

On the Iwasawa λ -invariants of real quadratic fields

by

JAE MOON KIM and SEUNG IK OH (Inchon)

1. Introduction. Let k be a number field and $k_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} k_n$ be a \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of k for a prime p . Let A_n be the Sylow p -subgroup of the ideal class group of k_n and e_n be the exact power of p of $\#A_n$, i.e., $\#A_n = p^{e_n}$. It is well known that there are integers $\mu, \lambda \geq 0$ and ν , called Iwasawa invariants of k_∞/k , such that $e_n = \mu p^n + \lambda n + \nu$ for $n \gg 0$ ([4]).

In [3], Greenberg conjectured that $\mu = \lambda = 0$ if k is a totally real field and gave several examples supporting the conjecture. Then in 1979, Ferrero and Washington ([1]) proved that $\mu = 0$ if k is an abelian field and k_∞ is the basic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of k . Since then, a lot of results have been published on the Iwasawa invariants including some recent work on the λ -invariant ([8], [12]). Greenberg's conjecture on the λ -invariant, however, still remains open even when k is a real quadratic field.

In this paper we will study the λ -invariant when k is a real quadratic field. One of the advantages of studying ideal class groups of abelian fields as compared with other fields is that the former have circular units which carry information about the class number. More precisely, let E_n be the group of units and C_n the group of circular units of k_n defined by Sinnott ([10]). Then the index theorem of Sinnott says that $\#A_n = \#B_n$ if p is an odd prime, where B_n is the Sylow p -subgroup of E_n/C_n .

From now on, we let k be a real quadratic field and k_∞ be its \mathbb{Z}_p -extension. Let S be the set of primes consisting of 2 and the prime factors of the conductor and the class number of k . The aim of this paper is to find a criterion for the vanishing of the λ -invariant λ_p of the \mathbb{Z}_p -extension k_∞ over k for $p \notin S$.

If p remains inert in k , then $A_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ since $p \nmid h_0$ ([11]), and so $\lambda_p = 0$. Thus, throughout this paper, we will always assume that p splits in k . When p splits in k , indivisibility of h_0 by p does not imply $A_n = 0$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11R23, 11R29; Secondary 11R11.
This work was partially supported by KOSEF, 981-0101-003-2.

for all $n \geq 0$. For instance, if $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{85})$ and $p = 3$, then $A_0 = \{0\}$ but $A_1 \neq \{0\}$ ([6]). Despite this example, we can still hope that $\lambda_3 = 0$.

We briefly explain the main theorems of this paper. Let $G_n = \text{Gal}(k_n/k)$ and $\Gamma = \varprojlim G_n = \text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$. It is known that the Tate cohomology group $\widehat{H}^0(G_n, C_n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ ([6]). Let δ be a circular unit of k which generates $\widehat{H}^0(G_n, C_n)$. We may assume that $\delta \equiv 1 \pmod p$, for otherwise we can replace δ by δ^{p-1} . To be more precise, we may let $\delta = \prod_{\tau \in \Delta} (1 - \zeta_d^\tau)^{\chi(\tau)(p-1)}$, where $\Delta = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)/\mathbb{Q})$ and ζ_d is a primitive d th root of 1 ([6]). Let v_p be the p -adic valuation normalized by $v_p(p) = 1$. Then we have

THEOREM 1. *Let $p \notin S$. If $v_p(\delta - 1) = \max_t \{t \mid \widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t) = 0\} + 1$, then $\lambda_p = 0$.*

As an application of Theorem 1, we examine the following special case. As was mentioned, $\#A_n = \#B_n$. The groups A_n and B_n are known to be more deeply related. Indeed, as $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$ -modules, $\varprojlim A_n$ and $\varprojlim B_n$ have the same characteristic ideals by the main conjecture which was proved by Mazur and Wiles ([7]). And it is an open question if $A_n \simeq B_n$ as abelian groups or as G_n -modules. We prove

THEOREM 2. *Let $p \notin S$. Let M be the integer such that $v_p(\delta - 1) = M + 1$. If $\#A_M^{G_M} = \#B_M^{G_M}$, then $\lambda_p = 0$.*

Finally, in Theorem 3, we consider the p -adic L -function $L(s, \chi)$ attached to the nontrivial character χ of k . It is known that if $v_p(L_p(1, \chi)) = 0$ (i.e., $p \nmid L_p(1, \chi)$), then $A_n = \{0\}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and thus $\lambda_p = 0$ ([6]). In Theorem 3, we generalize this.

