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1. Introduction. A long standing issue in number theory is to find conditions on series to decide if their sums are rational or not. Very occasionally, spectacular special results like R. Apéry’s proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3}$ come along [1]. General methods are however very rare. Motivated by investigations in this vein Erdős [3] called a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ irrational if the set

$$X \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n c_n} : c_n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

which we refer to henceforth as its expressible set, contains no rational numbers. Sequences that are not irrational are called rational. In [3] it is shown that if $\liminf_{n \to \infty} a_n^{1/2n} > 1$, $\limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n^{1/2n} = \infty$ and $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/a_n$ is an irrational number. From this we can deduce that the sequence $\{2^{2n}\}$ is irrational. In [5] it is shown that if for given $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $a_n < 2^{(2-\varepsilon)n}$ and $a_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is rational and in fact that $X \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ contains an interval. It appears to be the case that, in general, evaluating the Lebesgue measure of the set $X \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not easy. This has led to a number of studies under particular hypotheses. For instance Hančl, Schinzel and Šustek [7] studied the case of geometric sequences. Also Hančl and Šustek [8] studied boundedly expressible sets. In [6] we give conditions on $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ to ensure that the Lebesgue measure of the set $X \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is zero. In particular the following is shown. Let $\alpha$, $\delta$
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and \( \varepsilon \) be positive real numbers with \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and let \( \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be sequences of positive integers with \( \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) nondecreasing. Suppose also that \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n^{1/3n} = \infty \), that \( a_n \geq n^{1+\varepsilon} \) and that \( b_n \leq 2^{\log_2^2 a_n} \) for every sufficiently large \( n \). Then the expressible set of the sequence \( \{a_n/b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) has Lebesgue measure zero.

For a set \( E \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) we call \( (U_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \) a \( \delta \)-cover of \( E \) if \( E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \) and \( \text{diam} U_i = \sup_{x,y \in U_i} |x - y| < \delta \). We define an outer measure by
\[
H^s_\delta(E) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam} U_i)^s,
\]
where the supremum is taken over all \( \delta \)-covers. We also define \( H^s(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} H^s_\delta(E) \). There is a nonnegative real number \( s_0 \) such that if \( s > s_0 \) then \( H^s(E) = 0 \) and if \( s < s_0 \) then \( H^s(E) = \infty \). We call \( s_0 \) the Hausdorff dimension of \( E \). We denote \( s_0 \) by \( \dim_H(E) \). See [4] for a more systematic discussion of the relevant ideas.

In this paper we prove the following refinement of our theorem from [6].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( \alpha, \delta \) and \( \varepsilon \) be positive real numbers with \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and let \( \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be sequences of positive integers with \( \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) nondecreasing. Also suppose that
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n^{1/(3+\delta)n} = \infty
\]
and that
\[
a_n \geq n^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad b_n \leq 2^{\log_2^2 a_n}
\]
for every sufficiently large \( n \). Then
\[
\dim_H\left( X\left\{ \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right) \leq \frac{2}{2 + \delta}.
\]

We hope to return to the issue of lower bounds on another occasion.

### 2. Our main tool.

Theorem 1.1 is an immediate general consequence of the following more general result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( L \) be a positive integer and let \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \varepsilon \) be real numbers with \( 0 < \alpha < 1, \ 0 < \varepsilon \) and \( 0 \leq \beta < \varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon) \). Assume that \( x_1, \ldots, x_L, M_1, \ldots, M_L \) are real numbers such that \( x_i \neq 0 \) and \( M_i \geq 1 \) for every \( i = 1, \ldots, L \). Let \( \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be a nondecreasing sequence of positive integers. Suppose that \( \{b_i\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{M_i\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) are sequences of integers such that for every \( i = 1, \ldots, L \) and every sufficiently large \( n \),
\[
|b_{i,n}| \leq 2^{\log_2^2 a_n} a_n^{M_i}.
\]
Assume that for every \( n \),

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{L} b_{i,n} x_i 
eq 0.
\]

For every sufficiently large \( n \), let

\[
|b_n| \leq 2^{\log_2 a_n} \alpha_n^\beta
\]

and let

\[
a_n \geq n^{1+\varepsilon}.
\]

Suppose that

\[
P = \sup \left\{ M : \limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n^{1/(1+M+1) \beta} = \infty \right\} > \sum_{i=1}^{L} M_i.
\]

Then

\[
\dim_H \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} M_i}{1 + P}.
\]

