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1. Introduction. Throughout this paper we let $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ be the sets of all integers and positive integers respectively, and let $D \in \mathbb{N}$ be square-free. In 1983, Cao [3] studied the system of simultaneous Pell equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{2}-D z^{2}=1  \tag{1.1}\\
x^{2}-2 D z^{2}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solutions of (1.1) lead to solutions of the Diophantine equation $x^{4}-D y^{2}=1$.
We recall Cao's result as
Theorem 1.1. Let $D$ have at most four odd prime factors. Then the only positive integer solutions of (1.1) are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D=2 \cdot 3, & (x, y, z)=(7,5,2) \\
D=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, & (x, y, z)=(41,29,2) \\
D=3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17, & (x, y, z)=(239,169,4) \\
D=3 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 41, & (x, y, z)=(1393,985,4) \\
D=2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 239, & (x, y, z)=(47321,33461,78) \\
D=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 17 \cdot 239 \cdot 577, & (x, y, z)=(275807,195025,52)
\end{array}
$$

As the solutions $(x, y, z)$ to (1.1) imply the existence of rational points of infinite order on the elliptic curve $Y^{2}=X(X+D)(X+2 D)$, that led Ono [11] to study the solutions of (1.1). He proved

THEOREM 1.2. If the number of representations of $D$ in the form $2 a^{2}+$ $b^{2}+8 c^{2}$ equals twice the number of representations of $D$ in the form $2 a^{2}+$

[^0]$b^{2}+32 c^{2}$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the system of simultaneous Pell equations (1.1) has no solutions in positive integers $x, y, z$.

Walsh [12] pointed out that heuristics shows that the condition in Theorem 1.2 only applies to a set of integers which has asymptotic density less than $1 / 2$. So, he looked for other conditions. Walsh's result is: If $D$ is a product of fewer than five primes, then (1.1) has only the following positive integer solutions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
D=2 \cdot 3, \quad(x, y, z)=(7,5,2) ; \quad D=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, \quad(x, y, z)=(41,29,2) \\
D=3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17, \quad(x, y, z)=(239,169,4) \\
D=3 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 41, \quad(x, y, z)=(1393,985,4)
\end{gathered}
$$

But this result is included in Theorem 1.1.
In this paper, we shall improve Theorem 1.1 to
Theorem 1.3. If $D$ is a product of fewer than seven primes, then the only positive integer solutions of (1.1) are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D=2 \cdot 3, & (x, y, z)=(7,5,2) \\
D=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, & (x, y, z)=(41,29,2) \\
D=3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17, & (x, y, z)=(239,169,4) \\
D=3 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 41, & (x, y, z)=(1393,985,4) \\
D=2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 239, & (x, y, z)=(47321,33461,78) \\
D=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 17 \cdot 239 \cdot 577, & (x, y, z)=(275807,195025,52) \\
D=2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot 41, & (x, y, z)=(8119,5741,6)
\end{array}
$$

By Cohn's work [7] on the Diophantine equation $x^{4}-D y^{2}=1$ we know that (1.1) has at most one positive integer solution (see also [5, pp. 50-53] or [12]). Bennett [1] proved that the general system of Pell equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{2}-a z^{2}=1  \tag{1.2}\\
x^{2}-b z^{2}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are distinct positive integers, has at most three positive integer solutions. In 2002, Yuan [13] proved that if $\max \{a, b\}>1.4 \cdot 10^{57}$, then (1.2) has at most two positive integer solutions. Recently, Bennett et al. [2] based on Yuan's work proved that (1.2) has at most two positive integer solutions for any distinct positive integers $a$ and $b$.
2. Lemmas. We shall need the following lemmas to prove Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.1. The only integer solutions of the equation $x^{4}-2 y^{2}=1$ are $(x, y)=( \pm 1,0)$.

Proof. See [4, p. 19 or p. 27]. In fact, $x^{4}-2 y^{2}=1$ is equivalent to $x^{4}+y^{4}=\left(y^{2}+1\right)^{2}$, which from Fermat's famous result [10, p. 16] yields $y=0$.

