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1. Introduction. For a positive integer $e \geq 2$, the Jacobi sums of order $e$ are algebraic integers in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{e}\right)$, where $\zeta_{e}=\exp (2 \pi i / e)$. They are defined in terms of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $q=p^{r}$ where $q \equiv 1(\bmod e)$, $p$ prime. (See Section 2.) Jacobi sums are important objects in the theory of cyclotomy and their congruences have been studied by many authors. Earlier authors (e.g. 4]) obtained congruences for Jacobi sums defined in terms of $\mathbb{F}_{p}, p \equiv 1(\bmod e)$, and later authors $(\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g} .[7])$ considered $q \equiv 1(\bmod e)$.
(1) It is well known (see [4], [12]) that for Jacobi sums of odd prime order $l$,

$$
J(1, j)_{l} \equiv-1\left(\bmod \left(1-\zeta_{l}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

This congruence also holds modulo $\left(1-\zeta_{l}\right)^{3}$. (See [9], [13].)
(2) Congruences for Jacobi sums of order $2 l$ ( $l$ odd prime) were obtained by V. V. Acharya and S. A. Katre [1]. They showed that

$$
J(1, n)_{2 l} \equiv-\zeta^{m(n+1)}\left(\bmod \left(1-\zeta_{l}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

where $n$ is an odd integer such that $1 \leq n \leq 2 l-3$ and $m=$ ind 2 .
(3) A congruence for the Jacobi sum $J(1,1)_{9}$ of order 9 was obtained by S. A. Katre and A. R. Rajwade [10. They showed that

$$
J(1,1)_{9} \equiv-1-(\operatorname{ind} 3)(1-\omega)\left(\bmod \left(1-\zeta_{9}\right)^{4}\right)
$$

where $\omega=\zeta_{9}^{3}$.
(4) If $k$ is an odd prime power $>3$, then (see [8])

$$
J(i, j)_{k} \equiv-1\left(\bmod \left(1-\zeta_{k}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

R. J. Evans [7] generalised this result to all $k>2$ by elementary methods, getting sharper congruences in some cases, especially when $k>8$ is a power of 2 .

[^0]It may be noted that an element $\alpha$ coprime to $l$ in the cyclotomic ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{l}\right]$, $l$ prime, can be uniquely determined if we know its prime ideal decomposition, absolute value and congruence modulo $\left(1-\zeta_{l}\right)^{2}$. To determine an element in the ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{l^{2}}\right]$ which is coprime to $l$, the congruence is required modulo $\left(1-\zeta_{l^{2}}\right)^{l+1}$. In this sense, the congruences in (1), (2) and (3) above are appropriate congruences which determine the Jacobi sums.

In this paper (see Section 5) for $q=p^{r} \equiv 1\left(\bmod l^{2}\right), l>3$ and $p$ primes, we obtain congruences for Jacobi sums of order $l^{2}$ modulo $(1-\zeta)^{l+1}$ in terms of cyclotomic numbers of order $l$. These are the determining congruences for Jacobi sums of order $l^{2}$ and they sharpen the congruences in (4). In Section 6, we obtain cyclotomic numbers of order $l^{2}$ in terms of coefficients of Jacobi sums of order $l$ and $l^{2}$.
2. Preliminaries. Let $e$ be a positive integer $\geq 2$ and $q=p^{r} \equiv 1$ $(\bmod e), p$ prime. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field with $q$ elements. Write $p^{r}=q=$ $e f+1$. Let $\zeta$ be a complex primitive $e$ th root of unity. If $\gamma$ is a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ then define the multiplicative character $\chi: \mathbb{F}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ by $\chi(\gamma)=\zeta$, $\chi(0)=0$. Given a generator $\gamma$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ define the Jacobi sum by

$$
J(i, j)=J(i, j)_{e}=\sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi^{i}(v) \chi^{j}(1+v), \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq e-1
$$

Here $\chi^{0}(0)=0$. Also, $i$ and $j$ can be considered modulo $e$, with the understanding that $\chi^{i}(0)=0$ for any integer $i$. Note that $J(i, j)_{e} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$.

A variation of the Jacobi sum is defined as

$$
J\left(\chi^{i}, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}=\sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi^{i}(v) \chi^{j}(1-v), \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq e-1
$$

Observe that $J(i, j)_{e}=\chi^{i}(-1) J\left(\chi^{i}, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}$. When $q=2^{r}, \chi^{i}(-1)=\chi^{i}(1)=1$ and both the Jacobi sums coincide. Otherwise $\chi^{i}(-1)=(-1)^{i f}$ and hence the two Jacobi sums differ at most in sign. For multiplicative characters $\chi$ and $\psi$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q}, J(\chi, \psi)$ can be analogously defined. The prime ideal decomposition of Jacobi sums is well-known. See [3, p. 346, Corollary 11.2.4] for details.

In the following theorem we state some standard results about Jacobi sums.

Theorem 2.1 (Elementary properties of Jacobi sums).
(1) If $i$ and $j$ are congruent to 0 modulo $e$ then $J\left(\chi^{i}, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}=q-2$.
(2) If exactly one of $i$ and $j$ is congruent to 0 modulo $e$ then $J\left(\chi^{i}, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}$ $=-1$.
(3) If $i$ is nonzero modulo $e$ and $i+j$ is congruent to 0 modulo $e$ then $J\left(\chi^{i}, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}=-\chi^{i}(-1)$.
(4) $J\left(\chi^{i}, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}=J\left(\chi^{j}, \chi^{i}\right)_{e}=\chi^{i}(-1) J\left(\chi^{-i-j}, \chi^{i}\right)_{e}$.
(5) If e divides neither $i$, $j$ nor $i+j$ then $\left|J\left(\chi^{i}, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}\right|=\sqrt{q}$.

