$

while in case $r_{C,D}(n) = 0$ and $s_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n^2-3} \sum_{i=l(k)}^{l(k+1)-1} \\
&= \sum_{k_1=0}^{\omega-2} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{n-2} \sum_{i=n(k_1n+k_2)+u-k_1}^{n(k_1n+k_2+1)+u-k_1-1} + \sum_{i=n(k_1n+n-1)+u-k_1}^{n(k_1n+n)+u-k_1-2} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{k_1=\omega-1}^{n-2} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{n-3} \sum_{i=n(k_1n+k_2)+u-k_1}^{n(k_1n+k_2+1)+u-k_1-1} + \sum_{i=n(k_1n+n-2)+u-k_1}^{n(k_1n+n-1)+u-k_1-2} + \sum_{i=n(k_1n+n-1)+u-k_1-1}^{n(k_1n+n)+u-k_1-2} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{k_2=0}^{n-3} \sum_{i=n(n^2-n+k_2)+u-n+1}^{n(n^2-n+k_2+1)+u-n} .
\end{aligned}$$

(In the above two equations, u, v, w are $u_{C,D}(n), v_{C,D}(n), w_{C,D}(n)$ respectively.) From this expression of $l(k)$, we can calculate $\sum \sigma_2(i)$, $\sum i\sigma_2(i)$ and $\sum \sigma_2(i)^2$ by computer. If $r_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$ or $s_{C,D}(n) = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i) = \frac{1}{2} [-4n^3 + n^5 - n^2(1 + 2u_{C,D}(n)) \\
&\quad - 2n(-2 + v_{C,D}(n)) + 2(1 + u_{C,D}(n) - w_{C,D}(n))],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i)^2 = \\
& - 3 - \frac{11n^5}{6} + \frac{n^7}{3} - 3u_{C,D}(n) - \frac{1}{2}n^4(1 + 2u_{C,D}(n)) - n^3(-3 + v_{C,D}(n)) \\
& + n^2(3 + 4u_{C,D}(n) + v_{C,D}(n)) + w_{C,D}(n) - 2v_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n) \\
& - n(2 - 2v_{C,D}(n) + v_{C,D}(n)^2 - w_{C,D}(n) + w_{C,D}(n)^2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} i\sigma_2(i) = \\
& \frac{1}{12} \left[-23n^6 + 4n^8 - 6n^5(1 + u_{C,D}(n)) + n^4(41 - 6v_{C,D}(n)) \right. \\
& + 6n^3(5 + 4u_{C,D}(n) + v_{C,D}(n)) \\
& - 6n(6 + v_{C,D}(n) + 2u_{C,D}(n)(2 + v_{C,D}(n)) + 2v_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)) \\
& + 6(u_{C,D}(n) + u_{C,D}(n)^2 - 2u_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n) + (-1 + w_{C,D}(n))w_{C,D}(n)) \\
& \left. - 2n^2(11 + 3u_{C,D}(n)^2 - 6v_{C,D}(n) + 3v_{C,D}(n)^2 - 3w_{C,D}(n) + 3w_{C,D}(n)^2) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

If $r_{C,D}(n) = 0$ and $s_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i) = 1 + 3n - 2n^3 + \frac{n^5}{2} - w_{C,D}(n) + u_{C,D}(n) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2}n^2(1 + 2u_{C,D}(n)), \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i)^2 = \\
& \quad 4n^3 - \frac{11n^5}{6} + \frac{n^7}{3} - \frac{n^4}{2}(1 + 2u_{C,D}(n)) + n^2(2 + 4u_{C,D}(n)) \\
& \quad - 3(1 + u_{C,D}(n) - w_{C,D}(n)) + n(-5 + w_{C,D}(n) - w_{C,D}(n)^2), \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} i\sigma_2(i) = \\
& \frac{1}{12} \left[47n^4 - 23n^6 + 4n^8 + 24n^3(1 + u_{C,D}(n)) - 6n^5(1 + u_{C,D}(n)) \right. \\
& - 6n(5 + 2u_{C,D}(n) - 2w_{C,D}(n)) \\
& + 6(u_{C,D}(n) + u_{C,D}(n)^2 - 2u_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n) + (-1 + w_{C,D}(n))w_{C,D}(n)) \\
& \left. - 2n^2(20 + 3u_{C,D}(n)^2 - 3w_{C,D}(n) + 3w_{C,D}(n)^2) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We will use these expressions in the proof of (ii) of the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *If $n > q$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S\left(-\frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{D + qj}{nq} + \sigma_1(j), \frac{D + qj}{nq}\right) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \frac{D^2n}{2q^2} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{nr_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} - \frac{v_{C,D}(n)}{2} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q} \\
& \quad - \frac{nD}{2q} + \frac{ns_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} - \frac{ns_{C,D}(n)}{2q},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(ii)} \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{(n^2-2)n-1} S\left(1 - \frac{(C+iq)(n^2-1)}{qn(n^2-2)} + \frac{D}{q} + \sigma_2(i), \frac{C+iq}{qn(n^2-2)}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{4} - \frac{nr_{C,D}(n)C}{q^2} + \frac{nr_{C,D}(n)}{2q} - \frac{3nr_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} + \frac{u_{C,D}(n)}{2} + \frac{Cv_{C,D}(n)}{q} \\
&+ \frac{r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{w_{C,D}(n)}{2} - \frac{D}{q} + \frac{3nD^2}{2q^2} - \frac{2u_{C,D}(n)D}{q} + \frac{ns_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} \\
&- \frac{u_{C,D}(n)s_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{w_{C,D}(n)s_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{ns_{C,D}(n)D}{q^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $r_{C,D}(n)$, $s_{C,D}(n)$, $u_{C,D}(n)$, $v_{C,D}(n)$, $w_{C,D}(n)$ are as in Lemma 2.6.

Proof. (i) By computer, we obtain the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S\left(-\frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{D+qj}{nq} + \sigma_1(j), \frac{D+qj}{nq}\right) \\
&= \frac{nD^2}{q^2} - \frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)D}{q^2} + \frac{n^3r_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} + \frac{nv_{C,D}(n)D}{q} - \frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q} \\
&+ \frac{nv_{C,D}(n)^2}{2} - \frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)}{2q} + \frac{nv_{C,D}(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{nr_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} \\
&- \frac{v_{C,D}(n)}{2} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the equation

$$s_{C,D}(n) = nr_{C,D}(n) - D - q \left[\frac{nr_{C,D}(n) - D}{q} \right] = nr_{C,D}(n) - D - qv_{C,D}(n)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{nD^2}{2q^2} - \frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)D}{q^2} + \frac{n^3r_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} + \frac{nv_{C,D}(n)D}{q} \\
&\quad - \frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{nv_{C,D}(n)^2}{2} \\
&= \frac{n(nr_{C,D}(n) - D - qv_{C,D}(n))^2}{2q^2} = \frac{ns_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)}{2q} + \frac{nv_{C,D}(n)}{2} = \frac{-n(nr_{C,D}(n) - D - qv_{C,D}(n))}{2q} - \frac{nD}{2q} \\
&= -\frac{ns_{C,D}(n)}{2q} - \frac{nD}{2q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we can obtain (i) directly.

