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1. Introduction. For any $a \geq 0$, there is a perfect square in the interval $[a^2, (a+1)^2]$, namely $(\lfloor a \rfloor + 1)^2$ where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ stands for the greatest integer not exceeding $x$. Thus, for $x \geq 0$, the interval $[x, x + 2\sqrt{x} + 1]$ always contains a perfect square. Instead of perfect squares, we can look for integers $n$ that can be factored as $n = ab$ with $a, b$ close to $\sqrt{n}$. We formulate the question as follows:

**Question 1.** For $0 \leq \theta < 1/2$, what is the least $f(\theta)$ such that, for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$, any interval $[x - c_1 x f(\theta), x + c_1 x f(\theta)]$ contains an integer $n$ with $n = ab$, and $a, b$ are integers in the interval $[x^{1/2} - c_2 x^\theta, x^{1/2} + c_2 x^\theta]$?

*Note: $c_1$ and $c_2$ may depend on $\theta$.*

We call such an integer $n$ an *almost square* as it can be written as a product of two integers about $\sqrt{n}$, with small error $O(n^\theta)$. Clearly, $f$ is a non-increasing function and $0 \leq f(\theta) \leq 1/2$. Furthermore, we have

**Theorem 1.1.** For $0 \leq \theta < 1/4$, $f(\theta) = 1/2$.

**Theorem 1.2.** For $0 \leq \theta < 1/2$, $f(\theta) \geq 1/2 - \theta$.

In fact, one suspects the following

**Conjecture 1.1.** For $1/4 \leq \theta < 1/2$, $f(\theta) = 1/2 - \theta$.

Towards Conjecture 1.1, we have

**Theorem 1.3.** $f(1/4) = 1/4$.

Conditionally, we have

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume Conjecture 4.2 on a certain average of a twisted incomplete Salié sum. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $f(\theta) \leq 1/2 - \theta + \varepsilon$ for $1/4 < \theta \leq 3/10$.

**Notation.** $\{x\} := x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ is the fractional part of $x$; $\|x\| := \min_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - l|$ is the distance from $x$ to the nearest integer; $f(x) = O(g(x))$ or $f(x) \ll g(x)$
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mean $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for some constant $C > 0$; $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ means $g(x) \ll f(x) \ll g(x)$.

Our study is based on two ideas. First, \begin{equation}
ab = \left(\frac{b + a}{2} - \frac{b - a}{2}\right)\left(\frac{b + a}{2} + \frac{b - a}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{b + a}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{b - a}{2}\right)^2,
\end{equation}
which transforms the problem of factoring $n = ab$ into representing $n$ as the difference of two squares. Hence, for any $x$, we wish to find $\ab = \left(\frac{b + a}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{b - a}{2}\right)^2$ close to $x$ with $a, b$ close to $\sqrt{x}$. In other words, we want $x + \left(\frac{b - a}{2}\right)^2$ close to $\left(\frac{b + a}{2}\right)^2$, or equivalently, $\sqrt{x + \left(\frac{b - a}{2}\right)^2}$ close to $(b + a)/2$. Now suppose that $b - a$ is an even integer and $d = (b - a)/2$. Thus, we transform Question 1 to

\textit{Question 2.} Find an integer $0 \leq d \leq c_2x^\theta$ such that $\sqrt{x + d^2}$ is close to an integer.

The second idea is the use of Taylor’s expansion:
\begin{equation}
\sqrt{x + d^2} = \sqrt{x}\sqrt{1 + \frac{d^2}{x}} = \sqrt{x} \left[1 + \frac{d^2}{2x} + O\left(\frac{d^4}{x^2}\right)\right] = \sqrt{x + \frac{d^2}{2\sqrt{x}}} + O\left(\frac{d^4}{x^{3/2}}\right).
\end{equation}
This draws a connection to the famous problem on the distribution of $n^2\alpha \pmod{1}$. In our situation, $\alpha = 1/2\sqrt{x}$ and $0 \leq n \leq c_2x^\theta$. The reader will see the reason for using Conjecture 4.2 in Section 4. It may be worth mentioning here that the $3/10$ in Theorem 1.4 is due to the error term in (2) and, ignoring this error term, Friedlander and Iwaniec’s method in [2] only works for $\theta$ up to $1/3$.

