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1. Introduction. Let \( m \) be a fixed integer with \( m \geq 3 \) and denote by

\[
Pyr_m(x) = \frac{1}{6}x(x+1)((m-2)x + 5 - m)
\]

the \( m \)th order pyramidal number. These combinatorial numbers play an important role in number theory and discrete mathematics. They have several interesting properties (see e.g. Dickson [9] and Conway and Guy [7]). In the classical cases \( m = 3 \) and \( m = 4 \), we have

\[
Pyr_3(x) = \frac{1}{6}x(x+1)(x+2) = \binom{x+2}{3},
\]

\[
Pyr_4(x) = \frac{1}{6}x(x+1)(2x+1) = 1^2 + 2^2 + \cdots + x^2.
\]

These numbers are related by \( 4Pyr_4(x) = Pyr_3(2x) \).

It was an old and hard problem to find all power values of the above polynomials of third degree. The corresponding equation has been resolved for squares by Watson [20], and for arbitrary powers by Győry [16] and Bennett, Győry and Pintér [5], respectively. For \( x > 2 \), the only square values are given by \( \binom{48+2}{3} = 140^2 \) and \( 1^2 + 2^2 + \cdots + 24^2 = 70^2 \), respectively.

The purpose of this paper is to study the power values of pyramidal numbers of higher order, that is, to extend the results mentioned above to larger values of \( m \). In Part I we deal with square values. The problem is then equivalent to finding the integer points on the elliptic curves

\[
E_m: \ y^2 = \frac{1}{6}x(x+1)((m-2)x + 5 - m)
\]

for positive integers \( m \geq 3, m \neq 5 \). For this reason, we exclude the case \( m = 5 \) throughout the paper. For a fixed \( m \), it is rather straightforward to determine all the integer points on \( E_m \). For \( 3 \leq m \leq 100, m \neq 5 \), we
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give all integer solutions of (1) (cf. Proposition 1). The main result of our paper is the resolution, for a conjecturally infinite sequence of integers $m$, of the elliptic diophantine equation (1) (cf. Theorem 2). In the forthcoming second part of this paper some explicit results on the higher power values of $\text{Pyr}_m(x)$ will be presented. In that case the proofs require totally different methods.

2. Preliminary observations and calculations. By the substitution $X = 6(m-2)x, Y = 36(m-2)y$, we obtain from (1) the following Weierstrass form:

$$E'_m : Y^2 = X^3 + 18X^2 + 36(m-2)(5-m)X.$$ 

There are three rational points on $E_m$ of order 2, namely $A = (0,0), B = (-1,0), C = \left(\frac{m-5}{m-2}, 0\right)$, and also another obvious rational point $P = (1,1)$. It is easy to show, using the Lutz–Nagell theorem, that $P$ cannot be of finite order. Namely, the point $2P'$ on $E'_m$ does not have integer coordinates (the first coordinate is $m^2 - 7m + 49/4$).

Furthermore, we have

$$P + A = \left(-\frac{m-5}{m-2}, \frac{m-5}{m-2}\right), \quad P + B = \left(-\frac{3m}{2(m-2)}, -\frac{2m-7}{4(m-2)}\right),$$

$$P + C = \left(\frac{2m-10}{3}, \frac{(m-5)(2m-7)}{9}\right).$$

Therefore, we always have the following integer points on $E_m$:

$$E_m : Y^2 = X^3 + 18X^2 + 36(m-2)(5-m)X.$$ 

(3) 

$$(0,0), (-1,0), (1,1),$$

and if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then also

(4) 

$$\left(\frac{2m-10}{3}, \frac{(m-5)(2m-7)}{9}\right).$$

Using the subroutine IntegralPoints of the program package MAGMA and the subroutine integral_points of the program package SAGE, we were able to find all integer points on $E_m$ for all $m \leq 100$. These subroutines use the elliptic logarithm method (introduced in [14] and [24], see also [23, 6]) for solving elliptic equations.

