## On R. Chapman's "evil determinant": case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

by

## MAXIM VSEMIRNOV (St. Petersburg)

**1. Introduction.** Let p be a prime and  $\binom{\cdot}{p}$  denote the Legendre symbol. Let us set n = (p-1)/2 and consider the following  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix C:

$$C_{ij} = \left(\frac{j-i}{p}\right), \quad 0 \le i, j \le n.$$

Here and in what follows it is more natural to enumerate rows and columns starting from zero. R. Chapman [8] raised the problem of evaluating det C; for motivation and related determinants see also [6], [7]. In particular, Chapman conjectured (see also [4, Problem 10 (918)]) that det C is always 1 when  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and had a conjectural expression for det C in terms of the fundamental unit and class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$  for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . The sequence det C for primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  also appears as sequence A179073 in the On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [1].

Chapman's conjecture for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  was settled affirmatively in [12]. The aim of this paper is to apply the methods developed in [12] to evaluate det C for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be the fundamental unit in  $\mathcal{O}$  and h = h(p) be the class number.

Theorem 1. Let

(1.1) 
$$a + b\sqrt{p} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^h & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \varepsilon^{3h} & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

Then  $\det C = -a$ .

Our proof is divided into three steps. First, we decompose C into a product of several matrices; see Theorem 2 below. This part resembles a similar step in the evaluation of det C for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ; see [12] for details. Second,

 $<sup>2010\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification:$  Primary 11C20; Secondary 11R29, 15A15, 15B05.

Key words and phrases: Legendre symbol, determinant, Cauchy determinant, Dirichlet's class number formula.

we find general expressions for certain parametric Cauchy-type determinants and reduce our problem to a particular case of that calculation. Finally, we relate the determinants obtained to Dirichlet's class number formula for real quadratic fields [3, Ch. 5, §4], which involves both the class number and the fundamental unit.

Looking at the numerical data, W. Zudilin and J. Sondow conjectured [1, entry A179073] that  $\det C$  is always negative and even. This easily follows from our Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , det C is negative and even.

**2. Matrix decomposition.** Set n=(p-1)/2. Let  $\zeta$  be the primitive pth root of unity with arg  $\zeta=2\pi/p$ . We also fix its square root  $\zeta^{1/2}$  such that arg  $\zeta^{1/2}=\pi/p$ .

Let us consider the following three matrices U, V, and D:

(2.1) 
$$U_{ij} = \frac{\binom{i}{p}\zeta^{-j-2i} + \binom{j}{p}\zeta^{-2j-i}}{\zeta^{-i-j} + \binom{i}{p}\binom{j}{p}}, \quad 0 \le i, j \le n,$$

$$V_{ij} = \zeta^{2ij}, \quad 0 \le i, j \le n,$$

$$D_{ii} = \prod_{\substack{0 \le k \le n \\ k \ne i}} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2i} - \zeta^{2k}}, \quad 0 \le i \le n,$$

$$(2.3) D_{ij} = 0, i \neq j.$$

In particular, V is a Vandermonde-type matrix and D is diagonal. If we set

$$g(x) = \prod_{0 \le k \le n} (x - \zeta^{2k}),$$

then the diagonal entries of D can be represented in an alternative way as

$$(2.4) D_{ii} = \frac{1}{g'(\zeta^{2i})}.$$

Finally, let  $\tau_p(r)$  be the Gauss sum

$$\tau_p(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{kr}{p}\right) \zeta^k = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \zeta^{kr}.$$

Theorem 2. For any prime p such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we have

(2.5) 
$$C = \tau_p(2)\zeta^{(p-1)/4} \cdot VDUDV = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\sqrt{p}\,\zeta^{(p-1)/4} \cdot VDUDV.$$

