

The first moment of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions

by

MATTHEW P. YOUNG (College Station, TX)

1. Introduction. Quadratic twists of L -functions have been widely studied by many people; some of the motivating problems involve ranks of elliptic curves, and connections to Landau–Siegel zeros. In this article we consider the first moment of the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions. Our main result is

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function of compact support. Then*

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* L(1/2, \chi_{8d}) \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) = XP(\log X) + O(X^{1/2+\varepsilon})$$

for some linear polynomial P (depending on Φ).

Jutila [J] and Vinogradov–Takhtadzhyan [VT] obtained a similar result but with an error term of size $X^{3/4+\varepsilon}$, and Goldfeld–Hoffstein [GH] obtained an error of size $X^{19/32+\varepsilon}$, using properties of certain Eisenstein series (one difference to note is that these authors considered the unsmoothed moment). A. Kontorovich has informed me that an error term of size $O(X^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ is essentially implicit in [GH], so that Theorem 1.1 does not constitute an improvement of the error term in the smoothed version of the first moment. However, our method seems to have some novelty and can almost surely be used to improve the error terms in other moment problems involving primitive quadratic twists.

Our approach is most similar to the work of Soundararajan [S], who obtained an asymptotic formula with a power saving for the third moment of this family. Indeed, the proof of Theorem 1.1 required significant “off-diagonal” analysis of the same type performed by Soundararajan. Actually, we required two new ingredients not found in [S]: most importantly, we used recursion to successively improve the exponent in the error term which in

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11M06; Secondary 11L40.

Key words and phrases: quadratic L -function, weighted moment.

the limit converges to $1/2$. Secondly, we performed an intricate analysis of certain subsidiary terms that can be rather easily bounded by $O(X^{3/4+\varepsilon})$, but seem to rely on the Riemann Hypothesis to be improved (on an individual basis); fortunately, it turns out that these terms all cancel out! (See Section 6.)

The use of recursion to study mean values of real characters was fruitfully applied by Heath-Brown in his work on the large sieve for quadratic characters [H-B]. One of the primary difficulties when studying mean values of quadratic characters (and more generally, characters of fixed order) is treating the squarefree (more generally, primitivity) condition. Heath-Brown succeeded at this problem in [H-B] by relaxing the condition that d is squarefree to the condition that the square divisors of d are small (note that this uses positivity and the fact that he is obtaining an upper bound and not an asymptotic formula). In a sense, the use of recursion in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is what allows us to treat the squarefree condition in an essentially optimal way. Soundararajan (private communication) has also indicated to us that an iterative method can potentially be useful in these moment problems. The paper [BY] also used recursion in the study of the first moment of cubic Dirichlet L -functions, but in that work we did not identify the cancellation between various subsidiary terms.

Goldfeld and Hoffstein [GH] point out that it seems unlikely to improve (1.1) without some substantial improvement in the zero-free region for the Riemann zeta function, simply due to the state of knowledge on the distribution of squarefree integers.

2. Preliminaries. Let χ_d be the quadratic Dirichlet character associated to the fundamental discriminant d . We shall work with discriminants of the form $8d$ where d is odd, squarefree, and positive, so that χ_{8d} is an even, primitive character of conductor $8d$.

The functional equation for such quadratic Dirichlet L -functions reads

$$A(s, \chi_{8d}) = (8d/\pi)^{s/2} \Gamma(s/2) L(s, \chi_{8d}) = A(1-s, \chi_{8d}),$$

or in its asymmetric form

$$L(s, \chi_{8d}) = X(s) L(1-s, \chi_{8d}),$$

where

$$X(1/2+u) = \left(\frac{8d}{\pi}\right)^{-u} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1/2-u}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1/2+u}{2})}.$$

2.1. Approximate functional equation. Our basic formula to represent a value of the L -functions inside the critical strip is the following (Theorem 5.3 of [IK]).

PROPOSITION 2.1 (Approximate functional equation). *Let $G(s)$ be an entire, even function, bounded in any strip $-A \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq A$. Then*

$$L(1/2 + \alpha, \chi_{8d}) = \sum_n \frac{\chi_{8d}(n)}{n^{1/2+\alpha}} V_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{d^{1/2}}\right) + X_\alpha \sum_n \frac{\chi_{8d}(n)}{n^{1/2-\alpha}} V_{-\alpha}\left(\frac{n}{d^{1/2}}\right),$$

where V , g , and X_α are given by the following:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} V_\alpha(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1)} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) x^{-s} ds, \\ g_\alpha(s) &= \left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{s/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1/2+\alpha+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2})}, \\ X_\alpha &= \left(\frac{8d}{\pi}\right)^{-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1/2-\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.2. We also choose G so that $G(\pm\alpha) = G(1/2 \pm \alpha) = 0$; for definiteness, one can take

$$G(s) = e^{s^2} \frac{(\alpha^2 - s^2)((s - 1/2)^2 - \alpha^2)((s + 1/2)^2 - \alpha^2)}{\alpha^2(1/4 - \alpha^2)^2}.$$

The purpose of this remark is to simplify certain future calculations (these zeros may cancel certain poles that arise).

2.2. Poisson summation formula. We now quote Soundararajan’s result (see the proof of Lemma 2.6 of [S]).

LEMMA 2.3. *Let F be a smooth function with compact support on the positive real numbers, and suppose that n is an odd integer. Then*

$$\sum_{(d,2)=1} \left(\frac{d}{n}\right) F\left(\frac{d}{Z}\right) = \frac{Z}{2n} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k G_k(n) \widehat{F}\left(\frac{kZ}{2n}\right),$$

where

$$G_k(n) = \left(\frac{1-i}{2} + \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) \frac{1+i}{2}\right) \sum_{a \pmod{n}} \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) e\left(\frac{ak}{n}\right),$$

and

$$\widehat{F}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cos(2\pi xy) + \sin(2\pi xy)) F(x) dx$$

is a Fourier-type transform of F .

The Gauss-type sum is calculated exactly with the following (which is Lemma 2.3 of [S]).

LEMMA 2.4. *If m and n are relatively prime odd integers, then $G_k(mn) = G_k(m)G_k(n)$, and if p^α is the largest power of p dividing k (setting $\alpha = \infty$*

if $k = 0$), then

$$G_k(p^\beta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta \leq \alpha \text{ is odd,} \\ \phi(p^\beta) & \text{if } \beta \leq \alpha \text{ is even,} \\ -p^\alpha & \text{if } \beta = \alpha + 1 \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{kp^{-\alpha}}{p}\right)p^\alpha\sqrt{p} & \text{if } \beta = \alpha + 1 \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } \beta \geq \alpha + 2. \end{cases}$$

3. Setting up the problem. We generalize the basic quantity of study (1.1), and consider

$$M(\alpha, l) = \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \chi_{8d}(l) L(1/2 + \alpha, \chi_{8d}) \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right)$$

for l odd squarefree. This can be thought of as a “twisted” moment, which appears naturally when mollifying and amplifying central values. Our analysis of $M(0, 1)$ leads to general quantities $M(\alpha, l)$, so our method requires to study these more general expressions.

3.1. Averaging the approximate functional equation. Using the approximate functional equation, write $M(\alpha, l) = M_1(\alpha, l) + M_2(\alpha, l)$, where

$$M_1(\alpha, l) = \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \sum_n \frac{\chi_{8d}(nl)}{n^{1/2+\alpha}} V_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{d^{1/2}}\right),$$

$$M_2(\alpha, l) = \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) X^\alpha \sum_n \frac{\chi_{8d}(nl)}{n^{1/2-\alpha}} V_{-\alpha}\left(\frac{n}{d^{1/2}}\right).$$

We can reduce M_2 to a version of M_1 but with slightly different parameters, namely

$$M_2(\alpha, l) = \gamma_\alpha X^{-\alpha} \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \Phi_{-\alpha}\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \sum_n \frac{\chi_{8d}(nl)}{n^{1/2-\alpha}} V_{-\alpha}\left(\frac{n}{d^{1/2}}\right),$$

where $\Phi_s(x) = x^s \Phi(x)$ and

$$\gamma_\alpha = \left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2}\right)}.$$

For ease of reference, we state this simple development as follows.

REMARK 3.1. To derive an expression for M_2 via a corresponding term from M_1 involves swapping α and $-\alpha$, replacing $\Phi(x)$ by $\Phi_{-\alpha}(x) = x^{-\alpha} \Phi(x)$, and multiplying by $\gamma_\alpha X^{-\alpha}$ (in that order). Note that this operation is an involution.