Proof. See [4] for $q=p$ and [14] for $q=p^{r}$.
REMARK. If $f$ is even or $q=2^{r}$ then $J(i, j)_{e}=J\left(\chi^{i}, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}$, so (4) gives $J(i, j)_{e}=J(j, i)_{e}=J(-i-j, j)_{e}=J(j,-i-j)_{e}=J(-i-j, i)_{e}=$ $J(i,-i-j)_{e}$. In particular $J(i, i)_{e}=J(-2 i, i)_{e}=J(i,-2 i)_{e}$.
3. Cyclotomy. Let $\gamma, \zeta$ and $\chi$ be as in Section 2. For $0 \leq i, j \leq e-1$ $(i, j(\bmod e))$, define the $e^{2}$ cyclotomic numbers $(i, j)_{e}$ by $(i, j)_{e}=\operatorname{Card}\left(X_{i j}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{i j} & =\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \mid \chi(v)=\zeta^{i}, \chi(v+1)=\zeta^{j}\right\} \\
& =\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}-\{0,-1\} \mid \operatorname{ind}_{\gamma} v \equiv i(\bmod e), \operatorname{ind}_{\gamma}(v+1) \equiv j(\bmod e)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We state some basic properties of the cyclotomic numbers. (See 5] for $q=p$, and [14]). For $q=p^{r}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(i, j)_{e} & =\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)_{e} \quad \text { if } i \equiv i^{\prime} \text { and } j \equiv j^{\prime}(\bmod e) \\
(i, j)_{e} & =(e-i, j-i)_{e} \\
& = \begin{cases}(j, i)_{e} & \text { if } f \text { is even or } q=2^{r} \\
(j+e / 2, i+e / 2)_{e} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if $f$ is even or $q=2^{r}$ with $r \geq 2$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
(i, j)_{e} & =(j, i)_{e}=(i-j,-j)_{e}=(j-i,-i)_{e}  \tag{3.1}\\
& =(-i, j-i)_{e}=(-j, i-j)_{e}
\end{align*}
$$

For $e$ odd $>3$, the equation (3.1 partitions the $e^{2}$ cyclotomic numbers into classes (groups). $(0,0)_{e}$ forms a singleton class. For $1 \leq i \leq e-1$, $(i, i)_{e},(0,-i)_{e}$, and $(-i, 0)_{e}$ form classes of three elements. The remaining cyclotomic numbers are grouped into classes of six elements. ( $e=3$ is exceptional; $(1,2)_{3}=(2,1)_{3}$ is a class of only two elements.) We also have the following properties. For $e \geq 2$,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}(i, j)_{e}= \begin{cases}f-1 & \text { if } j=0  \tag{3.2}\\ f & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq e-1\end{cases}
$$

If $q=p^{r}, p$ odd prime,

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{e-1}(i, j)_{e}= \begin{cases}f-1 & \text { if } f \text { is even and } i=0  \tag{3.3}\\ f-1 & \text { if } f \text { is odd and } i=e / 2 \\ f & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Also, if $q=2^{r}$ then $e$ is odd. In this case

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{e-1}(i, j)_{e}= \begin{cases}f-1 & \text { if } i=0  \tag{3.4}\\ f & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In any case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} \sum_{j=0}^{e-1}(i, j)_{e}=q-2 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q=p^{r} \equiv 1(\bmod e)$ and $d$ be any divisor of $e$. Write $E=e / d$. A cyclotomic number of order $E$ can be expressed as the sum of $d^{2}$ cyclotomic numbers of order $e$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k, h)_{E}=\sum_{r=0}^{d-1} \sum_{s=0}^{d-1}(k+r E, h+s E)_{e} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

See L. E. Dickson ([6, eq. (2)]) for $q=p$. We will use this formula in Section 5.
4. Relation between Jacobi sums and cyclotomic numbers. The $e^{2}$ Jacobi sums and the $e^{2}$ cyclotomic numbers are related by

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \zeta^{-(a i+b j)} J(i, j)_{e} & =e^{2}(a, b)_{e}  \tag{4.1}\\
\sum_{i} \sum_{j}(i, j)_{e} \zeta^{a i+b j} & =J(a, b)_{e} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Jacobi sums and cyclotomic numbers are related to Dickson-Hurwitz sums. The latter are defined for $i, j(\bmod e)$ by (for $q=p$, see [4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(i, j)=B(i, j)_{e}=\sum_{h=0}^{e-1}(h, i-j h)_{e} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

They satisfy the relation $B(i, j)_{e}=B(i, e-j-i)_{e}$. Also,

$$
B(i, 0)_{e}= \begin{cases}f-1 & \text { if } i=0  \tag{4.4}\\ f & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq e-1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} B(i, j)_{e}=q-2 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dickson-Hurwitz sums and Jacobi sums $J\left(\chi, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}$ are related by (for $q=p$, see [4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{j}(-1) J\left(\chi, \chi^{j}\right)_{e}=\chi^{j}(-1) \chi(-1) J(1, j)_{e}=\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} B(i, j)_{e} \zeta^{i} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence if $f$ is even or $q=2^{r}$ then $J(1, j)_{e}=\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} B(i, j)_{e} \zeta^{i}$.
5. Congruences for Jacobi sums $J(1, n)_{l^{2}}$ of order $l^{2}$. Let $l \geq 3$ be a prime and $q=p^{r} \equiv 1\left(\bmod l^{2}\right), p$ prime. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field with $q$ elements. Write $q=l^{2} f+1=l f^{\prime}+1$. Hence $f^{\prime} \equiv 0(\bmod l)$. Note also that if $p$ is an odd prime then $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are even. Let $\zeta$ be a complex primitive $l^{2}$ th root of unity and $\omega=\zeta^{l}$. Recall that $(l)=(1-\zeta)^{l(l-1)}$, where $(1-\zeta)$ is a prime ideal in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. The following lemma determines an element in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ uniquely.

