

## Lattice points in bodies with algebraic boundary

by

WOLFGANG MÜLLER (Graz)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $F$  be a polynomial of even degree  $d$  in  $s$  variables with integer coefficients. Assume that the leading homogeneous part  $F^{(d)}$  in the decomposition  $F = F^{(d)} + G$  with  $\deg(G) < d$  is positive definite. Then  $D_F(R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid F(x) \leq R\}$  is compact. Denote by  $A_F(R)$  the number of lattice points of the standard lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^s$  which are contained in  $D_F(R)$ . Then  $A_F(R)$  is approximately equal to  $\text{vol}(D_F(R))$ . It is easy to see that the discrepancy  $P_F(R) = A_F(R) - \text{vol}(D_F(R))$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad P_F(R) = \Omega(R^{s/d-1}).$$

One only has to observe that  $A_F(R + \varepsilon) = A_F(R)$  for  $R \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , but  $\text{vol}(D_F(R + \varepsilon)) - \text{vol}(D_F(R)) \gg R^{s/d-1}$ . Our aim is to give a sharp upper bound for  $P_F(R)$ . To formulate the main result we introduce the invariant  $h(F)$  of  $F$ , defined as the smallest integer  $h$  such that  $F^{(d)}$  has a representation

$$F^{(d)} = \sum_{i=1}^h A_i B_i$$

with homogeneous polynomials  $A_i, B_i \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_s]$  of positive degree.

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume that  $h(F) > \varrho(d)$  where  $\varrho(2) = 4$ ,  $\varrho(4) = 288$  and  $\varrho(d) = d(d-1)2^{d-1}(\log 2)^{-d}d!$  for  $d > 4$ . Then for  $R \geq 1$ ,*

$$(2) \quad P_F(R) = O(R^{s/d-1}).$$

In the case  $d = 2$  it is easy to see that  $h(F) = s$ . Thus Theorem 1 contains as a special case the well known theorem of Walfisz [10] and Landau [4] who proved (2) for *rational* quadratic forms of dimension  $s > 4$ . If  $F^{(d)}$  is non-singular, i.e. the only solution of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(F^{(d)}(x)) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , in  $\mathbb{C}^s$  is  $x = 0$ , then  $h(F) \geq s/2$  (cf. [7, p. 282]). In this case the theorem gives the exact order of  $P_F(R)$  if  $s > 2\varrho(d)$ . The proof of Theorem 1 uses a variant of the Hardy–Littlewood method. For general  $F$  this method was first used by

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11P21, 11P55.

*Key words and phrases*: lattice points, Hardy–Littlewood method.

Schmidt in his famous work on diophantine equations [6], [8]. For special  $F$  the estimate (2) can be true for much smaller  $s$ . As an example we prove

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $F_0(X) = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i X_i^d$  with  $d \geq 2$  even and integer coefficients  $\lambda_i > 0$ . Then  $P_{F_0}(R) = O(R^{s/d-1})$ , provided that  $s \geq \min(d2^{d-1}, \varrho_0(d))$ . Here  $\varrho_0$  denotes an explicitly computable function which satisfies  $\varrho_0(d) \sim 2d^3 \log d$  for  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .*

As noted by Randol [5] Theorem 2 cannot be true if  $s < d^2 - d + 1$ . See Krätzel [3] for a detailed study of  $P_{F_0}(R)$  for small  $s$ . With some obvious modifications our proof shows that Theorem 2 remains true for real coefficients  $\lambda_i > 0$ .