Remark 1. For  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  we have (see [12]) a similar expression

$$C = -\tau_p(2)\zeta^{-(p+1)/4} \cdot VD\tilde{U}DV,$$

where

(2.6) 
$$\tilde{U}_{ij} = \frac{\left(\frac{i}{p}\right)\zeta^{-j-2i} - \left(\frac{j}{p}\right)\zeta^{-2j-i}}{\zeta^{-i-j} - \left(\frac{i}{p}\right)\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)}.$$

Both (2.1) for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and (2.6) for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  can be unified as

$$U_{ij} = \frac{\left(\frac{i}{p}\right)\zeta^{-j-2i} + \left(\frac{-j}{p}\right)\zeta^{-2j-i}}{\zeta^{-i-j} + \left(\frac{i}{p}\right)\left(\frac{-j}{p}\right)}.$$

The unified formula for the decomposition becomes  $C = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\tau_p(2)\zeta^{(p^2-1)/4} \cdot VDUDV$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. Let B = VDUDV. We have

$$B_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \zeta^{2ki+2rj} \cdot \frac{1}{g'(\zeta^{2k})} \cdot \frac{1}{g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\zeta^{-r-2k} + \left(\frac{r}{p}\right)\zeta^{-2r-k}}{\zeta^{-k-r} + \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)}.$$

The term corresponding to k=r=0 in the above sum vanishes. The remaining terms can be arranged into three groups depending on whether k=0, or r=0, or  $k\neq 0$ ,  $r\neq 0$ . More precisely,

$$B_{ij} = s_0 + s_1 + s_2,$$

where

$$s_{0} = \frac{1}{g'(1)} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \cdot \frac{\zeta^{(2j-1)r}}{g'(\zeta^{2r})}, \quad s_{1} = \frac{1}{g'(1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \cdot \frac{\zeta^{(2i-1)k}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})},$$

$$s_{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \zeta^{2ki+2rj} \cdot \frac{1}{g'(\zeta^{2k})} \cdot \frac{1}{g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\zeta^{-r-2k} + \left(\frac{r}{p}\right)\zeta^{-2r-k}}{\zeta^{-k-r} + \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)}.$$

Notice that  $\zeta^{-2k-2r} \neq 1$  and  $\left( \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) \left( \frac{r}{p} \right) \right)^2 = 1$  for  $1 \leq k, r \leq n$ . Applying the identity

$$\left(\zeta^a - \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)\right)\left(\zeta^a + \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)\right) = \zeta^{2a} - 1,$$

which is valid for k, r such that  $p \nmid k$ ,  $p \nmid r$ , we have

$$s_{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\zeta^{2ki+2rj}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{\left(\zeta^{-k-r} - \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)\right)\left(\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\zeta^{-r-2k} + \left(\frac{r}{p}\right)\zeta^{-2r-k}\right)}{\zeta^{-2k-2r} - 1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\zeta^{2ki+2rj}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\left(\zeta^{-2r-3k} - \zeta^{-2r-k}\right) + \left(\frac{r}{p}\right)\left(\zeta^{-3r-2k} - \zeta^{-r-2k}\right)}{\zeta^{-2k-2r} - 1}$$

$$= s_{3} + s_{4},$$

where

$$s_{3} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2ki+2rj}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{\zeta^{-2r-3k} - \zeta^{-2r-k}}{\zeta^{-2k-2r} - 1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2ki+2rj}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{\zeta^{-3k} - \zeta^{-k}}{\zeta^{-2k} - \zeta^{2r}},$$

$$s_{4} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2ki+2rj}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{\zeta^{-3r-2k} - \zeta^{-r-2k}}{\zeta^{-2k-2r} - 1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2ki+2rj}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{\zeta^{-3r} - \zeta^{-r}}{\zeta^{-2r} - \zeta^{2k}}.$$

By the Lagrange interpolation formula, for any polynomial f of degree less than n+1, we have

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{1}{g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{f(\zeta^{2r})}{x - \zeta^{2r}}.$$

