

Arithmetic Clifford's theorem for Hermitian vector bundles

by

TOHRU NAKASHIMA (Tokyo)

1. Introduction. Let K be a number field and let \mathcal{O}_K denote its ring of integers. A Hermitian vector bundle \overline{E} on an arithmetic curve $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ is a projective \mathcal{O}_K -module E equipped with a Hermitian metric $E \otimes_v \mathbb{C}$ for each infinite place $v : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We expect that Hermitian bundles have properties similar to those of vector bundles on an algebraic curve defined over a field.

Recently the notion of *size* $h^0(\overline{L})$ has been introduced for a Hermitian line bundle \overline{L} on an arithmetic curve. This invariant may be considered as an arithmetic analogue of the dimension of the space of global sections. For example, a Riemann–Roch type theorem holds for them ([GS]).

In [G] Groenewegen has proved an arithmetic analogue of Clifford's theorem by means of the size function. The purpose of this note is to generalize the result to *semistable* Hermitian vector bundles. We notice that this is also an arithmetic analogue of a theorem obtained in [BGN] in the geometric case. We also consider an example of a semistable Hermitian bundle which comes from arithmetic abelian schemes ([B]).

The author thanks the referee for correcting mistakes in the original manuscript and for valuable suggestions.

2. Statement of the result. Let K be a number field and let S^∞ denote the set of infinite places of K . The scheme $S = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ is said to be an *arithmetic curve*. If we denote by r_1 and r_2 the number of real resp. complex embeddings of K , then $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = r_1 + 2r_2$.

Let \overline{E} be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank r on S . In other words, E is a projective \mathcal{O}_K -module of rank r equipped with Hermitian metrics $\| \cdot \|_v$ on the complex vector spaces $E_v = E \otimes_v \mathbb{C}$ associated with the embeddings

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11R04, 14G40.

Key words and phrases: arithmetic curves, Hermitian bundles, Clifford's theorem.

$v \in S^\infty$. Let

$$E_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \bigoplus_{v \in S^\infty} E_v.$$

Let $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ denote the real subspace of $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ fixed under complex conjugation. We equip $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the norm which is the restriction of the norm on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is defined for $x \in E_{\mathbb{C}}$ as follows:

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{v \in S^\infty} \|x\|_v^2.$$

We consider E as a lattice of rank rn in $E_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The *arithmetic degree* of a Hermitian line bundle \bar{L} is defined by

$$\widehat{\deg} \bar{L} = \log \sharp(L/\mathcal{O}_K s) - \sum_{v \in S^\infty} \log \|s\|_v$$

where s is a nonzero element of L . For a general Hermitian bundle \bar{E} , we define $\widehat{\deg} \bar{E}$ by

$$\widehat{\deg} \bar{E} = \widehat{\deg} \det \bar{E}.$$

We have the equality

$$\widehat{\deg} \bar{E} = -\log \operatorname{covol} \bar{E} + \frac{r \log |\Delta_K|}{2}$$

where we denote by $\operatorname{covol} \bar{E}$ the covolume of \bar{E} and by Δ_K the discriminant of K . We define the *norm* of \bar{E} by

$$N(\bar{E}) = e^{\widehat{\deg} \bar{E}}.$$

The \mathcal{O}_K -module

$$\omega_S = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}_K, \mathbb{Z})$$

is locally free of rank one. We equip ω_S with the Hermitian metric which is defined, for each $v \in S^\infty$, by $\|\operatorname{Tr}\|_v = 1$ for the trace map $\operatorname{Tr} \in \omega_S$. Let $\bar{\omega}_S$ denote the resulting Hermitian line bundle. Then we have

$$\widehat{\deg} \bar{\omega}_S = \log |\Delta_K|.$$

For a Hermitian vector bundle \bar{E} , we denote by $\bar{E}^\vee = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(E, \mathcal{O}_K)$ the dual vector bundle equipped with the dual metric. We let

$$\bar{E}^* = \bar{E}^\vee \otimes \bar{\omega}_S$$

denote the Hermitian bundle equipped with the tensor product of the dual metric and the metric on ω_S defined above. Its degree is given by

$$\widehat{\deg} \bar{E}^* = -\widehat{\deg} \bar{E} + r \widehat{\deg} \bar{\omega}_S.$$