Recently, Bentkus and Götze [1] studied  $P_F(R)$  for polynomials  $F$  with real coefficients and leading homogeneous part

$$(3) \quad F^{(d)}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{s_0} \lambda_i X_i^d + P(X) \quad (\lambda_i > 0).$$

Here  $P$  denotes a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  such that the degree of  $P$  viewed as a polynomial in  $(X_1, \dots, X_{s_0})$  is strictly smaller than  $d$ . They proved (2) under the assumptions that  $s_0 = s$  and  $s > \alpha(d)$  or  $s_0 < s$  and  $s_0 > 2^d \alpha(d)$ , where  $\alpha(2) = 8$ ,  $\alpha(4) = 1512$  and  $\alpha(d) = d2^{d-1} e^{3d \log d}$  for  $d > 4$ . The condition (3) on the leading homogeneous part of  $F$  is rather restrictive. Bentkus and Götze already remarked that one should expect that (2) is true for general  $F$  if  $h(F)$  is sufficiently large. The main advantage of their method is that it applies to polynomials with real coefficients, whereas we have to assume that  $F$  has integer coefficients.

**2. The Hardy–Littlewood method.** Let  $B = (-1, 1]^s$ . Assume that  $R \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $D_F(R) \subseteq R^{1/d}B$  for  $R \geq c(F)$  sufficiently large. Otherwise consider  $cF$  instead of  $F$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  is sufficiently large, and use  $A_F(R) = A_{cF}(cR)$ . To count the number of lattice points in  $D_F(R)$  we introduce the auxiliary function  $\chi = I_{(-R-1/2, R+1/2)} * \delta$  which is the convolution of the indicator function with a symmetric probability density  $\delta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $\text{supp}(\delta) \subseteq [-1/2, 1/2]$ . Then  $\chi(u) = 1$  if  $|u| \leq R$ ,  $\chi(u) = 0$  if  $|u| \geq R + 1$  and  $0 \leq \chi(u) \leq 1$  if  $R < |u| < R + 1$ . By Fourier inversion one obtains

$$(4) \quad \chi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\chi}(t) e(-tu) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\chi}(t) e(tu) dt,$$

where

$$\widehat{\chi}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(u) e(tu) du = \widehat{I}_{(-R-1/2, R+1/2)}(t) \widehat{\delta}(t).$$

Here  $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$  as usual. Furthermore,

$$\widehat{I}_{(-R-1/2, R+1/2)}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi t} \sin(2\pi t(R + 1/2)).$$

Applying  $j$ -fold partial integration one obtains  $\widehat{\delta}(t) \ll_j (|t| + 1)^{-j}$  for  $j \geq 0$ . Hence

$$(5) \quad \widehat{\chi}(t) \ll \frac{1}{|t|} (1 + |t|)^{-j} \quad (j \geq 0).$$

Set  $N = \lceil (R + 1)^{1/d} \rceil + 1/2$ . Then  $F(k) \leq R$  implies  $k \in NB$  and (4) yields

$$(6) \quad A_F(R) = \sum_{n \in NB \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} \chi(F(n)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} S_N(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt$$

with

$$S_N(t) = \sum_{n \in NB \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} e(tF(n)).$$

This should be compared with the following integral which counts the number of lattice points on the boundary of  $D_F(R)$ :

$$\int_0^1 S_N(t) e(-tR) dt.$$

It is not surprising that the properties of  $S_N(t)$  known from the Hardy–Littlewood method can be used to analyse  $A_F(R)$ . The main difference comes from the behaviour of  $\widehat{\chi}(t)$  for small  $t$ . Note that  $S_N(t)$  is one-periodic if  $F$  has integer coefficients. The following proposition deals with these small values of  $t$ .

PROPOSITION. *Assume that for  $N \geq 1$ :*

$$(A) \quad \int_{(0,1]} |S_N(t)| dt \ll N^{s-d}.$$

$$(B) \quad \int_{(N^{1-d}, 1]} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} \ll N^{s-d}.$$

(C) *There exists an  $\omega > d$  such that for  $|t| \leq N^{1-d}$*

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n \in NB' \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} e(tF(n + u)) \ll N^{s-\omega d} |t|^{-\omega}$$

*uniformly in  $u \in B$  and all boxes  $B' \subseteq B$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.*

(D) *There exists an  $\omega > d$  such that for  $|t| \geq N^{-d}$ ,*

$$(8) \quad \int_{NB'} e(tF(x)) dx \ll N^{s-\omega d} |t|^{-\omega}$$

*uniformly in all boxes  $B' \subseteq B$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.*