Furthermore, from (1), one may wonder if our problem is related to gaps between sums of two squares. In fact, using the same argument for $\sqrt{x - d^2}$ instead of $\sqrt{x + d^2}$, we have

\textbf{Theorem 1.5.} Assume Conjecture 4.2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some constant $c > 0$ such that, for any $x \geq 1$, the interval $[x, x + cx^{1/5+\varepsilon}]$ always contains an integer which is the sum of two squares.

In general, the same method gives a similar result on gaps between values represented by a binary quadratic form. This can be done simply by completing the squares or using (1) to transform a binary quadratic form into the form $AX^2 + BY^2$. (Note: One may need to impose certain restrictions on the ranges of the variables when the quadratic form is indefinite.)
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2. 0 ≤ θ < 1/4 and lower bound of f

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let 0 ≤ θ < 1/4 and c > 0. It suffices to show that there exists arbitrarily large x such that |x - ab| ≫ x^{1/2} for all integers x^{1/2} - cx^θ ≤ a ≤ b ≤ x^{1/2} + cx^θ. Now, consider any large x with \{\sqrt{x}\} = 1/4. By (1) and the triangle inequality, |x \pm ab| ≥ |x - (b + a)/2| - |(b - a)/2| ≥ |\sqrt{x} - (b + a)/2| |\sqrt{x} + (b + a)/2| - c^2x^{2θ} ≥ 1/4 \sqrt{x} - c^2x^{2θ} ≫ x^{1/2}.

Therefore, f(θ) ≥ 1/2 for 0 ≤ θ < 1/4, which gives the theorem as f(θ) ≤ 1/2 from consideration of perfect squares.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For large x and x^{1/2} - cx^θ ≤ a, b ≤ x^{1/2} + cx^θ, there are at most 4c^2x^{2θ} distinct integers of the form ab in the interval [x - cx^{1/2+θ}, x]. Hence, there is a gap of size at least \frac{cx^{1/2+θ}}{4c^2x^{2θ}} = \frac{x^{1/2-θ}}{4c}

between two consecutive integers of the form ab. Now, pick y as the midpoint of this gap; then \[y - \frac{1}{10c}y^{1/2-θ}, y + \frac{1}{10c}y^{1/2-θ}\] is an interval containing no integer of the form ab with y^{1/2} - \frac{c}{2}y^θ ≤ a, b ≤ y^{1/2} + \frac{c}{2}y^θ. Since c is arbitrary and y can be arbitrarily large, we must have f(θ) ≥ 1/2 - θ.

3. θ = 1/4 and Conjecture 1.1. From now on, we shall focus on 1/4 ≤ θ < 1/2 as the case 0 ≤ θ < 1/4 is settled by Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Consider the sequence \(S = \{\sqrt{x + d^2}\}_{cx^θ < d ≤ 2cx^θ}\). The distance between successive elements of S is

\[\sqrt{x + (d + 1)^2} - \sqrt{x + d^2} = \frac{2d + 1}{\sqrt{x + (d + 1)^2 + \sqrt{x + d^2}}} \approx \frac{d}{\sqrt{x}} \approx \frac{c}{x^{1/2-θ}}.\]

Since there are cx^θ + O(1) of these d’s, the distance between the first and last elements of S is

\[\approx cx^θ \frac{c}{x^{1/2-θ}} = c^2x^{2θ-1/2} > 1\]

when θ ≥ 1/4 and c > 1. Hence, for some cx^θ < d_0 ≤ 2cx^θ,

\[\|\sqrt{x + d_0^2}\| \ll \frac{c}{x^{1/2-θ}} \leq \frac{c}{x^{1/4}}.\]
Thus, for some integer $D$,

\[
|\sqrt{x + d_0^2} - D| \ll \frac{c}{x^{1/4}},
\]

\[
|x + d_0^2 - D^2| \ll cx^{1/4},
\]

\[
|x - (D - d_0)(D + d_0)| \ll cx^{1/4}.
\]