(ii) From our expressions above for the sums $\sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i)$, $\sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i)^2$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} \sigma_2(i)i$, we can also calculate

$$\sum_{i=0}^{(n^2-2)n-1} S\left(1 - \frac{(C+iq)(n^2-1)}{qn(n^2-2)} + \frac{D}{q} + \sigma_2(i), \frac{C+iq}{qn(n^2-2)}\right).$$

Here are the results. In case $r_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$ or $s_{C,D}(n) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{(n^2-2)n-1} S\left(1 - \frac{(C+iq)(n^2-1)}{qn(n^2-2)} + \frac{D}{q} + \sigma_2(i), \frac{C+iq}{qn(n^2-2)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{4} + \frac{C^2}{nq^2} - \frac{C}{nq} + \frac{2Cr_{C,D}(n)}{nq^2} - \frac{Cnr_{C,D}(n)}{q^2} - \frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{nr_{C,D}(n)}{2q} \\ &+ \frac{r_{C,D}(n)^2}{nq^2} - \frac{3nr_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} + \frac{n^3r_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} + \frac{u_{C,D}(n)}{2} - \frac{u_{C,D}(n)}{n} \\ &+ \frac{Cu_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)u_{C,D}(n)}{nq} - \frac{nr_{C,D}(n)u_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{Cu_{C,D}(n)}{q} \\ &+ \frac{r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q} + u_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n) + \frac{nv_{C,D}(n)^2}{2} \\ &+ \frac{w_{C,D}(n)}{2} + \frac{Cw_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{nq} - \frac{nr_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{q} \\ &+ \frac{u_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{q} + v_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n) \\ &= \left(\frac{C^2}{nq^2} + \frac{2Cr_{C,D}(n)}{nq^2} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)^2}{nq^2} + \frac{2Cu_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{2r_{C,D}(n)u_{C,D}(n)}{nq}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{C}{nq} - \frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{nq} - \frac{u_{C,D}(n)}{n}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{Cw_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{u_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{n}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{Cu_{C,D}(n)}{nq} - \frac{r_{C,D}(n)u_{C,D}(n)}{nq}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{nr_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{Dw_{C,D}(n)}{q} + v_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{n^3r_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} - \frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{nv_{C,D}(n)^2}{2} + \frac{nD^2}{2q^2}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{nr_{C,D}(n)u_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{Du_{C,D}(n)}{q} + u_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{Dw_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{nD^2}{2q^2} - \frac{Du_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{Cnr_{C,D}(n)}{q^2} + \frac{nr_{C,D}(n)}{2q} \\
& - \frac{3nr_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} + \frac{u_{C,D}(n)}{2} + \frac{Cv_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{w_{C,D}(n)}{2} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the case $r_{C,D}(n) = 0$ and $s_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(16) \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{(n^2-2)n-1} S\left(1 - \frac{(C+iq)(n^2-1)}{qn(n^2-2)} + \frac{D}{q} + \sigma_2(i), \frac{C+iq}{qn(n^2-2)}\right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \frac{C^2}{nq^2} - \frac{C}{nq} - \frac{u_{C,D}(n)}{2} - \frac{u_{C,D}(n)}{n} + \frac{Cu_{C,D}(n)}{nq} \\
& \quad - \frac{C}{q} - \frac{w_{C,D}(n)}{2} + \frac{Cw_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{u_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $r_{C,D}(n) = 0$ and $s_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$ implies that $v_{C,D}(n) = -1$, the equation (16) is obtained from $r_{C,D}(n) = 0$ and $s_{C,D}(n) \neq 0$ and equation (15). Thus in either case we have (15). We note that $r_{C,D}(n) = nD - C - qu_{C,D}(n)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(17) \quad & \frac{C^2}{nq^2} + \frac{2Cr_{C,D}(n)}{nq^2} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)^2}{nq^2} + \frac{2Cu_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{2r_{C,D}(n)u_{C,D}(n)}{nq} \\
& = \frac{(C+r_{C,D}(n)+qu_{C,D}(n))^2}{nq^2} - \frac{u_{C,D}(n)^2}{n} = \frac{nD^2}{q^2} - \frac{u_{C,D}(n)^2}{n},
\end{aligned}$$

$$(18) \quad -\frac{C}{nq} - \frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{nq} - \frac{u_{C,D}(n)}{n} = -\frac{C+r_{C,D}(n)+qu_{C,D}(n)}{nq} = -\frac{D}{q},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(19) \quad & \frac{Cw_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{r_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{u_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{n} \\
& = \frac{(C+r_{C,D}(n)+qu_{C,D}(n))w_{C,D}(n)}{nq} = \frac{Dw_{C,D}(n)}{q}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad & -\frac{Cu_{C,D}(n)}{nq} - \frac{r_{C,D}(n)u_{C,D}(n)}{nq} \\
& = -\frac{(C+r_{C,D}(n)+u_{C,D}(n)q)u_{C,D}(n)}{nq} + \frac{u_{C,D}(n)^2}{n} \\
& = -\frac{Du_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{u_{C,D}(n)^2}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also the equation $s_{C,D}(n) = r_{C,D}(n)n - D - qv_{C,D}(n)$ implies that

$$(21) \quad -\frac{nr_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{Dw_{C,D}(n)}{q} + v_{C,D}(n)w_{C,D}(n) \\ = -\frac{w_{C,D}(n)(r_{C,D}(n)n - D - qv_{C,D}(n))}{q} = -\frac{w_{C,D}(n)s_{C,D}(n)}{q},$$

$$(22) \quad \frac{n^3r_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} - \frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{nv_{C,D}(n)^2}{2} + \frac{nD^2}{2q^2} \\ = \frac{n(nr_{C,D}(n) - D - qv_{C,D}(n))^2}{2q^2} - \frac{nDv_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{n^2r_{C,D}(n)D}{q^2} \\ = \frac{ns_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} + \frac{nD(r_{C,D}(n)n - D - qv_{C,D}(n))}{q^2} + \frac{nD^2}{q^2} \\ = \frac{ns_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} + \frac{nDs_{C,D}(n)}{q^2} + \frac{nD^2}{q^2}$$

and

$$(23) \quad -\frac{nr_{C,D}(n)u_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{Du_{C,D}(n)}{q} + u_{C,D}(n)v_{C,D}(n) \\ = -\frac{u_{C,D}(n)(r_{C,D}(n)n - D - qv_{C,D}(n))}{q} = -\frac{u_{C,D}(n)s_{C,D}(n)}{q}.$$

From (17)–(23), we can deduce (ii). ■

Finally, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.8. *If $h(n^2 - 2) = 1$ and $n > q$, then*

$$\zeta_K(0, \chi) = \frac{1}{2q^2} \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - (n^2 - 2)D^2) \\ \times [n(q^2 - qs_{C,D}(n) + 2r_{C,D}(n)^2 + 2D^2 - 2qD - 2qr_{C,D}(n)) \\ + w_{C,D}(n)(-q^2 + 2qs_{C,D}(n)) - 2q^2 - 4Dr_{C,D}(n) + qC \\ + qs_{C,D}(n) - 2s_{C,D}(n)r_{C,D}(n) + 3qr_{C,D}(n) + 3qD - 2CD],$$

where $r_{C,D}(n)$, $s_{C,D}(n)$, $u_{C,D}(n)$, $v_{C,D}(n)$, $w_{C,D}(n)$ are as in Lemma 2.6.

Proof. By Propositions 2.5 and 2.7,

$$\zeta_K(0, \chi) = \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - (n^2 - 2)D^2) \\ \times \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S\left(-\frac{r_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{D + qj}{nq} + \sigma_1(j), \frac{D + qj}{nq}\right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i=0}^{n(n^2-2)-1} S \left(1 - \frac{(C+iq)(n^2-1)}{qn(n^2-2)} + \frac{D}{q} + \sigma_2(i), \frac{C+iq}{qn(n^2-2)} \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2q^2} \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - (n^2-2)D^2) \cdot [(-2nD^2 + 4qu_{C,D}(n)D) \\
& \quad + (-qnD - q^2u_{C,D}(n)) + (2qu_{C,D}(n)s_{C,D}(n) - 2nDs_{C,D}(n)) \\
& \quad + (-q^2v_{C,D}(n) - qnr_{C,D}(n)) + (2Cnr_{C,D}(n) - 2qCv_{C,D}(n)) \\
& \quad - 2q^2 + nq^2 + 2qr_{C,D}(n) - qns_{C,D}(n) - q^2w_{C,D}(n) \\
& \quad + 2qD + 2qw_{C,D}(n)s_{C,D}(n) + 2nr_{C,D}(n)^2].
\end{aligned}$$