*Then  $P_F(R) \ll R^{s/d-1}$ .*

The proof of this Proposition is given in Section 3. Here we describe the “axiomatic” form of the Hardy–Littlewood method given by Schmidt [6]. If  $F$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients,  $S_N(t)$  can be evaluated asymptotically in a neighbourhood of a rational number with small denominator. The union of these neighbourhoods is called the *major arcs*. To be precise let  $0 < \Delta \leq 1$  and set, for  $1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^\Delta$  with  $(a, q) = 1$ ,

$$\mathfrak{M}_\Delta(q, a) = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \mid \left| t - \frac{a}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q} N^{\Delta-d} \right\}.$$

Then the major arcs and minor arcs are defined by

$$\mathfrak{M}_\Delta = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^\Delta \\ (a, q) = 1}} \mathfrak{M}_\Delta(q, a) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_\Delta = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathfrak{M}_\Delta.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{M}_\Delta$  is the union of disjoint intervals if  $N$  is sufficiently large.

If  $F$  is homogeneous, i.e.  $F = F^{(d)}$ , we define  $\Omega(F)$  as the supremum of all  $\omega > 0$  such that for all  $\Delta \in (0, 1]$  and  $t \in \mathfrak{m}_\Delta$ ,

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n \in NB' \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} e(tF(n+u)) \ll_{F, \omega} N^{s-\omega\Delta}$$

uniformly for all  $u \in B$  and all boxes  $B' \subseteq B$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. If  $F$  is an arbitrary polynomial with leading form  $F^{(d)}$  we define  $\Omega(F)$  as the supremum of all  $\omega > 0$  such that for all  $\Delta \in (0, 1]$  and  $t \in \mathfrak{m}_\Delta$ ,

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n \in NB' \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} e(tF^{(d)}(n) + P(n)) \ll_{F, \omega} N^{s-\omega\Delta}$$

uniformly for all polynomials  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_s]$  with  $\deg(P) < d$  and all boxes  $B' \subseteq B$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

$\Omega(F)$  is similar to the invariant  $\omega(F)$  introduced by Schmidt [6]. The latter is defined as the supremum of all  $\omega > 0$  such that for all  $\Delta \in (0, 1]$  and  $t \in \mathfrak{m}_\Delta$ , (9) is true with  $u = 0$  uniformly for all boxes  $B' \subseteq B$ . We prove that the assumption  $\Omega(F) > d$  implies (A)–(D) of the above Proposition.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $\Omega(F) > d$  then  $P_F(R) \ll R^{s/d-1}$ .*

Theorem 1 follows immediately from Theorem 3 and the following inequality:

$$(11) \quad \Omega(F) \geq \frac{h(F)}{\tau(d)}.$$

Here  $\tau(2) = 2$ ,  $\tau(4) = 72$  and  $\tau(d) < (d-1)2^{d-1}(\log 2)^{-d}d!$  in general. With  $\Omega(F)$  replaced by  $\omega(F)$  this is Theorem 6.A in [6, p. 86]. We have to verify that Schmidt’s inequality remains true with our modified invariant  $\Omega(F)$ . To see this note that Schmidt’s proof starts with a  $d$ -fold application

of Weyl's inequality. This transforms the exponential sum in the definition of  $\Omega(F)$  into an exponential sum of the form  $\sum e(G_d(n_1, \dots, n_d))$ , where  $G(X) = tF^{(d)}(X) + P(X)$  and  $G_d$  is the unique symmetric multilinear form which satisfies  $G^{(d)}(X) = \frac{(-1)^d}{d!}G_d(X, \dots, X)$ . If  $P$  is a polynomial of degree strictly less than  $d$ , then  $P_d = 0$ . It follows that  $G_d = tF_d^{(d)}$ . Hence the new exponential sum does not depend on  $P$ . From this moment on, one proceeds as in [6]. Note that  $\Omega(F)$  and the above lower bound on  $\Omega(F)$  depend only on the leading form of  $F$ .

