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1. Introduction. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\omega(n)$ to be the number of distinct prime divisors of $n$. The Turán Theorem [9] concerns the second moment of $\omega(n)$ and it implies a result of Hardy and Ramanujan [4] that the normal order of $\omega(n)$ is $\log \log n$. Further development of probabilistic ideas led Erdős and Kac [2] to prove a remarkable refinement of the Hardy-Ramanujan Theorem, namely, the existence of a normal distribution for $\omega(n)$. More precisely, they proved that for $x, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\#\{n \leq x\}} \#\left\{n \leq x: \frac{\omega(n)-\log \log n}{\sqrt{\log \log n}} \leq \gamma\right\} & =G(\gamma) \\
& :=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Instead of the sequence of all natural numbers, we can consider only the set of primes. Since $\omega(p)=1$ for each prime $p$, the normal order of $\omega(p)$ is not $\log \log p$. However, Erdős [1] proved in 1935 that

$$
\sum_{p \leq x}(\omega(p-1)-\log \log x)^{2} \ll \pi(x) \log \log x
$$

where $\pi(x)=\#\{p$ prime : $p \leq x\}$. This implies that the normal order of $\omega(p-1)$ is $\log \log p$. In 1955, Halberstam [3] improved Erdős's result and proved that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \#\left\{p \leq x: \frac{\omega(p-1)-\log \log p}{\sqrt{\log \log p}} \leq \gamma\right\}=G(\gamma)
$$

This result can be viewed as a "prime analogue" of the Erdo"s-Kac Theorem.
Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ be the polynomial ring in one variable over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $P$ be the set of monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. For $m \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$, let
$\operatorname{deg} m$ be the degree of the polynomial $m$. Also, let $\omega(m)$ denote the number of distinct monic irreducible polynomials dividing $m$, i.e.,

$$
\omega(m)=\sum_{\substack{l \in P \\ l \mid m}} 1
$$

We can formulate analogues of the Erdős Theorem and the Halberstam Theorem in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$.

Theorem 1. Let $P$ be the set of monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. Fix a nonzero polynomial a in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \in P \\ \operatorname{deg} p \leq n}}(\omega(p-a)-\log n)^{2} \ll \pi(n) \log n
$$

where $\pi(n)=\#\{p \in P: \operatorname{deg} p \leq n\}$.
As a direct consequence of Theorem 1, we have
Corollary 1. Let $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers with $g_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\#\left\{p \in P: \operatorname{deg} p \leq n,\left|\frac{\omega(p-a)-\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}{\sqrt{\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}}\right|>g_{n}\right\}=o(\pi(n))
$$

In particular, given $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\#\{p \in P: \operatorname{deg} p \leq n,|\omega(p-a)-\log (\operatorname{deg} p)|>\varepsilon \log (\operatorname{deg} p)\}=o(\pi(n))
$$

Thus the normal order of $\omega(p-a)$ is $\log (\operatorname{deg} p)$.
As we see from previous examples, Corollary 1 implies a possibility that the quantity

$$
\frac{\omega(p-a)-\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}{\sqrt{\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}}
$$

distributes normally. This is indeed the case.
ThEOREM 2. Let $P$ be the set of monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. Fix a nonzero polynomial a in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} \#\left\{p \in P: \operatorname{deg} p \leq n, \frac{\omega(p-a)-\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}{\sqrt{\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}} \leq \gamma\right\}=G(\gamma)
$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1. We begin with two facts that are essential for the proof of Theorem 1. Let $P$ be the set of monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. The following facts concern elements of $P$; their proofs can be found in [8].

FACT 1 ([8, p. 14]). For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\#\{p \in P: \operatorname{deg} p=d\}=\frac{q^{d}}{d}+O\left(q^{d / 2}\right)
$$

The next fact concerns arithmetic progressions of irreducible polynomials in function fields. It is a theorem of Kornblum [5].

FACT $2([8, ~ p .40])$. Let $a, m$ be polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ that are relatively prime. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\#\{p \in P: \operatorname{deg} p=d, p \equiv a(\bmod m)\}=\frac{1}{\phi(m)} \cdot \frac{q^{d}}{d}+O\left(q^{d(1+\varepsilon) / 2}\right),
$$

where $\phi(m)$ is the cardinality of $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[t] / m \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]\right)^{*}$.
Before proving Theorem 1, we consider its analogous version for monic irreducible polynomials of a fixed degree.