Following [GS], we define the *effectivity* of \bar{E} to be the number

$$k^0(\bar{E}) = \sum_{x \in E} e^{-\pi \|x\|^2}.$$

Then the *size* of \bar{E} is defined as follows:

$$h^0(\bar{E}) = \log k^0(\bar{E}).$$

$h^0(\bar{E})$ may be considered as an arithmetic analogue of the dimension of the space of global sections in the geometric case. For example, as a consequence of the Poisson summation formula we have the following result, which is stated in [GS, 9] in a different form.

PROPOSITION 2.1 (Riemann–Roch). *Let \bar{E} be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank r on S . Then*

$$h^0(\bar{E}) - \widehat{\deg} \bar{E}/2 = h^0(\bar{E}^*) - \widehat{\deg} \bar{E}^*/2.$$

For a Hermitian bundle \bar{E} , we define its *slope* $\widehat{\mu}(\bar{E})$ by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\bar{E}) = \widehat{\deg} \bar{E}/\text{rk } E.$$

\bar{E} is said to be *semistable* if $\widehat{\mu}(\bar{F}) \leq \widehat{\mu}(\bar{E})$ for every subbundle \bar{F} of \bar{E} with the induced metric.

The main result of this note is the following

THEOREM 2.2. *Let \bar{E} be a semistable Hermitian vector bundle of rank r on $S = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$.*

(1) *If $\widehat{\deg} \bar{E} \leq 0$, then*

$$h^0(\bar{E}) < \frac{3^{rn}\pi}{\pi - r \log 3} e^{-\pi e^{-2(\widehat{\deg} \bar{E})/(rn)}}.$$

(2) *If $\widehat{\deg} \bar{E} \geq 0$, then*

$$h^0(\bar{E}) \leq rn(\log \omega + \log r + 2^{-1} \log n) + \widehat{\deg} \bar{E}.$$

(3) *If $0 \leq \widehat{\mu}(\bar{E}) \leq \widehat{\deg} \bar{\omega}_S$, then*

$$h^0(\bar{E}) \leq rn(\log \omega + \log r + \log n) + \widehat{\deg} \bar{E}/2.$$

We notice that the above theorem has a geometric counterpart. Let C be a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{C} and let E be a semistable vector bundle of rank r on C . Let $\mu(E) = \deg E/r$ denote its slope. Then $h^0(E) = \dim H^0(E)$ has the following properties.

PROPOSITION 2.3. (1) *If $\deg E < 0$, then $h^0(E) = 0$.*

(2) *If $\deg E \geq 0$, then $h^0(E) \leq r + \deg E$.*

(3) *If $0 \leq \mu(E) \leq 2g - 2$, then $h^0(E) \leq r + \deg E/2$.*

Proof. (1) is clear from the definition and (3) has been proved in [BGN, Theorem 2.1]. We prove (2) by induction on r , following the argument in *loc.cit.* The case $r = 1$ is easy. Assume that the inequality holds for semistable bundles of rank less than r . Let E_1 denote a subbundle of E which has the maximal slope among all proper subbundles. Let $E_2 = E/E_1$. It is

clear that E_1, E_2 are both semistable. Since we may assume that $h^0(E) > 0$, we obtain $\mu(E_1) \geq 0$. We also have $\mu(E_1) \geq \mu(E) \geq 0$ by the semistability of E . Hence by the induction assumption we obtain

$$h^0(E) \leq h^0(E_1) + h^0(E_2) \leq \text{rk } E_1 + \text{deg } E_1 + \text{rk } E_2 + \text{deg } E_2 = r + \text{deg } E. \blacksquare$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2. For a lattice Λ , its *minimum* is defined to be the minimum norm of nonzero elements in Λ . The following result has been proved by Groenewegen ([G, Proposition 5.4, Corollary 5.7]).