**3. Proof of the Proposition.** Assume that conditions (A)–(D) of the Proposition are satisfied. The representation (6), together with (5), (A) and (B), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad A_F(R) &= \int_{|t| \leq N^{1-d}} S_N(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt \\
 &\quad + O\left( \int_{(N^{1-d}, 1]} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} \int_{(j, j+1]} |S_N(t)| dt \right) \\
 &= \int_{|t| \leq N^{1-d}} S_N(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt + O(N^{s-d}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $|t| \leq N^{1-d}$  we use an asymptotic expansion of  $S_N(t)$ . There are several ways to obtain it. We use the following expansion of a sufficiently smooth complex-valued function  $g : \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  due to Bentkus and Götze [1]. Let  $J \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x, u_1, \dots, u_J \in \mathbb{R}^s$ . Then

$$(13) \quad g(x) = g(x + u_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} g_j + r_J,$$

where for  $1 \leq j < J$ ,

$$g_j = \sum_{|\alpha|=j} c(\alpha) g^{(j)}(x + u_{m+1}) [u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_m^{\alpha_m}]$$

and

$$r_J = \sum_{|\alpha|=J} c'(\alpha) \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{\alpha_m-1} g^{(J)}(x + \tau u_m) [u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_m^{\alpha_m}] d\tau.$$

The summation extends over all  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$  with  $1 \leq m \leq j$  and  $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = j$ . Furthermore,  $g^{(j)}(x) [u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_m^{\alpha_m}]$  denotes the  $j$ -fold directional derivative

$$g^{(j)}(x) [u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_m^{\alpha_m}] = \left. \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial \lambda_m^{\alpha_m}} g(x + \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_m u_m) \right|_{\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0}$$

and

$$c(\alpha) = \frac{(-1)^m}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_m!}, \quad c'(\alpha) = \frac{(-1)^m}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_{m-1}! (\alpha_m - 1)!}.$$

This expansion can be obtained by iteratively applying Taylor expansions, first to  $\lambda \mapsto g(x + \lambda u_1)$  and then for every summand  $g^{(\alpha_1)}(x)[u_1^{\alpha_1}]$  in the resulting expansion to  $\lambda \mapsto g^{(\alpha_1)}(x + \lambda u_2)[u_1^{\alpha_1}]$ . After  $J$  such steps one obtains (13).

We use (13) with  $g(x) = e(tF(x))$ . Summing over  $x \in NB \cap \mathbb{Z}^s$  and integrating over  $(u_1, \dots, u_J) \in T^J$  with  $T = (-1/2, 1/2]^s$ , yields

$$(14) \quad S_N(t) = G_0(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} G_j(t) + R_J(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(t) &= \int_{T^m} \sum_{x \in NB \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} g(x + u_1) du_1 = \int_{NB} g(x) dx, \\ G_j(t) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=j} c(\alpha) \int_{T^m} \left( \int_{NB} g^{(j)}(x)[u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_m^{\alpha_m}] dx \right) d(u_1, \dots, u_m), \\ R_J(t) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=J} c'(\alpha) \int_0^1 (1 - \tau)^{\alpha_m - 1} \\ &\quad \times \int_{T^m} \sum_{x \in NB \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} g^{(J)}(x + \tau u_m)[u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_m^{\alpha_m}] d(u_1, \dots, u_m) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

With the choice  $J = d$  we prove that

$$(15) \quad \int_{|t| \leq N^{1-d}} R_d(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt \ll N^{s-d}$$

and for  $0 \leq j < d$ ,

$$(16) \quad \int_{|t| > N^{1-d}} G_j(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt \ll N^{s-d}.$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|t| \leq N^{1-d}} S_N(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt &= \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \int_{|t| \leq N^{1-d}} G_j(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt + O(N^{s-d}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} H_j + O(N^{s-d}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_j(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt.$$

Together with (12) and the definition of  $N$  we obtain

$$A_F(R) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} H_j + O(R^{s/d-1}).$$