As a convention, we shall often not write the dependence on α and l and instead simply write M_1 , M_2 , etc.

3.2. The main term. For convenience we state the following

CONJECTURE 3.2. *The conjecture of [CFKRS] and [DGH] is*

$$\sum_{(d,2)=1}^* L(1/2 + \alpha, \chi_{8d}) \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) = \frac{X\tilde{\Phi}(1)}{2\zeta_2(2)} \zeta_2(1 + 2\alpha) B_\alpha + \frac{X^{1-\alpha}\tilde{\Phi}(1-\alpha)\gamma_\alpha}{2\zeta_2(2)} \zeta_2(1 - 2\alpha) B_{-\alpha} + O(X^{1/2+\varepsilon}),$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}$ is the Mellin transform of Φ , and

$$\zeta_2(1 + 2\alpha) B_\alpha := \sum_{(n,2)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}} \prod_{p|n} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Here and throughout this paper, we use the notation $L_q(s)$ to denote the modification of an L-series $L(s)$ obtained by removing the Euler factors for primes p dividing q . Here B_α has an absolutely convergent Euler product for α in a right half-plane containing the origin. Furthermore, the estimate holds uniformly for $|\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)| \ll (\log X)^{-1}$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| \ll (\log X)^2$, say.

This conjecture was essentially proved by [GH] (though the main term is different since they averaged over all fundamental discriminants); we quote it here (as a conjecture) simply as a means to quickly produce the main term with general α . We emphasize that our result is unconditional.

One can derive this conjecture from the recipe of [CFKRS] using the orthogonality relation

$$\Sigma := \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \chi_{8d}(m) \Phi\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \sim \frac{X\tilde{\Phi}(1)}{2\zeta_2(2)} \prod_{p|m} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1}$$

for m an odd square, and $\Sigma = o(X)$ otherwise (for fixed m).

Actually we need the “twisted” moment conjecture (see [HY, Section 7]), which states

CONJECTURE 3.3. *For any odd squarefree l , the following holds uniformly for $|\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)| \ll (\log X)^{-1}$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| \ll (\log X)^2$:*

$$(3.1) \quad M(\alpha, l) = \frac{X\tilde{\Phi}(1)}{2\zeta_2(2)} l^{-1/2-\alpha} \zeta_2(1 + 2\alpha) B_\alpha(l) + \frac{X^{1-\alpha}\tilde{\Phi}(1-\alpha)\gamma_\alpha}{2\zeta_2(2)} l^{-1/2+\alpha} \zeta_2(1 - 2\alpha) B_{-\alpha}(l) + O((lX)^{1/2+\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$\zeta_2(1+2\alpha)B_\alpha(l) = \sum_{(n,2)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}} \prod_{p|nl} (1+p^{-1})^{-1}.$$

We shall prove Conjecture 3.3 with the stated error term by use of the following

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose that Conjecture 3.3 holds but with an error term of size $l^{1/2+\varepsilon} X^{f+\varepsilon}$ for some $1/2 \leq f \leq 1$. Then Conjecture 3.3 holds with an error of size $l^{1/2+\varepsilon} X^{(f+1/2)/2+\varepsilon}$.*

We will obtain the exponent $f_0 = 1$ as an initial estimate, which leads to the sequence $f_1 = 3/4$, $f_2 = 5/8, \dots$, where clearly $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 1/2$, and whence Conjecture 3.3 follows. Specializing to $\alpha = 0$, $l = 1$ gives Theorem 1.1.

Note that Theorem 3.4 gives an asymptotic formula for $M(\alpha, l)$ with a main term larger than the error term provided $l \ll X^{1/2-\varepsilon}$. Furthermore, the error term is $o(X)$ provided $l \ll X^{1-\varepsilon}$. The significance of allowing large l can be seen in the context of the mollifier method, where it is critical to obtain precise asymptotics on these twisted moments with twisting integers as large as possible.

In what follows we assume that $|\alpha| \gg (\log X)^{-1}$, $|\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| \ll (\log X)^2$, and $|\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)| \ll (\log X)^{-1}$ in order to claim uniformity in terms of α ; note that α being close to zero is problematic in two ways, namely because of the pole of $\zeta(1+2\alpha)$, and because of the uniformity of $G(s)$ in terms of α . Once we establish (3.1) uniformly for such α , we extend the result to $|\alpha| \ll (\log X)^{-1}$ with the same quoted error term in the following way. Since $M(\alpha, l)$ and the main term of (3.1) are holomorphic for α near 0, we see that the error term must also be holomorphic in terms of α . Then apply the maximum modulus principle to the error term, with respect to the disk $|\alpha| \ll (\log X)^{-1}$.

3.3. A short calculation of $B_\alpha(l)$. In order to recognize certain other expressions in terms of B_α , and also to observe the absolute and uniform convergence of $B_\alpha(l)$ for α in a neighborhood of the origin, we now state

LEMMA 3.5. *We have*

$$(3.2) \quad B_\alpha(l) = \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid 2l} (1-p^{-2-2\alpha}(1+p^{-1})^{-1})$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{\zeta_2(2)} B_\alpha(l) = \frac{\phi(l)}{l} \prod_{p \nmid 2l} (1-p^{-2} - p^{-2-2\alpha} + p^{-3-2\alpha}).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_2(1+2\alpha)B_\alpha(l) &= \sum_{(n,2)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}} \prod_{p|nl} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \\
 &= \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{(n,2)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}} \prod_{p|n, p \nmid l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \\
 &= \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} (1-p^{-1-2\alpha})^{-1} \sum_{(n,2l)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}} \prod_{p|n} (1+p^{-1})^{-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

which upon performing the sum over n becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} (1-p^{-1-2\alpha})^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid 2l} \left(1 + \frac{p^{-1-2\alpha}}{1-p^{-1-2\alpha}} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \right) \\
 &= \zeta_2(1+2\alpha) \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid 2l} (1-p^{-1-2\alpha} + p^{-1-2\alpha}(1+p^{-1})^{-1}) \\
 &= \zeta_2(1+2\alpha) \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid 2l} (1-p^{-2-2\alpha}(1+p^{-1})^{-1}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.2). Continuing, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\zeta_2(2)} B_\alpha(l) &= \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid 2l} (1-p^{-2-2\alpha}(1+p^{-1})^{-1}) \prod_{p \nmid 2} (1-p^{-2}) \\
 &= \prod_{p|l} (1-p^{-1}) \prod_{p \nmid 2l} (1-p^{-2})(1-p^{-2-2\alpha}(1+p^{-1})^{-1}) \\
 &= \frac{\phi(l)}{l} \prod_{p \nmid 2l} (1-p^{-2} - p^{-2-2\alpha} + p^{-3-2\alpha}). \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

3.4. Removing the squarefree condition. Our basic strategy is to employ Poisson summation to the sum over d . Of course, one must remove the squarefree condition in some way. Naturally we shall use Möbius inversion. In this way we obtain

$$M_1 = \sum_{(a,2l)=1} \mu(a) \sum_{(d,2)=1} \Phi\left(\frac{da^2}{X}\right) \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{\chi_{8d}(nl)}{n^{1/2+\alpha}} V_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{ad^{1/2}}\right).$$

Now we separate the terms with $a \leq Y$ and with $a > Y$ (Y a parameter to be chosen later), writing $M_1 = M_N + M_R$, respectively. We shall treat these two terms with completely different methods. We also set the notation $M_2 = M_{-N} + M_{-R}$.

3.5. Outline of the rest of the paper. We compute M_R in Section 4, and M_N in Section 5. These are completely different computations, as we use Poisson summation on M_N , while we reduce M_R to an expression similar

to the original moment $M(\alpha, l)$ for which we extract a main term using Conjecture 3.3 but with an error term of size $O(l^{1/2+\varepsilon} X^{f+\varepsilon})$. This analysis naturally expresses each of M_R and M_N as the sum of certain contour integrals which are individually difficult (if not impossible) to estimate to our desired degree of accuracy, plus an acceptable error term. For example, the familiar main term obtained by taking the $k = 0$ term after Poisson summation (here k is the “dual” variable) is given, after some simplifications, by (5.1). A standard contour shift and estimation of the tail of the sum over a easily expresses this term as one of the main terms of Conjecture 3.3, plus an error of size $O(X^{3/4+\varepsilon}) + O(X/Y)$ (taking $l = 1$ for ease of exposition). The other terms are similar, although some do not contribute a main term. Although we cannot estimate each of the various contour integrals with an error better than $O(X^{3/4+\varepsilon})$, it turns out that there is a lot of simplification that occurs by summing the integrals; we exhibit this pleasant behavior in Section 6.