LEMMA 3.1. *Let Λ be a lattice of rank n with minimum λ and let Λ^* denote the dual lattice with minimum λ^* . Let $\omega = k^0(\mathbb{Z})$ where \mathbb{Z} is equipped with the trivial metric. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let γ_i denote the i th Hermite constant. Then*

$$k^0(\Lambda) \leq \omega^n \prod_{i=1}^n \max\{1, \gamma_i/\lambda\}.$$

Furthermore, we have either

$$k^0(\Lambda) \leq \omega^n \max\{1, 1/\lambda\}^{n/2} n^n \quad \text{or} \quad k^0(\Lambda^*) \leq \omega^n \max\{1, 1/\lambda^*\}^{n/2} n^n.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *If \bar{E} is a semistable Hermitian bundle, then \bar{E}^* is also semistable.*

Proof. Assume that \bar{E} is semistable and let $\bar{F} \subset \bar{E}^*$ be a sub- \mathcal{O}_K -module with the induced metric. Considering the saturation of \bar{F} , we may assume that \bar{E}^*/\bar{F} is projective. Then we obtain an injection $(\bar{E}^*/\bar{F})^* \hookrightarrow \bar{E}$. Since \bar{E} is assumed to be semistable, we have $\hat{\mu}((\bar{E}^*/\bar{F})^*) \leq \hat{\mu}(\bar{E})$, which implies $\hat{\mu}(\bar{F}) \leq \hat{\mu}(\bar{E}^*)$ as desired. \blacksquare

Let λ (resp. λ^*) denote the minimum of \bar{E} (resp. \bar{E}^*). Since \bar{E} is semistable, so is \bar{E}^* by Lemma 3.2. For any nonzero $s \in E$, let \bar{L} denote the Hermitian line bundle which is generated by s in E , with the induced metric. Then

$$\hat{\mu}(\bar{E}) \geq \widehat{\text{deg}} \bar{L} \geq - \sum_{v \in S^\infty} \log \|s\|_v.$$

Hence, by the geometric-arithmetic mean inequality, we have

$$\|s\|^2 = \sum_{v \in S^\infty} \|s_v\|_v^2 \geq n \left(\prod_{v \in S^\infty} \|s\|_v^2 \right)^{1/n} \geq n e^{-2\widehat{\text{deg}} \bar{E}/(rn)} = n N(\bar{E})^{-2/(rn)}.$$

This yields

$$\lambda \geq \sqrt{n} N(\bar{E})^{-1/(rn)}.$$

Similarly, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\lambda^* \geq \sqrt{n} N(\bar{E}^*)^{-1/(rn)}.$$

If $\widehat{\deg} \bar{E} \leq 0$, then $N(\bar{E}) \leq 1$ and hence $\lambda \geq \sqrt{n}$. By [G, Prop. 4.4], we obtain

$$k^0(\bar{E}) \leq 1 + \frac{3^{rn} \pi}{\pi - \log 3} e^{-\pi \lambda^2}.$$

This implies (1).

Since $\gamma_i \leq rn$ for all i , Lemma 3.1 yields

$$k^0(\bar{E}) \leq \omega^{rn} \max\{1, (rn/\lambda)^{rn}\}.$$

If $\widehat{\deg} \bar{E} \geq 0$, then $\max\{1, (rn/\lambda)^{rn}\} \leq (r\sqrt{n})^{rn} e^{\widehat{\deg} \bar{E}}$. Thus (2) follows.

To prove (3), we note that, by Lemma 3.1 we have either

$$(*) \quad k^0(\bar{E}) \leq \omega^{rn} \max\{1, 1/\lambda\}^{rn/2} (rn)^{rn}$$

or

$$(**) \quad k^0(\bar{E}^*) \leq \omega^{rn} \max\{1, 1/\lambda^*\}^{rn/2} (rn)^{rn}.$$