**Proposition 1.** Apart from the points listed in (3) and (4), in the range $3 \leq m \leq 100$, $m \neq 5$, all integer points $(m, x, y)$ on $E_m$ are:

(3, -2, 0), (3, 2, 2), (3, 48, 140), (4, 24, 70), (7, 6, 14), (7, 49, 315), (11, 1681, 84419),
(13, 24, 160), (15, 2, 4), (15, 242, 5544), (16, 49, 525), (20, 49, 595), (24, 2, 5),
(24, 1681, 131979), (28, 23, 230), (29, 8, 48), (33, 7, 42), (35, 2, 6), (35, 49, 805), (41, 4, 20),
(42, 2, 5, 10), (42, 24, 160), (42, 1681, 84419), (46, 2, 4), (46, 242, 5544), (46, 49, 525), (50, 49, 595), (54, 2, 5),
(54, 1681, 131979), (58, 23, 230), (58, 8, 48), (62, 7, 42), (64, 2, 6), (64, 49, 805), (68, 4, 20),
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This provides all square values of pyramidal numbers for $3 \leq m \leq 100$, $m \neq 5$. In particular, it gives the square values of $\text{Pyr}_3(x)$ and $\text{Pyr}_4(x)$ mentioned in the Introduction.

Notice that many integer points on $E_m$ have $x$-coordinate 2. Indeed, for any $m$ of the form $y^2 - 1$, $(m, x, y) = (y^2 - 1, 2, y)$ is an integer point on $E_m$. Further, there are several points on $E_m$ with $x$-coordinates 49 and 1681. We will give an explanation for this fact later.

It is not hard to show, using $[20]$, that $E_m(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. However, it is much harder to predict the behavior of the ranks of $E_m(\mathbb{Q})$. In what follows, we deal with the case rank($E_m(\mathbb{Q})$) = 1. We first make some preliminary remarks.

Let us consider the following elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$:

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + 18x^2 + 36(T - 2)(5 - T)x.$$  

The discriminant of $E$ is $D = 746496(T - 5)^2(2T - 7)^2(T - 2)^2$. We can compute rank$_{\mathbb{C}(T)}(E)$ using Shioda’s formula $[21]$ Corollary 5.3:

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(T)}(E) = \text{rank NS}(E, \mathbb{C}) - 2 - \sum_s (m_s - 1).$$

Here NS($E, \mathbb{C}$) is the Néron–Severi group of $E$ over $\mathbb{C}$, and the sum ranges over all singular fibers of the pencil $E_t$, with $m_s$ the number of irreducible components of the fiber, which can be easily determined from Kodaira types of singular fibers (in our case they are $I^*_0, I_2, I_2, I_2$). Since $E$ is a rational surface, we have rank NS($E, \mathbb{C}$) = 10. Therefore,

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}(T)}(E) = 10 - 2 - 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 = 1.$$  

Since we already know that rank$_{\mathbb{Q}(T)}(E) \geq 1$ (because the point $P$ is of infinite order), we conclude that rank$_{\mathbb{Q}(T)}(E) = 1$.

Standard conjectures on the distribution of ranks in families of elliptic curves suggest that 50% of curves in the family $E_m$ have rank 1, while 50% of curves have rank 2. We have computed, using MWRANK $[8]$, the ranks for $m \in \{3, 4, 6, 7, \ldots, 200\}$ and obtained the following distribution of ranks: 80 cases of rank 1, 95 cases of rank 2, 20 cases of rank 3, and 2 cases of rank 4. For larger values of $m$, we also found several curves with rank 5, 6 and 7. Using standard sieving methods (see e.g. [11]), we were able to find examples with rank equal to 8 for $m = 8704960$ and $m = 11007950$. 