Lemma 5.1. Let $l$ be an odd rational prime and $\zeta$ be a complex primitive $l^{2}$ th root of unity. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ are coprime to $(1-\zeta)$ and
(i) $(\alpha)=(\beta)$,
(ii) $|\alpha|=|\beta|$,
(iii) $\alpha \equiv \beta\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)$,
then $\alpha=\beta$.
Proof. $(\alpha)=(\beta)$ implies that $\alpha=\beta u$, where $u$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Also $|\alpha|=|\beta|$ gives $u \bar{u}=1$. Let $u=f(\zeta)$, a polynomial in $\zeta$ with coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $f(\zeta) f(\bar{\zeta})=1$ and hence $f\left(\zeta^{i}\right) f\left(\overline{\zeta^{i}}\right)=1$ for every $i$ relatively prime to $l^{2}$. From this it follows that $u$ is a root of unity. But the only roots of unity in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ are $\pm \zeta^{i}$. So $u= \pm \zeta^{i}, 0 \leq i \leq l^{2}-1$. From condition (iii), $\pm \beta \zeta^{i} \equiv \beta\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)$. Hence

$$
\pm \zeta^{i} \equiv 1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right) \quad(\text { as } \operatorname{gcd}(\beta,(1-\zeta))=1)
$$

The $-\operatorname{sign}$ in the above congruence does not hold as $1+\zeta^{i} \equiv 2(\bmod (1-\zeta))$. Hence $\zeta^{i} \equiv 1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)$.

Now, by the binomial theorem, $\zeta^{l} \equiv 1+(\zeta-1)^{l}\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)$. Hence $\zeta^{l} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)$. However $\zeta^{l^{2}}=1$. Therefore the order of $\zeta$ $\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)$ is $l^{2}$. Hence $i=0$. Thus the result follows.

From (4.6), the Jacobi sum $J(1, n)_{l^{2}}=\sum_{i=0}^{l(l-1)-1} b_{i, n} \zeta^{i}\left(b_{i, n} \in \mathbb{Z}\right.$ uniquely determined) of order $l^{2}$ is given in terms of Dickson-Hurwitz sums by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(1, n)_{l^{2}}=\sum_{i=0}^{l^{2}-1} B(i, n)_{l^{2}} \zeta^{i} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i, n}=B(i, n)_{l^{2}}-B(l(l-1)+j, n)_{l^{2}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq j \leq l-1$, and $j \equiv i(\bmod l)$.
Lemma 5.2. Let $1 \leq u \leq l-1$ and $1 \leq n \leq l^{2}-1$. Write $n=d l+n^{\prime}$, $0 \leq n^{\prime} \leq l-1$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} b_{l i+u, n} \equiv B\left(u, n^{\prime}\right)_{l}(\bmod l)
$$

Further this sum is zero modulo $l$ if $\operatorname{gcd}(l, n)=l$.

Proof. From (5.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} b_{l i+u, n} & =\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} B(l i+u, n)_{l^{2}}-(l-1) B(l(l-1)+u, n)_{l^{2}} \\
& \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} B(l i+u, n)_{l^{2}}(\bmod l) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sum_{a=0}^{l^{2}-1}(a, l i+u-a n)_{l^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sum_{s, t=0}^{l-1}(l s+t, l i+u-(l s+t) n)_{l^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sum_{s, t=0}^{l-1}(l s+t, l(i-s n)+u-n t)_{l^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \sum_{s, i=0}^{l-1}\left(l s+t, l\left(i-s n^{\prime}-d t\right)+u-n^{\prime} t\right)_{l^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{l-1}\left(t, u-n^{\prime} t\right)_{l} \quad \text { using }(3.6) \\
& =B\left(u, n^{\prime}\right)_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\operatorname{gcd}(l, n)=l$ then $n^{\prime}=0$, and by (4.4), $B(u, 0)_{l}=f^{\prime} \equiv 0(\bmod l)$.
Lemma 5.3. Let $l>3$ be a prime and $1 \leq n \leq l^{2}-1$. Write $n=d l+n^{\prime}$ as before. For $1 \leq h \leq l-1$, let

$$
\lambda_{h}=\lambda_{h}(n)=\left[\frac{n^{\prime} h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-h\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right],
$$

and for $1 \leq h, k \leq l-1, h \neq k$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{h, k}=\lambda_{h, k}(n)= & {\left[\frac{h+n^{\prime} k}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{k+n^{\prime} h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{n^{\prime} k-h\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{n^{\prime} h-k\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{k-h\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{h-k\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For a given $n, \lambda_{h, k}$ depends only on the class of six elements (cf. (3.1)) to which $(h, k)_{l}$ belongs. Define

$$
S(n):=\sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} t B(l t+j, n)_{l^{2}} .
$$

Then

$$
S(n) \equiv \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} \lambda_{h}(h, 0)_{l}+\sum_{c} \lambda_{h, k}(h, k)_{l}(\bmod l)
$$

where $\sum_{c}$ is taken over a set of representatives of classes of six elements of cyclotomic numbers of order l, obtained in view of (3.1). Furthermore $S(n) \equiv 0(\bmod l)$ if $\operatorname{gcd}(l, n)=l$.