3. Proof. For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that sequences \( \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{b_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) satisfy the assumptions (2.1)–(2.4) of Theorem 2.1. Let \( M \geq 1 \) be a real number such that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n^{1/(1+M+1) \beta} = \infty.
\]

Then for every sequence \( \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of positive integers the inequality

\[
\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n a_n c_n - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L} p_i x_i}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{(q \log_2 q)2^{L \log_2 (1+2\alpha)/3} q^{-M}}
\]

has infinitely many solutions \( p_1, \ldots, p_L \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( q \in \mathbb{N} \) with

\[
p_i = O(2^{\log_2 (1+2\alpha)/3} q^{-M_i}) \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \ldots, L.
\]

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( \{H_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \) be a family of hypercubes. Suppose \( S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) is a set such that

\[
S \subseteq \{ t \in \mathbb{R}^n : t \in H_j \text{ for infinitely many } j \in \mathbb{N} \}.
\]

If

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } H_j)^m < \infty
\]

for some \( m > 0 \), then \( \dim_H(S) \leq m \).
Lemma 3.1 is the same as Lemma 6 in [6]. Lemma 3.2 is known as the Hausdorff–Cantelli lemma and its proof can be found in [2].

Proof of Theorem 2.1. From assumption (2.5) we obtain (3.1) for every \( M \in (\sum_{i=1}^{L} M_i, P) \). Hence we can use Lemma 3.1. For brevity let

\[
h_i(q) := 2^{\log_2((1+2\alpha)/3)q} q M_i.
\]

From Lemma 3.1 we deduce that for every sequence \( \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of positive integers the inequality

\[
\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n c_n} - \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{p_i x_i}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^{M+1} 2L \log_2((1+2\alpha)/3) q}
\]

has infinitely many solutions \( p_1, \ldots, p_L \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{N} \) with

\[
p_i = O(h_i(q))
\]

for every \( i = 1, \ldots, L \). This implies that the expressible set of the sequence \( \{a_n/b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a subset of the set

\[
S := \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{q=N}^{\infty} \bigcup_{p_1=-[Eh_1(q)]}^{\lfloor Eh_1(q) \rfloor} \cdots \bigcup_{p_L=-[Eh_L(q)]}^{\lfloor Eh_L(q) \rfloor} J_{p_1, \ldots, p_L, q},
\]

where \( E \) is a positive real constant not depending on \( q \) and

\[
J_{p_1, \ldots, p_L, q} := \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{p_i x_i}{q} - \frac{1}{q^{M+1} 2L \log_2((1+2\alpha)/3) q}, \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{p_i x_i}{q} + \frac{1}{q^{M+1} 2L \log_2((1+2\alpha)/3) q} \right).
\]

Every element \( \xi \in S \) lies in infinitely many sets \( J_{p_1, \ldots, p_L, q} \). The family

\[
J := \{ J_{p_1, \ldots, p_L, q} : p_1, \ldots, p_L \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{N}, |p_i| \leq \lfloor Eh_i(q) \rfloor \}
\]

is a cover of the set \( S \). The diameter of every set \( J \in \mathcal{J} \) is

\[
J = \frac{2}{q^{M+1} 2L \log_2((1+2\alpha)/3) q}.
\]

Then, for every \( m \) with

\[
1 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} M_i < m < 1
\]

we have

\[
\sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} (\text{diam } J)^m = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p_1=-[Eh_1(q)]}^{\lfloor Eh_1(q) \rfloor} \cdots \sum_{p_L=-[Eh_L(q)]}^{\lfloor Eh_L(q) \rfloor} \frac{2^m}{q^{(M+1)m} 2Lm \log_2((1+2\alpha)/3) q} \leq D \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{L} M_i - (M+1)m} 2L(1-m) \log_2((1+2\alpha)/3) q < \infty.
\]
Here $D$ is a suitable positive constant not depending on $q$, since
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{L} M_i - (M + 1)m < -1. \]

From Lemma 3.2 it follows that $\dim_H(S) \leq m$. This fact holds for every $m$ satisfying (3.3). Hence
\[ \dim_H \left( X \left\{ \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right) \leq \dim_H(S) \leq \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} M_i}{1 + M}. \]

The fact that this inequality holds for every $M \in (\sum_{i=1}^{L} M_i, P)$ gives
\[ \dim_H \left( X \left\{ \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right) \leq \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} M_i}{1 + P} \]
if $P < \infty$ and
\[ \dim_H \left( X \left\{ \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right) = 0 \]
if $P = \infty$, and concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
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