4. Estimating M_R . Our plan in analyzing M_R is to go back to square-free integers but where the variables are significantly smaller than before (assuming Y is moderately large), an idea that seems to go back to Iwaniec [I]. We shall use a refinement that was recently used in [BY], whereby one goes a step further and uses a known estimate for $M(\alpha, l)$ (in this case an asymptotic formula) to obtain an improved result. In this article we will extract a kind of main term that naturally combines with the main term of M_N . In this manner we can vastly improve the size of the error term in this analysis.

4.1. Reintroducing squarefrees. To go back to squarefree integers, let $d \mapsto b^2 d$, which gives

$$M_R = \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \sum_{(b,2l)=1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a > Y}} \mu(a) \Phi\left(\frac{d(ab)^2}{X}\right) \sum_{(n,ab)=1} \frac{\chi_{8d}(nl)}{n^{1/2+\alpha}} V_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{ab\sqrt{d}}\right).$$

Letting $c = ab$ be a new variable, we get

$$M_R = \sum_{(c,2l)=1} \left(\sum_{\substack{a|c \\ a > Y}} \mu(a) \right) \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \Phi\left(\frac{dc^2}{X}\right) \sum_{(n,c)=1} \frac{\chi_{8d}(nl)}{n^{1/2+\alpha}} V_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{c\sqrt{d}}\right).$$

Now use the integral representation of V_α (i.e. (2.1)) to get

$$M_R = \sum_{(c,2l)=1} \left(\sum_{\substack{a|c \\ a > Y}} \mu(a) \right) \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \Phi\left(\frac{dc^2}{X}\right) \\ \times \sum_{(n,c)=1} \frac{\chi_{8d}(nl)}{n^{1/2+\alpha}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1/2+\varepsilon)} \left(\frac{c\sqrt{d}}{n}\right)^s \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) ds.$$

Moving the sum over n inside the integral, we get

$$M_R = \sum_{(c,2l)=1} \left(\sum_{\substack{a|c \\ a>Y}} \mu(a) \right) \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \chi_{8d}(l) \Phi \left(\frac{dc^2}{X} \right) \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1/2+\epsilon)} (c\sqrt{d})^s L(1/2 + \alpha + s, \chi_{8d}\chi_{0,c}) \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) ds,$$

where $\chi_{0,c}$ is the principal character to modulus c . Now move the line of integration to ϵ without crossing any poles in this process (by Remark 2.2). Then express the Dirichlet L -function in terms of its associated primitive one, getting

$$(4.1) \quad M_R = \sum_{(c,2l)=1} \left(\sum_{\substack{a|c \\ a>Y}} \mu(a) \right) \sum_{r|c} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^{1/2+\alpha}} \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \chi_{8d}(lr) \Phi \left(\frac{dc^2}{X} \right) \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\epsilon)} \left(\frac{c\sqrt{d}}{r} \right)^s L(1/2 + \alpha + s, \chi_{8d}) \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) ds,$$

where $\epsilon \asymp (\log X)^{-1}$.

4.2. Using the recursion. Observe that the sum over d takes the form

$$\sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \chi_{8d}(lr) \Phi \left(\frac{dc^2}{X} \right) (c\sqrt{d})^s L(1/2 + \alpha + s, \chi_{8d}) \\ = X^{s/2} \sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \chi_{8d}(lr) \Phi_{s/2} \left(\frac{d}{X'} \right) L(1/2 + \alpha + s, \chi_{8d}),$$

where recall $\Phi_s(x) = x^s \Phi(x)$ and $X' = X/c^2$. At this point we apply our inductive hypothesis, namely that

$$\sum_{(d,2)=1}^* \chi_{8d}(lr) \Phi_{s/2} \left(\frac{d}{X'} \right) L(1/2 + \alpha + s, \chi_{8d}) = \text{M.T.} + O((lr)^{1/2+\epsilon} X'^{f+\epsilon}),$$

where $f \geq 1/2$ is some constant, and $\text{Im}(s) \ll (\log X)^2$. Note that due to the exponential decay of $g_\alpha(s)$ as $|\text{Im}(s)| \rightarrow \infty$, we may truncate the integral appearing in (4.1) for $\text{Im}(s) \ll (\log X)^2$ at no cost.

As the basis step one can take $f = 1$ due to known estimates for the second moment of this family due to Jutila [J] (with only slightly more work the treatment in this article can also establish this initial estimate for the first moment). Here the M.T. is given by the main term appearing in Conjecture 3.3 (note that to establish the initial case, using $f = 1$, no knowledge of M.T. is required other than $\text{M.T.} \ll X^{1+\epsilon}$). To be completely

explicit, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{M.T.} &= \frac{X}{c^2} \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_{s/2}(1)}{2\zeta_2(2)} (lr)^{-1/2-\alpha-s} \zeta_2(1+2\alpha+2s) B_{\alpha+s}(lr) \\ &+ \left(\frac{X}{c^2}\right)^{1-\alpha-s} \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_{s/2}(1-\alpha-s)}{2\zeta_2(2)} \gamma_{\alpha+s}(lr)^{-1/2+\alpha+s} \zeta_2(1-2\alpha-2s) B_{-\alpha-s}(lr). \end{aligned}$$

Note $\tilde{\Phi}_{s/2}(1) = \tilde{\Phi}(1+s/2)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{s/2}(1-\alpha-s) = \tilde{\Phi}(1-\alpha-s/2)$.

Inserting this calculation into the computation for M_R gives that $M_R = M_{R1} + M_{R2} + \text{E.T.}$, say, where we compute (using the assumption $f \geq 1/2$)

$$\text{E.T.} \ll X^\varepsilon \sum_{(c,2l)=1} \left(\sum_{\substack{a|c \\ a>Y}} 1 \right) \sum_{r|c} \frac{1}{r^{1/2}} (lr)^{1/2+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{X}{c^2}\right)^{f+\varepsilon} \ll \frac{X^{f+\varepsilon}}{Y^{2f-1}} l^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

We compute the main term M_{R1} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{R1} &= \frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2+\alpha}} \sum_{(c,2l)=1} c^{-2} \left(\sum_{\substack{a|c \\ a>Y}} \mu(a) \right) \sum_{r|c} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^{1+2\alpha}} \\ &\times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} X^{s/2} r^{-2s} l^{-s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \tilde{\Phi}(1+s/2) \zeta_2(1+2\alpha+2s) B_{\alpha+s}(lr) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here we freely extended the integration back to $|\text{Im}(s)| \gg (\log X)^2$, again at no cost.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{R2} &= \frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2-\alpha}} \sum_{(c,2l)=1} c^{-2} \left(\sum_{\substack{a|c \\ a>Y}} \mu(a) \right) \sum_{r|c} \frac{\mu(r)}{r} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \\ &\times \int_{(\varepsilon)} X^{s/2} l^s \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \tilde{\Phi}(1-\alpha-s/2) \zeta_2(1-2\alpha-2s) B_{-\alpha-s}(lr) \left(\frac{X}{c^2}\right)^{-\alpha-s} \gamma_{\alpha+s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{R2} &= \frac{X^{1-\alpha}}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2-\alpha}} \sum_{(c,2l)=1} c^{-2} \left(\sum_{\substack{a|c \\ a>Y}} \mu(a) \right) \sum_{r|c} \frac{\mu(r)}{r} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \\ &\times \int_{(\varepsilon)} X^{-s/2} l^s c^{2\alpha+2s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \tilde{\Phi}(1-\alpha-s/2) \zeta_2(1-2\alpha-2s) B_{-\alpha-s}(lr) \gamma_{\alpha+s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