Proof. Let $(a, b)_{l^{2}}$ be a cyclotomic number of order $l^{2}$. We count the number of times $(a, b)_{l^{2}}$ appears in the expression for $S(n)$, and consider this count modulo $l$. If $(a, b)_{l^{2}}$ appears in $S(n)$ (in some $B(i, n)_{l^{2}}$ ) then it is of the form $(h, i-n h)_{l^{2}}$ for some $0 \leq h, i \leq l^{2}-1$. Therefore $a \equiv h\left(\bmod l^{2}\right)$ and $b \equiv i-n h\left(\bmod l^{2}\right)$. Hence we see that $b+n a \equiv i\left(\bmod l^{2}\right)$.

Thus, $(a, b)_{l^{2}}=(h, i-n h)_{l^{2}}$ comes from exactly one $B(i, n)_{l^{2}}$ and it is counted as many times as $B(i, n)_{l^{2}}$ is counted in $S(n)$, i.e. $[i / l]$ times. As $[i / l] \equiv[(b+n a) / l](\bmod l),(a, b)_{l^{2}}$ is counted $[(b+n a) / l]$ times (modulo $\left.l\right)$ in $S(n)$.

CASE (i). Consider the cyclotomic number $(l x, l y)_{l^{2}}$, where $0 \leq x, y \leq$ $l-1$. Now we count the number of times this cyclotomic number appears in $S(n)$ in all its different forms with respect to $(3.1) \cdot(0,0)_{l^{2}}$ appears 0 times in $S(n)$.

SUBCASE (1). If $x=y \neq 0$ then $(l x, l y)_{l^{2}}$ forms a group of three, namely $(l x, l x)_{l^{2}}=(0,-l x)_{l^{2}}=(-l x, 0)_{l^{2}}$. Hence the number of times $(l x, l y)_{l^{2}}$ will be counted in these three different forms in $S(n)$ is

$$
\equiv\left[\frac{l x+n l x}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-l x n}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-l x}{l}\right](\bmod l) \equiv 0(\bmod l)
$$

Subcase (2). If $x \neq y, x, y \neq 0$ then $(l x, l y)_{l^{2}}$ forms a group of six (cf. (3.1), viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(l x, l y)_{l^{2}} & =(l(x-y),-l y)_{l^{2}}=(l(y-x),-l x)_{l^{2}}=(l y, l x)_{l^{2}} \\
& =(-l y, l(x-y))_{l^{2}}=(-l x, l(y-x))_{l^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So the number of times this cyclotomic number will be counted in all its six forms is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\equiv & {\left[\frac{l x+n l y}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{(x-y) l-n l y}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{l(y-x)-n l x}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{l y+n l x}{l}\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{-l y+n(l x-l y)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-l x+n(l y-l x)}{l}\right](\bmod l) \equiv 0(\bmod l)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the contribution to $S(n)$ from all the cyclotomic numbers $(l x, l y)_{l^{2}}$ corresponding to the cyclotomic number $(0,0)_{l}$ (cf. 3.6) is 0 $(\bmod l)$.

CASE (ii). Consider a cyclotomic number of the type $(l x+h, l y)_{l^{2}}$ where $1 \leq h \leq l-1$ and is fixed, and $0 \leq x, y \leq l-1$, together with two of its other forms, viz. $(l(y-x)-h,-h-l x)_{l^{2}}$ and $(-l y, l(x-y)+h)_{l^{2}}$. The number of times $(l x+h, l y)_{l^{2}}$ appears in $S(n)$ in these three forms is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\equiv & {\left[\frac{l y+n(l x+h)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-h-l x+n(l(y-x)-h)}{l}\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{l(x-y)+h-y n l}{l}\right](\bmod l) \\
\equiv & {\left[\frac{n h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-h(n+1)}{l}\right](\bmod l) } \\
\equiv & \lambda_{h}(\bmod l), \quad \text { putting } n=d l+n^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if $y \neq 0$, by (3.1) there are six forms of $(l x+h, l y)_{l^{2}}$, but we are content with only three mentioned above. The other three forms correspond to $(l(x-y)+h,-l y)_{l^{2}}$. Hence the contribution to $S(n)$ of $(l x+h, l y)_{l^{2}}$ with two of its other forms as mentioned is $\lambda_{h}(l x+h, l y)_{l^{2}}(\bmod l)$. Hence the total contribution of $(l x+h, l y)_{l^{2}},(l x-h, l y-h)_{l^{2}}$ and $(l x, l y+h)_{l^{2}}$ for all $0 \leq x, y \leq l-1$ is $\equiv \lambda_{h}(h, 0)_{l}(\bmod l)$.

