DOI: 10.4064/aa162-3-4

Four squares of primes and powers of 2

by

LILU ZHAO (Hefei)

1. Introduction. In 1950s, it was shown by Linnik [9, 10] that every sufficiently large integer can be represented as the sum of two primes and K powers of two, where K is an absolute number. In 1975, Gallagher [2] obtained a stronger result via a different approach. An explicit value of K was first obtained by Liu, Liu and Wang [12], who established that K = 54000 is acceptable. This value was subsequently improved by Li [6], Wang [18] and Li [7]. Recently, a rather different method was described by Heath-Brown and Puchta [3], and independently by Pintz and Ruzsa [15]. In particular, it was shown in [3] that K = 13 is acceptable, and it was claimed in [15] that K = 8 is acceptable.

In 1938, Hua [5] proved that all large integers congruent to 5 modulo 24 can be represented as the sum of five squares of primes. It seems reasonable to conjecture that every large integer congruent to 4 modulo 24 can be expressed as the sum of four squares of primes. This problem is still open, while Brüdern and Fouvry [1] established that every sufficiently large integer $n \equiv 4 \pmod{24}$ is the sum of four squares of almost primes.

In 1999, Liu, Liu and Zhan [13] investigated the expression

(1.1)
$$N = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2 + 2^{\nu_1} + \dots + 2^{\nu_k},$$

and proved that every sufficiently large even integer can be represented as the sum of four squares of primes and k powers of two. It was shown in [11] that k=8330 is acceptable. This value was sharpened to k=165 in [14] and k=151 in [8]. The purpose of this paper is to establish the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Every sufficiently large even integer can be represented as a sum of four squares of primes and 46 powers of 2.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11P32; Secondary 11P55, 11N36. Key words and phrases: circle method, sieve method, quadratic Waring–Goldbach problem.

We establish Theorem 1.1 by means of the Hardy–Littlewood method in combination with the linear sieve. In order to bound the contributions of the minor arcs, in previous works [14, 8], an integral of the type $\int_0^1 |T(\alpha)G(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha$ was used, where $T(\alpha)$ and $G(\alpha)$ are defined in (2.2) below. The above integral is no more than the number of solutions for $p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_3^2 - p_4^2 = h$ with $h = 2^{\nu_1} + 2^{\nu_2} - 2^{\nu_3} - 2^{\nu_4}$, where $p_j^2 \leq N$ and $\nu_i \leq L$. The contribution from h = 0 can be obtained by Rieger's result [16]. Then, as was pointed out in [14], a crucial step is to bound from above the number of solutions of the equation $p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_3^2 - p_4^2 = h$ with nonzero h. The machinery of Brüdern and Fouvry was employed directly to provide such an estimate, while the information on the powers of two was lost in the process.

Our approach is different. Instead of the integral $\int_0^1 |T(\alpha)G(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha$, we investigate a new integral $\int_0^1 |T(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^{14}| d\alpha$. Now the loss is that we need more variables for the powers of 2 in the mean value integral, while the gain is a situation where we can apply a linear sieve procedure to the equation involving four squares of primes and fourteen powers of two. This approach is motivated by the works of Wooley [19] and of Tolev [17]. In view of [1, 4, 19], it seems hard to solve the equation $p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_3^2 - x^2 = h$ for nonzero h, while Wooley's argument works well to establish the asymptotic formula for the number of solutions of the equation $p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_3^2 - x^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 (2^{u_j} - 2^{v_j}) = 0$ in a suitable box, where x is a natural number. Motivated by Wooley's result, Tolev considered the exceptional set for the equation $p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + x^2 = n$ with x an almost prime, and his argument works for the equation $p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_3^2 - (dx)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^t (2^{\nu_j} - 2^{\mu_j}) = 0$ with a suitable t. The linear sieve was employed in place of the four-dimensional vector sieve, hence the quantity is comparable to one fourth of those in [14, 8].

2. Preliminary results. The letter ε denotes an arbitrary small positive constant. The letter N is a large integer and $L = (\log(N/\log N))/\log 2$. To apply the circle method, we set

$$P = N^{1/5 - \varepsilon}, \quad Q = L^{-14} N/P.$$

Define

(2.1)
$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le q \le P \\ (a,q)=1}} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le a \le q \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathcal{M}(q,a) \text{ and } C(\mathcal{M}) = \left[\frac{1}{Q}, 1 + \frac{1}{Q}\right] \setminus \mathcal{M},$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(q,a) = \left\{ \alpha : \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \le \frac{1}{qQ} \right\}.$$

Denote by \mathcal{B} the interval $[\sqrt{(1/4-\eta)N},\sqrt{(1/4+\eta)N}]$, where $\eta\in\left(0,\frac{1}{10^{10}}\right)$ is a constant. Let

(2.2)
$$T(\alpha) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}} (\log p) e(p^2 \alpha), \quad G(\alpha) = \sum_{4 \le \nu \le L} e(2^{\nu} \alpha).$$