(41, 49, 875), (45, 120, 3520), (48, 2, 7), (52, 96, 2716), (53, 1681, 200941), (62, 49, 1085), (63, 2, 8), (63, 16, 204), (68, 24, 390), (68, 343, 21070), (68, 57121, 45278311), (70, 6, 49), (70, 49, 1155), (73, 833, 82705), (74, 8, 78), (75, 10, 110), (76, 289, 17255), (76, 3479, 720650), (80, 2, 9), (80, 1681, 248501), (89, 7, 70), (91, 4, 30), (91, 6, 56), (97, 49, 1365), (98, 8, 90), (99, 2, 10), (99, 57121, 54891369).
3. A family of elliptic curves. In this section we obtain some results on integer points on the curves \( E_m \) under the assumption that \( \text{rank}(E_m(\mathbb{Q})) = 1 \). The above discussion shows that this assumption is not unrealistic. We will follow the strategy from \[10\].

First we need the result that \( P, P + A, P + B, P + C \notin 2E_m(\mathbb{Q}) \). This follows easily, by applying the 2-descent Proposition \[17, \text{Theorem 4.3}\] to points \( P', P' + A', P' + B', P' + C' \) on the curve \( E'_m \). Assume that \( E'_m(\mathbb{Q})/E'_m(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = \langle U \rangle \). Let \( X \in E'_m(\mathbb{Q}) \). Then we can represent \( X \) in the form \( X = kU + T \), where \( k \) is an integer and \( T \) is a torsion point, i.e. \( T \in \{ O, A, B, C \} \). Also, \( P = k_P U + T_P \) for an integer \( k_P \) and a torsion point \( T_P \). We know that \( k_P \) is odd. Hence, \( U \equiv P + T_P \pmod{2E_m(\mathbb{Q})} \). Therefore, we have \( X \equiv X_0 \pmod{2E_m(\mathbb{Q})} \), where \( X_0 \in S = \{ O, A, B, C, P, P + A, P + B, P + C \} \).

Let \( \{ a, b, c \} = \{ 0, 6m - 12, 30 - 6m \} \). By \[17, \text{Proposition 4.6}\], the function \( \varphi : E'_m(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2} \) defined by

\[
\varphi(X) = \begin{cases} (x + a)\mathbb{Q}^{*2} & \text{if } X = (x, y) \neq O, (-a, 0), \\ (b - a)(c - a)\mathbb{Q}^{*2} & \text{if } X = (-a, 0), \\ \mathbb{Q}^{*2} & \text{if } X = O \end{cases}
\]

is a group homomorphism. Therefore, in order to find all integer points on \( E_m \), it suffices to solve in integers all systems of equations of the form

\[
5 \quad x = \alpha \square, \quad x + 1 = \beta \square, \quad (m - 2)x + 5 - m = \gamma \square
\]

where for \( X_0 = (6(m - 2)s, 36(m - 2)t) \in S \), the numbers \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are defined by \( \alpha = s, \beta = s + 1, \gamma = (m - 2)s + 5 - m \) if all of these three expressions are nonzero, and if e.g. \( s = 0 \) then we define \( \alpha = \beta \gamma \). Here \( \square \) denotes the square of a rational number.

Consider now \[5\] for the possible choices of \( X_0 \).

1) \( X_0 = O \). The system \[5\] becomes

\[
x = 6(m - 2)\square, \\
x + 1 = 6(m - 2)\square, \\
(m - 2)x + 5 - m = 6\square.
\]

Since \( \gcd(x, x + 1) = 1 \), we must have \( m - 2 = 6 \square \). This gives \( x = \square \) and \( x + 1 = \square \), which leads to a contradiction.

2) \( X_0 = A \). Now \[5\] becomes

\[
x = -6(m - 5)\square, \\
x + 1 = \square, \\
(m - 2)x + 5 - m = -(m - 5)\square.
\]

One solution is \( x = 0 \). For \( m \geq 6 \) there are no other solutions since \( x \) and \( x + 1 \) cannot be of opposite sign. Both cases \( m = 3 \) and \( m = 4 \) lead to the system of Pell equations \( v^2 - 6u^2 = 1, w^2 - 12u^2 = 1 \), which has the unique
solution \( u = 2, v = 5, w = 7 \) (see [1]). This gives the additional solution \( x = 48 \) for \( m = 3 \), and \( x = 24 \) for \( m = 4 \).