Note that \(\sqrt{x + c^2x^{1/2} + O(1)} \leq D \leq \sqrt{x + 4c^2x^{1/2} + O(1)}\), which implies $D = \sqrt{x} + O(c)$. Therefore, $a = D - d_0$ and $b = D + d_0$ leads to $f(\theta) \leq 1/4$ for $1/4 \leq \theta < 1/2$. Combining this with Theorem 1.2, we have $f(1/4) = 1/4$.

**Reason for Conjecture 1.1.** One speculates that the numbers \(\{\sqrt{x + d^2}\}_{0 \leq d \leq cx^\theta}\) are uniformly distributed (mod 1). Thus, one expects that, for some $0 \leq d_0 \leq cx^\theta$,

\[
\|\sqrt{x + d_0^2}\| \ll \frac{1}{cx^\theta}.
\]

Then, for some integer $D$,

\[
|\sqrt{x + d_0^2} - D| \ll \frac{1}{cx^\theta},
\]

\[
|x + d_0^2 - D^2| \ll \frac{1}{c} x^{1/2-\theta},
\]

\[
|x - (D - d_0)(D + d_0)| \ll \frac{1}{c} x^{1/2-\theta}.
\]

Now, \(D = \sqrt{x + d_0^2} + O(1) = \sqrt{x} + O(d_0^2/\sqrt{x}) = \sqrt{x} + O(x^{2\theta - 1/2}) = \sqrt{x} + O(x^\theta)\). Therefore, $a = D - d_0$ and $b = D + d_0$ leads to $f(\theta) \leq 1/4 - \theta$.

**4. Connection to $n^2\alpha$ (mod 1).** Hardy and Littlewood [3] conjectured that, for any real $\alpha$, there exists some $1 \leq n \leq N$ such that

\[
\|n^2\alpha\| \leq C/N
\]

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. H. Heilbronn [4] proved that

\[
\|n^2\alpha\| \ll \varepsilon 1/N^{1/2-\varepsilon}
\]

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. The current best unconditional bound is due to A. Zaharescu [5], who showed that

\[
\|n^2\alpha\| \ll \varepsilon 1/N^{4/7-\varepsilon}.
\]

By assuming a certain conjecture on twisted incomplete Kloosterman sums, Friedlander and Iwaniec [2] proved that

\[
\|n^2\alpha\| \ll \varepsilon 1/n^{2/3-\varepsilon}
\]

for infinitely many positive integers $n$. They also claimed that, by a similar argument, one can get

\[
\|n^2\alpha\| \ll \varepsilon 1/n^{1-\varepsilon}
\]

assuming
**Conjecture 4.1.** Let \( a, q \geq 2 \) be integers with \((a, q) = 1\) and \( q \) not a perfect square. Let \( H \geq 1, K \geq 1 \) be reals. Then, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[
\sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} \sum_{0 \leq k < K} \left( \frac{h}{q} \right) e \left( \frac{ahk^2}{q} \right) \leq \varepsilon \left( H^{1/2} K^{1/2} + H^{3/4} + K + q^{-1/2} HK + q^{-1/2} K^2 \right) q^{\varepsilon}
\]

where \((\cdot)\) is the Jacobi symbol, \( e(u) := e^{2\pi i u} \) and \( \overline{h} \) denotes the multiplicative inverse of \( h \) modulo \( q \).

We remark that Friedlander and Iwaniec’s results are weaker in the sense that they lack regularity on the occurrence of \( n \), but they are better and best possible in terms of the exponent.

However, our situation in (2) is a little different in two aspects:

(i) We can no longer look at small distance from integers only because of the presence of \( \sqrt{x} \). Instead, we need the fractional part of \( d^2/2\sqrt{x} \) inside some small interval anywhere in \([0, 1) \) (mod 1).