Lemma 2.2. The only integer solutions of the equation $x^{4}-2 y^{2}=-1$ are $(x, y)=( \pm 1, \pm 1)$.

Proof. This is a special case of the equation $x^{4}+z^{4}=2 y^{2}$. For its proof we refer to [10, p. 18] or [4, p. 28].

Lemma 2.3. The only integer solutions of the equation $x^{2}-2 y^{4}=1$ are $(x, y)=( \pm 1,0)$.

Proof. See [4, p. 26] or [10, p. 269].
Lemma 2.4. The only integer solutions of the equation $x^{2}-2 y^{4}=-1$ are $(x, y)=( \pm 1, \pm 1)$ and $( \pm 239, \pm 13)$.

Proof. See [8].
Lemma 2.5. The only integer solutions of the equation $x^{2}-8 y^{4}=1$ are $(x, y)=( \pm 1,0)$ and $( \pm 3, \pm 1)$.

Proof. See [4, p. 25]. Indeed, from $x^{2}-8 y^{4}=1$ one has $x \pm 1=2 y_{1}^{4}$, $x \mp 1=4 y_{2}^{4}, y=y_{1} y_{2}$ and hence $y_{1}^{4}-2 y_{2}^{4}= \pm 1$. Thus the lemma follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

LEMMA 2.6. The only positive integer solution of the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z=x^{2}+(x+1)^{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
z^{2}=y^{2}+(y+1)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is $(x, y, z)=(1,3,5)$.
Proof. See [9].
Lemma 2.7. The only positive integer solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}-2\left(\frac{x^{2}+1}{2}\right)^{2}=-1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are $(x, y)=(1,1)$ and $(3,7)$.
Proof. Clearly $(x, y)=(1,1)$ is a positive integer solution of (2.2). Suppose $(x, y)$ is another positive integer solution. Then $x$ and $y$ must be odd. Let $x=2 x_{1}+1, y=2 y_{1}+1, x_{1}, y_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $z_{1}=\left(x^{2}+1\right) / 2$. Then

$$
z_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(2 x_{1}+1\right)^{2}+1\right)=x_{1}^{2}+\left(x_{1}+1\right)^{2}
$$

Since $x, y$ satisfy (2.2),

$$
z_{1}^{2}=\left(\frac{x^{2}+1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{y^{2}+1}{2}=y_{1}^{2}+\left(y_{1}+1\right)^{2}
$$

Hence the positive integers $x_{1}, y_{1}$ and $z_{1}$ satisfy the system (2.1). By Lemma 2.6 we have $x_{1}=1, y_{1}=3, z_{1}=5$. This implies that $(x, y)=(3,7)$.

REmark 2.1. In [6], Cao et al. used an elementary method to prove that the only positive integer solutions of $y^{2}-2\left(\frac{x^{2}-1}{4}\right)^{2}=1$ are $(x, y)=(1,1)$, $(3,3)$ and $(7,17)$. Since Ljunggren's proof of Lemma 2.6 is not elementary, we wonder if an elementary proof of Lemma 2.7 can be provided.
3. Proof of the main theorem. Eliminating $D z^{2}$ from (1.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-2 y^{2}=-1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known [4, 5, 10] that all positive integer solutions of the Pell equation (3.1) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+y \sqrt{2}=(1+\sqrt{2})^{2 n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varrho=1+\sqrt{2}$ and $\bar{\varrho}=1-\sqrt{2}$. Then (3.2) yields

$$
x=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varrho^{2 n-1}+\bar{\varrho}^{2 n-1}\right), \quad y=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(\varrho^{2 n-1}-\bar{\varrho}^{2 n-1}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Let sequences $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ be such that $\xi_{n}+\eta_{n} \sqrt{2}=\varrho^{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi_{n}^{2}-2 \eta_{n}^{2}=(-1)^{n}  \tag{3.3}\\
\xi_{2 n}=\xi_{n}^{2}+2 \eta_{n}^{2}=2 \xi_{n}^{2}-(-1)^{n}=4 \eta_{n}^{2}+(-1)^{n}  \tag{3.4}\\
\eta_{2 n}=2 \xi_{n} \eta_{n} \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