By the assumption $0 \leq \widehat{\mu}(\bar{E}) \leq \widehat{\deg} \bar{\omega}_S$, we have $\widehat{\deg} \bar{E} \geq 0$ and $\widehat{\deg} \bar{E}^* \geq 0$. Assume that (*) holds. Since $\max\{1, 1/\lambda\} = 1/\lambda$, we have

$$h^0(\bar{E}) \leq rn(\log \omega + \log rn) + \widehat{\deg} \bar{E}/2.$$

Hence we are done in this case. Similarly, if (**) holds, we obtain

$$h^0(\bar{E}^*) \leq rn(\log \omega + \log rn) + \widehat{\deg} \bar{E}^*/2,$$

which yields, by Riemann–Roch,

$$h^0(\bar{E}) \leq rn(\log \omega + \log rn) + \widehat{\deg} \bar{E}/2.$$

This completes the proof.

4. An example. In this section we shall give an example of semistable Hermitian bundle due to J.-B. Bost. For higher-dimensional Arakelov geometry, we refer to [SABK].

Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over a number field K with $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let L be an ample symmetric line bundle on A and let $\chi(A, L)$ denote its Euler characteristic. Then, by Riemann–Roch,

$$\chi(A, L) = L^g/g!.$$

Assume that A has good reduction and let the abelian scheme

$$\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$$

denote a model of A over S and let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle \mathcal{A} extending L . Let $\varepsilon : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a zero section. For each $v \in S^\infty$ there exists an F_∞ -invariant Hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|_v$ on L_v such that its curvature form is translation invariant. This metric is unique up to multiplication by positive constants. Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ denote the Hermitian line bundle equipped with this metric, normalized so that $\varepsilon^* \bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is isometric to the trivial line bundle $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_S$ with

the trivial metric. Then $\pi_*\mathcal{L}$ is a vector bundle of rank $\chi(A, L)$ on S . We equip it with the metric which is defined as follows. For each $v \in S^\infty$ and $s \in (\pi_*\mathcal{L})_v \cong H^0(A_v(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{L}_v)$, one sets

$$\|s\|_v = \int_{\mathcal{A}_v(\mathbb{C})} \|s\|_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}^2 d\mu,$$

where $d\mu$ denotes the Haar measure of total volume one on $\mathcal{A}_v(\mathbb{C})$. J.-B. Bost proved that the resulting Hermitian bundle $\pi_*\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is semistable and its slope is given by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\pi_*\bar{\mathcal{L}}) = -\frac{1}{2}h(A) + \frac{1}{4}\log\left(\frac{\chi(A, L)}{(2\pi)^g}\right),$$

where $h(A)$ denotes the Faltings height of A ([B,Théorème 4.2]). Thus, we may apply Theorem 2.2 to $\pi_*\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ to see that if

$$\log\left(\frac{\chi(A, L)}{(2\pi)^g}\right) \geq 2h(A),$$

then

$$h^0(\pi_*\bar{\mathcal{L}}) \leq \chi(A, L) \left\{ n \left(\log \omega + \frac{1}{2} \log n + \log \chi(A, L) \right) - \frac{1}{2} h(A) + \frac{1}{4} \log \left(\frac{\chi(A, L)}{(2\pi)^g} \right) \right\}.$$

References

- [B] J.-B. Bost, *Périodes et isogénies des variétés abéliennes sur les corps de nombres (d'après D. Masser et G. Wüstholz)*, Astérisque 237 (1996), 115–161.
- [BGN] L. Brambila-Paz, I. Grzegorczyk and P. E. Newstead, *Geography of Brill-Noether loci for small slopes*, J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), 645–669.
- [GS] G. van der Geer and R. Schoof, *Effectivity of Arakelov divisors and the theta divisor of a number field*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 6 (2000), 377–398.
- [G] R. P. Groenewegen, *An arithmetic analogue of Clifford's theorem*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 13 (2001), 143–156.
- [SABK] C. Soulé, D. Abramovich, J.-F. Burnol and J. Kramer, *Lectures on Arakelov Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Metropolitan University
Minami-Ohsawa 1-1, Hachioji-shi
Tokyo 192-0397, Japan
E-mail: nakasima@comp.metro-u.ac.jp

*Received on 19.2.2003
and in revised form on 26.11.2003*

(4473)