(ii) Our \( \alpha = 1/2\sqrt{x} \) has dependence on the parameter \( x \) (and hence \( N = [x^{\theta}] \)).

Nevertheless, we shall use Friedlander and Iwaniec’s method. Due to (i) and (ii), we need to assume something more general, namely,

**Conjecture 4.2.** Let \( a, q \geq 2 \) be integers with \((a, q) = 1\) and \( q \) not a perfect square. Let \( H \geq 1, K \geq 1 \) and \( \lambda, \mu \) be reals. Then, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[
\sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} e(\lambda h) \sum_{0 \leq k < K} e(\mu k) \left( \frac{h}{q} \right) e \left( \frac{ahk^2}{q} \right) \leq \varepsilon \left( H^{1/2} K^{1/2} + H^{3/4} + K + q^{-1/2} HK + q^{-1/2} K^2 \right) q^{\varepsilon}.
\]

5. **Gauss sums.** This section parallels Section 2 of [2]. Let

\[
G(a, b; q) := \sum_{d \text{ (mod } q)} e \left( \frac{ad^2 + bd}{q} \right).
\]

Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 below are very similar to those in [2], using properties of Gauss sums and Conjecture 4.2. We shall omit their proofs.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( q \) be odd, \((p, q) = 1\), \( H, K \geq 1 \) and \( \lambda, \mu \) real. We have

\[
\sum_{0 \leq k < K} e(\mu k) \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} e(\lambda h) G(hp, \pm k; q) \leq \varepsilon \left( q^{1/2} H^{1/2} K^{1/2} + q^{1/2} H^{3/4} + q^{1/2} K + HK + K^2 \right) q^{\varepsilon}.
\]
Lemma 5.2. The estimate (3) of Lemma 5.1 still holds if the condition \((h, q) = 1\) is removed.

Define, for \(0 < \Delta < 1/2\) and \(h \neq 0\),

\[
(4) \quad c(h) := \Delta \left( \frac{\sin \pi \Delta h}{\pi \Delta h} \right)^2.
\]

Lemma 5.3. Let \(q\) be odd, \((p, q) = 1\), \(K \geq 1\), \(\lambda, \mu\) real and \(0 < \Delta < 1/2\). We have

\[
\sum_{0 \leq k < K} e(\mu k) \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} c(h) e(\lambda h) G(h p, \pm k; q)
\ll \epsilon q^\epsilon \log q \Delta
\left( q^{1/2} K^{1/2} \Delta^{1/2} + q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4} + q^{1/2} K \Delta + K + K^2 \Delta \right).
\]

Proof. Let \(L \geq 1/\Delta\) be a parameter to be chosen later. We can split the above sum into

\[
\sum_{0 \leq k < K} e(\mu k) \sum_{1 \leq h \leq L} c(h) e(\lambda h) G(h p, \pm k; q)
+ \sum_{0 \leq k < K} e(\mu k) \sum_{h > L} c(h) e(\lambda h) G(h p, \pm k; q) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2.
\]

Following [2], we apply the trivial estimates

\[
(5) \quad c(h) \ll \Delta^{-1} h^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad G(h p, k; q) \ll q
\]

to \(\Sigma_2\) and get

\[
(6) \quad \Sigma_2 \ll K \int_{L}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Delta h^2} q \, dh \ll \frac{K q}{\Delta L}.
\]