4.3. Simplifying the Dirichlet series. At this point we shall simplify the Dirichlet series appearing in the above expressions for M_{R1} and M_{R2} . We

need to compute

$$C(w, \alpha + s) := \sum_{(c, 2l)=1} \frac{1}{c^{2+w-\alpha-s}} \sum_{\substack{a|c \\ a > Y}} \mu(a) \sum_{r|c} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^{1+\alpha+s+w}} \zeta_2(1+2w) B_w(lr),$$

where $w = \pm(\alpha + s)$. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} C(w, \alpha + s) &= \sum_{(c, 2l)=1} \frac{1}{c^{2+w-\alpha-s}} \sum_{\substack{a|c, r|c \\ a > Y}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(r)}{r^{1+\alpha+s+w}} \sum_{(n, 2)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1+2w}} \prod_{p|lrn} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{a > Y, r \geq 1} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(r)}{r^{1+\alpha+s+w}} \sum_{\substack{(c, 2l)=1 \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{a} \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{r}}} \frac{1}{c^{2+w-\alpha-s}} \sum_{(n, 2)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1+2w}} \prod_{p|lrn} (1+p^{-1})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} C(w, \alpha + s) &= \zeta_{2l}(2+w-\alpha-s) \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a, 2l)=1 \\ a > Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^{2+w-\alpha-s}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{(r, 2l)=1} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^{1+\alpha+s+w}} \left(\frac{(a, r)}{r} \right)^{2+w-\alpha-s} \sum_{(n, 2)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1+2w}} \prod_{p|nr, p \nmid l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} C(w, \alpha + s) &= \zeta_{2l}(2+w-\alpha-s) \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} (1-p^{-1-2w})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a, 2l)=1 \\ a > Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^{2+w-\alpha-s}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{(r, 2l)=1} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^{1+\alpha+s+w}} \left(\frac{(a, r)}{r} \right)^{2+w-\alpha-s} \sum_{(n, 2l)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1+2w}} \prod_{p|nr, p \nmid l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using joint multiplicativity, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad &\sum_{(r, 2l)=1} \sum_{(n, 2l)=1} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^{1+\alpha+s+w}} \left(\frac{(a, r)}{r} \right)^{2+w-\alpha-s} \frac{1}{n^{1+2w}} \prod_{p|nr} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{p \nmid 2l} \sum_{0 \leq r \leq 1} \sum_{0 \leq j < \infty} \frac{(-1)^r [(1+p^{-1})^{-1}]_{j+r > 0}}{p^{r(1+\alpha+s+w)+j(1+2w)}} \left(\frac{(a, p^r)}{p^r} \right)^{2+w-\alpha-s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[A]_* = A$ if $*$ is true, and $= 1$ otherwise. Now (4.2) becomes

$$\prod_{p \nmid 2l} \left(\sum_{0 \leq j < \infty} \frac{[(1+p^{-1})^{-1}]_{j > 0}}{p^{j(1+2w)}} - \sum_{0 \leq j < \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{(a, p)}{p} \right)^{2+w-\alpha-s} (1+p^{-1})^{-1}}{p^{j(1+2w)+1+\alpha+s+w}} \right),$$

which in turn is

$$\prod_{p \nmid 2l} \left(1 + \frac{p^{-1-2w}(1+p^{-1})^{-1}}{1-p^{-1-2w}} - \frac{p^{-1-\alpha-s-w}(1+p^{-1})^{-1}}{1-p^{-1-2w}} \left(\frac{(a,p)}{p} \right)^{2+w-\alpha-s} \right).$$

We can simplify this as

$$\prod_{p \nmid 2l} (1-p^{-1-2w})^{-1} \left(1 - p^{-1-2w} + \frac{p^{-1-2w}}{1+p^{-1}} - \frac{p^{-1-\alpha-s-w}}{1+p^{-1}} \left(\frac{(a,p)}{p} \right)^{2+w-\alpha-s} \right).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(w, \alpha + s) &= \zeta_{2l}(2+w-\alpha-s) \zeta_2(1+2w) \prod_{p \mid l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a > Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^{2+w-\alpha-s}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{p \nmid 2l} \left(1 - p^{-1-2w} + \frac{p^{-1-2w}}{1+p^{-1}} - \frac{p^{-1-\alpha-s-w}}{1+p^{-1}} \left(\frac{(a,p)}{p} \right)^{2+w-\alpha-s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying a little bit, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C(\alpha + s, \alpha + s) &= \zeta_{2l}(2) \zeta_2(1+2\alpha+2s) \prod_{p \mid l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a > Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{p \nmid 2l} \left(1 - p^{-1-2\alpha-2s} + \frac{p^{-1-2\alpha-2s}}{1+p^{-1}} - \frac{p^{-1-2\alpha-2s}}{1+p^{-1}} \frac{(a,p)^2}{p^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - p^{-1-2\alpha-2s} + \frac{p^{-1-2\alpha-2s}}{1+p^{-1}} - \frac{p^{-1-2\alpha-2s}}{1+p^{-1}} \frac{(a,p)^2}{p^2} \\ = \begin{cases} 1 - p^{-1-2\alpha-2s} & \text{if } p \mid a, \\ 1 - p^{-2-2\alpha-2s} & \text{if } p \nmid a. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, note

$$\zeta_{2l}(2) \prod_{p \mid l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} = \zeta_2(2) \frac{\phi(l)}{l}.$$

Thus we have

$$C(\alpha + s, \alpha + s) = \zeta_2(2) \frac{\phi(l)}{l} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a > Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \frac{\zeta_{2a}(1+2\alpha+2s)}{\zeta_{2al}(2+2\alpha+2s)},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad M_{R1} &= \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a > Y}} \frac{\mu(a) \frac{\phi(l)}{l}}{2a^2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} X^{1+s/2} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(1+s/2)}{l^{1/2+\alpha+s}} \frac{\zeta_{2a}(1+2\alpha+2s)}{\zeta_{2al}(2+2\alpha+2s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we require $C(-\alpha - s, \alpha + s)$, which is

$$C(-\alpha - s, \alpha + s) = \zeta_{2l}(2 - 2\alpha - 2s)\zeta_2(1 - 2\alpha - 2s) \prod_{p|l} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a>Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^{2-2\alpha-2s}} \prod_{p|2l} \left(1 - p^{-1+2\alpha+2s} + \frac{p^{-1+2\alpha+2s}}{1 + p^{-1}} - \frac{p^{-1} \left(\frac{(a,p)}{p}\right)^{2-2\alpha-2s}}{1 + p^{-1}} \right).$$

For $p \nmid a$ we get

$$1 - p^{-1+2\alpha+2s} + p^{-1+2\alpha+2s}(1 + p^{-1})^{-1} - p^{-1} \left(\frac{(a,p)}{p}\right)^{2-2\alpha-2s} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \\ = 1 - p^{-2+2\alpha+2s}.$$

For $p|a$ it is

$$1 - p^{-1+2\alpha+2s} + p^{-1+2\alpha+2s}(1 + p^{-1})^{-1} - p^{-1}(1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \\ = (1 + p^{-1})^{-1}(1 - p^{-2+2\alpha+2s}).$$

Thus we have

$$M_{R2} = \frac{X^{1-\alpha}}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2-\alpha}} \prod_{p|l} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a>Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} X^{-s/2} l^s a^{2\alpha+2s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \tilde{\Phi}(1 - \alpha - s/2) \zeta_2(1 - 2\alpha - 2s) \\ \times \zeta_{2l}(2 - 2\alpha - 2s) \gamma_{\alpha+s} \prod_{p|2al} (1 - p^{-2+2\alpha+2s}) \prod_{p|a} \frac{1 - p^{-2+2\alpha+2s}}{1 + p^{-1}} ds,$$

which simplifies to

$$(4.4) \quad M_{R2} = \frac{X^{1-\alpha}}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2-\alpha}} \prod_{p|l} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a>Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \prod_{p|a} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} X^{-s/2} l^s a^{2\alpha+2s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \tilde{\Phi}(1 - \alpha - s/2) \zeta_2(1 - 2\alpha - 2s) \gamma_{\alpha+s} ds.$$

In summary, we have shown

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Suppose that Conjecture 3.3 holds but with an error of size $O(l^{1/2+\varepsilon} X^{f+\varepsilon})$. Then*

$$M_R = M_{R1} + M_{R2} + O\left(\frac{X^{f+\varepsilon}}{Y^{2f-1}} l^{1/2+\varepsilon}\right),$$

where M_{R1} and M_{R2} are given by (4.3) and (4.4).