The equation $nD - C - qu_{C,D}(n) = r_{C,D}(n)$ implies that

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} -2nD^2 + 4qu_{C,D}(n)D &= 4D(qu_{C,D}(n) - nD) + 2nD^2 \\ &= -4Dr_{C,D}(n) - 4DC + 2nD^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} -qnD - q^2u_{C,D}(n) &= q(nD - qu_{C,D}(n)) - 2qnD \\ &= qr_{C,D}(n) + qC - 2qnD \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(26) \quad 2qu_{C,D}(n)s_{C,D}(n) - 2nDs_{C,D}(n) = -2s_{C,D}(n)r_{C,D}(n) - 2s_{C,D}(n)C.$$

Also the equation $r_{C,D}(n)n - D - qv_{C,D}(n) = s_{C,D}(n)$ implies that

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} -q^2v_{C,D}(n) - qnr_{C,D}(n) &= -q(qv_{C,D}(n) - nr_{C,D}(n)) - 2qnr_{C,D}(n) \\ &= qD + qs_{C,D}(n) - 2qnr_{C,D}(n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(28) \quad -2qnv_{C,D}(n) + 2Cnr_{C,D}(n) = 2CD + 2Cs_{C,D}(n).$$

From (24)–(28), we can deduce the conclusion of the theorem. ■

COROLLARY 2.9. *If $h(n^2 - 2) = 1$, $n > q$ and $n = qk + r$ for $0 \leq r < q$ then $\zeta_K(0, \chi) = \frac{1}{2q^2}(B_\chi(r)k + A_\chi(r))$, where*

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\chi(r) &= \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - (r^2 - 2)D^2) \\
& \quad \times [r(q^2 - qs_{C,D}(r) + 2r_{C,D}(r)^2 + 2D^2 - 2qD - 2qr_{C,D}(r)) \\
& \quad + w_{C,D}(r)(-q^2 + 2qs_{C,D}(r)) - 2q^2 - 4Dr_{C,D}(r) + qC \\
& \quad - 2s_{C,D}(r)r_{C,D}(r) + qs_{C,D}(r) + 3qr_{C,D}(r) + 3qD - 2CD],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\chi(r) &= \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - (r^2 - 2)D^2) \cdot [q^3 - 2q^2s_{C,D}(r) + 2r_{C,D}(r)^2q \\
& \quad + 2D^2q - 2Dq^2 - 2q^2r_{C,D}(r) + 2qs_{C,D}(r)^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since χ has conductor q , we have $\chi(C^2 - (n^2 - 2)D^2) = \chi(C^2 - (r^2 - 2)D^2)$ for $n = qk + r$. We note that $s_{C,D}(qk + r) = s_{C,D}(r)$, $r_{C,D}(qk + r) = r_{C,D}(r)$ and $w_{C,D}(qk + r) = s_{C,D}(r)k + w_{C,D}(r)$. This proves the corollary. ■

3. Computation of special values of the zeta function associated with $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n^2 + 2})$. Let $d = n^2 + 2$ be a positive square free integer, and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Then the fundamental unit ϵ of K is $n^2 + 1 + n\sqrt{n^2 + 2}$ (see [8]). Let $\alpha = n + \sqrt{n^2 + 2}$. We note that $N_K(\epsilon) = 1$ and $N_K(\alpha) = -2 < 0$. So if $h(d) = 1$ then

$$I(K) = (q) \cdot i(K^+) \cup (q\alpha) \cdot i(K^+),$$

where $I(K)$ and $i(K^+)$ are defined in the previous section. So we have

$$\zeta_K(s, \chi) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in (q) \cdot i(K^+) \\ \text{integral}}} \frac{\chi(N(\mathbf{a}))}{N(\mathbf{a})^s} + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in (q\alpha) \cdot i(K^+) \\ \text{integral}}} \frac{\chi(N(\mathbf{a}))}{N(\mathbf{a})^s}.$$

We define

$$R(\mathbf{b}) := \{a + b\epsilon \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } 0 < a \leq 1, 0 \leq b < 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{b} \cdot (a + b\epsilon) \subset O(K)\}$$

for an integral ideal \mathbf{b} in K . In the following lemma, we find the set of all (x, y) such that $x + y\epsilon \in R((q))$ or $x + y\epsilon \in R((q\alpha))$.

LEMMA 3.1.

$$(i) \quad \{(x, y) \mid x + y\epsilon \in R((q))\} = \{(x, y) \mid x = \frac{C}{q} - \frac{D+qj}{qn} + \delta_1(j), \\ y = \frac{D+qj}{qn} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq C, D \leq q-1\},$$

where

$$\delta_1(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lceil \frac{Cn-D}{q} \rceil \leq j \leq n-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq \lceil \frac{Cn-D}{q} \rceil - 1. \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \quad \{(x, y) \mid x + y\epsilon \in R((q\alpha))\} = \{(x, y) \mid x = -\frac{C+qi}{2nq} + \frac{t_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \delta_2(i), \\ y = \frac{C+qi}{2qn} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq C, D \leq q-1\},$$

where

$$\delta_2(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{2nt_{C,D}(n)-C}{q} \rceil - 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lceil \frac{2nt_{C,D}(n)-C}{q} \rceil \leq i \leq 2n-1, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } t_{C,D}(n) = D - Cn - q \lceil \frac{D-Cn}{q} \rceil.$$

Proof. The set $\{C + D\alpha \mid 0 \leq C, D \leq q - 1\}$ is a complete set of representatives of O_K/qO_K , since $\{1, \alpha\}$ is a basis for O_K . So for $x + y\epsilon$ in $R((q))$ we have

$$q(x + y\epsilon) = (C + D\alpha) + q(i + j\alpha)$$

and for $x + y\epsilon$ in $R((q\alpha))$ we also have

$$q\alpha(x + y\epsilon) = (C + D\alpha) + q(i + j\alpha),$$

for some $0 \leq C, D \leq q - 1$ and integers i, j . From the equation $\bar{\alpha} = \frac{2n^2+1-\epsilon}{n}$ and computations similar to those in Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain the assertion. ■

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_K(0, \chi) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - 2D^2 + 2nCD) \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S\left(\frac{C}{q} - \frac{D + qj}{qn} + \delta_1(j), \frac{D + qj}{qn}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} S\left(\frac{t_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{C + iq}{2qn} + \delta_2(i), \frac{C + iq}{2qn}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where δ_1, δ_2 and $t_{C,D}$ are defined in Lemma 3.1, and $S(x, y)$ in Proposition 2.5.

Proof. As in Proposition 2.5, we have

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_K(0, \chi) &= \sum_{x+y\epsilon \in R((q))} \chi(N_K(q(x + y\epsilon)))S(x, y) \\ & \quad - \sum_{x+y\epsilon \in R((q\alpha))} \chi(N_K(q\alpha(x + y\epsilon)))S(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

For $x + y\epsilon \in R((q))$,

$$q(x + y\epsilon) = C + D\alpha + q(i + j\alpha).$$

Thus

$$(30) \quad N_K(q(x + y\epsilon)) \equiv N_K(C + D\alpha) \pmod{q} = C^2 - 2D^2 + 2nCD.$$

If $x + y\epsilon$ is in $R((q\alpha))$, then

$$q\alpha(x + y\epsilon) = C + D\alpha + q(i + j\alpha)$$

for some $0 \leq C, D \leq q - 1$ and integers i, j . So

$$(31) \quad N_K(q\alpha(x + y\epsilon)) \equiv N_K(C + D\alpha) \pmod{q} = C^2 - 2D^2 + 2nCD.$$

From (29)–(31), we obtain the conclusion immediately. ■

Finally, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *If $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_K(0, \chi) \\ &= \frac{1}{12q^2} \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - 2D^2 + 2nCD) (6q^2 A_{C,D}(n) + 2q^2 n + 3C^2 n \\ & \quad - 12q A_{C,D}(n)C + 6D^2 n - 6qDn - 6nt_{C,D}(n)^2 - 6qnt_{C,D}(n) \\ & \quad - 3ne_{C,D}(n)^2 + 3qne_{C,D}(n) - 6q^2 B_{C,D}(n) + 12q B_{C,D}(n)t_{C,D}(n) + 3qCn), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{C,D}(n) = \lceil \frac{nC-D}{q} \rceil$, $B_{C,D}(n) = \lceil \frac{2nt_{C,D}(n)-C}{q} \rceil$ and $e_{C,D}(n) = C - 2nt_{C,D}(n) + qB_{C,D}(n)$.