Then we have

$$R_k(N) := \sum_{\substack{p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2 + 2^{\nu_1} + \dots + 2^{\nu_k} = N \\ p_j \in \mathcal{B} \ (1 \le j \le 4), \ 4 \le \nu_1, \dots, \nu_k \le L}} \prod_{j=1}^4 \log p_j$$

$$= \int_0^1 T^4(\alpha) G^k(\alpha) e(-\alpha N) d\alpha$$

$$= \int_0^1 T^4(\alpha) G^k(\alpha) e(-\alpha N) d\alpha + \int_{C(\mathcal{M})} T^4(\alpha) G^k(\alpha) e(-\alpha N) d\alpha.$$

Let

$$(2.3) \quad C^*(q,a) = \sum_{\substack{m=1\\(m,q)=1}}^q e\left(\frac{am^2}{q}\right), \quad B(n,q) = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^q C^*(q,a)^4 e\left(-\frac{an}{q}\right),$$

and

(2.4)
$$A(n,q) = \frac{B(n,q)}{\phi^4(q)}, \quad \mathfrak{S}(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A(n,q).$$

For $n \equiv 4 \pmod{24}$, we have

$$(2.5) 1 \ll \mathfrak{S}(n) \ll (\log \log n)^{11}$$

and

(2.6)
$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = 24 \prod_{p>3} (1 + A(n, p)).$$

Define

$$\Im(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\sqrt{1/4-\eta}}^{\sqrt{1/4+\eta}} e(x^2\beta) \, dx \right)^4 e(-h\beta) \, d\beta.$$

For the major arcs, we quote

LEMMA 2.1 ([14, Lemma 2.1]). For $2 \le n \le N$, we have

$$\int_{M} T^{4}(\alpha)e(-\alpha n) d\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(n)\mathfrak{I}\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)N + O\left(\frac{N}{\log N}\right),$$

where $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ is given by (2.4).

The definition of $T(\alpha)$ in (2.2) is slightly different from that in [14], while the above result can be proved by the same argument.

3. An application of the linear sieve. Let

(3.1)
$$I = \int_{0}^{1} |T(\alpha)^{4} G(\alpha)^{14}| d\alpha.$$

The purpose of this section is to obtain an upper bound for I by using the linear sieve. We first give an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let

$$J = \sum_{\substack{x_1^2 + x_2^2 = x_3^2 + x_4^2 \\ 1 \le x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \le P}} \tau(x_1)\tau(x_2)\tau(x_3)\tau(x_4),$$

where $\tau(n)$ denotes the divisor function. Then

(3.2)
$$J \ll P^2 (\log P)^{14}.$$

Proof. One has

$$J = \sum_{\substack{x_1^2 + x_2^2 = x_3^2 + x_4^2 \\ x_1 \neq x_3}} \tau(x_1)\tau(x_2)\tau(x_3)\tau(x_4) + \left(\sum_{x_1} \tau^2(x_1)\right)^2$$

=: $J_0 + J_d$.

The diagonal contribution J_d is bounded by $P^2(\log P)^6$. It suffices to prove $J_o \ll P^2(\log P)^{14}$. We have

$$J_{o} \leq \sum_{\substack{x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} = x_{3}^{2} + x_{4}^{2} \\ x_{1} \neq x_{3}}} \tau^{2}(x_{1})\tau^{2}(x_{3})$$

$$= 2 \sum_{\substack{x_{1} < x_{3}}} \tau^{2}(x_{1})\tau^{2}(x_{3}) \sum_{\substack{x_{2}, x_{4} \\ (x_{2} - x_{4})(x_{2} + x_{4}) = x_{3}^{2} - x_{1}^{2}}} 1$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{\substack{x_{1} < x_{3}}} \tau^{2}(x_{1})\tau^{2}(x_{3})\tau(x_{3}^{2} - x_{1}^{2})$$

$$\leq 2 \left(\sum_{\substack{x_{1} < x_{3}}} \tau^{3}(x_{1})\tau^{3}(x_{3})\right)^{2/3} \left(\sum_{\substack{x_{1} < x_{3}}} \tau^{3}(x_{3}^{2} - x_{1}^{2})\right)^{1/3}.$$

Note that $\sum_{1 \leq x_1 < x_3 \leq P} \tau^3(x_3^2 - x_1^2) \leq \sum_{1 \leq a,b \leq 2P} \tau^3(a)\tau^3(b)$. The desired result follows from the above easily.

Let

$$g(\beta) = \int_{\sqrt{1/4-\eta}}^{\sqrt{1/4+\eta}} e(x^2\beta) dx$$
 and $g^+(\beta) = \int_{\sqrt{1/4-\eta-\eta^2}}^{\sqrt{1/4+\eta+\eta^2}} e(x^2\beta) dx$.