For \(\Sigma_1\), we apply Lemma 5.2 and partial summation getting

\[
(7) \quad \Sigma_1 = \int_{1}^{L} c(H) d\left( \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} e(\lambda h) \sum_{0 \leq k < K} e(\mu k) G(h p, \pm k; q) \right)
\ll \epsilon c(L) \left( q^{1/2} L^{1/2} K^{1/2} + q^{1/2} L^{3/4} + q^{1/2} K + L K + K^2 \right) q^\epsilon
+ q^\epsilon \int_{1}^{L} |c'(H)| \left( q^{1/2} H^{1/2} K^{1/2} + q^{1/2} H^{3/4} + q^{1/2} K + H K + K^2 \right) dH
\]
\[ \ll \varepsilon \frac{q^\varepsilon}{\Delta L} \left( \frac{q^{1/2} K^{1/2}}{L^{1/2}} + \frac{q^{1/2}}{L^{1/4}} + \frac{q^{1/2} K}{L} + K + \frac{K^2}{L} \right) \]

\[ + q^\varepsilon \int_1^{1/\Delta} \frac{\Delta}{H} \left( q^{1/2} H^{1/2} K^{1/2} + q^{1/2} H^{3/4} + q^{1/2} K + HK + K^2 \right) dH \]

\[ + q^\varepsilon \int_1^{1/\Delta} \frac{1}{H^2} \left( q^{1/2} H^{1/2} K^{1/2} + q^{1/2} H^{3/4} + q^{1/2} K + HK + K^2 \right) dH \]

as \(|c'(H)| \ll H^{-2}|\sin \pi \Delta H|\). By simple integration and estimation, one shows that the above two integrals are

(8) \[ \ll \varepsilon \log L \left( q^{1/2} K^{1/2} \Delta^{1/2} + q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4} + q^{1/2} K \Delta + K + K^2 \Delta \right) \].

Putting \( L = q/\Delta \), the lemma follows from (6)–(8).

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \( \{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) be a sequence of complex numbers and \( f \) be some positive function. If \( \sum_{k<K} a_k \ll f(K) \) for all \( K > 0 \), then

\[ \sum_{K \leq k < 2K} \frac{a_k}{k^2} \ll \frac{f(2K)}{K^2} + \int_1^K \frac{f(u)}{u^3} \, du. \]

**Proof.** By partial summation,

\[ \sum_{K \leq k < 2K} \frac{a_k}{k^2} = \int_1^{2K} \frac{1}{u^2} d \sum_{k<u} a_k \ll \frac{f(2K)}{(2K)^2} + \int_1^K \frac{\sum_{k<u} a_k}{u^3} \, du, \]

which gives the lemma.

**Lemma 5.5.** Let \( \{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) be a sequence of complex numbers and \( f \) be some positive function. If \( \sum_{k<K} a_k e(\delta k) \ll f(K) \) for all \( K > 0 \) and all real \( \delta \), then \( \sum_{k<K} a_k \sin^2 \pi \delta k \ll f(K) \).

**Proof.** Use sin\(^2\) \( \pi \delta \theta = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 2\pi \delta \theta) \), cos \( 2\pi \delta \theta = \frac{1}{2}(e(\delta \theta) + e(-\delta \theta)) \) and the triangle inequality.

**6. Towards the proof of Theorem 1.4.** Let \( q \) be odd and not a perfect square, \((p, q) = 1\), \( 0 < \Delta < 1/2 \) and \( \lambda \) be any real number. Consider

(9) \[ S_{p/q}^\lambda (\Delta, N) := \# \left\{ 1 \leq n \leq N : \left\{ \frac{p}{q}, n^2 \right\} \in (\lambda - \Delta, \lambda + \Delta) \pmod{1} \right\}. \]

**Lemma 6.1.** For \( q > 2N \) and \( N \geq 1 \), we have

\[ S_{p/q}^\lambda (\Delta, N) \geq \frac{1}{2} \Delta N \]

\[ + O \left( q^\varepsilon \log^2 \frac{q}{\Delta} \left[ N^{1/2} \Delta^{1/2} + \frac{N \Delta^{1/4}}{q^{1/2}} + \Delta q^{1/2} + 1 + \frac{q \Delta}{N} + \frac{N^5}{\Delta q^4} \right] \right). \]
Proof. Let
\[
 f(x) := \begin{cases} 
 x + 1 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0, \\
 1 - x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
 0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \\
t(x) := \begin{cases} 
 x/\Delta + 1 & \text{if } -\Delta \leq x \leq 0, \\
 1 - x/\Delta & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \Delta, \\
 0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \\
g(x) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t(x-n), \quad g_\lambda(x) := g(x-\lambda).
\]