$H_0$  yields the main term since

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_0(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt = \int \int_{NB \mathbb{R}} e(tF(x)) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt dx = \int_{NB} \chi(F(x)) dx \\ &= \int_{F(x) \leq R} dx + O\left( \int_{R < F(x) \leq R+1} dx \right) = \text{vol}(D_F(R)) + O(R^{s/d-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In the remaining part of this section we prove (15), (16) and  $H_j = 0$  for  $j \geq 1$ . This will complete the proof of the Proposition. We begin with the following lemma which can be proved by induction.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $g(x) = e(tF(x))$  and  $x, u_1, \dots, u_j \in \mathbb{R}^s$ . Then*

$$(17) \quad g^{(j)}(x)[u_1, \dots, u_j] = g(x) \sum_{l=1}^j (2\pi i t)^l P_{j,l}(x),$$

where  $P_{j,l}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq j$ , are polynomials with  $\deg(P_{j,l}) \leq ld - j$  whose coefficients are linear in  $u_1, \dots, u_j$ . They can be determined recursively by

$$P_{j+1,1}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (P_{j,1}(x)) u_{j+1}^{(i)},$$

$$P_{j+1,l}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (P_{j,l}(x)) u_{j+1}^{(i)} + P_{j,l-1}(x) \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) u_{j+1}^{(i)} \quad (2 \leq l \leq j),$$

$$P_{j+1,j+1}(x) = P_{j,j}(x) \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) u_{j+1}^{(i)},$$

and

$$P_{1,1}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) u_1^{(i)}.$$

Here  $u_j^{(i)}$  denotes the  $i$ th component of  $u_j$ .

To prove (15) we consider the cases  $|t| \leq N^{-d}$  and  $N^{-d} < |t| \leq N^{1-d}$  separately. If  $|t| \leq N^{-d}$  we estimate  $g^{(d)}$  trivially. Since  $P_{j,l}(x) \ll N^{ld-j}$  uniformly in  $u_1, \dots, u_j \in T$  and  $x \in 2NB$ , (17) and  $|t|N^d \leq 1$  imply  $g^{(j)}(x)[u_1, \dots, u_j] \ll |t|N^{d-j}$ . Hence  $R_d(t) \ll |t|N^s$ . Together with  $\widehat{\chi}(t) \ll |t|^{-1}$  this yields

$$(18) \quad \int_{|t| \leq N^{-d}} R_J(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt \ll \int_{|t| \leq N^{-d}} N^s dt \ll N^{s-d}.$$

In the case  $N^{-d} < |t| \leq N^{1-d}$  we use assumption (C). Since the estimate in (C) is uniform in all boxes  $B' \subseteq B$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes we can apply partial summation. This yields, for an arbitrary polynomial  $P$ ,

$$\sum_{n \in NB} e(tF(n+u))P(n+u) \ll N^{\deg(P)+s-\omega d} |t|^{-\omega}$$

uniformly in  $u \in T$ . Together with (17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in NB \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} g^{(d)}(n + \tau u_m) [u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_m^{\alpha_m}] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^d (2\pi i t)^l \sum_{n \in NB \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} P_{d,l}(n + \tau u_m) e(tF(n + \tau u_m)) \\ &\ll N^{-d+s-\omega d} |t|^{-\omega} \sum_{l=1}^d (|t|N^d)^l \ll N^{d^2-d+s-\omega d} |t|^{d-\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\omega > d$  it follows that

$$\int_{(N^{-d}, N^{1-d}]} R_d(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt \ll N^{d^2-d+s-\omega d} \int_{(N^{-d}, N^{1-d}]} t^{d-\omega-1} dt \ll N^{s-d}.$$

This together with (18) implies (15).