Note that

$$g(\beta), g^{+}(\beta) \ll \min\{1, |\beta|^{-1}\}.$$

We introduce two integrals:

$$\mathfrak{J}^{+}(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\beta)^{2} g(-\beta) g^{+}(-\beta) e(-h\beta) d\beta,$$
$$\mathfrak{J}(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(\beta)|^{4} e(-h\beta) d\beta.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{J}^+(h)$ and $\mathfrak{J}(h)$ are nonnegative constants depending on η . Moreover, $\mathfrak{I}(1) \leq \mathfrak{J}(0) \leq \mathfrak{I}^+(0) \leq (1 + O(\eta))\mathfrak{I}(1)$, where the *O*-constant is absolute. Let

(3.3)
$$\mathbf{S}(h) = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{B}(p,h)}{(p-1)^4} \right),$$

where

$$\mathbf{B}(p,h) = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} |C^*(p,a)|^4 e(ah/p).$$

LEMMA 3.2. Let I be defined by (3.1). Then

$$I \le 8(16 + \varepsilon)\mathfrak{J}^+(0)N \sum_{h \ne 0} r_7(h)\mathbf{S}(h) + O(NL^{13}),$$

where

$$r_t(h) = \sum_{\substack{4 \le \nu_j, \mu_j \le L \\ \sum_{j=1}^t (2^{\nu_j} - 2^{\mu_j}) = h}} 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Note that

(3.4)
$$I = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} r_7(h) \sum_{\substack{p_j \in \mathcal{B} \\ p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_3^2 - p_4^2 = h}} \prod_{j=1}^4 \log p_j.$$

Let us introduce a smooth function $w: \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0,1]$ which is supported on the interval $[\sqrt{1/4-\eta-\eta^2},\sqrt{1/4+\eta+\eta^2}]$ and satisfies w(x)=1 for all $x \in [\sqrt{1/4-\eta},\sqrt{1/4+\eta}]$. It is clear that

$$(3.5) I \le I_w \log \sqrt{N},$$

where

(3.6)
$$I_w = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} r_7(h) \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathcal{B} \\ p_1^2 + p_2^2 - p_4^2 = h}} w(p_4/\sqrt{N}) \prod_{j=1}^3 \log p_j.$$

Consider Rosser's weight $\lambda^+(d)$ of order $D=N^{1/16-\varepsilon}$. Let $z=D^{1/2}$ and $\Pi_z=\prod_{2< p< z} p$. Recalling the properties of Rosser's weights, we know

$$|\lambda^{+}(d)| \le 1$$
, $\sum_{d|(n,\Pi_z)} \mu(d) \le \sum_{d|(n,\Pi_z)} \lambda^{+}(d)$, and $\lambda^{+}(d) = 0$ if $\mu(d) = 0$ or $d > D$.

We have

$$\begin{split} I_{w} &\leq \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} r_{7}(h) \sum_{\substack{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \in \mathcal{B}, (y, \Pi_{z}) = 1 \\ p_{1}^{2} + p_{2}^{2} - p_{3}^{2} - y^{2} = h}} w(y/\sqrt{N}) \prod_{j=1}^{3} \log p_{j} \\ &\leq \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} r_{7}(h) \sum_{\substack{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \in \mathcal{B} \\ p_{1}^{2} + p_{2}^{2} - p_{3}^{2} - y^{2} = h}} w(y/\sqrt{N}) \Big(\sum_{d \mid (y, \Pi_{z})} \lambda^{+}(d)\Big) \prod_{j=1}^{3} \log p_{j} \\ &= \sum_{d \mid \Pi_{z}} \lambda^{+}(d) \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} r_{7}(h) \sum_{\substack{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \in \mathcal{B} \\ p_{1}^{2} + p_{2}^{2} - p_{3}^{2} - d^{2}x^{2} = h}} w(dx/\sqrt{N}) \prod_{j=1}^{3} \log p_{j} \\ &:= I_{w}^{+}. \end{split}$$

Define

$$f_d(\alpha) = \sum_x w(dx/\sqrt{N})e(d^2x^2\alpha),$$

$$F(\alpha) = \sum_{d|\Pi_z} \lambda^+(d)f_d(\alpha).$$

Now I_w^+ can be represented as

(3.7)
$$I_w^+ = \int_0^1 T^2(\alpha) T(-\alpha) F(-\alpha) |G(\alpha)|^{14} d\alpha.$$

Let

(3.8)
$$\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le q \le N^{\eta} \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}(q,a),$$

where $\mathfrak{M}(q,a) = \{\alpha : |q\alpha - a| \leq N^{\eta}N^{-1}\}$. Then we define

(3.9)
$$\mathfrak{m} = [N^{\eta}/N, 1 + N^{\eta}/N] \setminus \mathfrak{M}.$$

So we have

(3.10)
$$I_w^+ = \sum_{h \neq 0} r_7(h) \int_{\mathfrak{M}} T^2(\alpha) T(-\alpha) F(-\alpha) e(-h\alpha) d\alpha$$
$$+ r_7(0) \int_{\mathfrak{M}} T^2(\alpha) T(-\alpha) F(-\alpha) d\alpha$$
$$+ \int_{\mathfrak{M}} T^2(\alpha) T(-\alpha) F(-\alpha) |G(\alpha)|^{14} d\alpha.$$

We first consider the third integral on the right hand side of (3.10). By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{split} &\int\limits_{\mathfrak{m}} T^2(\alpha)T(-\alpha)F(-\alpha)|G(\alpha)|^{14}\,d\alpha \\ &\leq \Big(\int\limits_{0}^{1}|T(\alpha)|^4\,d\alpha\Big)^{3/4}\Big(\int\limits_{\mathfrak{m}}|F(\alpha)^4G(\alpha)^{56}|\,d\alpha\Big)^{1/4}. \end{split}$$