3) \( X_0 = B \). We obtain the system

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= -\Box, \\
x + 1 &= 6(2m - 7)\Box, \\
(m - 2)x + 5 - m &= -(2m - 7)\Box,
\end{align*}
\]

which obviously has the only solution \( x = -1 \), since otherwise for \( m \geq 4 \) the integers \( x \) and \( x + 1 \) would be of opposite sign (for \( m = 3 \) the same holds for \( x \) and \( x + 2 \)).

4) \( X_0 = C \). In this case we obtain the system

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= (m - 2)(m - 5)\Box, \\
x + 1 &= (m - 2)(2m - 7)\Box, \\
(m - 2)x + 5 - m &= 6(m - 5)(2m - 7)\Box.
\end{align*}
\]

This system may have a solution only if \( m - 2 = k^2 \) or \( m - 2 = 3k^2 \) for an integer \( k \), since otherwise the square-free part of \( m - 2 \) or \( (m - 2)/3 \) would divide both \( x \) and \( x + 1 \). For \( m = k^2 + 2 \) and \( m = 3k^2 + 2 \) we were not able to solve the system unconditionally. It is easy to check that for \( m = 1^2 + 2 = 3 \) the only solution is \( x = -2 \).

5) \( X_0 = P \). In this case we have the system

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= \Box, \\
x + 1 &= 2\Box, \\
(m - 2)x + 5 - m &= 3\Box,
\end{align*}
\]

which has an obvious solution \( x = 1 \). For certain integers \( m \) there can be additional solutions. From \( x = u^2, x + 1 = 2v^2 \), we find the possibilities for \( x \), namely, \( x = 7^2, 41^2, 239^2, \ldots \). Inserting this in the third equation of the system, we obtain a condition for \( m \). For example, for \( x = 49 \), we obtain \( 16m = w^2 + 31 \), which is satisfied for \( m = 7, 16, 20, 35, 41, 62, 70, 97, 107, 140, 152, 191, \ldots \), while for \( x = 1681 \) we obtain \( 560m = w^2 + 1119 \), which is satisfied for \( m = 11, 24, 53, 80, 104, 141, 143, 186, \ldots \). Note that for \( m = 30890 \) we have two additional solutions \( x = 49 \) and \( x = 1681 \). Note also that for most of these values of \( m \), the rank of \( E_m \) is greater than 1. The only known exception is \( m = 11 \), where the rank is equal to 1 and \( x(3P) = 1681 \). Note moreover that for \( m = 3 \) and \( m = 4 \) the only solution of the above system is \( x = 1 \), which follows from [4].

6) \( X_0 = P + A \). It is easy to see that the corresponding system of equations
\[ x = -(m - 2)(m - 5), \]
\[ x + 1 = 3(m - 2), \]
\[ (m - 2)x + 5 - m = -2(m - 5) \]

has no solution for \( m \geq 6 \), because \( x \) and \( x + 1 \) have the same sign. There is no solution for \( m = 4 \) either, while the only solution for \( m = 3 \) is \( x = 2 \).

7) \( X_0 = P + B \). Then the system of equations is
\[ x = -6(m - 2), \]
\[ x + 1 = 2(m - 2)(2m - 7), \]
\[ (m - 2)x + 5 - m = -2(2m - 7) \]

which is clearly unsolvable. Again, it is enough to use the fact that integers \( x \) and \( x + 1 \) have the same sign.

8) \( X_0 = P + C \). In this case we have the system
\[ x = 6(m - 5), \]
\[ x + 1 = 3(2m - 7), \]
\[ (m - 2)x + 5 - m = 3(m - 5)(2m - 7) \]

It has an obvious rational solution \( x = \frac{2}{3}(m - 5) \). Therefore, if \( m \equiv 2 \) (mod 3) this gives an integer solution. On the other hand, it is clear that if \( m \not\equiv 2 \) (mod 3), then there is no integer solution, since \( x \) and \( x + 1 \) cannot be both divisible by 3.