4.4. Computing M_{-R} . We can get M_{-R} from Proposition 4.1 using Remark 3.1. Here we compute M_{-R2} . We get

$$M_{-R2} = \frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2+\alpha}} \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a>Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \prod_{p|a} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} X^{-s/2} l^s a^{-2\alpha+2s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_{-\alpha}(s) \tilde{\Phi}(1-s/2) \zeta_2(1+2\alpha-2s) \gamma_{-\alpha+s} \gamma_\alpha ds.$$

Now apply the change of variables $s \mapsto -s$ to get

$$M_{-R2} = -\frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2+\alpha}} \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a>Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \prod_{p|a} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} X^{s/2} l^{-s} a^{-2\alpha-2s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_{-\alpha}(-s) \tilde{\Phi}(1+s/2) \zeta_2(1+2\alpha+2s) \gamma_{-\alpha-s} \gamma_\alpha ds.$$

Next notice that

$$g_{-\alpha}(-s) \gamma_{-\alpha-s} \gamma_\alpha = g_\alpha(s),$$

so we get

$$(4.5) \quad M_{-R2} = -\frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2}} \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a>Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \prod_{p|a} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} X^{s/2} l^{-\alpha-s} a^{-2\alpha-2s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \tilde{\Phi}(1+s/2) \zeta_2(1+2\alpha+2s) ds.$$

5. Computing M_N . Recall

$$M_N = \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \mu(a) \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{\left(\frac{8}{ln}\right)}{n^{1/2+\alpha}} \sum_{(d,2)=1} \binom{d}{ln} \Phi\left(\frac{da^2}{X}\right) V_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{a\sqrt{d}}\right).$$

5.1. Application of Poisson summation. The Poisson summation formula (Lemma 2.3) shows

$$\sum_{(d,2)=1} \binom{d}{ln} \Phi\left(\frac{da^2}{X}\right) V_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{a\sqrt{d}}\right) \\ = \frac{\left(\frac{2}{ln}\right)}{2ln} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k G_k(ln) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (C+S) \left(\frac{2\pi kx}{2ln}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{xa^2}{X}\right) V_\alpha\left(\frac{n}{a\sqrt{x}}\right) dx.$$

Here $(C + S)(t)$ means $\cos(t) + \sin(t)$. Thus we have

$$M_N = \frac{X}{2} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1/2+\alpha}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k \frac{G_k(ln)}{ln} \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (C + S) \left(\frac{2\pi kxX}{2lna^2} \right) \Phi(x) V_\alpha \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{xX}} \right) dx.$$

Now write $M_N = M_N(k = 0) + M_N(k \neq 0)$, where naturally $M_N(k = 0)$ corresponds to the term with $k = 0$.

5.2. Computation of $M_N(k = 0)$. By Lemma 2.4 we have $G_0(ln) = \phi(ln)$ if $ln = \square$ (i.e. $n = l\square$, since l is odd and squarefree), and 0 otherwise. Thus we get

$$M_N(k = 0) = \frac{X}{2l^{1/2+\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{\phi(ln)/ln}{n^{1+2\alpha}} \int_0^\infty \Phi(x) V_\alpha \left(\frac{ln^2}{\sqrt{xX}} \right) dx.$$

Now we compute the relevant Dirichlet series as follows:

$$\sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{\phi(ln)/ln}{n^{1+2\alpha+2s}} = \frac{\phi(l)}{l} \prod_{p|2al} \frac{1 - p^{-2-2\alpha-2s}}{1 - p^{-1-2\alpha-2s}} \prod_{p|2a, p|l} (1 - p^{-1-2\alpha-2s})^{-1},$$

which simplifies to

$$\frac{\phi(l)}{l} \zeta_{2a}(1 + 2\alpha + 2s) \prod_{p|2al} (1 - p^{-2-2\alpha-2s}) = \frac{\phi(l)}{l} \frac{\zeta_{2a}(1 + 2\alpha + 2s)}{\zeta_{2al}(2 + 2\alpha + 2s)}.$$

Using the integral representation of V (i.e. (2.1)), and the Mellin transform of Φ , we get

$$(5.1) \quad M_N(k = 0) = \frac{X\phi(l)/l}{2l^{1/2+\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1 + s/2) \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) X^{s/2} l^{-s} \frac{\zeta_{2a}(1 + 2\alpha + 2s)}{\zeta_{2al}(2 + 2\alpha + 2s)} ds.$$

5.3. Extracting a secondary term from $M_N(k \neq 0)$. It turns out, but is not at all obvious, that $M_N(k = 0)$ and $M_{-N}(k \neq 0)$ combine naturally. The reason for this simplification has to do with the sum over k 's that are squares. In his work on the mollified second moment (and the third moment) of this family, Soundararajan extracted certain main terms from such square k 's [S]. The situation here is somewhat different, in that both $M_N(k = 0)$ and the sum over square k 's seem to be individually intractable beyond an error term of $O(X^{3/4+\varepsilon})$, at least with our present knowledge of the zero-free

region of the Riemann zeta function (here by intractable we simply mean that the usual procedure of moving contours of integration leads naturally to an error of $O(X^{3/4+\varepsilon})$, and the presence of a factor ζ^{-1} prevents moving the contour any further).

Recall

$$M_N(k \neq 0) = \frac{X}{2} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{1}{n^{1/2+\alpha}} \sum_{k \neq 0} (-1)^k \frac{G_k(ln)}{ln} \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (C + S) \left(\frac{2\pi k x X}{2lna^2} \right) \Phi(x) V_\alpha \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{xX}} \right) dx.$$

5.4. Manipulations with the test functions. In this section we replace the previous Fourier-type integral transform with a Mellin-type integral. By using the Mellin transforms of Φ and V_α , we get

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (C + S) \left(\frac{2\pi x k X}{2lna^2} \right) \Phi(x) V_\alpha \left(\frac{n}{(xX)^{1/2}} \right) dx \\ = \int_0^{\infty} (C + S) \left(\frac{2\pi x k X}{2lna^2} \right) \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(c_u)} \int_{(c_s)} \tilde{\Phi}(u) \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \frac{(xX)^{s/2}}{x^u n^s} ds du dx,$$

which after the change of variables $u \mapsto u + 1$ becomes

$$\int_0^{\infty} (C + S)(\operatorname{sgn}(k)x) \\ \times \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(c_u)} \int_{(c_s)} \tilde{\Phi}(1+u) \frac{X^u}{n^s} \left(\frac{lna^2 x}{\pi|k|} \right)^{-u+s/2} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) ds du \frac{dx}{x}.$$

From [GR, 17.43.3, 17.43.4], we have (where CS stands for \cos or \sin),

$$\int_0^{\infty} CS(x) x^w \frac{dx}{x} = \Gamma(w) CS(\pi w/2)$$

for $0 < \operatorname{Re}(w) < 1$. By interchanging the orders of integration (justified, say, by dissecting the x -integral into $x \leq A$ and $x > A$; one treats the $x > A$ integral by a contour shift, while the $x \leq A$ integral is interchanged with the s and u integrals, and then extended to all x by integration by parts), we see that (5.2) is

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(c_u)} \int_{(c_s)} \tilde{\Phi}(1+u) X^u \left(\frac{lna^2}{\pi|k|} \right)^{s/2-u} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) n^{-s} \\ \times \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2} - u\right) (C + \operatorname{sgn}(k)S) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{s}{2} - u \right) \right) ds du.$$

Here the requirement is $0 < \frac{1}{2}c_s - c_u < 1$. We initially take $c_u = 0$, $c_s = \varepsilon$. Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad M_N(k \neq 0) &= \frac{X}{2} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \sum_{\substack{(n,2a)=1 \\ k \neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^k G_k(ln)}{n^{1/2+\alpha} ln} \\
 &\times \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(c_u)} \int_{(c_s)} \tilde{\Phi}(1+u) \frac{X^u}{n^s} \left(\frac{\ln a^2}{\pi |k|} \right)^{s/2-u} \frac{G(s)}{s} g(s) \\
 &\times \Gamma(s/2 - u) (C + \operatorname{sgn}(k)S) \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi (s/2 - u) \right) ds du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we take c_s sufficiently large so that the sums over n and k converge absolutely.

5.5. A Dirichlet series computation. We need to compute

$$H(k_1, l; v, w) = \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{G_{k_1 k_2^2}(ln)/ln}{k_2^v n^w},$$

where k_1 is squarefree, and recall that l is odd and squarefree. It is clear from Lemma 2.4 that $G_{k_1 k_2^2}(n)$ is multiplicative in k_2 and n . The analogous computation over squares here was necessary for Soundararajan to obtain the full main term in the mollified second moment of this family [S], while here we require it to cancel certain other terms; it does not give a main term.