In light of Rieger's result [16], $\int_0^1 |T(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha \ll N \log^2 N$. Note that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F(\alpha)^{4} G(\alpha)^{56}| d\alpha = \sum_{h} r_{28}(h) \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F(\alpha)^{4}| e(h\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= \sum_{h \neq 0} r_{28}(h) \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F(\alpha)^{4}| e(h\alpha) d\alpha + r_{28}(0) \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F(\alpha)^{4}| d\alpha.$$

In view of the work of Heath-Brown and Tolev [4] (see also [17]), for $h \neq 0$ one has

$$\int_{\mathbf{m}} |F(\alpha)^4| e(h\alpha) \, d\alpha \ll N^{1-\delta},$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a small constant depending on η . Considering the underlying Diophantine equation, we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F(\alpha)^4| \, d\alpha \le \int_{0}^{1} |F(\alpha)^4| \, d\alpha \le J,$$

where J is given by Lemma 3.1. Hence $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F(\alpha)^4| \, d\alpha \ll NL^{14}$ and

$$\int_{\mathbf{m}} |F(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^{56}| \, d\alpha \ll NL^{42}.$$

We conclude from the above that

$$\int_{\mathbf{m}} T^2(\alpha)T(-\alpha)F(-\alpha)|G(\alpha)|^{14} d\alpha \ll NL^{12}.$$

The second integral in (3.10) can be handled similarly (and is actually easier). In particular, we have

$$r_7(0) \int_{\mathfrak{M}} T^2(\alpha) T(-\alpha) F(-\alpha) d\alpha \ll NL^{12}.$$

Now we turn to the first integral in (3.10), which is equal to

$$\sum_{d|\Pi_z} \lambda^+(d) \int_{\mathfrak{M}} T^2(\alpha) T(-\alpha) f_d(-\alpha) e(-h\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Let us introduce

$$S_d(h) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_d(q,h)}{q\phi^3(q)}, \quad S(h) = S_1(h),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_d(q,h) = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,a)=1}}^{q} C^*(q,a)^2 C^*(q,-a) C(q,-ad^2) e(-ah/q)$$

and

$$C(q, a) = \sum_{x=1}^{q} e(ax^2/q).$$

Define $\Omega(d) = \mathcal{S}_d(h)/\mathcal{S}(h)$ provided that $\mathcal{S}(h) \neq 0$, and $\Omega(d) = 1$ otherwise. Let

$$g_w^{+}(\beta) = \int_{\sqrt{1/4 - \eta - \eta^2}}^{\sqrt{1/4 + \eta + \eta^2}} w(x)e(x^2\beta) \, dx,$$
$$\mathfrak{J}^{+}(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\beta)^2 g(-\beta)g_w^{+}(-\beta)e(-h\beta) \, d\beta.$$

The standard argument in the Waring–Goldbach problem implies the asymptotic formula

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} T^{2}(\alpha)T(-\alpha)f_{d}(-\alpha)e(-h\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{\Omega(d)}{d}\mathcal{S}(h)\mathfrak{J}_{w}^{+}(h/N)N$$
$$+ O(d^{-1}N\log^{-A}N).$$

In view of the properties of Rosser's weights, we have

$$\sum_{d\mid\Pi_{z}} \lambda^{+}(d) \int_{\mathfrak{M}} T^{2}(\alpha) T(-\alpha) f_{d}(-\alpha) e(-h\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$\leq (\Phi(2) + \varepsilon) \prod_{2$$

where $\Phi(s) = 2e^{\gamma}/s$ for $0 < s \le 3$, and γ is Euler's constant. Note that $\Omega(2) = 0$ when h is even. Therefore we finally obtain

$$I_w^+ \leq \sum_{h \neq 0} r_7(h) (\varPhi(2) + \varepsilon) \prod_{2$$

For p > 2, one has

$$\left(1 - \frac{\Omega(p)}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{A_1(p,h)}{p(p-1)^3}\right) = 1 + \frac{\mathbf{B}(p,h)}{(p-1)^4}.$$

One also has

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{a(2^k)^*} C^*(2^k, a)^2 C^*(2^k, -a) C(2^k, -a)}{2^k \phi^3(2^k)} = 4.$$

It is well-known that

$$\prod_{2 \le n \le z} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log z} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log z}\right) \right).$$

Now we conclude that

$$I_w^+ \le 8(16 + \varepsilon)(\log \sqrt{N})^{-1} \sum_{h \ne 0} r_7(h) \mathbf{S}(h) \mathfrak{J}_w^+(h/N) N + O(NL^{12})$$

$$\le 8(16 + \varepsilon) \mathfrak{J}^+(0) N(\log \sqrt{N})^{-1} \sum_{h \ne 0} r_7(h) \mathbf{S}(h) + O(NL^{12}).$$

The desired conclusion now follows from (3.5) easily.