Therefore, for any x different from the roots of g,

(2.7) 
$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{f(\zeta^{2r})}{x - \zeta^{2r}} = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} - \frac{1}{g'(1)} \cdot \frac{f(1)}{x - 1}.$$

If k runs through  $1, \ldots, n$ , then  $-2k \pmod{p}$  runs through the odd integers  $p-2, p-4, \ldots, 3, 1$ . In particular,  $\zeta^{-2k}$  is not a root of g. To evaluate  $s_3$  we first sum with respect to r and use (2.7) for  $f(x) = x^j$  substituting  $x = \zeta^{-2k}$ :

$$s_{3} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2ki}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})} (\zeta^{-3k} - \zeta^{-k}) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{1}{g'(\zeta^{2r})} \cdot \frac{\zeta^{2rj}}{\zeta^{-2k} - \zeta^{2r}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2ki}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})} (\zeta^{-3k} - \zeta^{-k}) \left(\frac{\zeta^{-2kj}}{g(\zeta^{-2k})} - \frac{1}{g'(1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\zeta^{-2k} - 1}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2k(i-j)}(\zeta^{-3k} - \zeta^{-k})}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g(\zeta^{-2k})} - \frac{1}{g'(1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{(2i-1)k}}{g'(\zeta^{2k})}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2k(i-j)}(\zeta^{-3k} - \zeta^{-k})}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g(\zeta^{-2k})} - s_{1}.$$

To evaluate  $s_4$  we argue in the same way but now we first sum with respect to k and substitute  $x = \zeta^{-2r}$  into (2.7) for  $f(x) = x^i$ . As a result,

$$s_4 = \sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2r(j-i)}(\zeta^{-3r} - \zeta^{-r})}{g'(\zeta^{2r})g(\zeta^{-2r})} - s_0.$$

Therefore,

$$B_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2k(i-j)}(\zeta^{-3k} - \zeta^{-k})}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g(\zeta^{-2k})} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \frac{\zeta^{2r(j-i)}(\zeta^{-3r} - \zeta^{-r})}{g'(\zeta^{2r})g(\zeta^{-2r})}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \frac{(\zeta^{2k(i-j)} + \zeta^{-2k(i-j)})(\zeta^{-3k} - \zeta^{-k})}{g'(\zeta^{2k})g(\zeta^{-2k})}.$$

Now we evaluate the denominator of each term. Recall that n=(p-1)/2, so  $(-1)^{n+1}=(-1)^{(p+1)/2}=-1$  if  $p\equiv 1\pmod 4$ . We have

$$\begin{split} g'(\zeta^{2k})g(\zeta^{-2k}) &= \prod_{\substack{0 \le t \le n \\ t \ne k}} (\zeta^{2k} - \zeta^{2t}) \prod_{0 \le t \le n} (\zeta^{-2k} - \zeta^{2t}) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} (\zeta^{-2k})^{n+1} (\zeta^2)^{0+1+\dots+n} \prod_{\substack{0 \le t \le n \\ t \ne k}} (\zeta^{2k} - \zeta^{2t}) \prod_{0 \le t \le n} (\zeta^{2k} - \zeta^{-2t}) \\ &= -\zeta^{-k(p+1)} \zeta^{(p^2-1)/4} (\zeta^{2k} - 1) \prod_{\substack{0 \le t \le p-1 \\ t \ne k}} (\zeta^{2k} - \zeta^{2t}) \\ &= -\zeta^{-k} \zeta^{(p-1)/4} (\zeta^{2k} - 1) ((x^p - 1)')_{|x = \zeta^{2k}} = -p\zeta^{-3k} \zeta^{(p-1)/4} (\zeta^{2k} - 1). \end{split}$$

