

On a conjecture of Yiming Long

by

CHAOHUA JIA (Beijing)

1. Introduction. In 2000 when studying the Maslov-type index theory for Hamiltonian systems, Yiming Long [1] put forward the following two conjectures.

CONJECTURE 1. *For any positive integer k , there are infinitely many pairs of prime numbers which are of the form $(kn - 1, kn + 1)$ with a positive integer n .*

CONJECTURE 2. *For any irrational number φ in the interval $(0, 1)$, there are infinitely many prime numbers p which cannot be expressed as*

$$(1) \quad p = 2n + 2[n\varphi] + 1$$

with a positive integer n .

In January 2004, Professor Yiming Long proposed his conjectures to me. From the viewpoint of Diophantine equations Conjecture 1 seems as difficult as the prime twins conjecture. In this paper, we shall give a positive answer to Conjecture 2. In fact, we can get more information.

In the following, we suppose that φ is an irrational number in the interval $(0, 1)$ and that

$$(2) \quad \alpha = -\frac{1}{2(1 + \varphi)},$$

which is also irrational. By a well known result of Dirichlet (see page 9 of [4]), there are infinitely many rational numbers a/q ($(a, q) = 1$, $q \rightarrow \infty$) such that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq 1/q^2$. We suppose that q is sufficiently large and that ε is a sufficiently small positive constant, $\delta = \varepsilon^2$. Write $((x)) = x - [x] - 1/2$ and $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$. Let $\|y\|$ denote the smallest distance from y to integers, p a prime number and $\Lambda(n)$ the Mangoldt function.

On the above supposition, we have

THEOREM. Let $T(p)$ be the number of solutions of the equation (1) in positive integers n . If $q^{1+\varepsilon} < x \leq q^{1/\varepsilon}$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{x < p \leq 2x \\ T(p)=0}} 1 \geq \frac{\varphi - \varepsilon}{1 + \varphi} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

We see that not only Conjecture 2 is true but also in a lot of intervals the relevant prime numbers have a positive density by the fact that

$$\sum_{x < p \leq 2x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

2. Proof of the Theorem. For a prime number $p \geq 3$, the following equivalences hold:

$$\begin{aligned} 2n + 2[n\varphi] + 1 = p, n > 0 &\Leftrightarrow [n\varphi] = \frac{p-1}{2} - n, n > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow n\varphi - 1 < \frac{p-1}{2} - n \leq n\varphi, n > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{p-1}{2(1+\varphi)} \leq n < \frac{p+1}{2(1+\varphi)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow -\frac{p+1}{2(1+\varphi)} < -n \leq -\frac{p-1}{2(1+\varphi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the number of $-n$, which is also the number of n , is equal to

$$\left[-\frac{p-1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right] - \left[-\frac{p+1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right].$$

Hence,

$$(3) \quad T(p) = \left[-\frac{p-1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right] - \left[-\frac{p+1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right].$$

Since

$$0 \leq \left(-\frac{p-1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right) - \left(-\frac{p+1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right) = \frac{1}{1+\varphi} < 1,$$

we have

$$(4) \quad T(p) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Now we study the sum

$$(5) \quad \sum_{x < p \leq 2x} T(p) \log p.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \sum_{x < p \leq 2x} T(p) \log p &= \sum_{x < p \leq 2x} \left(\left[-\frac{p-1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right] - \left[-\frac{p+1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right] \right) \log p \\ &\leq \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \left(\left[-\frac{m-1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right] - \left[-\frac{m+1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right] \right) \Lambda(m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \left(\left(-\frac{m-1}{2(1+\varphi)} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \left(-\frac{m-1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right) \right) \Lambda(m) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \left(\left(-\frac{m+1}{2(1+\varphi)} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \left(-\frac{m+1}{2(1+\varphi)} \right) \right) \Lambda(m) \\
 &= \frac{1}{1+\varphi} \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \Lambda(m) + \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} ((\alpha m + \alpha)) \Lambda(m) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} ((\alpha m - \alpha)) \Lambda(m).
 \end{aligned}$$

The prime number theorem yields

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{1+\varphi} \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \Lambda(m) \sim \frac{x}{1+\varphi}.$$

By the formula on page 254 of [2],

$$((t)) = - \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq x} \frac{e(ht)}{2\pi i h} + O\left(\min\left(1, \frac{1}{x||t||}\right)\right).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} ((\alpha m + \alpha)) \Lambda(m) &= - \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \left(\sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq x} \frac{e(\alpha h m)}{2\pi i h} e(\alpha h) \right) \Lambda(m) \\
 &\quad + O\left(\sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{x||\alpha m + \alpha||}\right) \Lambda(m) \right) \\
 &\ll \sum_{1 \leq h \leq x} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \Lambda(m) e(\alpha h m) \right| \\
 &\quad + O\left(\log x \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{x||\alpha m + \alpha||}\right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

When $J \leq 2x$, Theorem 1 in [3] states

$$\sum_{1 \leq h \leq J} \left| \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \Lambda(m) e(\alpha h m) \right| \ll x^\delta (Jx/\sqrt{q} + Jx^{3/4} + (Jqx)^{1/2} + J^{3/5}x^{4/5}).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad \sum_{1 \leq h \leq x} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \Lambda(m) e(\alpha h m) \right| \\
 \ll \log x \max_{J \leq x} \sum_{J \leq h \leq 2J} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \Lambda(m) e(\alpha h m) \right| \\
 \ll x^{1-2\delta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 in [3],

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{x\|\alpha m + \alpha\|}\right) &= \frac{1}{x} \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} \min\left(x, \frac{1}{\|\alpha m + \alpha\|}\right) \\
 &\ll \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{x^2}{q} + x + (x+q) \log q\right) \\
 &\ll x^{1-2\delta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The combination of (8), (9) and (10) produces

$$(11) \quad \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} ((\alpha m + \alpha))\Lambda(m) = O(x^{1-\delta}).$$

In the same way,

$$(12) \quad \sum_{x < m \leq 2x} ((\alpha m - \alpha))\Lambda(m) = O(x^{1-\delta}).$$

It follows from (6), (7), (11) and (12) that

$$(13) \quad \sum_{x < p \leq 2x} T(p) \log p \leq \frac{1+\delta}{1+\varphi} \cdot x.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{x < p \leq 2x} T(p) \leq \frac{1+\delta}{1+\varphi} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{\substack{x < p \leq 2x \\ T(p)=0}} 1 = \sum_{x < p \leq 2x} 1 - \sum_{x < p \leq 2x} T(p) \geq \frac{\varphi - \varepsilon}{1 + \varphi} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x},$$

so the Theorem is proved.

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my sincere thanks to Professor Yiming Long for his very kind suggestion and helpful discussion. I also thank Academia Sinica and the Natural Science Foundation of China for their support.

References

- [1] Y. Long, *Precise iteration formulae of the Maslov-type index theory and ellipticity of closed characteristics*, Adv. Math. 154 (2000), 76–131.
- [2] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *The distribution of squarefree numbers*, in: Recent Progress in Analytic Number Theory, Vol. 1, H. Halberstam and C. Hooley (eds.), Academic Press, London, 1981, 247–256.
- [3] R. C. Vaughan, *On the distribution of αp modulo 1*, Mathematika 24 (1977), 135–141.

- [4] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy–Littlewood Method*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.

Institute of Mathematics
Academia Sinica
Beijing 100080, P.R. China
E-mail: jiach@math.ac.cn

*Received on 14.4.2005
and in revised form on 12.1.2006*

(4977)