THEOREM 3. *Let $p \notin S$. If $v_p(L_p(1, \chi)) \leq 1$, then $\lambda_p = 0$.*

2. Lemmas. In this section, we prove several lemmas on cohomology groups of units and circular units in the \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of a real quadratic field k . We keep assuming that p splits in k and $p \notin S$. In particular, p does not divide the class number h_0 of k .

LEMMA 1. *Let $G_n = \text{Gal}(k_n/k)$ and $\Gamma = \varprojlim G_n = \text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$. Let $C_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} C_n$ and $E_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n$. Then*

- (1) $C_n^{G_n} = C_0$,
 $\widehat{H}^0(G_n, C_n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$,
 $H^1(G_n, C_n) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^2$,
 $H^1(\Gamma, C_\infty) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$,
 $H^2(\Gamma, C_\infty) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$.
- (2) $H^1(\Gamma, E_\infty) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$,
 $H^2(\Gamma, E_\infty) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof. For (1), we refer to [6]. In [5], Iwasawa proved that $H^2(\Gamma, E_\infty) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and that $H^1(\Gamma, E_\infty) = (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \oplus H$ for some finite group H .

Let I_n be the ideal group of k_n and P_n the subgroup of I_n generated by the principal ideals.

From $0 \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow k_n^\times \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$0 \rightarrow E_n^{G_n} \rightarrow k_n^{\times G_n} \rightarrow P_n^{G_n} \rightarrow H^1(G_n, E_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_n, k_n^\times) \rightarrow H^1(G_n, P_n) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_n, E_n) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_n, k_n^\times) \rightarrow \dots$$

Note that $E_n^{G_n} \simeq E_0$, $k_n^{\times G_n} \simeq k_0^\times$ and $H^1(G_n, k_n^\times) \simeq 0$. Hence

$$0 \rightarrow k_0^\times/E_0 \rightarrow P_n^{G_n} \rightarrow H^1(G_n, E_n) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_n, P_n) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_n, E_n) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_n, k_n^\times) \rightarrow \dots$$

Thus we have

$$H^1(G_n, E_n) \simeq P_n^{G_n}/P_0$$

and

$$H^1(G_n, P_n) \simeq \ker(\widehat{H}^0(G_n, E_n) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_n, k_n^\times)).$$

From $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow I_n/P_n \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$0 \rightarrow P_n^{G_n} \rightarrow I_n^{G_n} \rightarrow (I_n/P_n)^{G_n} \rightarrow H^1(G_n, P_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_n, I_n) \simeq 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

Hence

$$0 \rightarrow P_n^{G_n}/P_0 \rightarrow I_n^{G_n}/P_0 \rightarrow (I_n/P_n)^{G_n} \rightarrow H^1(G_n, P_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_n, E_n) \rightarrow I_n^{G_n}/P_0 \rightarrow (I_n/P_n)^{G_n} \rightarrow \ker(\widehat{H}^0(G_n, E_n) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_n, k_n^\times)) \rightarrow 0.$$

We also have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_0/P_0 \rightarrow I_n^{G_n}/P_0 \rightarrow I_n^{G_n}/I_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

By tensoring the above sequence with \mathbb{Z}_p , we get

$$I_n^{G_n}/P_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq I_n^{G_n}/I_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq I_n^{G_n}/I_0 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^2$$

since $p \nmid h_0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(G_n, E_n) \rightarrow I_n^{G_n}/I_0 \rightarrow (I_n/P_n)^{G_n} \rightarrow \ker(\widehat{H}^0(G_n, E_n) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_n, k_n^\times)) \rightarrow 0.$$

For $m > n$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_n, E_n) & \longrightarrow & I_n^{G_n}/I_0 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^2 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_m, E_m) & \longrightarrow & I_m^{G_m}/I_0 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^2
 \end{array}$$

By taking direct limits, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim H^1(G_n, E_n) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \oplus H \rightarrow \varinjlim (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^2 \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2.$$

Hence H must be trivial, and so

$$H^1(\Gamma, E_\infty) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2.$$

LEMMA 2. *The induced homomorphism $H^1(\Gamma, C_\infty) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, E_\infty)$ is surjective.*