Using this together with the fact that  $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$  is an even character for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we continue as follows:

$$\begin{split} B_{ij} &= \frac{\zeta^{-(p-1)/4}}{p} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{k}{p} (\zeta^{2k(i-j)} + \zeta^{-2k(i-j)}) \\ &= \frac{\zeta^{-(p-1)/4}}{p} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \binom{k}{p} \zeta^{2k(i-j)} + \binom{-k}{p} \zeta^{-2k(i-j)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\zeta^{-(p-1)/4}}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{k}{p} \zeta^{2k(i-j)} \\ &= \left( \frac{i-j}{p} \right) \frac{\zeta^{-(p-1)/4}}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{r}{p} \zeta^{2r} = \left( \frac{j-i}{p} \right) \frac{\zeta^{-(p-1)/4} \tau_p(2)}{p}. \end{split}$$

Since  $\tau_p(2) = (\frac{2}{p})\sqrt{p}$  for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  (see e.g. [10, Ch. 6]), we conclude that

$$\zeta^{(p-1)/4} \tau_p(2) B_{ij} = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \sqrt{p} \, \zeta^{(p-1)/4} B_{ij} = \left(\frac{j-i}{p}\right) = C_{ij},$$

which completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$ 

**3. Determinants of Cauchy-like matrices.** The following identity is due to Cauchy [5]:

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{u_i + v_j}\right)_{i,j=0,\dots,m-1} = \prod_{0 \le i < j \le m-1} \left( (u_i - u_j)(v_i - v_j) \right) \prod_{0 \le i,j \le m-1} (u_i + v_j)^{-1}.$$

An alternative form of this identity can be obtained by replacing  $v_j$  with  $v_j^{-1}$  and multiplying each column by  $v_j^{-1}$ :

(3.1) 
$$\det\left(\frac{1}{1+u_iv_j}\right)_{i,j=0,\dots,m-1} = \prod_{0 \le i < j \le m-1} \left((u_i - u_j)(v_j - v_i)\right) \prod_{0 \le i,j \le m-1} (1+u_iv_j)^{-1}.$$

For further connections of (3.1) with representation theory and symmetric functions, see [11, Ch. 7].

In this section we evaluate the determinants of several parametric matrices related to the second form of the Cauchy identity. Let  $\vec{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_{m-1})$ ,  $\vec{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{m-1})$ . Assume further that  $1 + u_i v_j \neq 0$ ,  $i, j = 0 \dots, m-1$ . Let  $M_m(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$  be the  $m \times m$  matrix with

$$(M_m(\vec{u}, \vec{v}))_{ij} = \frac{u_i + v_j}{1 + u_i v_j}.$$

Theorem 3. We have (1)

$$\det M_m(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \Big( \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (1+u_i) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1+v_j) + (-1)^m \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (1-u_i) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1-v_j) \Big)$$

$$\times \prod_{0 \le i \le j \le m-1} (u_i - u_j) \prod_{0 \le j \le m-1} (v_j - v_i) \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1+u_i v_j)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let J be the  $m \times m$  matrix with all entries equal to 1. Consider

$$f(t) = \det(tJ + M_m(\vec{u}, \vec{v})).$$

Since J has rank 1, there are two invertible matrices  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  with coef-

<sup>(1)</sup> When the paper was ready, T. Amdeberhan informed the author that an equivalent statement was proved in [2] by a different method.

ficients independent of the variable t and such that

$$H_1JH_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $f(t) = \det H_1^{-1} \det(tH_1JH_2 + H_1M_m(\vec{u}, \vec{v})H_2) \det H_2^{-1}$ , the function f is linear with respect to t. In particular,  $\det M_m(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = f(0) = (f(1) + f(-1))/2$ . On the other hand,

$$f(1) = \det\left(1 + \frac{u_i + v_j}{1 + u_i v_j}\right)_{i,j=0,\dots,m-1} = \det\left(\frac{(1 + u_i)(1 + v_j)}{1 + u_i v_j}\right)_{i,j=0,\dots,m-1}$$
$$= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (1 + u_i) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1 + v_j) \cdot \det\left(\frac{1}{1 + u_i v_j}\right)_{i,j=0,\dots,m-1}.$$