CASE (iii). Let $1 \leq h, k \leq l-1$ with $h \neq k$ be fixed. For any $0 \leq x, y \leq$ $l-1$ a cyclotomic number $(l x+h, l y+k)_{l^{2}}$ forms a group of six. Six different forms of this cyclotomic number are

$$
\begin{gathered}
(l x+h, l y+k)_{l^{2}}=(l(x-y)+h-k,-l y-k)_{l^{2}}=(l(y-x)+k-h,-l x-h)_{l^{2}} \\
=(l y+k, l x+h)_{l^{2}}=(-l y-k, l(x-y)+h-k)_{l^{2}} \\
=(-l x-h, l(y-x)+k-h)_{l^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

So the number of times this cyclotomic number is counted in all its six different forms in $S(n)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\equiv & {\left[\frac{l y+k+n(l x+h)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-l y-k+n(l(x-y)+h-k)}{l}\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{-l x-h+n(l(y-x)+k-h)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{l x+h+n(l y+k)}{l}\right] \\
& +\left[\frac{l(x-y)+h-k-n(l y+k)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{l(y-x)+k-h-n(l x+h)}{l}\right](\bmod l) \\
= & {\left[\frac{k+n h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-k(n+1)+n h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-h(n+1)+n k}{l}\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{h+n k}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{h-k(n+1)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{k-h(n+1)}{l}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $n=d l+n^{\prime}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{h, k}= & {\left[\frac{h+n^{\prime} k}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{k+n^{\prime} h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{n^{\prime} k-h\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{n^{\prime} h-k\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{k-h\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{h-k\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the total contribution of $(l x+h, l y+k)_{l^{2}}$ and of its five other forms for $0 \leq x, y \leq l-1$ is

$$
\sum_{x, y=0}^{l-1} \lambda_{h, k}(l x+h, l y+k)_{l^{2}}=\lambda_{h, k}(h, k)_{l}
$$

This ends Case (iii).
Hence by Cases (i)-(iii),

$$
S(n) \equiv \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} \lambda_{h}(h, 0)_{l}+\sum_{c} \lambda_{h, k}(h, k)_{l}(\bmod l)
$$

where $\sum_{c}$ is taken over a set of representatives of classes of six elements of cyclotomic numbers of order $l$, obtained from (3.1).

Now let $n^{\prime}=0$, i.e. $(l, n)=l$. Then

$$
\lambda_{h}=\left[\frac{n^{\prime} h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-h\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right]=\left[\frac{-h}{l}\right]=-1
$$

whereas

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{h, k}= & {\left[\frac{h+n^{\prime} k}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{k+n^{\prime} h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{n^{\prime} k-h\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{n^{\prime} h-k\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{k-h\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{h-k\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)}{l}\right] \\
= & {\left[\frac{h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{k}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{-k}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{k-h}{l}\right]+\left[\frac{h-k}{l}\right]=-3 . }
\end{aligned}
$$

We use (3.2) and (3.5) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(n) & \equiv-\sum_{h=1}^{l-1}(h, 0)_{l}-3 \sum_{c}(h, k)_{l}(\bmod l) \\
& =1+(0,0)_{l}-f^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{c} 6(h, k)_{l} \\
& =1-f^{\prime}+(0,0)_{l}-\frac{1}{2}\left(q-2-(0,0)_{l}-3 \sum_{k=1}^{l-1}(k, 0)_{l}\right) \\
& =1-f^{\prime}+(0,0)_{l}-\frac{1}{2}\left(q-2-3\left(f^{\prime}-1\right)+2(0,0)_{l}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}(q-1) \equiv 0(\bmod l)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Consider the Jacobi sum of order $l^{2}, J(1, n)_{l^{2}}=\sum_{i=0}^{l(l-1)-1} b_{i, n} \zeta^{i}$. Writing it in powers of $\zeta-1$ we see that

$$
J(1, n)_{l^{2}}=\sum_{i=0}^{l(l-1)-1} c_{i, n}^{\prime}(\zeta-1)^{i} \quad \text { where } \quad c_{i, n}^{\prime}=\sum_{m=i}^{l(l-1)-1}\binom{m}{i} b_{m, n}
$$

But from Y. Ihara [8, p. 81] (see also R. J. Evans [7]), $J(1, n)_{l^{2}} \equiv-1$ $\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{3}\right)$. Therefore $c_{0, n}^{\prime} \equiv-1(\bmod l)$ and $c_{1, n}^{\prime} \equiv c_{2, n}^{\prime} \equiv 0(\bmod l)$. Hence

$$
J(1, n)_{l^{2}} \equiv-1+\sum_{i=3}^{l} c_{i, n}^{\prime}(\zeta-1)^{i}\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)
$$

We shall now get congruences for $c_{i, n}^{\prime}$ for $3 \leq i \leq l$. Write $m=l t+u$, $0 \leq u \leq l-1$ and $0 \leq t \leq l-2$.

Case 1. Let $3 \leq i \leq l-1$. Then

$$
\binom{m}{i}=\frac{m(m-1) \cdots(m-i+1)}{i!} \equiv \frac{u(u-1) \cdots(u-i+1)}{i!}=\binom{u}{i}(\bmod l)
$$

where $\binom{u}{i}=0$ for $0 \leq u<i$. Therefore

$$
c_{i, n}^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{u=i}^{l-1}\left[\binom{u}{i}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{l-2} b_{l t+u, n}\right)\right](\bmod l)
$$

We apply Lemma 5.2 to obtain

$$
c_{i, n}^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{u=i}^{l-1}\left[\binom{u}{i}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{l-2} b_{l t+u, n}\right)\right] \equiv \sum_{u=i}^{l-1}\binom{u}{i} B\left(u, n^{\prime}\right)_{l}(\bmod l)
$$