PROOF. Let $B_n = E_n/C_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the Sylow p -subgroup of E_n/C_n . It is known ([2]) that the natural map $E_n/C_n \rightarrow E_m/C_m$ for $m > n$ is injective. Let $B_\infty = \varinjlim B_n$ be the direct limit under the natural injection. So $B_\infty = \varinjlim (E_n/C_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) = E_\infty/C_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. From $0 \rightarrow C_\infty \rightarrow E_\infty \rightarrow E_\infty/C_\infty \rightarrow 0$, we have $0 \rightarrow C_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow E_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow B_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Then we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow B_\infty^\Gamma/B_0 \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, C_\infty) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, E_\infty) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, B_\infty) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, C_\infty) \\
 \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, E_\infty) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, B_\infty) \rightarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that B_∞^Γ is finite ([11], Lemma 15.39, Proposition 15.44), where $\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(k_\infty/k_n)$. Thus B_∞^Γ is also finite. Also note that $H^2(\Gamma, B_\infty) = \{0\}$, since B_∞ is a torsion group ([9], Proposition 3.25, Example 17). Hence the above long exact sequence reads

$$0 \rightarrow \text{finite} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, B_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore $H^1(\Gamma, B_\infty)$ is a finite group. Since $(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$ has no nontrivial cokernel, $H^1(\Gamma, C_\infty) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, E_\infty)$ is surjective.

LEMMA 3. *The induced maps $H^1(G_n, C_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_n, E_n)$ and $\widehat{H}^0(G_n, C_n) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_n, E_n)$ are surjective for all $n \geq 1$.*

PROOF. From $0 \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow E_n/C_n \rightarrow 0$, we get a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow B_n^{G_n} \rightarrow H^1(G_n, C_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_n, E_n) \xrightarrow{f} H^1(G_n, B_n) \rightarrow .$$

Since $p \nmid h_0$, we have $B_0 = \{0\}$. Then consider the following commutative

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \longrightarrow & H^1(G_n, C_n) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_n, E_n) & \xrightarrow{f} & H^1(G_n, B_n) & \longrightarrow \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \longrightarrow & H^1(\Gamma, C_\infty) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\Gamma, E_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\text{zero map}} & H^1(\Gamma, B_\infty) & \longrightarrow
 \end{array}$$

where vertical maps are inflations. From the injectivity of the inflation map $H^1(G_n, B_n) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, B_\infty)$, one can easily see that f is a zero map. Hence $H^1(G_n, C_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_n, E_n)$ is surjective. The surjectivity of $\widehat{H}^0(G_n, C_n) \simeq C_0/N_n C_n \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_n, E_n) \simeq E_0/N_n E_n$ follows immediately from the assumption $p \nmid h_0$, where N_n is the norm map from k_n to k_0 .

3. λ -invariant. In this section, we will prove the main theorems stated in the introduction. Since p splits in k , the completion of k at a prime \wp above p is \mathbb{Q}_p . We denote the completion of k_n at the prime of k_n above \wp by $\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}$. Let $\delta = \prod_{\tau \in \Delta} (1 - \zeta_d^\tau)^{\chi(\tau)(p-1)}$ be a circular unit of k which generates $\widehat{H}^0(G_n, C_n)$ as in the introduction.

THEOREM 1. *Let $p \notin S$. If $v_p(\delta - 1) = \max_t \{t \mid \widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t) = 0\} + 1$, then $\lambda_p = 0$.*

REMARK. Since $N_m E_m \subset N_n E_n$ for $m > n$, $\widehat{H}^0(G_n, E_n)$ is a quotient group of $\widehat{H}^0(G_m, E_m)$. Thus if $\widehat{H}^0(G_m, E_m) = 0$, then $\widehat{H}^0(G_n, E_n) = 0$. And since $E_0/\bigcap_{n \geq 0} N_n E_n \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ (we are assuming that p splits in k), $\widehat{H}^0(G_m, E_m) \neq 0$ for sufficiently large m . Thus $\max_t \{t \mid \widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t) = 0\}$ is well defined. Also note that $\widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t)$ is generated by δ since $\widehat{H}^0(G_t, C_t) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t)$ is surjective. Hence, if $\widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t) = \{0\}$, then $\delta = N_t(\eta_t)$ for some $\eta_t \in k_t$. By reading this equation in the completion, i.e., $\eta_t \in \mathbb{Q}_{p,t}$ and $N_t = N_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,t}/\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we get $\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{t+1}}$. Therefore $v_p(\delta - 1) \geq \max_t \{t \mid \widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t) = 0\} + 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We will prove the vanishing of λ_p by showing that every ideal in k_n capitulates in k_∞ . Let D_n be the kernel of the natural map $j_n : A_n \rightarrow \varinjlim A_m$. Since G_n acts on D_n , A_n/D_n is a G_n -module. To prove $A_n/D_n = \{0\}$, it is enough to show that $(A_n/D_n)^{G_n} = \{0\}$. Let C be a class in A_n such that $C^{\sigma^{-1}} \in D_n$, where σ is a topological generator of $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$. Thus $C^{\sigma^{-1}} = 0$ in A_m for $m \gg n$. Let $j_{n,m} : A_n \rightarrow A_m$ be the natural map and write $j_{n,m}(C) = C'$. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of k_m which represents C' . Thus $\mathfrak{a}^{\sigma^{-1}} = (\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in k_m$.