Here, we point out that \( f \) and \( g \) are the same as those in [2], and \( g_\lambda \) is a shift of \( g \) to the right by \( \lambda \). Then one has
\[
 1 + 2S^\lambda_{p/q}(\Delta, N) \geq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) g_\lambda\left(\frac{p}{q} n^2\right).
\]

The function \( g_\lambda \) has Fourier expansion
\[
g_\lambda(x) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} c(h)e(-\lambda h)e(hx)
\]
where \( c(h) \) is defined by (4). Hence the right hand side of (10) equals
\[
\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} c(h)e(-\lambda h) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e\left(\frac{hpn^2}{q}\right) f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)
\]
\[
= c(0) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) + \sum_{h\neq 0} c(h)e(-\lambda h) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e\left(\frac{hpn^2}{q}\right) f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) = \Delta N + R
\]
for \( N \) an integer and \( R \) being the sum over \( h \neq 0 \). Following [2] and applying Poisson summation, we find that the inner sum of \( R \) equals
\[
\sum_n = \sum_{d \pmod q} e\left(\frac{hpd^2}{q}\right) \sum_{n \equiv d \pmod q} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) = \frac{N}{q} \sum_k G(hp, k; q) \hat{f}\left(\frac{kN}{q}\right),
\]
where \( \hat{f}(y) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi y}{\pi y}\right)^2 \) is the Fourier transform of \( f \). Then
\[
R = \frac{N}{q} \sum_k \left(\frac{\sin (\pi kN/q)}{\pi kN/q}\right)^2 \sum_{h \neq 0} c(h)e(-\lambda h)G(hp, k; q)
\]
\[
= \frac{N}{q} \left( \sum_{k<q/N} + \sum_{q/N \leq k < M} + \sum_{k \geq M} \right),
\]
where \( M = (q/N)^\sigma \) and \( \sigma > 1 \) is some parameter to be chosen later. We apply the trivial estimates in (5) to bound
\[
\sum_{k \geq M} \ll \frac{q^2}{N^2} \sum_{k \geq M} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{q}{\Delta h^2} \ll \frac{q^3}{\Delta N^2 M}.
\]
By Lemma 5.3 with $\mu = 0$, $K = 1$ (to deal with $k = 0$) and $K = q/N$, and partial summation, we have

\begin{equation}
\sum_{k<q/N} = \int 1 \left( \frac{\sin(\pi uN/q)}{uN/q} \right)^2 d\left( \sum_{0 \leq k<u} \sum_{h \neq 0} c(h)e(-\lambda h)G(hp,k;q) \right)
+ O\left( q^\varepsilon \log \frac{q}{\Delta} (q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4} + 1) \right)
\end{equation}

\[ \ll q^\varepsilon \log \frac{q}{\Delta} \left( \frac{q^{1/2}}{N^{1/2}} \right) \Delta^{1/2} + q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4} + q^{1/2} \frac{q}{N} \Delta + \frac{q}{N} + \left( \frac{q}{N} \right)^2 \Delta \]

\[ + 2 \int \left| \frac{\sin(\pi uN/q)}{N^{u^2/q}} \right| \sum_{0 \leq k<u} \sum_{h=1}^\infty c(h)e(-\lambda h)G(hp,k;q) \left| du \right| \]

\[ + q^\varepsilon \log \frac{q}{\Delta} \left( q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4} + 1 \right) \]

\[ \ll q^\varepsilon \log \frac{q}{\Delta} \left( \frac{q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4}}{N^{1/2}} + q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4} \log \frac{q}{N} + \frac{q^{3/2} \Delta}{N} + \frac{q}{N} + \frac{q^2 \Delta}{N^2} \right). \]