One can easily check that $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{n+2}=2 \xi_{n+1}+\xi_{n}, & \xi_{0}=\xi_{1}=1 \\
\eta_{n+2}=2 \eta_{n+1}+\eta_{n}, & \eta_{0}=0, \quad \eta_{1}=1
\end{array}
$$

Thus we have the following table:
Table 1

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\xi_{n}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 17 | 41 | 99 | 239 | 577 | 1393 | 3363 | 8119 | 19601 | 47321 |
| $\eta_{n}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 29 | 70 | 169 | 408 | 985 | 2378 | 5741 | 13860 | 33461 |

Direct verification leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{2 n-1}^{2}-1=8 \xi_{n-1} \xi_{n} \eta_{n-1} \eta_{n} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}, \xi_{n-1}, \xi_{n}, \eta_{n-1}, \eta_{n}$ are pairwise coprime (see [3, 12]). By (3.2) we have $x=\xi_{2 n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, from (1.1) and (3.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D z^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}-1\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{2 n-1}^{2}-1\right)=4 \xi_{n-1} \xi_{n} \eta_{n-1} \eta_{n}, \quad 1<n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the following four cases.

CASE $1: n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Let $n=4 m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By (3.7) and (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D z^{2}=4 \xi_{4 m-1} \xi_{4 m} \eta_{4 m-1} \eta_{4 m}=4^{2} \xi_{4 m-1} \xi_{4 m} \eta_{4 m-1} \xi_{2 m} \xi_{m} \eta_{m} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{4 m-1}, \xi_{4 m}, \eta_{4 m-1}, \xi_{2 m}, \xi_{m}, \eta_{m}$ are pairwise coprime.
(a) If $\xi_{k} \in\left\{\xi_{4 m-1}, \xi_{4 m}, \xi_{2 m}, \xi_{m}\right\}$ is such that $\xi_{k}=u^{2}$ is a perfect square for some $u \in \mathbb{N}$, then by (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{4}-2 \eta_{k}^{2}=(-1)^{k}, \quad k \in\{4 m-1,4 m, 2 m, m\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we have $\xi_{k}=u^{2}=1$. Thus $k=0$ or 1 and hence $m=1$. Using Table 1 and (3.8) we find that $D=3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$. The corresponding positive integer solution is $(x, y, z)=(239,169,4)$.
(b) Suppose $\eta_{k} \in\left\{\eta_{4 m-1}, \eta_{m}\right\}$. If $\eta_{k}=v^{2}$ is a perfect square for some $v \in \mathbb{N}$, then by (3.3) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k}^{2}-2 v^{4}=(-1)^{k}, \quad k \in\{4 m-1, m\} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k$ is even, then from Lemma 2.3 we see that (3.10) is impossible since $v \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k$ is odd, then Lemma 2.4 shows that positive integer solutions to (3.10) are $\xi_{k}=1$ and 239. This implies that $k=1,7$. But as $k \in\{4 m-1, m\}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $m=1,2$ or 7 . For $m=1, D=3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$; this solution has already been computed in (a). For $m=2, D=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 17 \cdot 239 \cdot 577$. The corresponding positive integer solution is $(x, y, z)=(275807,195025,52)$.