Define, for $3 \leq i \leq l-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i, n}:=\sum_{u=i}^{l-1}\binom{u}{i} B\left(u, n^{\prime}\right)_{l} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $c_{i, n}^{\prime} \equiv c_{i, n}(\bmod l), 3 \leq i \leq l-1$.
CASE 2. Let $i=l$. Then for $m=l t+u$ as above, $\binom{m}{l} \equiv t(\bmod l)$. Using this observation, from (5.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{l, n}^{\prime} & =\sum_{m=l}^{l(l-1)-1}\binom{m}{l} b_{m, n} \equiv \sum_{t=0}^{l-2} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} t b_{l t+j, n}(\bmod l) \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{l-2} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} t\left(B(l t+j, n)_{l^{2}}-B(l(l-1)+j, n)_{l^{2}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{l-2} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} t B(l t+j, n)_{l^{2}}-\left(\sum_{t=0}^{l-2} t\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} B(l(l-1)+j, n)_{l^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $-\sum_{t=0}^{l-2} t=-(l-1)(l-2) / 2 \equiv l-1(\bmod l)$. Hence

$$
c_{l, n}^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} t B(l t+j, n)_{l^{2}}(\bmod l) .
$$

Let $\lambda_{h}, \lambda_{h, k}$ and $c$ be as in Lemma 5.3. Define, for $i=l$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{l, n}:=\sum_{h=1}^{l-1} \lambda_{h}(h, 0)_{l}+\sum_{c} \lambda_{h, k}(h, k)_{l} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by Lemma 5.3,

$$
c_{l, n}^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{t=0}^{l-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} t B(l t+j, n)_{l^{2}}=S(n) \equiv c_{l, n}(\bmod l)
$$

Thus,

$$
J(1, n)_{l^{2}} \equiv-1+\sum_{i=3}^{l} c_{i, n}(\zeta-1)^{i}\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 , if $l \mid n$ then $c_{i, n} \equiv 0(\bmod l)$ for $3 \leq i \leq l$, and we get

$$
J(1, n)_{l^{2}} \equiv-1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)
$$

We conclude the above discussion in the following theorem.
Theorem 5.4. Let $l>3$ be a prime and $p^{r}=q \equiv 1\left(\bmod l^{2}\right)$. If $1 \leq n \leq l^{2}-1$, then a (determining) congruence for $J(1, n)_{l^{2}}$ for a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given by

$$
J(1, n)_{l^{2}} \equiv \begin{cases}-1+\sum_{i=3}^{l} c_{i, n}(\zeta-1)^{i}\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{gcd}(l, n)=1 \\ -1\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{gcd}(l, n)=l\end{cases}
$$

where for $3 \leq i \leq l-1, c_{i, n}$ are described by (5.3) and $c_{l, n}=S(n)$ is given by Lemma 5.3.

Remark 1. Since Dickson-Hurwitz sums are sums of cyclotomic numbers, for $3 \leq i \leq l, c_{i, n}$ are integral linear combinations of cyclotomic numbers of order $l$.

Remark 2. For a given $l$, the $c_{i, n}$ and hence the above congruence for $J(1, n)_{l^{2}}$ depends only on $n(\bmod l)$, i.e.

$$
J(1, k)_{l^{2}} \equiv J(1, l+k)_{l^{2}}\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)
$$

Remark 3. For $\operatorname{gcd}(l, n)=l$, the result in the theorem also follows from the work of R. J. Evans ([7, Thm. 1]).

Remark 4. The absolute value of the Jacobi sum $J(1, n)_{l^{2}}$ (see Thm. $2.1(5))$ and its prime ideal decomposition (see [3, p. 346, Corollary 11.2.4])
are known. In view of Lemma 5.1, the congruence condition for $J(1, n)_{l^{2}}$ obtained in Thm. 5.4 together with the absolute value and prime ideal decomposition gives an algebraic characterisation of $J(1, n)_{l^{2}}$ and hence of all Jacobi sums of order $l^{2}$.

Remark 5. Congruences for Jacobi sums of order $l^{2}\left(\bmod (1-\zeta)^{l+1}\right)$ could be obtained in terms of cyclotomic numbers of order $l$. In the same fashion it is expected that the determining congruences for Jacobi sums of order $l^{m}$, which are required modulo $(1-\zeta)^{l^{m-1}+1}$, can be obtained in terms of cyclotomic numbers of order $l^{m-1}$ (or of order $l^{k}, 1 \leq k \leq m-1$ ). Also appropriate congruences for Jacobi sums of order $n$ may be obtained in terms of cyclotomic numbers of orders $d$ properly dividing $n$. These expectations are consistent with the result of P . van Wamelen (2002) who gave an algebraic characterization of Jacobi sums of order $n$ in terms of their absolute value, prime ideal decomposition and the Jacobi sums of orders $d$ properly dividing $n$. (See [15].)
6. Cyclotomic numbers of order $l^{2}$. Let $l$ be an odd prime. In this section we obtain formulae for the cyclotomic numbers $(h, k)_{l^{2}}$ of order $l^{2}$ in terms of coefficients of the Jacobi sums of order $l^{2}$ and $l$. Such formulae for cyclotomic numbers of order $l$, and cyclotomic numbers of order $2 l$ were obtained by S. A. Katre and A. R. Rajwade [11], and V. V. Acharya and S. A. Katre [1] respectively.