Suppose $K$ is a positive integer satisfying $2^K q/N = (q/N)^\sigma = M$ (hence we shall choose $K$ at the end). Let

\[ a_k := \sum_{h \neq 0} c(h)e(-\lambda h)G(hp,k;q), \]

\[ B(x) := q^\varepsilon \log \frac{q}{\Delta} \left( q^{1/2} x^{1/2} \Delta^{1/2} + q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4} + q^{1/2} x \Delta + x + x^2 \Delta \right), \]

and $\delta := N/q$. Applying Lemma 5.3 to Lemma 5.5 and then Lemma 5.4 with these $a_k$ and $\delta$, we have

\begin{equation}
\sum_{q/N \leq k < M} = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{2^{i-1}q/N \leq k < 2^iq/N} \left( \frac{\sin(\pi uN/q)}{uN/q} \right)^2 a_k
\end{equation}

\[ \ll \sum_{i=1}^K \left( \frac{q}{N} \right)^2 \left[ B(2^iq/N) + \frac{2^iq/N}{(2^iq/N)^2} \right] \left[ B(x) \frac{x^3}{x^3} dx \right] \]

\[ \ll q^\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^K \left( \frac{q}{N} \right)^2 \frac{1}{x^3} \left[ \frac{q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/2}}{(2^iq/N)^{3/2}} + \frac{q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4}}{(2^iq/N)^2} + \frac{q^{1/2} \Delta}{2^iq/N} + \frac{1}{2^iq/N} + \Delta \right] \]

\[ \ll q^\varepsilon \log \frac{q}{\Delta} \left[ \frac{q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/2}}{N^{1/2}} + \frac{q^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4}}{N} + \frac{\Delta q^{3/2}}{N} + \frac{q}{N} + \frac{q^2 \Delta}{N^2} \left( K + \log \frac{q}{N} \right) \right]. \]
Putting (12), (13) and (14) into (11), we have
\[ R \ll_q \varepsilon q \log \frac{q}{\Delta} \left( K + \log \frac{q}{N} \right) \left[ N^{1/2} \Delta^{1/2} + \frac{N \Delta^{1/4}}{q^{1/2}} + \Delta q^{1/2} + 1 + \frac{q \Delta}{N} + \frac{q^2}{\Delta N M} \right], \]
which gives the lemma by choosing \( K = \left\lceil 5 \log(\frac{q}{N})/\log 2 \right\rceil \).

7. Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.4. Let \( \lambda \) be any real number. Based on (2), we want to get a positive lower bound for
\[ S_{1/2\sqrt{x}}(\Delta, N) := \# \left\{ 1 \leq n \leq N : \left\{ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} n^2 \right\} \in (\lambda - \Delta, \lambda + \Delta) \ (\text{mod} \ 1) \right\} \]
(defined similarly to (9)) for appropriate choices of \( \Delta \) and \( N \). We shall pick \( N = [x^\theta] \) and \( \Delta = 1/x^{\theta-2\varepsilon} \) for small \( \varepsilon > 0 \). In the notation of (9), we pick \( p = 1 \) and \( q = 2[\sqrt{x}] + 1 \) or \( 2[\sqrt{x}] + 3 \) so that \( q \) is not a square. Clearly, \((p, q) = 1\). Thus,
\[ \left| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} - \frac{1}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{x^\theta}, \quad \left| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} n^2 - \frac{n^2}{q} \right| \leq \frac{n^2}{x} \leq \frac{1}{x^{1-2\theta}}. \]
Therefore,
\[ S_{1/2\sqrt{x}}^\lambda(\Delta, N) \geq S_{1/q}^{1/2}(\Delta/2, N) \]
provided \( 1/x^{1-2\theta} \leq \Delta/2 \). This is satisfied by our choice of \( \Delta \) above when \( 1/4 < \theta < 1/3 \) (with \( \varepsilon \) small enough). Now, we apply Lemma 6.1. With our choices of \( \Delta, N \) and \( q \), one can check that
\[ q^{2\varepsilon} \left[ N^{1/2} \Delta^{1/2} + \frac{N \Delta^{1/4}}{q^{1/2}} + \Delta q^{1/2} + 1 + \frac{q \Delta}{N} + \frac{N^5}{\Delta q^4} \right] \ll \Delta N. \]
Hence, for \( x \) large enough,
\[ S_{1/2\sqrt{x}}^\lambda(\Delta, N) \geq \frac{1}{8} \Delta N > 0. \]
Consequently, we can conclude that, for any \( \lambda \), there is some \( 0 \leq d \leq N \) such that the fractional part \( \{d^2/2\sqrt{x}\} \) lies in \((\lambda - \Delta, \lambda + \Delta) \ (\text{mod} \ 1)\). In particular, when \( 1/4 < \theta < 1/3 \), we can find \( 0 \leq d \leq x^\theta \) such that
\[ \left\| \sqrt{x} + \frac{d^2}{2\sqrt{x}} \right\| \ll_{\varepsilon, \theta} \frac{1}{x^{\theta-\varepsilon}} \]
for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \). By (2), this implies
\[ \left\| \sqrt{x} + d^2 \right\| \ll \frac{1}{x^{\theta-\varepsilon}} + \frac{x^{4\theta}}{x^{3/2}}. \]
When \( 1/4 < \theta \leq 3/10 \), the second error term is smaller than the first error term above. Thus, for \( 1/4 < \theta \leq 3/10 \), there exist integers \( 0 \leq d \leq x^\theta \) and
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\[ |\sqrt{x + d^2} - D| \ll_{\varepsilon, \theta} \frac{1}{x^{\theta - \varepsilon}}, \]
\[ |x + d^2 - D^2| \ll_{\varepsilon, \theta} x^{1/2 - \theta + \varepsilon}, \]
\[ |x - (D - d)(D + d)| \ll_{\varepsilon, \theta} x^{1/2 - \theta + \varepsilon}. \]

Note that \( D = \sqrt{x + d^2 + O(1)} = \sqrt{x} + O(d^2/\sqrt{x}) = \sqrt{x} + O(x^{2\theta - 1/2}) = \sqrt{x} + O(x^\theta). \) Consequently, \((D - d)(D + d)\) is the required integer for our theorem.

8. \( \theta = 1/2. \) So far, we have excluded the endpoint \( \theta = 1/2. \) One reason is that Conjecture 1.1 cannot be extended to \( \theta = 1/2. \) The situation is more delicate. We have

**Theorem 8.1.** Let \( F \) be a positive real-valued function such that any interval \([x - F(x), x + F(x)]\) contains an integer \( n \) with \( n = ab \), where \( a, b \) are integers in the interval \([0, c\sqrt{x}]\) for some constant \( c > 0 \). Then

\[ (\log x)^{0.086} \ll F(x) \ll x^{1/4}. \]

**Proof.** The upper bound follows from Theorem 1.3. (Note that if we assume Conjecture 4.2, the upper bound can be improved to \( x^{1/5 + \varepsilon} \) by Theorem 1.4.) As for the lower bound, consider the interval \( I = [x, 2x] \). By the definition of \( F \), there are \( \gg x / F(x) \) distinct integers of the form \( ab \) with \( 0 \leq a, b \leq c\sqrt{2x} \) in \( I \). But, by Erdős [1], there are \( \ll c^22x / (\log x)^{\alpha + o(1)} \) distinct integers from the “multiplication table” of size \( c\sqrt{2x} \times c\sqrt{2x} \) where \( \alpha = 1 - \log(e \log 2)/\log 2 = 0.08607 \ldots \). Hence

\[
\frac{x}{F(x)} \ll \frac{c^2x}{(\log x)^{0.086}},
\]

which gives the lower bound.

The situation here is similar to that for gaps between sums of two squares. Both best upper bounds, so far, are obtained by elementary means. In fact, one may guess \( F(x) \ll x^\varepsilon \) for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) (true under Conjecture 1.1), and even perhaps \( F(x) \ll (\log x)^C \) for some \( C > 0 \).
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