Thus, let us consider the above system for \( m = 3k + 2 \). Then we have
\[ (6) \quad x = 2(k - 1)u^2, \quad x + 1 = (2k - 1)v^2, \quad kx - k + 1 = (k - 1)(2k - 1)w^2. \]

It seems that the only solution of (6) is \( x = 2k - 2 = \frac{2}{3}(m - 5) \). However, we are able to prove this statement only under the additional assumption that the integers \( k - 1 \) and \( 2k - 1 \) are square-free. It is well known that there exist infinitely many positive integers \( k \) with this property. These assumptions imply that \( u, v, w \) in (6) are integers, and we obtain the system of Pellian equations
\[ (7) \quad 2ku^2 - (2k - 1)v^2 = 1, \]
\[ (8) \quad kv^2 - (k - 1)w^2 = 1. \]

We have \( v = p_j = q_l \), where the sequences \( (p_j) \) and \( (q_l) \) are defined by
\[ p_0 = 1, \quad p_1 = 8k - 1, \quad p_{j+2} = (8k - 2)p_{j+1} - p_j, \]
\[ q_0 = 1, \quad q_1 = 4k - 1, \quad q_{l+2} = (4k - 2)q_{l+1} - q_l. \]

Using the method described in [12], we will show that the only solution of the equation \( p_j = q_l \) is \( j = l = 0 \), which corresponds to \( u = v = w = 1 \) and \( x = 2k - 2 \). In fact, the system of equations solved in [12] is very similar to (7)–(8), so we will omit the details of the proof and give just a short overview.
Using the congruence relations
\[ p_j \equiv (-1)^{j-1}(4j(j+1)k-1) \pmod{64k^2} \]
and
\[ q_l \equiv (-1)^{l-1}(2l(l+1)-1) \pmod{16k^2} \]
we obtain a lower bound for the solutions: \( l \geq j \geq 2k-0.5 \). Comparing it with the upper bound which follows from Bennett’s theorem on simultaneous approximations [3] we finish the proof for sufficiently large values of \( m \). For small \( m \), the statement can be proved by Baker–Davenport reduction (see [2] or [13]).

We have solved completely the systems of equations corresponding to the points \( O, A, B, P + A, P + B, \) while the systems corresponding to \( C, P, P + C \) are solved only for values of the parameter \( m \) satisfying certain conditions. We will solve completely all eight systems, i.e. we will find all integer points for a (conjecturally infinite) subfamily of \( E_m \). Let \( m = 3k^4 + 2 \). The family \( E_{3k^4+2} \) has generic rank 1 over \( \mathbb{Q}(k) \), which can be proved by 2-descent method, as in [10], by finding a suitable specialization with rank 1 (e.g. \( k = 60 \)).

Theorem 2. Let \( k \) be positive integer such that \( 2k^4 - 1 \) is square-free, while \( k^4 - 1 = 2^st \) for \( s \geq 0 \) and a square-free odd number \( t \). Let \( m = 3k^4 + 2 \). If \( \text{rank}(E_m(\mathbb{Q})) = 1 \), then all integer points on \( E_m \) are
\[
(0,0), (-1,0), (1,1), (2k^4 - 2, 2k^8 - 3k^4 + 1).
\]
This gives all square values of the pyramidal numbers for the numbers \( m \) under consideration.

The conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied for \( k = 2, 3, 4, 9, 16, 25, 47, 59, 60, 65, 78, 85, 87, \ldots \). The first few corresponding \( m \)'s are \( m = 50, 245, 770, 19685, 196610 \). The conditions concerning \( k^4 - 1 \) and \( 2k^4 - 1 \) are conjecturally satisfied for infinitely many positive integers \( k \) (see [15]).

Proof of Theorem 2. Having the results obtained in cases 1) to 8), it suffices to solve the corresponding systems for the points \( C, P \) and \( P + C \) only.