Lemma 3.3. One has

$$\int_{C(\mathcal{M})} |T(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^{14}| d\alpha \le 8(15+\varepsilon)(1+O(\eta))\mathfrak{J}(0)N \sum_{h \ne 0} r_7(h)\mathbf{S}(h) + O(NL^{13}).$$

Proof. Recalling (2.1) and (3.9), one has $C(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ and

$$\int_{C(\mathcal{M})} |T(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^{14}| \, d\alpha \le \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |T(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^{14}| \, d\alpha.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} |T(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^{14}| d\alpha = \sum_{h \neq 0} r_7(h) \int_{\mathfrak{M}} |T(\alpha)^4| e(h\alpha) d\alpha + O(NL^9).$$

For $h \neq 0$, the standard argument provides

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} |T(\alpha)^4| e(h\alpha) \, d\alpha = 8\mathbf{S}(h)\mathfrak{J}(h/N)N + O(NL^{-100}).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} |T(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^{14}| d\alpha = 8 \sum_{h \neq 0} r_7(h) \mathbf{S}(h) \mathfrak{J}(h/N) N + O(NL^9).$$

Recalling that $h \leq NL^{-1}$, one has

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} |T(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^{14}| \, d\alpha = 8\mathfrak{J}(0)(1 + O(L^{-1}))N \sum_{h \neq 0} r_7(h) \mathbf{S}(h) + O(NL^9).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |T(\alpha)^{4} G(\alpha)^{14}| d\alpha = \int_{0}^{1} - \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \\
\leq 8(15 + \varepsilon) \sum_{h \neq 0} r_{7}(h) \mathbf{S}(h) (1 + O(\eta)) \mathfrak{J}(0) N + O(NL^{13}).$$

The desired conclusion is established.

4. Numerical computations. Throughout this section, we use h to denote $\sum_{j=1}^{7} (2^{u_j} - 2^{v_j})$. For odd q, denote by $\varrho(q)$ the smallest positive integer ϱ such that $2^{\varrho(q)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Define

$$a(p) = \begin{cases} -(p+1)^2 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 3p^2 - 2p - 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

$$b(p) = \begin{cases} (p-1)(p+1)^2 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ (p-1)(p^2 + 6p + 1) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then we define the multiplicative function c(d) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{c(p)} = \frac{1 + \frac{b(p)}{(p-1)^4}}{1 + \frac{a(p)}{(p-1)^4}},$$

where d is square-free and (30, d) = 1.

LEMMA 4.1. Let
$$c_0 = \frac{25}{32}c_1 + (\frac{3}{2} - \frac{25}{32})c_2$$
, where

$$c_1 := \sum_{p|d \Rightarrow p>5} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)\varrho^{14}(3d)} \sum_{\substack{1 \le u_j, v_j \le \varrho(3d), \ 1 \le j \le 7 \\ 3d|h}} 1,$$

$$c_2 := \sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)\varrho^{14}(15d)} \sum_{\substack{1 \le u_j, v_j \le \varrho(15d), \ 1 \le j \le 7\\15d|h}} 1$$

Then $c_0 < 0.69$.

Proof. The proof follows the lines of [12]. Set

$$\beta(d) = \left(\frac{1}{\varrho^{14}(3d)} \sum_{\substack{1 \le u_j, v_j \le \varrho(3d), 1 \le j \le 7 \\ 3dlh}} 1\right)^{-1}.$$

Then

$$c_1 = \sum_{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)} \int_{\beta(d)}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2} = \int_{2}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ \beta(d) < x}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)} \frac{dx}{x^2}.$$

Clearly $\beta(d) \geq \varrho(3d)$, so

$$\sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ \beta(d) \leq x}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)} \leq \sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ \varrho(3d) \leq x}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)}.$$

Let $m(x) = \prod_{e \le x} (2^e - 1)$. Then for $x \ge 3$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ \beta(d) \le x}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)} \le \sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ 3d|m(x)}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)} \le \prod_{\substack{p > 5 \\ p|m(x)}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c(p)}\right)$$
$$\le \prod_{\substack{p > 5 \\ p > 5}} \frac{1 + \frac{1}{c(p)}}{1 + \frac{1}{p-1}} \prod_{\substack{p > 5 \\ p|m(x)}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

It was proved in [12] that $m(x)/\phi(m(x)) \le e^{\gamma} \log x$ for $x \ge 9$. If $x \ge 9$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 3\\ \beta(d) \le x}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)} \le \frac{8c_3}{15} e^{\gamma} \log x,$$

where $c_3 = \prod_{p>5} \frac{1+\frac{1}{c(p)}}{1+\frac{1}{p-1}} \le 1.3904$. Let M=40. We have

$$c_{1} = \int_{2}^{M} \sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ \beta(d) \leq x}} \frac{\mu^{2}(d)}{c(d)} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} + \int_{M}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ \beta(d) \leq x}} \frac{\mu^{2}(d)}{c(d)} \frac{dx}{x^{2}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ \beta(d) < M}} \frac{\mu^{2}(d)}{c(d)} \int_{\beta(d)}^{M} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} + \int_{M}^{\infty} \frac{8c_{3}}{15} e^{\gamma} \log x \frac{dx}{x^{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{p|d \Rightarrow p > 3 \\ \beta(d) < M}} \frac{\mu^{2}(d)}{c(d)} \left(\frac{1}{\beta(d)} - \frac{1}{M}\right) + \frac{8c_{3}}{15} e^{\gamma} \frac{1 + \log M}{M}.$$

The constant c_2 can be handled in a similar way. Then numerical computations provide the desired result. \blacksquare

In the following lemma, the condition (h) in $\sum_{(h)}$ means that the summation is taken over all $(u_1, \ldots, u_7, v_1, \ldots, v_7)$ satisfying $4 \le u_j, v_j \le L$ and $h = \sum_{j=1}^7 (2^{u_j} - 2^{v_j}) \ne 0$.