To prove (16) we use (D). Since the estimate in (D) is uniform in all boxes  $B' \subseteq B$  we can apply partial integration. This gives, for an arbitrary polynomial  $P$  and  $|t| \geq N^{-d}$ ,

$$\int_{NB} P(x) e(tF(x)) dx \ll N^{\deg(P)+s-\omega d} |t|^{-\omega}.$$

Hence Lemma 3.1 implies, for  $|t| \geq N^{-d}$  (uniformly in  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in T$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{NB} g^{(j)}(x) [u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_m^{\alpha_m}] dx &= \sum_{l=1}^j \int_{NB} (2\pi i t)^l P_{j,l}(x) e(tF(x)) dx \\ &\ll N^{s-j-\omega d} |t|^{-\omega} \sum_{l=1}^j (|t|N^d)^l \ll N^{s+j(d-1)-\omega d} |t|^{j-\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 \leq j < d$  this together with (5) yields

$$\int_{|t| > N^{1-d}} G_j(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt \ll N^{s+j(d-1)-\omega d} \left( \int_{(N^{1-d}, 1]} t^{j-\omega-1} dt + \int_{(1, \infty)} t^{-2} dt \right) \ll N^{s-\omega}.$$

Since  $\omega > d$  this implies (16).

Finally, we prove

LEMMA 3.2.  $H_j = 0$  for  $j \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1 and the definition of  $H_j$  we obtain, for  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_j &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_j(t) \widehat{\chi}(t) dt \\
 &= \sum_{|\alpha|=j} c(\alpha) \int \int_{\mathbb{R} T^m NB} \int g^{(j)}(x) [u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_m^{\alpha_m}] \widehat{\chi}(t) dx d(u_1 \dots u_m) dt \\
 &= \sum_{|\alpha|=j} c(\alpha) \int_{T^m} \sum_{l=1}^j \int_{NB} P_{j,l}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e(tF(x)) \widehat{\chi}^{(l)}(t) dt dx d(u_1 \dots u_m) \\
 &= \sum_{|\alpha|=j} c(\alpha) \int_{T^m} \sum_{l=1}^j \int_{NB} P_{j,l}(x) \chi^{(l)}(F(x)) dx d(u_1 \dots u_m) \\
 &= \sum_{|\alpha|=j} c(\alpha) \int_{T^m} \sum_{l=1}^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} P_{j,l}(x) \chi^{(l)}(F(x)) dx d(u_1 \dots u_m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we used  $\widehat{\chi}^{(l)}(t) = (2\pi it)^l \widehat{\chi}(t)$  and the fact that  $\chi^{(l)}(F(x)) = 0$  if  $x \notin NB$ . In the case  $j = 1$  Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_1 &= - \int_T \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} P_{1,1}(x) \chi^{(1)}(F(x)) dx du_1 \\
 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) \chi^{(1)}(F(x)) dx \int_T u_1^{(i)} du_1 = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Remember that  $T = (-1/2, 1/2]^s$ . For  $j \geq 1$  we prove that

$$(19) \quad \sum_{l=1}^{j+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} P_{j+1,l}(x) \chi^{(l)}(F(x)) dx = 0.$$

This implies  $H_j = 0$  for  $j \geq 2$ . To prove (19) set

$$H_{j,l} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (P_{j,l}(x)) u_{j+1}^{(i)} \chi^{(l)}(F(x)) dx.$$

Using partial integration one obtains, for  $2 \leq l \leq j + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}^s} P_{j,l-1}(x) \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) u_{j+1}^{(i)} \chi^{(l)}(F(x)) dx \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^s u_{j+1}^{(i)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} P_{j,l-1}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\chi^{(l-1)}(F(x))) dx \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^s u_{j+1}^{(i)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (P_{j,l-1}(x)) \chi^{(l-1)}(F(x)) dx = -H_{j,l-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This together with the representation of  $P_{j+1,l}$  in Lemma 3.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} P_{j+1,1}(x) \chi^{(1)}(F(x)) dx &= H_{j,1}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} P_{j+1,l}(x) \chi^{(l)}(F(x)) dx &= H_{j,l} - H_{j,l-1} \quad (2 \leq l \leq j), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} P_{j+1,j+1}(x) \chi^{(j+1)}(F(x)) dx &= -H_{j,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these  $j + 1$  equations yields (19). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2 and the proof of the Proposition.