Lemma 1. Let a be a fixed nonzero polynomial and pa monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}(\omega(p-a)-\log d)^{2} \ll \frac{q^{d}}{d} \log d
$$

Proof. Let $\delta$ be a constant with $0<\delta<1$ which will be chosen later. Let $l$ be a monic irreducible polynomial. Notice that

$$
\omega(p-a)=\sum_{\substack{l \mid(p-a) \\ \operatorname{deg} l \leq \delta d}} 1+\sum_{\substack{l \mid(p-a) \\ \delta d<\operatorname{deg} l \leq d}} 1=\omega_{\delta}(p-a)+O(1 / \delta)
$$

where

$$
\omega_{\delta}(p-a)=\sum_{\substack{l \mid(p-a) \\ \operatorname{deg} l \leq \delta d}} 1
$$

By Facts 1 and 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} \omega(p-a) & =\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}\left(\omega_{\delta}(p-a)+O(1 / \delta)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\operatorname{deg} l \leq \delta d} \sum_{\substack{\operatorname{deg} p=d \\
p \equiv a(\bmod l)}} 1+O\left(q^{d} / d\right) \\
& =\sum_{\operatorname{deg} l \leq \delta d}\left(\frac{1}{q^{\operatorname{deg} l}-1} \cdot \frac{q^{d}}{d}+O\left(q^{d(1+\varepsilon) / 2}\right)\right)+O\left(q^{d} / d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $\delta<1 / 2$, Fact 1 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} \omega(p-a) & =\frac{q^{d}}{d} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} l \leq \delta d} \frac{1}{q^{\operatorname{deg} l}}+O\left(q^{d} / d\right) \\
& =\frac{q^{d}}{d} \sum_{k \leq \delta d} \frac{1}{q^{k}}\left(\frac{q^{k}}{k}+O\left(q^{k / 2}\right)\right)+O\left(q^{d} / d\right) \\
& =\frac{q^{d}}{d} \log d+O\left(q^{d} / d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, consider $\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} \omega^{2}(p-a)$. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} \omega^{2}(p-a) & =\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}\left(\omega_{\delta}(p-a)+O(1 / \delta)\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} \omega_{\delta}^{2}(p-a)+O\left(q^{d} \log d / d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} \omega_{\delta}^{2}(p-a)= & \sum_{\substack{\operatorname{deg} l_{1}, \operatorname{deg} l_{2} \leq \delta d \\
l_{1} \neq l_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{\operatorname{deg} p=d \\
p \equiv a\left(\bmod l_{1} l_{2}\right)}} 1+\sum_{\operatorname{deg} l \leq \delta d} \sum_{\substack{\operatorname{deg} p=d \\
p \equiv a(\bmod l)}} 1 \\
= & \sum_{\operatorname{deg} l_{1}, \operatorname{deg} l_{2} \leq \delta d}\left(\frac{1}{\phi\left(l_{1} l_{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{q^{d}}{d}+O\left(q^{d(1+\varepsilon) / 2}\right)\right) \\
& +O\left(q^{d} \log d / d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $0<\delta<1 / 4$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} \omega^{2}(p-a) & =\frac{q^{d}}{d} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} l_{1}, \operatorname{deg} l_{2} \leq \delta d} \frac{1}{q^{\operatorname{deg} l_{1}} \cdot q^{\operatorname{deg} l_{2}}}+O\left(q^{d} \log d / d\right) \\
& =\frac{q^{d}}{d}(\log d)^{2}+O\left(q^{d} \log d / d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining all the above results and choosing $\delta=1 / 5$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} & (\omega(p-a)-\log d)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} \omega^{2}(p-a)-2 \log d \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} \omega(p-a)+(\log d)^{2} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} 1 \\
& \ll \frac{q^{d} \log d}{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Lemma 1 follows.
Now, Theorem 1 follows directly from Lemma 1:

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p \leq n}(\omega(p-a)-\log n)^{2} \\
&= \sum_{d \leq n} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}(\omega(p-a)-\log d+\log d-\log n)^{2} \\
& \ll \sum_{d \leq n} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}(\omega(p-a)-\log d)^{2}+\sum_{d \leq n} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}(\log d-\log n)^{2} \\
& \ll \sum_{d \leq n} \frac{q^{d}}{d} \log d+\sum_{1 \leq d \leq n / 2} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}(\log n)^{2}+\sum_{n / 2<d \leq n} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}(\log d-\log n)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The third term of the last inequality is

$$
\sum_{n / 2<d \leq n} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}(\log d-\log n)^{2} \ll(\log 2)^{2} \sum_{n / 2<d \leq n} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d} 1 \ll \pi(n)
$$

The second term can be estimated by

$$
\sum_{1 \leq d \leq n / 2} \sum_{\operatorname{deg} p=d}(\log n)^{2}=(\log n)^{2} \pi(n / 2) \ll \pi(n)
$$

The first term is the main term. It is bounded by

$$
\sum_{d \leq n} \frac{q^{d}}{d} \log d \ll \log n \sum_{d \leq n} \#\{p \in P: \operatorname{deg} p=d\} \ll \pi(n) \log n
$$

Combining all the above estimates, we obtain

$$
\sum_{\operatorname{deg} p \leq n}(\omega(p-a)-\log n)^{2} \ll \pi(n) \log n
$$