Proof. The equation $D - nC + qA_{C,D}(n) = t_{C,D}(n)$ implies that

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{A_{C,D}(n)^2 n}{2} + \frac{D^2 n}{2q^2} - \frac{CDn^2}{q^2} + \frac{C^2 n^3}{2q^2} + \frac{A_{C,D}(n)Dn}{q} - \frac{A_{C,D}(n)Cn^2}{q} \\ = \frac{n(D - nC + qA_{C,D}(n))^2}{2q^2} = \frac{nt_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(33) \quad \frac{A_{C,D}(n)n}{2} + \frac{Dn}{2q} - \frac{Cn^2}{2q} = \frac{n(D - nC + qA_{C,D}(n))}{2q} = \frac{nt_{C,D}(n)}{2q}.$$

By (32) and (33), we have

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S\left(\frac{C}{q} - \frac{D+qj}{nq} + \delta_1(j), \frac{D+qj}{qn}\right) \\ = \left(\frac{A_{C,D}(n)^2 n}{2} + \frac{D^2 n}{2q^2} - \frac{CDn^2}{q^2} + \frac{C^2 n^3}{2q^2} + \frac{A_{C,D}(n)Dn}{q} - \frac{A_{C,D}(n)Cn^2}{q} \right) \\ - \left(\frac{A_{C,D}(n)n}{2} + \frac{Dn}{2q} - \frac{Cn^2}{2q} \right) + \frac{A_{C,D}(n)}{2} + \frac{n}{12} + \frac{C^2 n}{2q^2} - \frac{A_{C,D}(n)C}{q} \\ + \frac{D^2 n}{2q^2} - \frac{Dn}{2q} \\ = \frac{nt_{C,D}(n)^2}{2q^2} - \frac{nt_{C,D}(n)}{2q} + \frac{A_{C,D}(n)}{2} + \frac{n}{12} + \frac{C^2 n}{2q^2} - \frac{A_{C,D}(n)C}{q} + \frac{D^2 n}{2q^2} - \frac{Dn}{2q}. \end{aligned}$$

Also $C - 2nt_{C,D}(n) + qB_{C,D}(n) = e_{C,D}(n)$ implies that

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{B_{C,D}(n)^2 n}{4} + \frac{C^2 n}{4q^2} + \frac{B_{C,D}(n)Cn}{2q} - \frac{Cn^2 t_{C,D}(n)}{q^2} - \frac{B_{C,D}(n)n^2 t_{C,D}(n)}{q} \\ + \frac{n^3 t_{C,D}(n)^2}{q^2} = \frac{n(C - 2nt_{C,D}(n) + qB_{C,D}(n))^2}{4q^2} = \frac{ne_{C,D}(n)^2}{4q^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(36) \quad \frac{B_{C,D}(n)n}{4} + \frac{Cn}{4q} - \frac{n^2 t_{C,D}(n)}{2q} = \frac{n(C - 2nt_{C,D}(n) + qB_{C,D}(n))}{4q} \\ = \frac{ne_{C,D}(n)}{4q}.$$

From (35) and (36), we deduce that

$$(37) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} S\left(\frac{t_{C,D}(n)}{q} - \frac{C + qi}{2nq} + \delta_2(i), \frac{C + iq}{2nq}\right) \\ = \frac{B_{C,D}(n)}{2} - \frac{n}{12} - \frac{B_{C,D}(n)n}{4} + \frac{B_{C,D}(n)^2 n}{4} + \frac{C^2 n}{2q^2} - \frac{Cn}{2q} + \frac{B_{C,D}(n)Cn}{2q} \\ - \frac{Cn^2 t_{C,D}(n)}{q^2} - \frac{B_{C,D}(n)t_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{n^2 t_{C,D}(n)}{2q} - \frac{B_{C,D}(n)n^2 t_{C,D}(n)}{q} \\ + \frac{nt_{C,D}(n)^2}{q^2} + \frac{n^3 t_{C,D}(n)^2}{q^2} \\ = \left(\frac{B_{C,D}(n)^2 n}{4} + \frac{C^2 n}{4q^2} + \frac{B_{C,D}(n)Cn}{2q} - \frac{Cn^2 t_{C,D}(n)}{q^2} - \frac{B_{C,D}(n)n^2 t_{C,D}(n)}{q} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{n^3 t_{C,D}(n)^2}{q^2}\right) - \left(\frac{B_{C,D}(n)n}{4} + \frac{Cn}{4q} - \frac{n^2 t_{C,D}(n)}{2q}\right) + \frac{B_{C,D}(n)}{2} - \frac{n}{12} \\ - \frac{B_{C,D}(n)t_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{nt_{C,D}(n)^2}{q^2} + \frac{C^2 n}{4q^2} - \frac{Cn}{4q} \\ = \frac{ne_{C,D}(n)^2}{4q^2} - \frac{ne_{C,D}(n)}{4q} + \frac{B_{C,D}(n)}{2} - \frac{n}{12} \\ - \frac{B_{C,D}(n)t_{C,D}(n)}{q} + \frac{nt_{C,D}(n)^2}{q^2} + \frac{C^2 n}{4q^2} - \frac{Cn}{4q}.$$

By combining Proposition 3.2, (34) and (37), we obtain the assertion. ■

COROLLARY 3.4. *If $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$ and $n = qk + r$ for $r = 0, 1, \dots, q - 1$, then*

$$\zeta_K(0, \chi) = \frac{1}{12q^2} (F_\chi(r)k + E_\chi(r)),$$

where

$$E_\chi(r) = \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - 2D^2 + 2rCD) (6q^2 A_{C,D}(r) + 2q^2 r + 3C^2 r \\ - 12q A_{C,D}(r)C + 6D^2 r - 6qDr - 6rt_{C,D}(r)^2 - 6qrt_{C,D}(r) - 3re_{C,D}(r)^2 \\ + 3qre_{C,D}(r) - 6q^2 B_{C,D}(r) + 12q B_{C,D}(r)t_{C,D}(r) + 3qCr),$$

$$F_\chi(r) = \sum_{0 \leq C, D \leq q-1} \chi(C^2 - 2D^2 + 2rCD) (-9C^2 q + 6D^2 q - 3e_{C,D}(r)^2 q \\ + 9Cq^2 - 6Dq^2 + 3e_{C,D}(r)q^2 + 2q^3 - 18q^2 t_{C,D}(r) + 18qt_{C,D}(r)^2)$$

and $A_{C,D}$, $B_{C,D}$, $t_{C,D}$ and $e_{C,D}$ are as in Theorem 3.3.