In this section, we show

LEMMA 5.1. *We have*

$$H(k_1, l; v, w) = \frac{\zeta_l(v) \zeta_{2al}(v+2w) L_{2al}(1/2+w, \chi_{k_1})}{\zeta_{2al}(1+2w) L_{2al}(1/2+v+w, \chi_{k_1})} \prod_{p|l} J_p(k_1; v, w),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 &J_p(k_1; v, w) \\
 &= \frac{-(1-p^{-v-2w}) \left(1 - \left(\frac{k_1}{p}\right) p^{-1/2-w}\right) + (1-p^{-1-2w}) \left(1 - \left(\frac{k_1}{p}\right) p^{-1/2-v-w}\right)}{p^{-w} (1-p^{-v}) (1-p^{-v-2w}) \left(1 - \left(\frac{k_1}{p}\right) p^{-1/2-w}\right)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$(5.4) \quad J_p(k_1; v, w) \ll p^{-1/2}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(v) \geq 2$, $\operatorname{Re}(w) \geq 0$.

Proof. To begin, we factor H as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H(k_1, l; v, w) &= \prod_{p|2a} (1 - p^{-v})^{-1} \sum_{(k_2, 2a)=1} \sum_{(n, 2a)=1} \frac{G_{k_1 k_2^2}(ln)/ln}{k_2^v n^w} \\ &= \prod_{p|2a} (1 - p^{-v})^{-1} \left(\sum_{(k_2, 2al)=1} \sum_{(n, 2al)=1} \frac{G_{k_1 k_2^2}(n)/n}{k_2^v n^w} \right) \left(\prod_{k_2, n|l^\infty} \frac{G_{k_1 k_2^2}(ln)/ln}{k_2^v n^w} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to compute

$$H_p(k_1; v, w) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{k_1 p^{2j}}(p^r)/p^r}{p^{jv+rw}},$$

where there are two cases depending on whether $p \nmid k_1$ or $p | k_1$. If $p \nmid k_1$, we get

$$H_p(k_1; v, w) = \sum_{0 \leq r \leq j < \infty} \frac{\phi(p^{2r})/p^{2r}}{p^{jv+2rw}} + \left(\frac{k_1}{p} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{2j+1/2} p^{-2j-1}}{p^{jv+(2j+1)w}}.$$

Summing over j gives

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad H_p(k_1; v, w) &= (1 - p^{-v})^{-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(p^{2r})/p^{2r}}{p^{r(2w+v)}} + \left(\frac{k_1}{p} \right) p^{-1/2-w} (1 - p^{-v-2w})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(p^{2r})/p^{2r}}{p^{r(2w+v)}} = 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \frac{p^{-v-2w}}{1 - p^{-v-2w}},$$

and thus

$$(1 - p^{-v})^{-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(p^{2r})/p^{2r}}{p^{r(2w+v)}} = (1 - p^{-v-2w})^{-1} (1 - p^{-v})^{-1} (1 - p^{-1-v-2w}).$$

Inserting this calculation into (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_p(k_1; v, w) &= (1 - p^{-v-2w})^{-1} (1 - p^{-v})^{-1} \left(1 - p^{-1-v-2w} + (1 - p^{-v}) \frac{\left(\frac{k_1}{p} \right)}{p^{1/2+w}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next pull out the factor $(1 - \left(\frac{k_1}{p} \right) p^{-1/2-w})^{-1}$, and note

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{\left(\frac{k_1}{p} \right)}{p^{1/2+w}} \right) \left(1 - p^{-1-v-2w} + (1 - p^{-v}) \frac{\left(\frac{k_1}{p} \right)}{p^{1/2+w}} \right) &= (1 - p^{-1-2w}) \left(1 - \left(\frac{k_1}{p} \right) p^{-1/2-v-w} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we get

$$(5.6) \quad H_p(k_1; v, w) = \frac{(1 - p^{-1-2w})(1 - (\frac{k_1}{p})p^{-1/2-v-w})}{(1 - p^{-v})(1 - p^{-v-2w})(1 - (\frac{k_1}{p})p^{-1/2-w})}$$

for $p \nmid k_1$.

The case where $p \mid k_1$ is similar, and we compute in this situation that

$$\begin{aligned} H_p(k_1; v, w) &= \sum_{0 \leq r \leq j < \infty} \frac{\phi(p^{2r})/p^{2r}}{p^{jv+2rw}} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{2j+1}p^{-2j-2}}{p^{jv+(2j+2)w}} \\ &= (1 - p^{-v})^{-1} \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \frac{p^{-v-2w}}{1 - p^{-v-2w}} \right) - \frac{p^{-1-2w}}{1 - p^{-v-2w}} \\ &= (1 - p^{-v-2w})^{-1} (1 - p^{-v})^{-1} (1 - p^{-1-2w}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the expression (5.6) reduces to this one for $p \mid k_1$, so we may actually use (5.6) for all k_1 .

Finally, we need to compute the contribution from $n, k \mid l^\infty$ (the notation meaning all the primes dividing n and k also divide l). In this case the computation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} J_p(k_1; v, w) &:= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{k_1 p^{2j}}(p^{r+1})/p^{r+1}}{p^{jv+rw}} = p^w \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{G_{k_1 p^{2j}}(p^r)/p^r}{p^{jv+rw}} \\ &= p^w \left(-\frac{1}{1 - p^{-v}} + \frac{(1 - p^{-1-2w})(1 - (\frac{k_1}{p})p^{-1/2-v-w})}{(1 - p^{-v})(1 - p^{-v-2w})(1 - (\frac{k_1}{p})p^{-1/2-w})} \right) \\ &= \frac{-(1 - p^{-v-2w})(1 - (\frac{k_1}{p})p^{-1/2-w}) + (1 - p^{-1-2w})(1 - (\frac{k_1}{p})p^{-1/2-v-w})}{p^{-w}(1 - p^{-v})(1 - p^{-v-2w})(1 - (\frac{k_1}{p})p^{-1/2-w})}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate (5.4) is clear from the previous representation. ■

5.6. Another Dirichlet series computation. We need to slightly generalize the computation from the previous section. Namely, we require a formula for

$$A_{\varepsilon, l}(u, w) := \sum_{(n, 2a)=1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{G_{\varepsilon k}(ln)/ln}{|k|^u n^w},$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Of course it is also natural to write $k = k_1 k_2^2$ where k_1 is squarefree. Then we sum over k_1 odd and k_1 even separately. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.7) \quad A_{\varepsilon,l}(u, w) &= \sum_{k_1 > 0}^* \frac{1}{|k_1|^u} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k_1 k_2} \frac{G_{\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln)/ln}{|k_2|^{2u} n^w} \\
&= \sum_{k_1 \text{ even}}^* \frac{1}{|k_1|^u} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{G_{\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln)}{ln |k_2|^{2u} n^w} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k_1 \text{ odd}}^* \frac{1}{|k_1|^u} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k_2} \frac{G_{\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln)}{ln |k_2|^{2u} n^w}.
\end{aligned}$$

For each fixed k_1 we have computed the inner Dirichlet series of (5.7)₂ (it is $H(\varepsilon k_1, l; v, w)$). We need to compute

$$H_{-1}(\varepsilon k_1, l; v, w) := \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k_2} \frac{G_{\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln)/ln}{k_2^v n^w}.$$

We first do some simple manipulations to get rid of the $(-1)^{k_2}$ factor, getting

$$H_{-1}(\varepsilon k_1, l; v, w) = \sum_{k_2 \text{ even}} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{G_{\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln)/ln}{k_2^v n^w} - \sum_{k_2 \text{ odd}} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{G_{\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln)/ln}{k_2^v n^w}.$$

For the latter sum we use inclusion-exclusion to remove the condition that k_2 is odd and then for the sum over k_2 even we apply the change of variables $k_2 \mapsto 2k_2$, using $G_{4\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln) = G_{\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln)$ since ln is odd, to get

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{-1}(\varepsilon k_1, l; v, w) &= 2^{-v} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{G_{\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln)/ln}{k_2^v n^w} \\
&\quad - (1 - 2^{-v}) \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(n,2a)=1} \frac{G_{\varepsilon k_1 k_2^2}(ln)/ln}{k_2^v n^w},
\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$H_{-1}(\varepsilon k_1, l; v, w) = (2^{1-v} - 1)H(\varepsilon k_1, l; v, w).$$