With the set up of Section 5, write Jacobi sums of order $l$ as $J(1, j)_{l}=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} a_{i, j} \omega^{i}$, where $a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $G^{\prime}=\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\omega) / \mathbb{Q})$ and $G=\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q})$. We compute $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\omega) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(J(1, j)_{l} \omega^{-t}\right)$. Note that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\omega) / \mathbb{Q}}(\omega)=-1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\omega) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(J(1, j)_{l} \omega^{-t}\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\omega) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} a_{i, j} \omega^{i-t}\right)  \tag{6.1}\\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} a_{i, j} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\omega) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\omega^{i-t}\right)=l a_{t, j}-\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} a_{i, j}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we compute $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(J(1, n)_{l^{2}} \zeta^{-t}\right)$. In this case, $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(\zeta)$ $=0$, where $\zeta$ is any primitive $l^{2}$ th root of unity, while $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}(\omega)=-l$. Let $B(i, n)=B(i, n)_{l^{2}}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(J(1, n)_{l^{2}} \zeta^{-t}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{l^{2}-1} B(i, n) \zeta^{i-t}\right)  \tag{6.2}\\
& \quad=\sum_{i=0}^{l^{2}-1} B(i, n) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\zeta^{i-t}\right)=l(l-1) B(t, n)-l \sum_{u=1}^{l-1} B(u l+t, n)
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 6.1. For $t$ and $n$ modulo $l^{2}$, define

$$
C(t, n):=l(l-1) B(t, n)-l \sum_{u=1}^{l-1} B(u l+t, n) .
$$

Let $0 \leq t \leq l^{2}-1$. Write $t=j l+s$, where $0 \leq j \leq l-1$ and $0 \leq s \leq l-1$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(t, n)=\epsilon(t) b_{t, n}-l \sum_{u=0}^{l-2} b_{u l+t, n}, \quad \text { where } \\
\epsilon(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
l^{2} \quad \text { if } 0 \leq j \leq l-2 \text {, i.e. } 0 \leq t<l(l-1), \\
-l & \text { if } j=l-1 \text {, i.e. } l(l-1) \leq t \leq l^{2}-1 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. (i) Let $0 \leq j \leq l-2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(t, n)= & l(l-1) B(t, n)-l \sum_{u=1}^{l-1} B(u l+t, n) \\
= & l(l-1) B(j l+s, n)-l \sum_{u=1}^{l-1} B((u+j) l+s, n) \\
= & l(l-1) B(j l+s, n)-l(l-1) B(l(l-1)+s, n) \\
& +l(l-2) B(l(l-1)+s, n)-l \sum_{u=1}^{l-j-2} B((u+j) l+s, n) \\
& -l \sum_{u=l-j}^{l-1} B((u+j) l+s, n) \\
= & l(l-1)(B(j l+s, n)-B(l(l-1)+s, n)) \\
& -l \sum_{u=1}^{l-2-j}(B((u+j) l+s, n)-B(l(l-1)+s, n)) \\
& -l \sum_{u=l-j}^{l-1}(B((u+j) l+s, n)-B(l(l-1)+s, n))
\end{aligned}
$$

In the first sum put $u+j=x$, and in the second put $u+j \equiv x(\bmod (l-1))$. Hence using (5.2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(t, n)= & l(l-1)(B(j l+s, n)-B(l(l-1)+s, n)) \\
& -l \sum_{x=0}^{j-1}(B(x l+s, n)-B(l(l-1)+s, n)) \\
& -l \sum_{x=j+1}^{l-2}(B(x l+s, n)-B(l(l-1)+s, n))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =l(l-1) b_{j l+s, n}-l \sum_{x=j+1}^{l-2} b_{x l+s, n}-l \sum_{x=0}^{j-1} b_{x l+s, n} \\
& =l^{2} b_{j l+s, n}-l \sum_{x=0}^{l-2} b_{x l+s, n}=l^{2} b_{t, n}-l \sum_{x=0}^{l-2} b_{x l+s, n}
\end{aligned}
$$

For every $u$, we have $u l+t \equiv x l+s(\bmod l(l-1))$ for some $x \in\{0, \ldots, l-2\}$. Therefore

$$
C(t, n)=l^{2} b_{t, n}-l \sum_{u=0}^{l-2} b_{u l+t, n}
$$

(ii) Let $j=l-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(t, n) & =l(l-1) B(t, n)-l \sum_{u=1}^{l-1} B(u l+t, n) \\
& =l(l-1) B(l(l-1)+s, n)-l \sum_{u=1}^{l-1} B((u-1+l) l+s, n) \\
& =-l \sum_{u=1}^{l-1}(B((u-1+l) l+s, n)-B(l(l-1)+s, n)) \\
& =-l \sum_{u=1}^{l-1}(B((u-1) l+s, n)-B(l(l-1)+s, n)) \\
& =-l \sum_{x=0}^{l-2}(B(x l+s, n)-B(l(l-1)+s, n))
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, using (5.2),

$$
C(t, n)=-l \sum_{x=0}^{l-2} b_{x l+s, n}=-l \sum_{u=0}^{l-2} b_{u l+t, n}
$$

So from (i) and (ii) above we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
C(t, n)=\epsilon(t) b_{t, n}-l \sum_{u=0}^{l-2} b_{u l+t, n}, \quad \text { where }  \tag{6.3}\\
\epsilon(t)= \begin{cases}l^{2} & \text { if } 0 \leq j \leq l-2, \text { i.e. } 0 \leq t<l(l-1) \\
-l & \text { if } j=l-1, \text { i.e. } l(l-1) \leq t \leq l^{2}-1\end{cases}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we observe that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\left(l^{2}-1\right) / 2}\left(\zeta^{-i t}+\zeta^{i t}\right)= \begin{cases}l^{2}-1 & \text { if } t=0 \\ -1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{\left(l^{2}-1\right) / 2} J(i, 0)\left(\zeta^{i h}+\zeta^{-i h}+\zeta^{-i k}+\zeta^{i k}+\zeta^{-i h+i k}+\zeta^{i h-i k}\right) \\
& \quad=-\sum_{i=1}^{\left(l^{2}-1\right) / 2}\left(\zeta^{i h}+\zeta^{-i h}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{\left(l^{2}-1\right) / 2}\left(\zeta^{i k}+\zeta^{-i k}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{\left(l^{2}-1\right) / 2}\left(\zeta^{i h-i k}+\zeta^{-i h+i k}\right) \\
& \quad=3+\delta(h, k)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta(h, k)$ is given by