Proof. We note that $A_{C,D}(qk+r) = Ck + A_{C,D}(r)$, $B_{C,D}(qk+r) = 2t_{C,D}(r)k + B_{C,D}(r)$, $t_{C,D}(qk+r) = t_{C,D}(r)$ and $e_{C,D}(qk+r) = e_{C,D}(r)$. Since the character χ has conductor q , the above equations yield the conclusion. ■

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $d = n^2 \pm 2$ be a positive square free integer and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Let q be a positive integer with $(q, d) = 1$, χ an odd primitive character with conductor q and L_χ a field over \mathbb{Q} generated by the values of $\chi(a)$ for $a = 1, \dots, q$. Define $m_\chi := \sum_{a=1}^q a\chi(a)$. Then from the same argument in Section 2 of [1] and Corollaries 2.9 and 3.4, we infer that if $n = qk + r$ and $h(n^2 - 2) = 1$ with $n > q$, then

$$B_\chi(r)k + A_\chi(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{I},$$

and if $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$, then

$$F_\chi(r)k + E_\chi(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{I},$$

for a prime ideal I of L_χ dividing the principal ideal (m_χ) . If the integers q and p satisfy condition (*) in [6], then for r such that $B_\chi(r) \notin I$ [resp. $F_\chi(r) \notin I$], there exists a unique $T_{A,B}^\chi(r)$ [resp. $T_{E,F}^\chi(r)$] $\in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that

$$-q \frac{A_\chi(r)}{B_\chi(r)} + r + I = T_{A,B}^\chi(r) + I, \quad -q \frac{E_\chi(r)}{F_\chi(r)} + r + I = T_{E,F}^\chi(r) + I.$$

So we have

$$(38) \quad n \equiv T_{A,B}^\chi(r) \pmod{p} \text{ for } n = qk + r \text{ with } h(n^2 - 2) = 1 \text{ and } n > q,$$

$$(39) \quad n \equiv T_{E,F}^\chi(r) \pmod{p} \text{ for } n = qk + r \text{ with } h(n^2 + 2) = 1.$$

We will write $q \rightarrow p$ if q and p satisfy condition (*) in [6]. From Section 4 in [1], we have

$$175 \rightarrow 61, \quad 61 \rightarrow 1861, \quad 175 \rightarrow 1861.$$

Now, we find other p and q satisfying condition (*). Consider the function $f_{25} : (\mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/20\mathbb{Z}$ for which $2^{f_{25}(a)} \equiv a \pmod{25}$ and the function $g_7 : (\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ for which $3^{g_7(a)} \equiv a \pmod{7}$. These two functions are well defined, since $(\mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z})^*$ [resp. $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^*$] is a cyclic group generated by 2 [resp. 3]. Define

$$\chi_4 : (\mathbb{Z}/175\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by $\chi_4(a) = \zeta_{30}^{6f_{25}(a_{25})} \cdot \zeta_{30}^{25g_7(a_7)}$, where $a \equiv a_{25} \pmod{25}$, $a \equiv a_7 \pmod{7}$ and ζ_{30} is a primitive 30th root of unity. Then χ_4 is an odd primitive character with conductor 175. Since the order of 450 modulo 601 is 30, $I_4 = (601, \zeta_{30} - 450)$ is the prime ideal in $L_{\chi_4} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{30})$ lying over the rational prime 601 of degree 1 (see page 97 in [1]). From $\zeta_{30} \equiv 450 \pmod{I_4}$, we find that

$$m_{\chi_4} \equiv 0 \pmod{I_4}.$$

So we obtain

$$(40) \quad 175 \rightarrow 601.$$

Now we define the functions $T_{A,B}^{\chi_i}(r)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} -175 \frac{A_{\chi_1}(r)}{B_{\chi_1}(r)} + r + I_1 &= T_{A,B}^{\chi_1}(r) + I_1, \\ -61 \frac{A_{\chi_2}(r)}{B_{\chi_2}(r)} + r + I_2 &= T_{A,B}^{\chi_2}(r) + I_2, \\ -175 \frac{A_{\chi_3}(r)}{B_{\chi_3}(r)} + r + I_3 &= T_{A,B}^{\chi_3}(r) + I_3, \\ -175 \frac{A_{\chi_4}(r)}{B_{\chi_4}(r)} + r + I_4 &= T_{A,B}^{\chi_4}(r) + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

where the characters χ_i and ideals I_i are defined in Examples 1, 3 and 2 of Section 4 in [1], respectively, for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

For a residue a_{175} modulo 175 with $B_{\chi_1}(a_{175}) \notin I_1$ [resp. $B_{\chi_3}(a_{175}) \notin I_3$], we define b_{61} [resp. d_{1861}] to be residues modulo 61 [resp. 1861] for which

$$b_{61} = T_{A,B}^{\chi_1}(a_{175}), \quad d_{1861} = T_{A,B}^{\chi_3}(a_{175}).$$

And for a residue b_{61} modulo 61 with $B_{\chi_2}(b_{61}) \notin I_2$, we define c_{1861} to be a residue modulo 1861 such that

$$c_{1861} = T_{A,B}^{\chi_2}(b_{61}).$$

Let $U_m = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (\frac{a^2-2}{p}) = -1 \text{ for any prime } p \text{ dividing } m\}$. By computer we can also check that if $a_{175} \in U_{175}$ then $B_{\chi_1}(a_{175}) \notin I_1$ and $B_{\chi_3}(a_{175}) \notin I_3$, and if $b_{61} = T_{A,B}^{\chi_1}(a_{175})$ for $a_{175} \in U_{175}$ then $B_{\chi_2}(b_{61}) \notin I_2$. Hence it is possible to calculate $T_{A,B}^{\chi_1}(a_{175})$, $T_{A,B}^{\chi_2}(a_{175})$ and $T_{A,B}^{\chi_3}(a_{175})$ for $a_{175} \in U_{175}$. From the computer calculations, we obtain the following table:

$a_{175} \in U_{175}$	b_{61}	c_{1861}	d_{1861}	$a_{175} \in U_{175}$	b_{61}	c_{1861}	d_{1861}
± 7	± 7	± 7	± 7	± 8	± 8	± 8	± 8
± 13	± 13	± 13	± 13	± 15	± 38	± 1266	± 1060
± 20	± 20	± 20	± 20	± 22	± 23	± 595	± 1022
± 27	± 34	± 851	± 389	± 28	± 59	± 1859	± 962
± 35	± 51	± 1851	± 288	± 42	± 43	± 329	± 392
± 43	± 40	± 1821	± 1353	± 48	± 34	± 851	± 306
± 50	± 16	± 1075	± 193	± 55	± 35	± 1272	± 566
± 57	± 32	± 845	± 1559	± 62	± 6	± 301	± 1647
± 63	± 43	± 329	± 399	± 70	± 58	± 1858	± 49
± 77	± 4	± 4	± 1760	± 78	± 9	± 1690	± 561
± 83	± 26	± 589	± 427	± 85	± 49	± 1501	± 1072

Also for r with $F_{\chi_i}(r) \notin I_i$, the functions $T_{E,F}^{\chi_i}(r)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} -175 \frac{E_{\chi_1}(r)}{F_{\chi_1}(r)} + r + I_1 &= T_{E,F}^{\chi_1}(r) + I_1, \\ -61 \frac{E_{\chi_2}(r)}{F_{\chi_2}(r)} + r + I_2 &= T_{E,F}^{\chi_2}(r) + I_2, \\ -175 \frac{E_{\chi_3}(r)}{F_{\chi_3}(r)} + r + I_3 &= T_{E,F}^{\chi_3}(r) + I_3, \\ -175 \frac{E_{\chi_4}(r)}{F_{\chi_4}(r)} + r + I_4 &= T_{E,F}^{\chi_4}(r) + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Then for a residue e_{175} modulo 175 with $F_{\chi_1}(e_{175}) \notin I_1$ [resp. $F_{\chi_3}(e_{175}) \notin I_3$], we define f_{61} [resp. h_{1861}] to be residues modulo 61 [resp. 1861] for which

$$f_{61} = T_{E,F}^{\chi_1}(e_{175}), \quad h_{1861} = T_{E,F}^{\chi_3}(e_{175}).$$

And for a residue f_{61} modulo 61 with $F_{\chi_2}(f_{61}) \notin I_2$, we define g_{1861} to be a residue modulo 1861 such that

$$g_{1861} = T_{E,F}^{\chi_2}(f_{61}).$$

Let $V_m = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \left(\frac{a^2+2}{p}\right) = -1 \text{ for any prime } p \text{ dividing } m\}$. Then by computer we can also check that if $e_{175} \in V_{175}$ then $F_{\chi_1}(e_{175}) \notin I_1$ and $F_{\chi_3}(e_{175}) \notin I_3$, and if $f_{61} = T_{E,F}^{\chi_1}(e_{175})$ for $e_{175} \in V_{175}$ then $F_{\chi_2}(f_{61}) \notin I_2$. So we can calculate $T_{E,F}^{\chi_1}(e_{175})$, $T_{E,F}^{\chi_3}(e_{175})$ and $T_{E,F}^{\chi_2}(f_{61})$ for $e_{175} \in V_{175}$. We obtain the following table:

$e_{175} \in V_{175}$	f_{61}	g_{1861}	h_{1861}	$e_{175} \in V_{175}$	f_{61}	g_{1861}	h_{1861}
± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 5	± 5	± 5	± 5
± 6	± 6	± 6	± 6	± 9	± 9	± 9	± 9
± 15	± 15	± 15	± 15	± 16	± 50	± 491	± 935
± 19	± 19	± 244	± 1534	± 20	± 44	± 403	± 943
± 26	± 41	± 1235	± 1243	± 29	± 19	± 244	± 1567
± 30	± 24	± 610	± 363	± 34	± 12	± 32	± 1589
± 36	± 28	± 458	± 200	± 40	± 30	± 1654	± 578
± 41	± 21	± 804	± 1762	± 44	± 30	± 1654	± 186
± 50	± 47	± 1124	± 213	± 51	± 45	± 728	± 181
± 54	± 54	± 778	± 1097	± 55	± 39	± 240	± 40
± 61	± 51	± 753	± 858	± 64	± 25	± 155	± 817
± 65	± 4	± 4	± 1691	± 69	± 26	± 1280	± 784
± 71	± 27	± 190	± 339	± 75	± 60	± 1860	± 70
± 76	± 57	± 1857	± 651	± 79	± 42	± 1617	± 1056
± 85	± 44	± 403	± 1623	± 86	± 8	± 1448	± 1048

To prove our theorem, we need the following class number 1 criteria.

LEMMA 4.1.

- (i) $h((2k+1)^2 - 2) = 1 \Rightarrow 4k^2 + 4k - 1 - 4t^2$ are primes for $0 \leq t \leq k$,
- (ii) $h(4k^2 - 2) = 1 \Rightarrow 2k^2 - 1 - 2t^2$ are primes for $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$,
- (iii) $h((2k+1)^2 + 2) = 1 \Rightarrow (2k+1)^2 + 2 - 4t^2$ are primes for $0 \leq t \leq k$,
- (iv) $h(4k^2 + 2) = 1 \Rightarrow 4k^2 + 2 - (2t - 1)^2$ are primes for $1 \leq t \leq k$.

Proof. See Corollaries 3.3–3.6 in [4]. (Remark: $d = (2n + 1)^2 - 2$ in Corollary 3.5 of [4] is a misprint. It should read $d = (2n + 1)^2 + 2$.) ■

In the following proposition, we find an upper bound for n with $h(n^2 - 2) = 1$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $n^2 - 2$ be a square free integer. Then $h(n^2 - 2) > 1$ for $n > 1244$.*

Proof. If $n \notin U_{175}$ and $n = 2i + 1$, then there exists an integer $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(2i + 1)^2 - 2 - (2t_0)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ or $(2i + 1)^2 - 2 - (2t_0)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. Similarly, for $n = 2j \notin U_{175}$, there is an integer $s_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2j^2 - 1 - 2s_0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ or $2j^2 - 1 - 2s_0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. If we take $t_0 = 4$ for $i = 5l, 5l + 4$, $t_0 = 5$ for $i = 7l + 4, 7l + 2$ and $t_0 = 7$ for $i = 7l + 1, 7l + 5$, then $(2i + 1)^2 - 2 - (2t_0)^2$ is a multiple of 5 or 7. And if we take $s_0 = 4$ for $j = 5l + 3, 5l + 2$, $s_0 = 5$ for $j = 7l + 1, 7l + 6$ and $s_0 = 7$ for $j = 7l + 5, 7l + 2$ then $2j^2 - 1 - 2s_0^2$ is a multiple of 5 or 7. Thus from Lemma 4.1(i), (ii), we have

$$(41) \quad h(n^2 - 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n > 15 \text{ with } n \notin U_{175}.$$

Suppose $n \equiv a_{175} \pmod{175}$ for $a_{175} \in U_{175}$ and $a_{175} \neq \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 13, \pm 20$ and $h(n^2 - 2) = 1$, $n > 175$. Then from the table we have $c_{1861} \neq d_{1861}$. This is a contradiction to (38). So

$$(42) \quad h(n^2 - 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n \not\equiv \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 13, \pm 20 \pmod{175} \text{ and} \\ n \in U_{175} \text{ with } n > 175.$$

By computer, we find $T_{A,B}^{X^4}(\pm 20) = \pm 20$. That is, $n \equiv \pm 20 \pmod{601}$ for $n \equiv \pm 20 \pmod{175}$ with $h(n^2 - 2) = 1$ and $n > 175$. If we take $t_0 = 20$, then $(2i + 1)^2 - 2 - (2t_0)^2$ is a multiple of 601 for $2i + 1 \equiv \pm 20 \pmod{601}$. And if we also take $s_0 = 621$ then $2j^2 - 1 - 2s_0^2$ is a multiple of 601 for $2j \equiv \pm 20 \pmod{601}$. Thus by Lemma 4.1(i), (ii), we have

$$(43) \quad h(n^2 - 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n \equiv \pm 20 \pmod{175} \text{ with } n > 1244.$$

If $n \equiv \pm 7, \pm 8$ or $\pm 13 \pmod{175}$ then $n \in U_{175}$ but if we assume $n > 175$ and $h(n^2 - 2) = 1$, then $n \equiv \pm 7, \pm 8$ or $\pm 13 \pmod{61}$. So $n \notin U_{61}$. We can find t_0 [resp. s_0] making $(2i + 1)^2 - 2 - (2t_0)^2$ [resp. $2j^2 - 1 - 2s_0^2$] a multiple of 61 for $2i + 1 \equiv \pm 7, \pm 8$ or $\pm 13 \pmod{61}$ [resp. $2j \equiv \pm 7, \pm 8$ or $\pm 13 \pmod{61}$] as above. They give an upper bound for n such that

$h(n^2 - 2) > 1$, for $n \equiv \pm 7, \pm 8$ or $\pm 13 \pmod{175}$. The upper bound does not exceed 1244. So we have

$$(44) \quad h(n^2 - 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n \equiv \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 13 \pmod{175} \text{ with } n > 1244.$$

By (41)–(44), the proof is complete. ■

Now, we find an upper bound for n with $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let $n^2 + 2$ be a square free integer. Then $h(n^2 + 2) > 1$ for $n > 1596$.*

Proof. For $n \notin V_{175}$, it is possible to find t_0 [resp. s_0] such that $(2i + 1)^2 + 2 - (2t_0)^2$ [resp. $(2j)^2 + 2 - (2s_0 - 1)^2$] is a multiple of 5 or 7 with $n = 2i + 1$ [resp. $n = 2j$]. If we take $t_0 = 2$ for $i = 5l + 3$, $i = 7l + 3$, $t_0 = 7$ for $i = 5l + 1$ and $t_0 = 6$ for $i = 7l + 1, 7l + 5$, then $(2i + 1)^2 + 2 - (2t_0)^2$ is a multiple of 5 or 7. And if we take $s_0 = 6$ for $j = 5l + 1, 5l + 4, 7l$ and $s_0 = 5$ for $j = 7l + 5, 7l + 2$ then $2j^2 - 1 - 2s_0^2$ is a multiple of 5 or 7. Thus from Lemma 4.1(iii), (v), we have

$$(45) \quad h(n^2 + 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n > 15 \text{ and } n \notin V_{175}.$$

If $n \equiv e_{175} \pmod{175}$ for $e_{175} \in V_{175}$ and $e_{175} \neq \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 9, \pm 15$ and $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$, then from the table we have $g_{1861} \neq h_{1861}$. This is a contradiction to (39). So

$$(46) \quad h(n^2 + 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 9, \pm 15 \pmod{175} \text{ and } n \in V_{175}.$$