In a similar way

$$f(-1) = \det\left(-1 + \frac{u_i + v_j}{1 + u_i v_j}\right)_{i,j=0,\dots,m-1} = \det\left(\frac{-(1 - u_i)(1 - v_j)}{1 + u_i v_j}\right)_{i,j=0,\dots,m-1}$$
$$= (-1)^m \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (1 - u_i) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1 - v_j) \cdot \det\left(\frac{1}{1 + u_i v_j}\right)_{i,j=0,\dots,m-1}.$$

Combining this with (3.1) we complete the proof.

Now let  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ ,  $\vec{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$  and assume that  $1 + x_i y_j \neq 0$ ,  $1 + x_i \neq 0$ ,  $1 + y_j \neq 0$ ,  $i, j = 1, \ldots, m$ . Let  $W_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  be the following  $(m+1) \times (m+1)$  matrix:

$$W_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \ \hline 1 & & & \ dots & & M_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) & \ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4. We have

$$\det W_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^m (1+x_i) \prod_{j=1}^m (1+y_j) - (-1)^m \prod_{i=1}^m (1-x_i) \prod_{j=1}^m (1-y_j) \right)$$

$$\times \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (y_j - y_i) \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^m (1+x_i y_j)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$M_{m+1}((1, \vec{x}), (1, \vec{y})) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hline 1 & & & \\ \vdots & & M_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

we find that

(3.2) 
$$\det W_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$$

$$= \det M_{m+1}((1, \vec{x}), (1, \vec{y})) - \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 & 0 & \dots & 0}{1} \\ \vdots & & \\ 1 & & \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \det M_{m+1}((1, \vec{x}), (1, \vec{y})) - \det M_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y}).$$

By Theorem 3,

$$\det M_{m+1}((1,\vec{x}),(1,\vec{y})) = \frac{(1+1)(1+1)}{2(1+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1+x_i) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+y_j)$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1-x_j) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (y_j - 1) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (y_j - y_i)$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+y_j)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1+x_i)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+x_iy_j)^{-1} + 0$$

$$= (-1)^m \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1-x_i) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1-y_j) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} (y_j - y_i)$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1+x_iy_j)^{-1}.$$

Applying Theorem 3 to the evaluation of det  $M_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  and using (3.2) we complete the proof.

**4. Evaluation of the determinant.** Recall that n = (p-1)/2. Let G be the diagonal matrix with

(4.1) 
$$G_{00} = 1, \quad G_{ii} = \left(\frac{i}{p}\right)\zeta^{i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Set

$$(4.2) W = GUG.$$

By a direct computation,  $W_{00} = 0$ ,

$$W_{0j} = W_{j0} = 1, \quad W_{ij} = \frac{\left(\frac{i}{p}\right)\zeta^{i} + \left(\frac{j}{p}\right)\zeta^{j}}{1 + \left(\frac{i}{p}\right)\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)\zeta^{i+j}}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular,  $W = W_n(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ , where  $x_i = y_i = (\frac{i}{p})\zeta^i$ , i = 1, ..., n. Now we apply Theorem 4. The last product in the expression for det  $W_n$  can be transformed in the following way: first we extract terms with i = j and then we combine the two factors corresponding to (i, j) and (j, i) for  $i \neq j$ . Taking into account that  $(-1)^n = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} = 1$  for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we conclude that

(4.3) 
$$\det W = -\frac{(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}}{2} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{j} \right)^{2} - \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{j} \right)^{2} \right) \times \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \left( \left( \frac{i}{p} \right) \zeta^{i} - \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{j} \right)^{2} \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{i}{p} \right) \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{i+j} \right)^{-2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 + \zeta^{2j})^{-1}.$$

The following auxiliary result is an easy consequence of standard methods of evaluating Gauss sums. We present its proof for completeness.