$$
\delta(h, k)= \begin{cases}-3 l^{2} & \text { if } h \equiv k \equiv 0\left(\bmod l^{2}\right) \\ -l^{2} & \text { if exactly one of } h, k, h-k \text { is } \equiv 0\left(\bmod l^{2}\right) \\ 0 & \text { if } h, k, h-k \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod l^{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

From (4.1), 6.1), 6.2 and Lemma 6.1 we get the following
Theorem 6.2. Let $p$ be a prime and $p^{r}=q \equiv 1\left(\bmod l^{2}\right)$. Then the cyclotomic numbers $(h, k)_{l^{2}}$ of order $l^{2}$ are given in terms of coefficients of the Jacobi sums of order $l$ and order $l^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
l^{4}(h, k)_{l^{2}} & =q+1+\delta(h, k)+l \sum_{j=1}^{l-2} a_{h+j k, j}-\sum_{j=1}^{l-2} \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} a_{i, j}-l \sum_{j=1}^{l^{2}-2} \sum_{u=0}^{l-2} b_{u l+h+j k, j} \\
& -l \sum_{i=1}^{l-2} \sum_{u=0}^{l-2} b_{u l+h i l+k, l i}+\sum_{j=1}^{l-2} \epsilon(h+j k) b_{h+j k, j}+\sum_{i=1}^{l-2} \epsilon(h i l+k) b_{h i l+k, l i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Write $q=1+l^{2} f$. Now either $f$ is even and $q=p^{r}, p$ odd; or $f$ is odd and $q=2^{r}$. Hence by the Remark in Section 2 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{4}(h, k)_{l^{2}}=\sum_{i, j=0}^{l^{2}-1} J(i, j)_{l^{2}} \zeta^{-i h-j k} \quad(\text { from }(4.1)) \\
& \quad=J(0,0)_{l^{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\left(l^{2}-1\right) / 2} J(i, 0)_{l^{2}}\left(\zeta^{i h}+\zeta^{-i h}+\zeta^{-i k}+\zeta^{i k}+\zeta^{-i h+i k}+\zeta^{i h-i k}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{l-2} \sum_{\sigma \in G^{\prime}} \sigma\left(J(1, j)_{l} \omega^{-h-j k}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{l^{2}-2} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma\left(J(1, j)_{l^{2}} \zeta^{-h-j k}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma\left(J(i l, 1)_{l^{2}} \zeta^{-l i h-k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =q+1+\delta(h, k)+\sum_{j=1}^{l-2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\omega) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(J(1, j)_{l} \omega^{-h-j k}\right) \quad \text { (from above) } \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{l^{2}-2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(J(1, j)_{l^{2}} \zeta^{-h-j k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(J(1, l i)_{l^{2}} \zeta^{-l i h-k}\right) \\
& =q+1+\delta(h, k)+\sum_{j=1}^{l-2}\left(l a_{h+j k, j}-\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} a_{i, j}\right) \quad(\text { from }(6.1)) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{l^{2}-2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{l^{2}-1} B(i, j) \zeta^{i-h-j k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{l^{2}-1} B(j, l i) \zeta^{j-l i h-k}\right) \\
& =q+1+\delta(h, k)+l \sum_{j=1}^{l-2} a_{h+j k, j}-\sum_{i=0}^{l-2} \sum_{j=1}^{l-2} a_{i, j} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{l^{2}-2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{l^{2}-1} B(t+h+j k, j) \zeta^{t}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{l^{2}-1} B(t+l i h+k, l i) \zeta^{t}\right) \\
& =q+1+\delta(h, k)+l \sum_{j=1}^{l-2} a_{h+j k, j}-\sum_{j=1}^{l-2} \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} a_{i, j} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{l^{2}-2}\left[l(l-1) B(h+j k, j)-l \sum_{x=1}^{l-1} B(x l+h+j k, j)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\left[l(l-1) B(l i h+k, l i)-l \sum_{x=1}^{l-1} B(x l+h i l+k, l i)\right] \quad(\text { from }(6.2)) \\
& =q+1+\delta(h, k)+l \sum_{j=1}^{l-2} a_{h+j k, j}-\sum_{j=1}^{l-2} \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} a_{i, j} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{l^{2}-2} C(h+j k, j)+\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} C(h i l+k, l i) \\
& =q+1+\delta(h, k)+l \sum_{j=1}^{l-2} a_{h+j k, j}-\sum_{j=1}^{l-2} \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} a_{i, j}-l \sum_{j=1}^{l^{2}-2} \sum_{u=0}^{l-2} b_{u l+h+j k, j} \\
& -l \sum_{i=1}^{l-2} \sum_{u=0}^{l-2} b_{u l+h i l+k, l i}+\sum_{j=1}^{l^{2}-2} \epsilon(h+j k) b_{h+j k, j}+\sum_{i=1}^{l-2} \epsilon(h i l+k) b_{h i l+k, l i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is obtained using Lemma 6.1.

Remark. For cyclotomic numbers of order 9 see also [2].
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