If $n \equiv \pm 6 \pmod{175}$ with $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$, then $n \equiv \pm 6 \pmod{1861}$. Suppose $n \equiv \pm 6 \pmod{1861}$ and $n = 2i + 1$. Then $i = 1861l + 933$ or $1861l + 927$. Take $t_0 = 133$. Then $(2i + 1)^2 + 2 - (2t_0)^2$ is $1861(7444l^2 + 7468l + 1835)$ or $1861(7444l^2 + 7420l + 1811)$. Suppose $n \equiv \pm 6 \pmod{1861}$ and $n = 2j$. Then $j = 1861l + 3$ or $1861l + 1858$. If we take $s_0 = 798$ then $(2j)^2 + 2 - (2s_0 - 1)^2 = 1861(7444l^2 + 24l - 1367)$ or $1861(7444l^2 + 14864l + 6053)$. Thus by Lemma 4.1(iii), (v), we have

$$(47) \quad h(n^2 + 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n \equiv \pm 6 \pmod{175} \text{ with } n > 1596.$$

If $n \equiv \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 9 \pmod{175}$ then $n \in V_{175}$ but by assumption of $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$, we have $n = \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 9 \pmod{61}$. Moreover, $n \notin V_{61}$. So we can find t_0 [resp. s_0] such that $(2i + 1)^2 + 2 - (2t_0)^2$ [resp. $(2j)^2 + 2 - (2s_0 - 1)^2$] are multiples of 61 for $2i + 1 \equiv \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 9 \pmod{61}$ [resp. $2j \equiv \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 9 \pmod{61}$]. They give an upper bound for n such that $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$ and $n \equiv \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 9 \pmod{175}$ as above. From this, we have

$$(48) \quad h(n^2 + 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n \equiv \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 9 \pmod{175} \text{ with } n > 114.$$

By computer, we find $T_{A,B}^{X_4}(\pm 15) = \pm 15$. So if $n \equiv \pm 15 \pmod{175}$ with $h(n^2 + 2) = 1$, then $n \equiv \pm 15 \pmod{601}$. If we take $t_0 = 105$ [resp. $s_0 = 196$] then $(2i + 1)^2 + 2 - (2t_0)^2$ [resp. $(2j)^2 + 2 - (2s_0 - 1)^2$] is a multiple of 601 for

any $2i + 1 \equiv \pm 15 \pmod{601}$ [resp. $2j \equiv \pm 15 \pmod{601}$]. Thus by Lemma 4.1(iii), (v), we have

$$(49) \quad h(n^2 + 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n \equiv \pm 15 \pmod{175} \text{ with } n > 392.$$

By (45)–(49), the proof is complete. ■

Proof of Mollin and Williams’ Conjecture. By Propositions 4.2 and 4.3,

$$h(n^2 \pm 2) > 1 \quad \text{for } n > 1596.$$

In [12], Mollin and Williams prove that if $d = n^2 \pm 2$ is a square free integer with $n < 5000$ then

$$h(d) = 1 \quad \text{if and only if } d = 3, 6, 7, 11, 14, 38, 47, 62, 83, 167, 227, 398.$$

By combining the above two results, we obtain the conjecture.

Now, we recall the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.4 ([3]). *Let d be a Richaud–Degert type.*

I. *Suppose $d = n^2 + r \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$.*

(i) *If $|r| \neq 1, 4$, then $h(d) > 1$ except for $r = \pm 2$.*

(ii) *If $|r| = 1$, then $h(d) > 1$ except for $d = 2, 3$.*

II. *Suppose $d = n^2 + r \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.*

(i) *If $|r| \neq 1, 4$, then $h(d) > 1$ except for $d = 33$.*

(ii) *If $|r| = 1$, then $h(d) > 1$ except for $d = 17$.*

Since Mollin and Williams’ conjecture is true, Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.4.

5. Appendix. In this section, we provide a MATHEMATICA program to evaluate the values $T_{A,B}^{X_i}(r)$ and $T_{E,F}^{X_i}(r)$ in Section 4.

The function `f[x_, y_]` computes the logarithm of x to base 2 modulo y , and `g[x_, y_]` computes the logarithm of x to base 3 modulo y :

```
f[x_, y_] := ( j = 0; m = Mod[x, y];
  If [Mod[x, y] == 0, Return[0]];
  While[ Mod[m, y] >1, m = Mod[m*2, y] ; j = j + 1];
  Return[y - 1 - j]);
g[x_, y_] := (j = 0; m = Mod[x, y];
  If[Mod[x, y] == 0, Return[0]];
  While[ Mod[m, y] >1, m = Mod[m*3, y]; j = j + 1];
  Return[y - 1 - j]);
g7[x_] := g[x, 7];
f25[x_] := (j = 0; m = Mod[x, 25];
  If[ Mod[m, 5] == 0, Return[0]];
  While[Mod[m, 25] >1, m = Mod[m*2, 25]; j = j + 1];
  Return[20 - j]);
f61[x_] := f[x, 61];
```

The function `iv[x_,y]` computes the multiplicative inverse of x modulo y :

```
iv[x_, y_] := (
  i = 1;
  While[Mod[ i*x, y ] > 1, i++];
  Return[i ] );
```

The functions `chi[a_]` compute $\chi_i(a)$ modulo I_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$:

```
ch1[a_] := (If [Mod[a, 5] == 0 || Mod[a, 7] == 0, Return[0]];
  Return[Mod[PowerMod[8, f25[Mod[a, 25]], 61]*
  PowerMod[47, g7[Mod[a, 7] ], 61], 61]]);
ch2[a_] := (If[Mod[a, 61] == 0, Return[0]];
  Return[PowerMod[1833, f61[Mod[a, 61]], 1861]]);
ch3[a_] := (If [Mod[a, 5] == 0 || Mod[a, 7] == 0, Return[0]];
  Return[Mod[PowerMod[380, f25[Mod[a, 25]], 1861]*
  PowerMod[1406, g7[Mod[a, 7] ], 1861], 1861]]);
ch4[a_] :=
  (If [Mod[a, 5] == 0 || Mod[a, 7] == 0, Return[0]];
  Return[Mod[PowerMod[432, f25[Mod[a, 25]], 601]*
  PowerMod[577, g7[Mod[a, 7] ], 601], 601]]);
```

The following are needed to compute $A_{\chi_i}(r)$ and $B_{\chi_i}(r)$ modulo I_i :

```
u[q_, n_, c_, d_] := Floor[(n d - c)/q]
r[q_, n_, c_, d_] := n d - c - q Floor[(n d - c)/q]
v[q_, n_, c_, d_] := Floor[(r[q, n, c, d]n - d)/q]
s[q_, n_, c_, d_] := r[q, n, c, d]n - d - q Floor[(r[q, n, c, d]n - d)/q]
w[q_, n_, c_, d_] := -Floor[(r[q, n, c, d] - n s[q, n, c, d])/q]

A[q_, n_, c_, d_] := -2 q^2 + n q^2 + 3 q r[q, n, c, d] - q n s[q, n, c, d]
- q^2 w[q, n, c, d] + 3q d + 2 q w[q, n, c, d] s[q, n, c, d]
+ 2n r[q, n, c, d]^2 - 2 s[q, n, c, d]r[q, n, c, d] - 4 d r[q, n, c, d]
- 2 c d + 2 n d^2 + q c - 2 q n d + q s[q, n, c, d] - 2 q n r[q, n, c, d]
B[q_, n_, c_, d_] := q^3 - 2 q^2 s[q, n, c, d] + 2 r[q, n, c, d]^2 q
+ 2 d^2 q - 2 q^2 d - 2 q^2 r[q, n, c, d] + 2 q s[q, n, c, d]^2

SB1[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch1[c^2 - (n^2 - 2)d^2]*B[175, n, c, d], 61]
SB2[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch2[c^2 - (n^2 - 2)d^2]*B[61, n, c, d], 1861]
SB3[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch3[c^2 - (n^2 - 2)d^2]*B[175, n, c, d], 1861]
SB4[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch4[c^2 - (n^2 - 2)d^2]*B[175, n, c, d], 601]
SA1[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch1[c^2 - (n^2 - 2)d^2]*A[175, n, c, d], 61]
SA2[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch2[c^2 - (n^2 - 2)d^2]*A[61, n, c, d], 1861]
SA3[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch3[c^2 - (n^2 - 2)d^2]*A[175, n, c, d], 1861]
SA4[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch4[c^2 - (n^2 - 2)d^2]*A[175, n, c, d], 601]
```