Because of the special form of \( m \), we have to consider the system corresponding to the point \( C \):
\[
x = (k^4 - 1)^\square,
\]
\[
x + 1 = (2k^4 - 1)^\square,
\]
\[
k^4x + 1 - k^4 = 2(k^4 - 1)(2k^4 - 1)^\square.
\]
The third equation shows that there is no solution if \( k \) is even. For odd \( k \), from the first and third equations we obtain
\[
k^4u^2 - 2(2k^4 - 1)w^2 = 2^s \text{ or } 2^{s-1},
\]
according as \( s \) is even or odd. Since \( k \) is odd, \( u \) must be even, and repeating this argument we arrive at the equation
\[
k^4u'^2 - 2(2k^4 - 1)w'^2 = 1.
\]
The fundamental solution of the Pell equation $U^2 - 2(2k^4 - 1)W^2 = 1$ is $U_0 = 2k^4 - 1, W_0 = 2k^2$. Since $W_0 | W$, we conclude that $k | w'$ in (9), which is impossible for $k > 1$.

Consider now the system corresponding to $P$. From the first and third equations we obtain
\begin{equation}
    w^2 - (u^2 - 1)k^4 = 1,
\end{equation}
which we consider as an equation in unknowns $w$ and $k$. Here we may apply the result of Ljungrren [18, 25], which implies that if equation (10) has two solutions, then $k^2 = 2u$ or $k^2 = 8u^3 - 4u$. The first possibility implies that $u$ is even, which is in contradiction to
\begin{equation}
    u^2 - 2v^2 = -1.
\end{equation}
The second possibility can occur only for $u = 169$, which again contradicts (11). Hence, the only solution to (10) is $w = u, k = 1$.

There remains the seventh system corresponding to $P + C$. If $k$ is even, then our assumption implies that $k^4 - 1$ is square-free, and we have already solved the system under this assumption. For $k$ odd, we obtain the system
\begin{align*}
    2k^4u^2 - (2k^4 - 1)w^2 &= 2^s \text{ or } 2^{s-1}, \\
    k^4v^2 - tw^2 &= 1,
\end{align*}
which can be written in the form
\begin{align*}
    2k^4u^2 - (2k^4 - 1)w^2 &= 1, \\
    k^4v^2 - (k^4 - 1)w^2 &= 1.
\end{align*}
This is a special case of the system (7)–(8). But we have already shown that its only solution is $v = 0$, i.e. $x = 2k^4 - 2$.

**Remark.** Note that although we have followed the strategy from [10], there are some significant differences between the two families. The results of [10] suggest (see also [19]) that the number of integer points on the elliptic curves
\begin{equation}
    y^2 = ((k - 1)x + 1)((k + 1)x + 1)(4k^2x + 1)
\end{equation}
is independent of the value of $k$, in particular, that it does not depend on the rank of the curve. This is in big contrast with what is conjectured (and partly proved) for elliptic curves in Weierstrass form, where it is expected that the number of integer points grows exponentially with the rank (see [22]). For our family of curves, the dependence of the number of integer points on the rank of the curve is not so clear, and we believe that this is a challenging question.

**Acknowledgments.** This research was supported in part by the Ministry of Science, Education and Sports, Republic of Croatia, grant 037-
Power values of pyramidal numbers

0372781-2821 (A.D.), the Hungarian–Croatian bilateral project “Number theory and cryptography” (A.D., K.Gy., Á.P.), the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (K.Gy., Á.P.), OTKA grants T67580, K75566, K100339 (K.Gy., Á.P.) and NK101680 (Á.P.), János Bolyai Fellowship (Á.P.) and the TÁMOP 4.2.1./B-09/1/KONV-2010-0007 project implemented through the New Hungary Development Plan co-financed by the European Social Fund, and the European Regional Development Fund (Á.P.).

References


Andrej Dujella
Department of Mathematics
University of Zagreb
Bijenička cesta 30
10000 Zagreb, Croatia
E-mail: duje@math.hr

Kálmán Győry, Ákos Pintér
Institute of Mathematics and the Hungarian Academy of Sciences
University of Debrecen
Egyetem tér 1
H-4032 Debrecen, Hungary
E-mail: gyory@science.unideb.hu
apinter@science.unideb.hu

Received on 20.8.2011 (6795)