Lemma 4.2. Let

$$\kappa(h) = \begin{cases} \frac{25 + 15\left(\frac{h}{5}\right)}{32} & \text{if } 5 \nmid h, \\ \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } 5 \mid h. \end{cases}$$

Then

(4.1)
$$\sum_{\substack{(h) \\ h \equiv 0 \, (\text{mod } 3)}} \kappa(h) \prod_{\substack{p > 5 \\ p \mid h}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c(p)} \right) \le \left(\frac{25}{32} c_1 + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{25}{32} \right) c_2 + \varepsilon \right) L^{14}.$$

Proof. The left hand side of (4.1) is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{h \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 5\nmid h}} \frac{25 + 15\left(\frac{h}{5}\right)}{32} \prod_{\substack{p>5 \\ p|h}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c(p)}\right) + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\substack{h \equiv 0 \pmod{15} \\ p|h}} \prod_{\substack{p>5 \\ p|h}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c(p)}\right) \\
= \sum_{\substack{h \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 5\nmid h}} \frac{25}{32} \prod_{\substack{p>5 \\ p|h}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c(p)}\right) + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\substack{h \equiv 0 \pmod{15} \\ p|h}} \prod_{\substack{p>5 \\ p|h}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c(p)}\right) + o(L^{14}) \\
= \frac{25}{32} \sum_{\substack{h \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ p \equiv 0 \pmod{3}}} \prod_{\substack{p>5 \\ p|h}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c(p)}\right) + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{25}{32}\right) \sum_{\substack{h \equiv 0 \pmod{15} \\ p|h}} \prod_{\substack{p>5 \\ p|h}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c(p)}\right) \\
+ o(L^{14}) \\
= : \frac{25}{32} \sum_{1} \sum_{\substack{h \equiv 0 \pmod{15} \\ p|h}} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{25}{32}\right) \sum_{1} \sum_{1} \sum_{1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{c(p)}\right) + o(L^{14}).$$

Let us consider Σ_1 . One has

$$\Sigma_{1} = \sum_{\substack{(h) \\ h \equiv 0 \pmod{3}}} \sum_{\substack{d \mid h \\ p \mid d \Rightarrow p > 5}} \frac{\mu^{2}(d)}{c(d)} = \sum_{\substack{(h) \\ h \equiv 0 \pmod{3}}} \sum_{\substack{d < N^{\varepsilon} \\ p \mid d \Rightarrow p > 5}} \frac{\mu^{2}(d)}{c(d)} + O(N^{-\varepsilon})$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{d < N^{\varepsilon} \\ p \mid d \Rightarrow p > 5}} \frac{\mu^{2}(d)}{c(d)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u_{j}, v_{j} \leq L \\ p \mid d \Rightarrow p > 5}} 1 + O(N^{-\varepsilon}) =: \Sigma'_{1} + O(N^{-\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_1' &\leq \sum_{\substack{d < N^\varepsilon \\ p \mid d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ \varrho(3d) < L}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u_j, v_j \leq \varrho(3d) \\ 3d \mid h}} \left(\frac{L}{\varrho(3d)} + O(1) \right)^{14} + \sum_{\substack{d < N^\varepsilon \\ p \mid d \Rightarrow p > 5 \\ \varrho(3d) \geq L}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)} L^{13} \\ &\leq L^{14} \sum_{\substack{d < N^\varepsilon \\ p \mid d \Rightarrow p > 5}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{c(d)\varrho(3d)^{14}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u_j, v_j \leq \varrho(3d) \\ 3d \mid h}} 1 + O(\varepsilon) L^{14}. \end{split}$$

Therefore $\Sigma_1 \leq (c_1 + \varepsilon)L^{14}$. Similarly, $\Sigma_2 \leq (c_2 + \varepsilon)L^{14}$. Now the desired conclusion is established.

Lemma 4.3. Let S(h) be given by (3.3). Then

$$\sum_{h\neq 0} r_7(h)\mathbf{S}(h) \le 3c_0 L^{14},$$

where c_0 is given by Lemma 4.1.

Proof. Note that

$$\mathbf{B}(p,h) = \begin{cases} -(p+1)^2 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } p \nmid h, \\ -(p^2 + 6p + 1) - 4p(p+1) \left(\frac{h}{p}\right) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } p \nmid h, \\ (p-1)(p+1)^2 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } p \mid h, \\ (p-1)(p^2 + 6p + 1) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } p \mid h. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{S}(h) \le 3\widetilde{\kappa}(h) \prod_{p>5} \left(1 + \frac{a(p)}{(p-1)^4} \right) \prod_{\substack{5$$

where $\widetilde{\kappa}(h) = \kappa(h)$ if $3 \mid h$ and zero otherwise. One has

$$c_4 = \prod_{p>5} \left(1 + \frac{a(p)}{(p-1)^4}\right) \le 0.9743.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{S}(h) \le 3c_4 \,\widetilde{\kappa}(h) \prod_{\substack{5$$

The conclusion now follows from Lemmas 4.1-4.2.