Similarly, putting $m=7$ into (3.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D z^{2}=(4 \cdot 13)^{2} \cdot 239 \xi_{27} \xi_{28} \eta_{27} \xi_{14} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) we have $\xi_{14}=4 \eta_{7}^{2}-1=\left(2 \eta_{7}-1\right)\left(2 \eta_{7}+1\right)=337 \cdot 339=3 \cdot 113 \cdot 337$. Since $\xi_{27}, \xi_{28}, \eta_{27}$ are not perfect squares, by (3.11), $D$ has at least seven prime factors, contrary to assumption.
(c) If none of the $\xi_{4 m-1}, \xi_{4 m}, \eta_{4 m-1}, \xi_{2 m}, \xi_{m}, \eta_{m}$ is a perfect square, then $D$ in (3.8) has at least six prime factors. Hence $D$ has exactly six prime factors since $D$ is a product of fewer than seven primes. This implies that each of $\xi_{4 m-1}, \xi_{4 m}, \eta_{4 m-1}, \xi_{2 m}, \xi_{m}, \eta_{m}$ is a product of a prime and a square.

Suppose $m$ is even. Then from (3.5) we have $\eta_{m}=2 \xi_{m / 2} \eta_{m / 2}$. Since $\eta_{m}$ is a product of a prime and a square, either $\xi_{m / 2}$ is a square or $\eta_{m / 2}$ is twice a square. If $\xi_{m / 2}$ is a square, then from (a), $m=2$. This is already computed in (b). Suppose $\eta_{m / 2}=2 t^{2}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then from (3.3), $\xi_{m / 2}^{2}-8 t^{4}=1$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $\xi_{m / 2}=3$. Hence $m=4$. Thus (3.8) becomes $D z^{2}=4^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 17 \cdot 577 \xi_{15} \xi_{16} \eta_{15}$. One can check that $\xi_{15}=7 \cdot 41 \cdot 31^{2}$ and none of $\xi_{16}$ and $\eta_{15}$ is a square. Hence $D$ has at least seven prime factors, which is not the case.

Suppose $m$ is odd. From (3.4) we have $\xi_{2 m}=4 \eta_{m}^{2}-1=\left(2 \eta_{m}-1\right)\left(2 \eta_{m}+1\right)$. Since $\xi_{2 m}$ is a product of a prime and a square, we have $2 \eta_{m}-1=s^{2}$ or
$2 \eta_{m}+1=s^{2}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $m$ is odd, $\eta_{m}$ is odd. Taking residues modulo 4 implies that we can only have $2 \eta_{m}-1=s^{2}$, that is, $\eta_{m}=\left(s^{2}+1\right) / 2$. By (3.3),

$$
\xi_{m}^{2}-2\left(\frac{s^{2}+1}{2}\right)^{2}=-1
$$

By Lemma $2.7, \xi_{m}=1,7$. Thus $m=1,3$. We only have to compute the case $m=3$. Substituting $m=3$ into (3.8) and then using Table 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D z^{2} & =4^{2} \xi_{11} \xi_{12} \eta_{11} \xi_{6} \xi_{3} \eta_{3}=4^{2} \cdot 8119 \cdot 19601 \cdot 5741 \cdot 99 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \\
& =12^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 23 \cdot 353 \cdot 1153 \cdot 5741
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $D$ has eight prime factors, contrary to assumption.
CASE 2: $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Let $n=4 m+1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By (3.5) and (3.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D z^{2}=4^{2} \xi_{4 m} \xi_{4 m+1} \eta_{4 m+1} \xi_{2 m} \xi_{m} \eta_{m} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{4 m}, \xi_{4 m+1}, \eta_{4 m+1}, \xi_{2 m}, \xi_{m}, \eta_{m}$ are pairwise coprime. The same arguments as in Case 1 lead to the following results.

If $\xi_{k} \in\left\{\xi_{4 m}, \xi_{4 m+1}, \xi_{2 m}, \xi_{m}\right\}$ is a square, then $m=1$.
If $\eta_{k} \in\left\{\eta_{4 m+1}, \eta_{m}\right\}$ is a square, then $m=1,7$.
If no member of $\left\{\xi_{4 m}, \xi_{4 m+1}, \eta_{4 m+1}, \xi_{2 m}, \xi_{m}, \eta_{m}\right\}$ is a square, then $D$ in (3.12) has at least six prime factors. Hence $D$ has exactly six prime factors. Therefore $m=1,2,3,4$.