The functions `RAi[a_]` and `RBi[a_]` compute $A_{\chi_i}(a)$ and $B_{\chi_i}(a)$ modulo I_i respectively, where q is the conductor for the character χ_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$:

```
RB1[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SB1[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 61], {d, 0, 174}], 61]
```

```

RA1[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SA1[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 61], {d, 0, 174}], 61]
RB2[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SB2[a, c, d], {c, 0, 60}], 1861], {d, 0, 60}], 1861]
RA2[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SA2[a, c, d], {c, 0, 60}], 1861], {d, 0, 60}], 1861]
RB3[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SB3[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 1861], {d, 0, 174}], 1861]
RA3[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SA3[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 1861], {d, 0, 174}], 1861]
RB4[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SB4[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 601], {d, 0, 174}], 601]
RA4[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SA4[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 601], {d, 0, 174}], 601]

```

The functions $TAB_i[a_]$ compute $T_{A,B}^{X_i}(a)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$:

```

TAB1[a_] := Mod[-RA1[a]*175*iv[RB1[a], 61] + a, 61]
TAB2[a_] := Mod[-RA2[a]*61*iv[RB2[a], 1861] + a, 1861]
TAB3[a_] := Mod[-RA3[a]*175*iv[RB3[a], 1861] + a, 1861]
TAB4[a_] := Mod[-RA4[a]*175*iv[RB4[a], 601] + a, 601]

```

The following are needed to compute $E_{\chi_i}(r)$ and $F_{\chi_i}(r)$ modulo I_i :

```

A[c_, d_, n_, q_] := -Floor[(d - c n)/q]
t[c_, d_, n_, q_] := d - c n - q Floor[(d - n c)/q]
B[c_, d_, n_, q_] := -Floor[(c - 2 n t[c, d, n, q])/q]
e[c_, d_, n_, q_] := c - 2n t[c, d, n, q] + q B[c, d, n, q]

E[c_, d_, n_, q_] := 3 c^2 n + 6 d^2 n - 3 e[c, d, n, q]^2 n
- 12 A[c, d, n, q] c q + 3 c n q - 6 d n q + 3 e[c, d, n, q] n q
+ 6 A[c, d, n, q] q^2 - 6 B[c, d, n, q] q^2 + 2 n q^2
+ 12 B[c, d, n, q] q t[c, d, n, q] - 6 n q t[c, d, n, q]
- 6 n t[c, d, n, q]^2
F[c_, d_, n_, q_] := -9 c^2 q + 6 d^2 q - 3 e[c, d, n, q]^2 q + 9 c q^2
- 6 d q^2 + 3 e[c, d, n, q] q^2 + 2 q^3 - 18 q^2 t[c, d, n, q]
+ 18 q t[c, d, n, q]^2

SF1[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch1[c^2-2 d^2+2 n c d]*F[175, n, c, d], 61]
SF2[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch2[c^2-2 d^2+2 n c d]*F[61, n, c, d], 1861]
SF3[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch3[c^2-2 d^2+2 n c d]*F[175, n, c, d], 1861]
SF4[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch4[c^2-2 d^2+2 n c d]*F[175, n, c, d], 601]
SE1[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch1[c^2-2 d^2+2 n c d]*E[175, n, c, d], 61]
SE2[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch2[c^2-2 d^2+2 n c d]*E[61, n, c, d], 1861]
SE3[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch3[c^2-2 d^2+2 n c d]*E[175, n, c, d], 1861]
SE4[n_, c_, d_] := Mod[ch4[c^2-2 d^2+2 n c d]*E[175, n, c, d], 601]

```

The functions $RE_i[a_]$ and $RF_i[a_]$ compute $E_{\chi_i}(a)$ and $F_{\chi_i}(a)$ modulo I_i respectively, where q is the conductor for the character χ_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$:

```

RF1[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SF1[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 61], {d, 0, 174}], 61]

```

```

RE1[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SE1[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 61], {d, 0, 174}], 61]
RF2[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SF2[a, c, d], {c, 0, 60}], 1861], {d, 0, 60}], 1861]
RE2[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SE2[a, c, d], {c, 0, 60}], 1861], {d, 0, 60}], 1861]
RF3[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SF3[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 1861], {d, 0, 174}], 1861]
RE3[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SE3[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 1861], {d, 0, 174}], 1861]
RF4[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SF4[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 601], {d, 0, 174}], 601]
RE4[a_] :=
  Mod[Sum[Mod[Sum[SE4[a, c, d], {c, 0, 174}], 601], {d, 0, 174}], 601]

```

The functions $\text{TEFi}[a_]$ compute $T_{E,F}^{X^i}(a)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$:

```

TEF1[a_] := Mod[-RE1[a]*175*iv[RF1[a], 61] + a, 61]
TEF2[a_] := Mod[-RE2[a]*61*iv[RF2[a], 1861] + a, 1861]
TEF3[a_] := Mod[-RE3[a]*175*iv[RF3[a], 1861] + a, 1861]
TEF4[a_] := Mod[-RE4[a]*175*iv[RF4[a], 601] + a, 601]

```

Acknowledgements. The author thanks the referee for helpful suggestions.

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (N.R.F.) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology 2009-0063182.

References

- [1] A. Biró, *Yokoi's conjecture*, Acta Arith. 106 (2003), 85–104.
- [2] —, *Chowla's conjecture*, ibid. 107 (2003), 179–194.
- [3] D. Byeon and H. Kim, *Class number 2 criteria for real quadratic fields of Richaud–Degert type*, J. Number Theory 62 (1997), 257–272.
- [4] —, —, *Class number 1 criteria for real quadratic fields of Richaud–Degert type*, ibid. 57 (1996), 328–339.
- [5] D. Byeon, M. Kim and J. Lee, *Mollin's conjecture*, Acta Arith. 126 (2007), 99–114.
- [6] D. Byeon and J. Lee, *Class number 2 problem for certain real quadratic fields of Richaud–Degert type*, J. Number Theory 128 (2008), 865–883.
- [7] S. Chowla and J. Friedlander, *Class numbers and quadratic residues*, Glasgow Math. J. 17 (1976), 47–52.
- [8] G. Degert, *Über die Bestimmung der Grundeinheit gewisser reell-quadratischer Zahlkörper*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 22 (1958), 92–97.
- [9] G. Janusz, *Algebraic Number Fields*, Grad. Stud. Math. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.
- [10] R. A. Mollin, *Class number one criteria for real quadratic fields. I*, Proc. Japan Acad. 63 (1987), 121–125.
- [11] —, *Quadratics*, CRC Press, 1996.

- [12] R. A. Mollin and H. C. Williams, *Solution of the class number one problem for real quadratic fields of extended Richaud–Degert type (with one possible exception)*, in: Number Theory, R. A. Mollin (ed.), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1990, 417–425.
- [13] T. Shintani, *On evaluation of zeta functions of totally real algebraic number fields at non-positive integers*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 63 (1976), 393–417.
- [14] —, *On special values of zeta functions of totally real algebraic number fields*, in: Proc. Int. Congress Math., Helsinki, 1978, 591–597.
- [15] H. Yokoi, *Class number one problem for certain kind of real quadratic fields*, in: Proc. Int. Conf. (Katata, 1986), Nagoya Univ., Nagoya, 1986, 125–137.

Department of Mathematics
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology
Daejeon, South Korea
E-mail: lee9311@snu.ac.kr

*Received on 11.2.2008
and in revised form on 27.4.2009*

(5639)