Let

$$\Xi(N,k) = \{ n \ge 2 : n = N - 2^{\nu_1} - \dots - 2^{\nu_k}, \ 4 \le \nu_1, \dots, \nu_k \le L \}$$

for positive integer k.

Lemma 4.4. For $k \geq 35$ and $N \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, one has

$$\frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 4 \pmod{24}}} \mathfrak{S}(n) \ge 0.9NL^k.$$

Proof. As shown in [14], for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

$$1 + A(n, p) \ge 1 - \frac{5p^2 + 10p + 1}{(p - 1)^4},$$

while for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$1 + A(n,p) \ge 1 - \frac{5p^2 - 2p + 1}{(p-1)^4}.$$

We have the numerical inequalities

$$\prod_{\substack{17 \le p < p_{5000} \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \left(1 - \frac{5p^2 + 10p + 1}{(p-1)^4} \right) \prod_{\substack{17 \le p < p_{5000} \\ p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}} \left(1 - \frac{5p^2 - 2p + 1}{(p-1)^4} \right) \ge 0.904923,$$

where p_r denotes the rth prime. Moreover

$$\begin{split} \prod_{p \geq p_{5000}} (1 + A(n, p)) &\geq \prod_{p \geq p_{5000}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p - 1)^2} \right)^6 \\ &\geq \prod_{m \geq p_{5000}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(m - 1)^2} \right)^6 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{5000} - 1} \right)^6 \\ &> 0.99994271. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\prod_{p\geq 17} (1 + A(n,p)) \geq C_1 := 0.904811.$$

Set $m_0 = 14$. Now we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 4 \pmod{24}}} \mathfrak{S}(n) \ge 24C_1 \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 4 \pmod{24}}} \prod_{\substack{3
$$= 24C_1 \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le q \\ n \equiv 4 \pmod{24} \\ n \equiv j \pmod{q}}} \prod_{\substack{3
$$= 24C_1 \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le q \\ 1 \le j \le q}} \prod_{\substack{3$$$$$$

where $q = \prod_{3 . For the inner sum, we have$

$$S := \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 4 \pmod{24} \\ n \equiv i \pmod{q}}} 1 = \left(\frac{L}{\varrho(3q)} + O(1)\right)^k \sum_{\substack{1 \le \nu_1, \dots, \nu_k \le \varrho(3q) \\ 2^{\nu_1 + \dots + 2^{\nu_k} \equiv a_j \pmod{3q}}} 1$$

where a_j is the natural number in [1,3q] satisfying $a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $a_j \equiv j \pmod{q}$. Note that

$$\mathcal{S} = \left(\frac{L}{\varrho(3q)} + O(1)\right)^k \frac{1}{3q} \sum_{t=0}^{3q-1} e\left(\frac{ta_j}{3q}\right) \left(\sum_{1 \le s \le \varrho(3q)} e\left(\frac{t2^s}{3q}\right)\right)^k.$$

We arrive at

$$S \ge \left(\frac{L}{\varrho(3q)} + O(1)\right)^k \frac{1}{3q} \left(\varrho(3q)^k - (3q - 1)(\max)^k\right)$$
$$= \frac{L^k}{3q} \left(1 - (3q - 1)\left(\frac{\max}{\varrho(3q)}\right)^k\right) + O(L^{k-1}),$$

where

$$\max = \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{1 \le s \le \rho(3q)} e\left(\frac{j2^s}{3q}\right) \right| : 1 \le j \le 3q - 1 \right\}.$$

Note that 3q = 15015 and $\varrho(3q) = 60$. With the help of a computer, it is not hard to check that

$$\max = 34.5... < 34.6$$
 and $(3q-1)\left(\frac{\max}{\varrho(3q)}\right)^{35} < 10^{-7}$.

Therefore

$$S \ge \frac{(1 - 10^{-7})L^k}{3q} + O(L^{k-1}),$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 4 \pmod{24}}} \mathfrak{S}(n) \ge 24C_1 \sum_{j=1}^p \prod_{3$$

$$= \frac{8C_1(1-10^{-7})L^k}{q} \prod_{3$$

Observing that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} (1 + A(j, p)) = p + \frac{1}{(p-1)^4} \sum_{1 \le a \le p-1} C^4(p, a) \sum_{j=1}^{p} e(-aj/q) = p,$$

one has

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 4 \pmod{24}}} \mathfrak{S}(n) \ge 8C_1(1 - 10^{-7})L^k + O(L^{k-1}).$$

The proof is complete since L is sufficiently large. \blacksquare

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1. As in [14], it suffices to prove that large even integers $N \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ can be represented as the sum of four squares of primes and 44 powers of 2, since for every even integer N, there exist $u_1, u_2 \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $N - 2^{u_1} - 2^{u_2} \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. We set k = 44. Let

$$E(\lambda) = \{ \alpha \in (0,1] : |G(\alpha)| \ge \lambda L \}.$$

By [14, Lemma 5.3], we know

$$|E(0.887167)| \ll N^{-3/4-10^{-10}}.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{m}_1 = C(\mathcal{M}) \cap E(0.887167), \quad \mathfrak{m}_2 = C(\mathcal{M}) \setminus \mathfrak{m}_1.$$