Thus we have

$$A_{l,\varepsilon}(u, w) = \sum_{k_1 \text{ even}}^* \frac{1}{|k_1|^u} H(\varepsilon k_1, l; 2u, w) + \sum_{k_1 \text{ odd}}^* \frac{2^{1-2u} - 1}{|k_1|^u} H(\varepsilon k_1, l; 2u, w).$$

Using our computation of $H(\varepsilon k_1, l; v, w)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{l,\varepsilon}(u, w) &= \frac{\zeta_l(2u)\zeta_{2al}(2u+2w)}{\zeta_{2al}(1+2w)} \left(\sum_{k_1 \text{ even}}^* \frac{1}{|k_1|^u} + \sum_{k_1 \text{ odd}}^* \frac{2^{1-2u} - 1}{|k_1|^u} \right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{L_{2al}(1/2 + w, \chi_{\varepsilon k_1})}{L_{2al}(1/2 + 2u + w, \chi_{\varepsilon k_1})} \prod_{p|l} J_p(\varepsilon k_1; 2u, w).
\end{aligned}$$

5.7. *Calculating $M_N(k \neq 0)$.* With these Dirichlet series in hand, we now return to our calculation of $M_N(k \neq 0)$ from (5.3), giving, with $c_u = 0$ and $c_s = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.8) \quad M_N(k \neq 0) &= \frac{X}{2} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(c_u)} \int_{(c_s)} \tilde{\Phi}(1+u) X^u \left(\frac{la^2}{\pi} \right)^{s/2-u} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \\
 &\times \sum_{\varepsilon=\pm 1} A_{l,\varepsilon}(s/2-u, 1/2+\alpha+s/2+u) \Gamma(s/2-u) \\
 &\times (C + \operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon)S) \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi (s/2-u) \right) ds du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{l,\varepsilon}(s/2-u, 1/2+\alpha+s/2+u) &= \frac{\zeta_l(s-2u) \zeta_{2al}(1+2\alpha+2s)}{\zeta_{2al}(2+2\alpha+s+2u)} \\
 &\times \left(\sum_{k_1 \text{ even}}^* \frac{1}{|k_1|^{s/2-u}} + \sum_{k_1 \text{ odd}}^* \frac{2^{1-s+2u}-1}{|k_1|^{s/2-u}} \right) \frac{L_{2al}(1+\alpha+s/2+u, \chi_{\varepsilon k_1})}{L_{2al}(1+3s/2+\alpha-u, \chi_{\varepsilon k_1})} \\
 &\times \prod_{p|l} J_p(\varepsilon k_1; s-2u, 1/2+\alpha+s/2+u).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we move c_s to $1/2 + \varepsilon$, and c_u to $-3/4$. It is evident that we crossed a pole at $\alpha + s/2 + u = 0$ when $k_1 = 1$, $\varepsilon = 1$ only. On the new line we bound everything trivially and use the known estimate on the first moment of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions to see that the sum over k_1 converges absolutely on these lines of integration.

Letting $M_N(k_1 = 1)$ be the contribution from the residue which appears with $k_1 = 1$, $\varepsilon = 1$ only, we get

$$M_N(k \neq 0) = M_N(k_1 = 1) + O(X^{1/4+\varepsilon} Y l^{1/2+\varepsilon}).$$

5.8. *Computing $M_N(k_1 = 1)$.* Note that the residue of $A_{l,1}(s/2-u, 1/2+\alpha+s/2+u)$ at $u = -\alpha - s/2$ is

$$\frac{\phi(2al)}{2al} \frac{\zeta_l(2s+2\alpha)}{\zeta_{2al}(2)} (2^{1-2s-2\alpha} - 1) \prod_{p|l} J_p(1; 2s+2\alpha, 1/2).$$

Also note

$$J_p(1; 2s+2\alpha, 1/2) = p^{-1/2} (1 - p^{-2\alpha-2s})^{-1}.$$

Thus we find that the residue of $A_{l,1}$ is

$$\frac{\phi(a)\phi(l)}{2al} l^{-1/2} \frac{\zeta(2s+2\alpha)}{\zeta_{2al}(2)} (2^{1-2s-2\alpha} - 1).$$

Inserting this into (5.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_N(k_1 = 1) &= \frac{X}{2} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1 - \alpha - s/2) X^{-\alpha-s/2} \left(\frac{la^2}{\pi} \right)^{\alpha+s} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \frac{\phi(a)\phi(l)}{2al^{3/2}} \frac{\zeta(2\alpha + 2s)}{\zeta_{2al}(2)} \\
 &\quad \times (2^{1-2\alpha-2s} - 1) \Gamma(\alpha + s) (C + S) \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi(\alpha + s) \right) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

5.9. Simplifying $M_N(k_1 = 1)$. In this section we show

PROPOSITION 5.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_N(k_1 = 1) &= -\frac{X^{1-\alpha}\phi(l)/l}{2l^{1/2}} \gamma_\alpha \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)\phi(a)/a}{a^2 \zeta_{2al}(2)} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1 - \alpha + s/2) X^{s/2} (la^2)^{\alpha-s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_{-\alpha}(s) \zeta_2(1 - 2\alpha + 2s) ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.9) \quad M_{-N}(k_1 = 1) &= -\frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2}} \prod_{p|l} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \prod_{p|a} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1 + s/2) X^{s/2} (la^2)^{-\alpha-s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \zeta_2(1 + 2\alpha + 2s) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First use the functional equation for the Riemann zeta function in the form

$$\pi^{-\alpha-s} \Gamma(\alpha + s) \zeta(2\alpha + 2s) = \pi^{-1/2+\alpha+s} \Gamma(1/2 - \alpha - s) \zeta(1 - 2\alpha - 2s),$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_N(k_1 = 1) &= \frac{X\phi(l)/l}{2l^{1/2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)\phi(a)/a}{a^2 \zeta_{2al}(2)} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1 - \alpha - s/2) X^{-\alpha-s/2} (la^2)^{\alpha+s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \pi^{-1/2+\alpha+s} \\
 &\quad \times \Gamma(1/2 - \alpha - s) \zeta(1 - 2\alpha - 2s) (2^{1-2\alpha-2s} - 1) (C + S) \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi(\alpha + s) \right) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

First, note that

$$(2^{1-2\alpha-2s} - 1) \zeta(1 - 2\alpha - 2s) = 2^{1-2\alpha-2s} \zeta_2(1 - 2\alpha - 2s).$$

Next we manipulate the gamma functions and $C + S$ term, namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}g_\alpha(s)\pi^{-1/2+\alpha+s}\Gamma(1/2-\alpha-s)2^{1-2\alpha-2s}(C+S)\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi(\alpha+s)\right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha+s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2}\right)}\pi^{-1/2+\alpha+s/2}\Gamma(1/2-\alpha-s)2^{-2\alpha-s/2}(C+S)\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi(\alpha+s)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the trigonometric identity

$$\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta) = \sqrt{2} \cos(\pi/4 - \theta)$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}g_\alpha(s)\pi^{-1/2+\alpha+s}\Gamma(1/2-\alpha-s)2^{1-2\alpha-2s}(C+S)\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi(\alpha+s)\right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha+s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2}\right)}\pi^{-1/2+\alpha+s/2}\Gamma(1/2-\alpha-s)2^{1/2-2\alpha-s/2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi(1/2-\alpha-s)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Next we use the gamma function identity (see Chapter 10 of [Da])

$$\pi^{-1/2}2^{1-u}\cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi u\right)\Gamma(u) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-u}{2}\right)}$$

for $u = 1/2 - \alpha - s$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha+s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2}\right)}\pi^{-1/2+\alpha+s/2}\Gamma(1/2-\alpha-s)2^{1/2-2\alpha-s/2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi(1/2-\alpha-s)\right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2-\alpha-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{-\alpha-s/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$M_N(k_1 = 1) = \frac{X^{1-\alpha}\phi(l)/l}{2l^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)\phi(a)/a}{a^2\zeta_{2al}(2)} I,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1-\alpha-s/2)X^{-s/2}(la^2)^{\alpha+s} \frac{G(s)}{s} \\ &\quad \times \zeta_2(1-2\alpha-2s) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2-\alpha-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{-\alpha-s/2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Next note

$$\left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{-\alpha-s/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2-\alpha-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2}\right)} = \gamma_\alpha g_{-\alpha}(-s),$$

so we get

$$I = \gamma_\alpha \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1 - \alpha - s/2) X^{-s/2} (la^2)^{\alpha+s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_{-\alpha}(-s) \zeta_2(1 - 2\alpha - 2s) ds.$$