LEMMA 1. If  $p \nmid r$ , then

(4.4) 
$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta^{2rj} - \zeta^{-2rj}) = \left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \sqrt{p}.$$

*Proof.* By [10, Proposition 6.4.3],

$$\sqrt{p} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (\zeta^{2k-1} - \zeta^{-(2k-1)}) = (-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (\zeta^{p-(2k-1)} - \zeta^{-p+(2k-1)})$$
$$= \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta^{2j} - \zeta^{-2j}).$$

Apply the automorphism  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  induced by  $\gamma(\zeta) = \zeta^r$ . It follows from the standard properties of Gauss sums that  $\gamma(\sqrt{p}) = \binom{r}{p} \sqrt{p}$ .

COROLLARY 2. We have

(4.5) 
$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2}) = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \sqrt{p} = (-1)^{n/2} \sqrt{p},$$

(4.6) 
$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 + \zeta^{2j}) = \zeta^{n(n+1)/2} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right).$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $\zeta^{1/2} = -\zeta^{(p+1)/2}$ . Hence,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} ((-\zeta^{(p+1)/2})^{j} - (-\zeta^{(p+1)/2})^{-j})$$
$$= (-1)^{n/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} ((\zeta^{(p+1)/2})^{j} - (\zeta^{(p+1)/2})^{-j}).$$

(Here we extract -1 from each term that corresponds to an odd j.) The first desired identity now follows from Lemma 1 applied to r = (1 - p)/4 and from the fact that, for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $(-1)^{n/2} = (-1)^{(p-1)/4} = (\frac{2}{p})$ . To prove the second identity we use the equality  $1 + \zeta^{2j} = \zeta^j(\zeta^{2j} - \zeta^{-2j})(\zeta^j - \zeta^{-j})^{-1}$  and Lemma 1 applied to r = 1 and r = (p+1)/2.

Lemma 2. We have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{j} \right)^{2} - \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{j} \right)^{2} \right) = (-1)^{n/2} \zeta^{n(n+1)/2} a \sqrt{p},$$

where a is defined in (1.1).

Proof. Let

$$s = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{j} \right)^{2}.$$

Notice that  $\left(1+\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)\zeta^j\right)^2=\left(\zeta^j+\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)\right)^2$ . We have

$$s = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( \zeta^{j} + \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \right)^{2} = \zeta^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( \zeta^{j/2} + \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{-j/2} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \zeta^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = -1}} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2})^{2} \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = 1}} (\zeta^{j/2} + \zeta^{-j/2})^{2}$$

$$= \zeta^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = -1}} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2})^{2} \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = 1}} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2})^{-2}$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = 1}} (\zeta^{j} - \zeta^{-j})^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2})^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2}).$$

Since  $(\frac{\cdot}{p})$  is an even character for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the number of quadratic residues modulo p on the interval [1, n] equals the number of quadratic

non-residues on the same interval. Therefore, we can continue as follows:

$$s = \zeta^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = -1}} \left( \sin \frac{\pi j}{p} \right)^2 \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = 1}} \left( \sin \frac{\pi j}{p} \right)^{-2} \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = 1}} \left( \sin \frac{2\pi j}{p} \right)^2 \times \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \sin \frac{\pi j}{p} \right)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2}).$$

Let us consider the third and the fourth products more carefully. We have  $\sin(2\pi j/p) = \sin(\pi(p-2j)/p)$ . Moreover, the map  $j \mapsto p-2j$  gives a bijection between the sets

$$\left\{j: n/2 < j \le n, \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) = 1\right\}$$
 and  $\left\{k: 1 \le k \le n, k \text{ is odd}, \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\right\}$ , while the map  $j \mapsto 2j$  is the bijection between

$$\{j: 1 \le j \le n/2, \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) = 1\}$$
 and  $\{k: 1 \le k \le n, k \text{ is even}, \left(\frac{k}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\}$ . Therefore,