For $m=1$, by Table 1, (3.12) yields $D z^{2}=4^{2} \xi_{4} \xi_{5} \eta_{5} \xi_{2} \xi_{1} \eta_{1}=4^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot$ $17 \cdot 29 \cdot 41$. Thus $D=3 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 41$. Hence the positive integer solution is $(x, y, z)=(1393,985,4)$.

When $m=2$, we have $D z^{2}=4^{2} \xi_{8} \xi_{9} \eta_{9} \xi_{4} \xi_{2} \eta_{2}=4^{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 197 \cdot 199 \cdot 577$. Thus $D$ has eight prime factors, which cannot happen.

For $m=3$, we have $D z^{2}=4^{2} \xi_{12} \xi_{13} \eta_{13} \xi_{6} \xi_{3} \eta_{3}=4^{2} \xi_{13} \eta_{13} \cdot 19601 \cdot 99 \cdot 7 \cdot 5=$ $12^{2} \xi_{13} \eta_{13} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 1153$. Therefore $D$ has at least seven prime factors. If $m=4$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D z^{2} & =4^{2} \xi_{16} \xi_{17} \eta_{17} \xi_{8} \xi_{4} \eta_{4}=4^{3} \xi_{16} \xi_{17} \eta_{17} \cdot 3 \cdot 17 \cdot 577 \\
& =4^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 17 \cdot 103 \cdot 577 \cdot 15607 \cdot 665857 \eta_{17}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $D$ has at least seven prime factors.
Finally, for $m=7$, we have

$$
D z^{2}=(4 \cdot 13)^{2} \cdot 239 \xi_{28} \xi_{29} \eta_{29} \xi_{14}=(4 \cdot 13)^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 113 \cdot 239 \cdot 337 \xi_{28} \xi_{29} \eta_{29}
$$

Since $\xi_{28}, \xi_{29}$ and $\eta_{29}$ are not squares this implies that $D$ has at least seven prime factors. Again this contradicts the hypothesis.

CASE 3: $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Let $n=4 m-2$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Again from (3.5) and (3.7) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D z^{2} & =4 \xi_{4 m-3} \xi_{4 m-2} \eta_{4 m-3} \eta_{4 m-2}  \tag{3.13}\\
& =2^{3} \xi_{4 m-3} \xi_{4 m-2} \eta_{4 m-3} \xi_{2 m-1} \eta_{2 m-1}
\end{align*}
$$

where any two of $\xi_{4 m-3}, \xi_{4 m-2}, \eta_{4 m-3}, \xi_{2 m-1}$ and $\eta_{2 m-1}$ are coprime. Exactly the same argument as above shows that if $\xi_{k} \in\left\{\xi_{4 m-3}, \xi_{4 m-2}, \xi_{2 m-1}\right\}$ is a square, then $m=1$; if $\eta_{k} \in\left\{\eta_{4 m-3}, \eta_{2 m-1}\right\}$ is a square, then $m=1$ or 4 ; if neither $\xi_{4 m-3}, \xi_{4 m-2}, \eta_{4 m-3}, \xi_{2 m-1}$ nor $\eta_{2 m-1}$ is a square, then since $\xi_{4 m-3}, \xi_{4 m-2}, \eta_{4 m-3}, \xi_{2 m-1}$ and $\eta_{2 m-1}$ are all odd, $D$ in (3.13) has at least six, hence exactly six prime factors. Since $\xi_{4 m-2}=4 \eta_{2 m-1}^{2}-1$, we get $m=1$ or 2 .

For $m=1$, from Table 1 and (3.13) we have $D z^{2}=2^{3} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \eta_{1} \xi_{1} \eta_{1}=2^{3} \cdot 3$. Hence $D=2 \cdot 3$ and the corresponding positive integer solution is $(x, y, z)=$ (7, 5, 2).

For $m=2$, we have $D z^{2}=2^{3} \xi_{5} \xi_{6} \eta_{5} \xi_{3} \eta_{3}=6^{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot 41$. So, $D=2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 29 \cdot 41$ and the corresponding positive integer solution is $(x, y, z)=(8119,5741,6)$.