Since $(N_m(\alpha)) = N_m \mathfrak{a}^{\sigma^{-1}} = (1)$, $N_m(\alpha) = \varepsilon$ is a unit in k . Let a , $0 \leq a < p^m$, be such that $\varepsilon \pmod{N_m E_m} = \delta^a \pmod{N_m E_m}$. That is, $\varepsilon = \delta^a N_m(\eta_m)$

for some unit $\eta_m \in E_m$. This is possible by Lemma 3. Then $\delta^a = N_m(\alpha\eta_m^{-1})$. Thus δ^a is a norm from $\mathbb{Q}_{p,m}$ to \mathbb{Q}_p . Therefore $\delta^a \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+1}}$.

Let $M = \max_t \{t \mid \widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t) = 0\} = v_p(\delta - 1) - 1$. So $\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{M+1}}$ but $\delta \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{M+2}}$. Since $\delta^a \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+1}}$, we must have $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m-M}}$. Let $a = p^{m-M}b$. Then $\varepsilon = \delta^a N_m(\eta_m) = (\delta^b)^{p^{m-M}} N_m(\eta_m)$. Since $\widehat{H}^0(G_M, E_M) = 0$, $\delta^b = N_M(\eta_M)$ for some $\eta_M \in E_M$. Hence $\delta^a = (\delta^b)^{p^{m-M}} = N_m(\eta_M)$, and thus $\varepsilon = N_m(\eta_M \eta_m)$. Therefore $\varepsilon = N_m(\alpha) = N_m(\eta)$ for a unit $\eta = \eta_M \eta_m \in E_m$. So $\alpha = \eta\beta^{1-\sigma}$ for some $\beta \in k_m$. Hence $\mathfrak{a}^{\sigma-1} = (\alpha) = (\beta)^{1-\sigma}$, which means that $\mathfrak{a}(\beta)$ is fixed under G_m . Thus $\mathfrak{a}(\beta)$ is a product of ideals from k_0 and primes above p . Since primes above p capitulate, $j_m(C') = 0$. Therefore $j_n(C) = 0$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. *Let $p \notin S$. Let M be the integer such that $v_p(\delta - 1) = M + 1$. If $\#A_M^{G_M} = \#B_M^{G_M}$, then $\lambda_p = 0$.*

Proof. By the remark after Theorem 1, it is enough to show that $v_p(\delta - 1) \leq \max_t \{t \mid \widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t) = 0\} + 1$. Thus it suffices to show that $\widehat{H}^0(G_M, E_M) = \{0\}$.

Since $\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{M+1}}$, $\delta = N_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,M}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\eta)$ for some unit η in $\mathbb{Q}_{p,M}$. Since primes of k above q ($q \neq p$) are unramified in k_M , δ is a local norm for all primes. Therefore δ is a global norm by the Hasse theorem. That is, $\widehat{H}^0(G_M, C_M) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_M, k_M^*)$ is a zero map. Since $\widehat{H}^0(G_M, C_M) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_M, E_M)$ is surjective by Lemma 3, $\widehat{H}^0(G_M, E_M) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_M, k_M^*)$ is a zero map. Hence $\ker(\widehat{H}^0(G_M, E_M) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_M, k_M^*)) = \widehat{H}^0(G_M, E_M)$. Thus from a sequence similar to (*) in Section 2, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_M, E_M) \rightarrow I_M^{G_M}/I_0 \rightarrow A_M^{G_M} \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(G_M, E_M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the Herbrand quotient for E_M is p^M , we have

$$\#H^1(G_M, E_M)/\#\widehat{H}^0(G_M, E_M) = p^M.$$

Since $\#I_M^{G_M}/I_0 = p^{2M}$, we get $\#A_M^{G_M} = p^M$.

By Lemma 3, we have another exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B_M^{G_M} \rightarrow H^1(G_M, C_M) \rightarrow H^1(G_M, E_M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\#B_M^{G_M} = \#A_M^{G_M} = p^M$ and that $\#H^1(G_M, C_M) = p^{2M}$. Therefore $\#H^1(G_M, E_M) = p^M$, and so $\#\widehat{H}^0(G_M, E_M) = 0$.