Applying the change of variables $s \mapsto -s$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} M_N(k_1 = 1) &= -\frac{X^{1-\alpha} \phi(l)/l}{2l^{1/2}} \gamma_\alpha \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a) \phi(a)/a}{a^2 \zeta_{2al}(2)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1 - \alpha + s/2) X^{s/2} (la^2)^{\alpha-s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_{-\alpha}(s) \zeta_2(1 - 2\alpha + 2s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

We get $M_{-N}(k_1 = 1)$ from the previous expression using Remark 3.1. Precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{-N}(k_1 = 1) &= -\frac{X\phi(l)/l}{2l^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a) \phi(a)/a}{a^2 \zeta_{2al}(2)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1 + s/2) X^{s/2} (la^2)^{-\alpha-s} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \zeta_2(1 + 2\alpha + 2s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Next note

$$\frac{1}{\zeta_{2al}(2)} \frac{\phi(l)}{l} \frac{\phi(a)}{a} = \frac{1}{\zeta_2(2)} \prod_{p|a} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \prod_{p|l} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1},$$

so we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_{-N}(k_1 = 1) &= -\frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2}} \prod_{p|l} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(a,2l)=1 \\ a \leq Y}} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \prod_{p|a} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1 + s/2) X^{s/2} (la^2)^{-s-\alpha} \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) \zeta_2(1 + 2s + 2\alpha) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

6. Combining the main terms

6.1. Gathering the terms. Recall we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\alpha, l) &= M_N(k = 0) + M_{-N}(k = 0) + M_N(k_1 = 1) + M_{-N}(k_1 = 1) \\ &\quad + M_{R1} + M_{R2} + M_{-R1} + M_{-R2} + O\left(\frac{X^{f+\varepsilon}}{Y^{2f-1}} l^{1/2+\varepsilon} + X^{1/4+\varepsilon} Y l^{1/2+\varepsilon}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the paper is devoted to proving

THEOREM 6.1. *We have*

$$(6.1) \quad M_N(k=0) + M_{-N}(k_1=1) + M_{R1} + M_{-R2} \\ = \frac{X\tilde{\Phi}(1)}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2+\alpha}} \zeta_2(1+2\alpha)B_\alpha(l),$$

$$(6.2) \quad M_{-N}(k=0) + M_N(k_1=1) + M_{-R1} + M_{R2} \\ = \frac{X^{1-\alpha}\tilde{\Phi}(1-\alpha)}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2-\alpha}} \zeta_2(1-2\alpha)B_{-\alpha}(l)\gamma_\alpha.$$

It suffices to show (6.1) holds since (6.2) follows by Remark 3.1.

Before proving Theorem 6.1, we show how it yields Theorem 3.4, and hence Conjecture 3.3. By taking $Y = X^{1/4}$, we find that if $M(\alpha, l) = \text{M.T.} + O(X^{f+\varepsilon}l^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ then

$$M(\alpha, l) = \text{M.T.} + O(X^{\frac{f+1/2}{2}+\varepsilon}l^{1/2+\varepsilon}),$$

where M.T. is the main term from Conjecture 3.3.

6.2. Proving Theorem 6.1. We first note that $M_N(k=0)$ given by (5.1) and M_{R1} given by (4.3) combine naturally to give

$$M_N(k=0) + M_{R1} = \frac{X\phi(l)/l}{2l^{1/2+\alpha}} \sum_{(a,2l)=1} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1+s/2) \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) X^{s/2} l^{-s} \frac{\zeta_{2a}(1+2\alpha+2s)}{\zeta_{2al}(2+2\alpha+2s)} ds.$$

We now compute the required Dirichlet series, namely

$$\sum_{(a,2l)=1} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \frac{\zeta_{2a}(1+2\alpha+2s)}{\zeta_{2al}(2+2\alpha+2s)} \\ = \zeta_2(1+2\alpha+2s) \prod_{p|2l} (1 - p^{-2-2\alpha-2s} - p^{-2} + p^{-3-2\alpha-2s}).$$

Then we use (3.3) to get

$$\frac{\phi(l)}{l} \prod_{p|2l} (1 - p^{-2-2\alpha-2s} - p^{-2} + p^{-3-2\alpha-2s}) = \frac{1}{\zeta_2(2)} B_{\alpha+s}(l).$$

That is, we have

$$(6.3) \quad M_N(k=0) + M_{R1} = \frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2+\alpha}} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1+s/2) \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) X^{s/2} l^{-s} \zeta_2(1+2\alpha+2s) B_{\alpha+s}(l) ds.$$

Now we work with $M_{-N}(k_1=1)$ and M_{-R2} given by (5.9) and (4.5), respectively. Clearly

$$M_{-N}(k_1=1) + M_{-R2} = -\frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2}} \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{(a,2l)=1} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^2} \prod_{p|a} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1+s/2) \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) X^{s/2} (la^2)^{-\alpha-s} \zeta_2(1+2\alpha+2s) ds.$$

Then we calculate the sum over a as follows:

$$\sum_{(a,2l)=1} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^{2+2s+2\alpha}} \prod_{p|a} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} = \prod_{p \nmid 2l} (1-p^{-2-2\alpha-2s}(1+p^{-1})^{-1}),$$

which equals

$$B_{\alpha+s}(l) \prod_{p|l} (1+p^{-1}),$$

in view of (3.2). That is,

$$(6.4) \quad M_{-N}(k_1=1) + M_{-R2} = -\frac{X}{2\zeta_2(2)l^{1/2+\alpha}} \\ \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} \tilde{\Phi}(1+s/2) \frac{G(s)}{s} g_\alpha(s) X^{s/2} l^{-s} \zeta_2(1+2\alpha+2s) B_{\alpha+s}(l) ds.$$

Noting that the integrands in (6.3) and (6.4) are identical, we see that the sum of these two expressions is the sum of residues inside the strip $-\varepsilon \leq \text{Re}(s) \leq \varepsilon$. The only residue is at $s=0$, by Remark 2.2. It is obvious that the residue at $s=0$ is the main term of (6.1), which completes the proof.

References

[BY] S. Baier and M. Young, *Mean values of cubic characters*, arXiv:0804.2233.
 [CFKRS] J. B. Conrey, D. Farmer, J. Keating, M. Rubinstein and N. Snaith, *Integral moments of L-functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 91 (2005), 33–104.
 [Da] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, 3rd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 74, Springer, New York, 2000.

- [DGH] A. Diaconu, D. Goldfeld and J. Hoffstein, *Multiple Dirichlet series and moments of zeta and L -functions*, *Compos. Math.* 139 (2003), 297–360.
- [GH] D. Goldfeld and J. Hoffstein, *Eisenstein series of $\frac{1}{2}$ -integral weight and the mean value of real Dirichlet L -series*, *Invent. Math.* 80 (1985), 185–208.
- [GR] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 6th ed., Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2000.
- [H-B] D. R. Heath-Brown, *A mean value estimate for real character sums*, *Acta Arith.* 72 (1995), 235–275.
- [HY] C. P. Hughes and M. Young, *The twisted fourth moment of the Riemann zeta function*, arXiv:0709.2345.
- [I] H. Iwaniec, *On the order of vanishing of modular L -functions at the critical point*, *Sém. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux (2)* 2 (1990), 365–376.
- [IK] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [J] M. Jutila, *On the mean value of $L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi)$ for real characters*, *Analysis* 1 (1981), 149–161.
- [S] K. Soundararajan, *Nonvanishing of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions at $s = \frac{1}{2}$* , *Ann. of Math. (2)* 152 (2000), 447–488.
- [VT] A. I. Vinogradov and L. A. Takhtadzhyan, *Analogues of the Vinogradov–Gauss formula on the critical line*, in: *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Mechanics, IV*, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* 109 (1981), 41–82, 180–181, 182–183 (in Russian).

Department of Mathematics
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843-3368, U.S.A.
E-mail: myoung@math.tamu.edu

*Received on 20.6.2008
and in revised form on 9.10.2008*

(5745)