Substituting $m=4$ into (3.2) we have $D z^{2}=2^{3} \xi_{13} \xi_{14} \eta_{13} \xi_{7} \eta_{7}=26^{2} \cdot 2$. $3 \cdot 79 \cdot 113 \cdot 239 \cdot 337 \cdot 599 \cdot 33461$. This implies that $D$ has eight prime factors.

CASE 4: $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Put $n=4 m-1$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case we infer from (3.5) and (3.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
D z^{2} & =2^{2} \xi_{4 m-2} \xi_{4 m-1} \eta_{4 m-2} \eta_{4 m-1}  \tag{3.14}\\
& =2^{3} \xi_{4 m-2} \xi_{4 m-1} \eta_{4 m-1} \xi_{2 m-1} \eta_{2 m-1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi_{4 m-2}, \xi_{4 m-1}, \eta_{4 m-1}, \xi_{2 m-1}, \eta_{2 m-1}$ are pairwise coprime.
Again, arguing as before we deduce that: if $\xi_{k} \in\left\{\xi_{4 m-2}, \xi_{4 m-1}, \xi_{2 m-1}\right\}$ is a square, then $m=1$; if $\eta_{k} \in\left\{\eta_{4 m-1}, \eta_{2 m-1}\right\}$ is a square, then $m=1,2,4$; if none of $\xi_{4 m-2}, \xi_{4 m-1}, \eta_{4 m-1}, \xi_{2 m-1}, \eta_{2 m-1}$ is a square, then since $\xi_{4 m-2}$, $\xi_{4 m-1}, \eta_{4 m-1}, \xi_{2 m-1}$ and $\eta_{2 m-1}$ are all odd, $D$ in (3.14) must have at least six, has exactly six, prime factors. Hence from $\xi_{4 m-2}=4 \eta_{2 m-1}^{2}-1$ we get $m=1,2$.

When $m=1, D$ satisfies $D z^{2}=2^{3} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \eta_{3} \xi_{1} \eta_{1}=2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. Thus $D=2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ and hence the positive integer solution is $(x, y, z)=(41,29,2)$.

When $m=2$, we see from (3.14) that $D z^{2}=2^{3} \xi_{6} \xi_{7} \eta_{7} \xi_{3} \eta_{3}=78^{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot$ $7 \cdot 11 \cdot 239$. This forces $D=2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 239$. The corresponding positive integer solution is $(x, y, z)=(47321,33461,78)$.

For $m=4$, we get $D z^{2}=2^{3} \xi_{14} \xi_{15} \eta_{15} \xi_{7} \eta_{7}=26^{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 113 \cdot 239 \cdot 237 \xi_{15} \eta_{15}$. This implies that $D$ has at least seven prime factors. Hence (1.1) has no solution in this case.

Having exhausted all the possible cases, we have thus completed the proof of the theorem.
4. Further discussion. Let $\omega(D)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of $D$. Theorem 1.3 provides all positive integer solutions of (1.1) for all $D$ with $\omega(D) \leq 6$. The question arises whether all positive integer solutions can be found for all $D$ with $\omega(D)=7$. But this seems difficult to decide by using the proof of Theorem 1.3 without additional conditions. In particular, we do not know whether there are finitely many $D$ with $\omega(D)=7$ such that (1.1) has a positive integer solution. If some suitable conditions are imposed, then all positive integer solutions of (1.1) with $\omega(D)=7$ may be easily obtained. For example, we may assume that $D$ does not contain a prime factor of the form $8 k+3$, nor of the form $8 k+1$.

We would like to pose the following conjecture:
There are finitely many $D$ with $\omega(D)=7$ such that (1.1) has a positive integer solution.
A natural question is:
For any constant $k \geq 7$, are there finitely many $D$ with $\omega(D) \leq k$ such that (1.1) has a positive integer solution?
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