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = 1}} \left( \sin \frac{2\pi j}{p} \right)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \sin \frac{\pi j}{p} \right)^{-1} = \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ (k/p) = (2/p)}} \sin \frac{\pi k}{p} \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ (k/p) = -(2/p)}} \left( \sin \frac{\pi k}{p} \right)^{-1}.$$

By Dirichlet's class number formula for real quadratic fields (see e.g.  $[3, Ch. 5, \S4]$ ),

$$\varepsilon^{h} = \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = -1}} \sin \frac{\pi j}{p} \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ (j/p) = 1}} \left( \sin \frac{\pi j}{p} \right)^{-1}.$$

Combining this with the above equalities, we conclude that

(4.7) 
$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{j} \right)^{2} = \zeta^{n(n+1)/2} \varepsilon^{(2-(2/p))h} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2}).$$

In a similar way,

(4.8) 
$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{j} \right)^{2} = \zeta^{n(n+1)/2} \varepsilon^{-(2-(2/p))h} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2}).$$

It is well known that  $\varepsilon^h$  is a quadratic unit of norm -1 (which is equivalent to the fact that the norm of  $\varepsilon$  is -1 and h is odd); see e.g. [9, Ch. 4, §18.4] or [3, Ch. 5, Sec. 4, Ex. 5]. It follows that

(4.9) 
$$\varepsilon^{(2-(2/p))h} - \varepsilon^{-(2-(2/p))h} = 2a,$$

where a is defined in (1.1).

Applying Corollary 2 to the evaluation of  $\prod_{j=1}^{n} (\zeta^{j/2} - \zeta^{-j/2})$  we complete the proof.  $\blacksquare$ 

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It follows from Theorem 2, Lemma 2 and equations (4.2), (4.3), (4.6) that

(4.10) 
$$\det C = -\zeta^{(n+1)(p-1)/4} \left( \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) \sqrt{p} \right)^{n+2} \times (\det V)^2 (\det D)^2 (\det G)^{-2} f_1^2 f_2^{-2} a,$$

where

$$f_1 = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \left( \left( \frac{i}{p} \right) \zeta^i - \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^j \right), \quad f_2 = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{i}{p} \right) \left( \frac{j}{p} \right) \zeta^{i+j} \right)$$

and a is defined by (1.1). Clearly,  $\det G \in (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^*$  by (4.1).

Let us recall some well-known facts about the arithmetic of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ . The reader may find further details in [10, Ch. 13]. The ideal  $(1-\zeta)$  is prime in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  and  $p = \alpha(1-\zeta)^{p-1}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ . In particular,  $\sqrt{p} = \tau_p(1) = \alpha_1(1-\zeta)^n$ , where  $\alpha_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^*$ . Finally,  $(1-\zeta^c)/(1-\zeta)$  and  $1+\zeta^c$  are in  $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^*$  provided  $p \nmid c$ .

Notice that

$$\det V = \prod_{0 \le i < j \le n} (\zeta^{2j} - \zeta^{2i})$$

by the well-known evaluation of the Vandermonde determinant. Using this together with the definition of D (see (2.2), (2.3)) and the above observations we find that

$$(\sqrt{p})^{n+1}(\det V)^2(\det D)^2 \in (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^*.$$

Since  $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$  is an even character and n=(p-1)/2, there are n/2 quadratic residues and n/2 quadratic non-residues modulo p on the interval [1,n]. Thus,

$$\begin{split} &\#\big\{(i,j): 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \; \big(\frac{i}{p}\big) = \big(\frac{j}{p}\big)\big\} = n^2/2, \\ &\#\big\{(i,j): 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \; \big(\frac{i}{p}\big) = -\big(\frac{j}{p}\big)\big\} = n^2/2. \end{split}$$

Since the pairs (i, i) are in the first set and the pairs (i, j) and (j, i) are in one and the same set,

$$\#\{(i,j): 1 \le i < j \le n, \ \left(\frac{i}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{j}{p}\right)\} = n(n-2)/4,$$
$$\#\{(i,j): 1 \le i < j \le n, \ \left(\frac{i}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)\} = n^2/4.$$