To prove Theorem 3, we need a lemma. We let $\delta = \prod_{\tau \in \Delta} (1 - \zeta_d^\tau)^{\chi(\tau)(p-1)}$ as before.

LEMMA 4. *If $p \mid L_p(1, \chi)$, then $\widehat{H}^0(G_1, E_1) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B_1^{G_1} \rightarrow H^1(G_1, C_1) \rightarrow H^1(G_1, E_1) \rightarrow 0$. Since $p \mid L_p(1, \chi)$, $B_1 \neq \{0\}$ ([6] or [8]) and so $B_1^{G_1} \neq \{0\}$.

Since $H^1(G_1, C_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we see that $H^1(G_1, E_1)$ is either $\{0\}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. But $\pi^{\sigma^{-1}}$ is a nontrivial element of $H^1(G_1, E_1)$, where π is a prime element of \mathbb{Q}_1 , the subextension of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2})$ of degree p over \mathbb{Q} . Thus $H^1(G_1, E_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Since the Herbrand quotient for E_1 is p , we have $\widehat{H}^0(G_1, E_1) = \{0\}$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $p \notin S$. If $v_p(L_p(1, \chi)) \leq 1$, then $\lambda_p = 0$.*

PROOF. If $v_p(L_p(1, \chi)) = 0$, then there is nothing to prove as was explained in the introduction. So we assume that $v_p(L_p(1, \chi)) = 1$. Let δ be as above. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(1, \chi) &= -\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{g(\chi)}{d} \sum_{\tau \in \Delta} \widehat{\chi}(\tau) \log_p(1 - \zeta_d^\tau) \\ &= -\frac{g(\chi)}{pd} \log_p \left(\prod_{\tau \in \Delta} (1 - \zeta_d^\tau)^{\chi(\tau)(p-1)} \right) = -\frac{g(\chi)}{pd} \log_p \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(\chi) = \sum_{a=1}^d \chi(a)\zeta_d^a$ is the Gauss sum for χ . Thus $v_p(\log_p \delta) = v_p(L_p(1, \chi)) + 1 = 2$.

Since $\delta \equiv 1 \pmod p$, we have $v_p(\log_p \delta) = v_p(\delta - 1)$. Therefore $v_p(\delta - 1) = 2$. By Lemma 4, $\widehat{H}^0(G_1, E_1) = \{0\}$. But $\widehat{H}^0(G_2, E_2)$ is not trivial, for otherwise $v_p(\delta - 1) \geq 2 + 1 = 3$ by the Remark after Theorem 1. Hence $v_p(\delta - 1) = 2 = \max_t \{t \mid \widehat{H}^0(G_t, E_t) = 0\} + 1$, and thus $\lambda_p = 0$ by Theorem 1.

References

- [1] B. Ferrero and L. Washington, *The Iwasawa invariant μ_p vanishes for abelian number fields*, Ann. of Math. 109 (1979), 377–395.
- [2] R. Gold and J. M. Kim, *Bases for cyclotomic units*, Compositio Math. 71 (1989), 13–28.
- [3] R. Greenberg, *On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields*, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 263–284.
- [4] K. Iwasawa, *On \mathbb{Z}_l -extensions of algebraic number fields*, Ann. of Math. 98 (1973), 246–326.
- [5] —, *On cohomology groups of units for \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions*, Amer. J. Math. 105 (1983), 189–200.
- [6] J. M. Kim, *Class numbers of real quadratic fields*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 57 (1998), 261–274.
- [7] B. Mazur and A. Wiles, *Class fields of abelian extensions of \mathbb{Q}* , Invent. Math. 76 (1984), 179–330.
- [8] M. Ozaki, *The class group of \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions over totally real number fields*, Tôhoku Math. J. 49 (1997), 431–435.
- [9] A. N. Parshin and I. R. Shafarevich, *Number Theory II*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 62, Springer, 1992.
- [10] W. Sinnott, *On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of an abelian field*, Invent. Math. 62 (1980), 181–234.

- [11] L. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 83, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [12] G. Yamamoto, *On the vanishing of Iwasawa invariants of certain (p, p) -extensions of \mathbb{Q}* , Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 73 (1997), no. 3, 45–47.

Department of Mathematics
Inha University, Incheon, Korea
E-mail: jmkim@math.inha.ac.kr
sioh@math.inha.ac.kr

*Received on 1.3.1999
and in revised form 16.3.2000*

(3565)