Hence, Theorem 1 follows. We have thus obtained an analogue of the Erdős Theorem in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$.
3. Proof of Theorem 2. In this section, we shall prove that the quantity

$$
\frac{\omega(p-a)-\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}{\sqrt{\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}}
$$

distributes normally. This follows from Theorem 1 of [6]. Instead of stating that theorem in its general form, we state below its consequence in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. Let $P$ be the set of monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. For $m \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$, define $N(m):=q^{\operatorname{deg} m}$. Take $X=\left\{q^{z}: z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Let $S$ be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. For $x \in X$, define

$$
S(x)=\{m \in S: N(m) \leq x\} .
$$

We assume that $S$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)\right|=o(|S(x)|) \quad \text { for all } x \in X \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f$ be a map from $S$ to $M$. For each $l \in P$, we write

$$
\frac{1}{|S(x)|} \#\{m \in S(x): l \mid f(m)\}=\lambda_{l}(x)+e_{l}(x)
$$

where $\lambda_{l}=\lambda_{l}(x)$ can be thought of as the main term (and is usually chosen to be independent of $x$ ) and $e_{l}=e_{l}(x)$ is an error term. For any sequence of distinct elements $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{u} \in P$, we write

$$
\frac{1}{|S(x)|} \#\left\{m \in S(x): l_{i} \mid f(m) \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, u\right\}=\lambda_{l_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{l_{u}}+e_{l_{1} \ldots l_{u}}(x)
$$

We will use $e_{l_{1} \ldots l_{u}}$ to abbreviate $e_{l_{1} \ldots l_{u}}(x)$ below.
Suppose that for all $x \in X$, there exists a constant $\beta$ with $0<\beta \leq 1$ and $y=y(x)<x^{\beta}$ such that the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1) } \#\left\{l \in P: N(l)>x^{\beta}, l \mid f(m)\right\}=O(1) \text { for each } m \in S(x) .  \tag{1}\\
& \text { (2) } \quad \sum_{y<N(l) \leq x^{\beta}} \lambda_{l}=o\left((\log \log x)^{1 / 2}\right) .  \tag{2}\\
& \text { (3) } \quad \sum_{y<N(l) \leq x^{\beta}}\left|e_{l}\right|=o\left((\log \log x)^{1 / 2}\right) .  \tag{3}\\
& \text { (4) } \sum_{N(l) \leq y} \lambda_{l}=\log \log x+o\left((\log \log x)^{1 / 2}\right) .  \tag{4}\\
& \text { (5) } \quad \sum_{N(l) \leq y} \lambda_{l}^{2}=o\left((\log \log x)^{1 / 2}\right) .  \tag{5}\\
& \text { (6) } \quad \text { For } r \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } u=1, \ldots, r, \text { we have }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\sum^{\prime \prime}\left|e_{l_{1} \ldots l_{u}}\right|=o\left((\log \log x)^{-r / 2}\right)
$$

where $\sum^{\prime \prime}$ extends over all $u$-tuples $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{u}\right)$ with $N\left(l_{i}\right) \leq y$ and $l_{i}$ are all distinct.

It was proved in [6] that there is a generalization of the Erdős-Kac Theorem in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 1 in [6]). Let $P$ and $X$ be as before. Let $S$ be a subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ satisfying condition (C). Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. Suppose there exists a constant $\beta$ with $0<\beta \leq 1$ and $y=y(x)<x^{\beta}$ such that conditions (1) to (6) hold. Then for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|S(x)|} \#\left\{m \in S(x): \frac{\omega(f(m))-\log \log N(m)}{\sqrt{\log \log N(m)}} \leq \gamma\right\}=G(\gamma)
$$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 2. Let $S=P$ and $f: p \mapsto p-a$. By Fact 1, condition (C) follows. Choose $y=x^{1 / \log \log x}$ and let $\beta$ be any
constant such that $0<\beta<1 / 2$. Since for $N(p) \leq x=q^{n}$ with $x$ large (say $>N(a)$ ), we have

$$
\#\left\{l \in P: N(l)>x^{\beta}, l \mid(p-a)\right\} \leq 1 / \beta
$$

condition (1) is satisfied. For a monic irreducible polynomial $l$, Fact 2 implies that

$$
\#\{p \in P: \operatorname{deg} p \leq n, p \equiv a(\bmod l)\}=\frac{1}{\phi(l)} \pi(n)+O\left(\pi(n)^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Take $\lambda_{l}=1 / \phi(l)$. Lemmas 1 and 2 in [7] state that

$$
\sum_{N(l) \leq x} \frac{1}{N(l)}=\log \log x+O(1), \quad \sum_{N(l) \leq x} \frac{1}{N(l)^{2}} \ll 1
$$

Thus conditions (2), (4), and (5) follow. Also, we have

$$
\sum_{y<N(l) \leq x^{\beta}}\left|e_{l}\right| \ll \pi(n)^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon} \cdot \pi(n)^{\beta} \ll 1
$$

since $\beta<1 / 2$. Thus, condition (3) follows. For distinct primes $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{u}$ with $N\left(l_{i}\right) \leq y$, by Fact 2 , we have

$$
\left|e_{l_{1} \ldots l_{u}}\right| \ll \pi(n)^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon} .
$$

Since $y=o\left(x^{\varepsilon}\right)$, condition (6) is satisfied. Combining all the above results, Theorem 3 implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} \#\left\{p \in P: \operatorname{deg} p \leq n, \frac{\omega(p-a)-\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}{\sqrt{\log (\operatorname{deg} p)}} \leq \gamma\right\}=G(\gamma)
$$

We have thus obtained an analogue of the Halberstam Theorem in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$.
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