In addition,

$$(\frac{i}{p})\zeta^i - (\frac{j}{p})\zeta^j \in \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^* & \text{if } (\frac{i}{p}) \neq (\frac{j}{p}), \\ (1 - \zeta)(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^* & \text{if } (\frac{i}{p}) = (\frac{j}{p}), \end{cases}$$

$$1 + \left(\frac{i}{p}\right)\left(\frac{j}{p}\right)\zeta^{i+j} \in \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^* & \text{if } \left(\frac{i}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{j}{p}\right), \\ (1 - \zeta)(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^* & \text{if } \left(\frac{i}{p}\right) \neq \left(\frac{j}{p}\right). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $\sqrt{p} f_1^2 f_2^{-2} \in (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^*$ .

Finally, notice that  $\zeta$  is of odd multiplicative order and therefore any power of  $\zeta$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ . Using (4.10) we conclude that  $\det C = -a\delta^2$ , where  $\delta \in (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])^*$ . Since  $\det C$  is an integer and a is a non-zero integer or half-integer, we see that  $\delta^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence,  $\delta^2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ , i.e.,  $\delta^2 = \pm 1$ . On the other hand,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  does not contain primitive fourth roots of 1, since

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \sqrt[4]{1}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta \cdot \sqrt[4]{1}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2(p-1)$$

and

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta):\mathbb{Q}]=p-1.$$

Therefore,  $\delta^2 = 1$ .

Proof of Corollary 1. Since  $\varepsilon > 1$ , we see immediately that a > 0. Hence,  $\det C < 0$ . Notice that the sum of the rows of C is zero modulo 2. Therefore,  $\det C$  is even.

**Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful to J. Sondow and T. Amdeberhan for commenting on an earlier version of the paper and to an anonymous referee for suggesting a simplified proof of Corollary 1.

The research was supported in part by the Dynasty Foundation, RFBR (grants 09-01-00784-a, 12-01-00947-a) and the State Financed Task project no. 6.38.74.2011 at St. Petersburg State University.

## References

- [1] The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, http://oeis.org/.
- [2] T. Amdeberhan and D. Zeilberger, "Trivializing" generalizations of some Izergin– Korepin-type determinants, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 9 (2007), no. 1, 203–206.
- [3] Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, Number Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [4] P. J. Cameron and D. B. West, Research problems from the 20th British Combinatorial Conference, Discrete Math. 308 (2008), 621–630.
- [5] A. L. Cauchy, Mémoire sur les fonctions alternées et sur les sommes alternées, Exercises d'Analyse et de Phys. Math. 2 (1841), 151–159 (= Oeuvres, Sér. 2, Vol. 12, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009, 173–182).
- [6] R. Chapman, Determinants of Legendre symbol matrices, Acta Arith. 115 (2004), 231–244.
- [7] R. Chapman, Steinitz classes of unimodular lattices, Eur. J. Combin. 25 (2004), 487–493.
- [8] R. Chapman, My evil determinant problem, unpublished note, 2009; http://secamlocal.ex.ac.uk/people/staff/rjchapma/etc/evildet.pdf.

- [9] H. Hasse, Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie, Springer, 1950.
- [10] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, 2nd ed., Springer, 1990.
- [11] R. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001.
- [12] M. Vsemirnov, On the evaluation of R. Chapman's "evil determinant", Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012), 4101–4106.

## Maxim Vsemirnov

St. Petersburg Department of

V. A. Steklov Institute of Mathematics

27 Fontanka

St. Petersburg, 191023, Russia

and

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics

St. Petersburg State University

28 University prospekt

St. Petersburg, 198504, Russia

E-mail: vsemir@pdmi.ras.ru

Received on 26.3.2012 and in revised form on 30.1.2013 (7015)