Following the lines of [14], we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \int\limits_{C(\mathcal{M})} T^4(\alpha) G^k(\alpha) e(-\alpha N) \, d\alpha \right| \\ & \leq \int\limits_{\mathfrak{m}_1} |T^4(\alpha) G^k(\alpha)| \, d\alpha + \int\limits_{\mathfrak{m}_2} |T^4(\alpha) G^k(\alpha)| \, d\alpha \\ & \leq O(N^{1-\varepsilon}) + (0.887167L)^{k-14} \int\limits_0^1 |T(\alpha)^4 G(\alpha)^{14}| \, d\alpha. \end{split}$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 4.3,

$$\left| \int_{C(\mathcal{M})} \right| \le O(N) + (0.887167L)^{k-14} \ 3c_0 \times 8(15 + O(\eta) + \varepsilon)\mathfrak{J}(0)NL^{14}.$$

On the major arcs, we have by Lemma 2.1,

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{M}} T^4(\alpha) G^k(\alpha) e(-\alpha N) \, d\alpha = \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 8 \, (\text{mod } 24)}} \mathfrak{S}(n) \mathfrak{I}(n/N) N + O(NL^{k-1}).$$

For $n \in \Xi(N, k)$, one has $n/N = 1 + O(L^{-1})$. Applying Lemma 4.4, we get

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\mathcal{M}} T^4(\alpha) G^k(\alpha) e(-\alpha N) \, d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 8 \, (\text{mod } 24) \\ \geq 0.9 \times 8\Im(1) NL^k + O(NL^{k-1}).} \\ \end{split}$$

Therefore we have

$$R_{44}(N) \ge 8NL^{44}(0.9\Im(1) - (0.887167)^{30}(45 + \varepsilon)c_0(1 + O(\eta))\Im(0))$$

> 0.00001 $\Im(0)NL^{44}$

provided that η is sufficiently small. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

Acknowledgements. Thanks for the remarks of the referee. This work is supported by the postgraduate studentship at The University of Hong Kong, and the author would like to express his thanks to Dr. Yuk-Kam Lau and Prof. Kai-Man Tsang for their guidance. This work is also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11326049).

References

[1] J. Brüdern and E. Fouvry, Lagrange's Four Squares Theorem with almost prime variables, J. Reine Angew. Math. 454 (1994), 59–96.

- [2] P. X. Gallagher, *Primes and powers of 2*, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), 125–142.
- [3] D. R. Heath-Brown and J.-C. Puchta, Integers represented as a sum of primes and powers of two, Asian J. Math. 6 (2002), 535–565.
- [4] D. R. Heath-Brown and D. I. Tolev, Lagrange's Four Squares Theorem with one prime and three almost prime variables, J. Reine Angew. Math. 558 (2003), 159–224.
- [5] L. K. Hua, Some results in the additive prime number theory, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 9 (1938), 68–80.
- [6] H. Z. Li, The number of powers of 2 in a representation of large even integers by sums of such powers and of two primes, Acta Arith. 92 (2000), 229–237.
- [7] H. Z. Li, The number of powers of 2 in a representation of large even integers by sums of such powers and of two primes II, Acta Arith. 96 (2001), 369–379.
- [8] H. Z. Li, Four prime squares and powers of 2, Acta Arith. 125 (2006), 383–391.
- [9] Yu. V. Linnik, Prime numbers and powers of two, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 38 (1951), 152–169 (in Russian).
- [10] Yu. V. Linnik, Addition of prime numbers with powers of one and the same number, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 32 (1953), 3–60 (in Russian).
- [11] J. Y. Liu and M. C. Liu, Representation of even integers as sums of squares of primes and powers of 2, J. Number Theory 83 (2000), 202–225.
- [12] J. Y. Liu, M. C. Liu and T. Z. Wang, The number of powers of two in a representation of large even integers II, Sci. China Ser. A 41 (1998), 1255–1271.
- [13] J. Y. Liu, M. C. Liu and T. Zhan, Squares of primes and powers of 2, Monatsh. Math. 128 (1999), 283–313.
- [14] J. Y. Liu and G. S. Lü, Four squares of primes and 165 powers of 2, Acta Arith. 114 (2004), 55–70.
- [15] J. Pintz and I. Z. Ruzsa, On Linnik's approximation to Goldbach's Problem, I, Acta Arith. 109 (2003), 169–194.
- [16] G. J. Rieger, Über die Summe aus einem Quadrat und einem Primzahlquadrat, J. Reine Angew. Math. 251 (2003), 89–100.
- [17] D. I. Tolev, On the exceptional sets of Lagrange's equation with three prime and one almost-prime variables, J. Théorie Nombres Bordeaux 17 (2005), 925-948.
- [18] T. Z. Wang, On Linnik's almost Goldbach theorem, Sci. China Ser. A 42 (1999), 1155–1172.
- [19] T. D. Wooley, Slim exceptional sets for sums of four squares, Proc. London Math. Soc. 85 (2002), 1-21.

Lilu Zhao

School of Mathematics

Hefei University of Technology

Hefei 230009, People's Republic of China

E-mail: zhaolilu@gmail.com

Received on 15.11.2012 and in revised form on 6.8.2013

(7256)