**4. Proof of Theorem 3.** We have to prove that  $\Omega(F) > d$  implies (A)–(D) of the Proposition. We start with (D). It is only here that we use, for inhomogeneous  $F$ , the more sophisticated definition (10) instead of (9).

LEMMA 4.1. *If  $0 < \omega < \Omega(F)$  then*

$$\int_{NB'} e(tF(u)) du \ll N^s \min(1, (|t|N^d)^{-\omega})$$

*uniformly for all boxes  $B' \subseteq B$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.*

*Proof.* The estimate is trivial for  $|t| \leq N^{-d}$ . If  $|t| > N^{-d}$  the substitution  $u = Q^{-1}x$  with  $QN \geq 1$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad \int_{NB'} e(tF(u)) du &= Q^{-s} \int_{QNB'} e(tF(Q^{-1}x)) dx \\ &= Q^{-s} \left( \sum_{n \in QNB' \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} e(tF(Q^{-1}n)) + O(|t|N^d(QN)^{s-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

To prove (20) cover  $QNB'$  by cubes of the form  $n + T$ ,  $T = [-1/2, 1/2]^s$ . There are at most  $O((QN)^{s-1})$  cubes which intersect the boundary of  $QNB'$ . Furthermore, for  $x \in n + T$  with  $n \in QNB'$ , one finds

$$e(tF(Q^{-1}x)) = e(tF(Q^{-1}n)) + O(|t|Q^{-1}N^{d-1})$$

since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(tF(Q^{-1}x)) \ll |t|Q^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(Q^{-1}x) \ll |t|Q^{-1}N^{d-1}$ . This proves (20). The exponential sum in (20) has the form

$$\sum_{n \in QNB' \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} e(tQ^{-d}F^{(d)}(n) + P(n))$$

with a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_s]$  of degree strictly smaller than  $d$ . For  $0 < \Delta \leq 1$  choose  $Q$  such that  $|t|Q^{-d} = (QN)^{\Delta-d}$ . Then  $QN \geq 1$  and  $|t|Q^{-d}$  lies on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{M}_\Delta(1, 1)$ . By the definition (10) of  $\Omega(F)$  the exponential sum is  $\ll (QN)^{s-\omega\Delta}$ . If  $F$  is homogeneous the same follows

from the alternative definition (9). Now (20) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{NB'} e(tF(u)) du &\ll Q^{-s}(QN)^{s-\omega\Delta} + |t|Q^{-1}N^{s+d-1} \\ &\ll N^{s-\omega d}|t|^{-\omega} + N^s(|t|N^d)^{1-1/\Delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Both terms on the right hand side are equal if we set  $\Delta = (1 + \omega)^{-1} \in (0, 1]$ .

LEMMA 4.2.  $\Omega(F) > d$  implies that condition (C) of the Proposition is satisfied.

*Proof.* Condition (C) is trivially satisfied if  $|t| \leq N^{-d}$ . If  $N^{-d} < |t| \leq N^{1-d}$  choose  $\Delta(t)$  such that  $|t| = N^{\Delta(t)-d}$ , i.e.  $\Delta(t) = d + \log |t| / \log N$ . The condition  $N^{-d} < |t| \leq N^{1-d}$  ensures  $\Delta(t) \in (0, 1]$ . With this choice  $t$  lies on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta(t)}(1, 1)$ . Hence  $t \in \mathfrak{m}_{\Delta(t)}$  and the definition (10) or (9) implies, for every  $\Omega(F) > \omega > d$ ,

$$\sum_{n \in NB' \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} e(tF(n+u)) \ll N^{s-\omega\Delta(t)} \ll N^{s-\omega d}|t|^{-\omega}$$

uniformly for all  $u \in B$  and all boxes  $B' \subseteq B$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. This proves (C).

To verify conditions (A) and (B) of the Proposition, we split the domain of integration into a part covered by minor arcs and a second part covered by major arcs.

LEMMA 4.3 (minor arcs). *If  $\Omega(F) > d$  and  $0 < \Delta < 1$  then*

$$(21) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta}} |S_N(t)| dt \ll N^{s-d},$$

$$(22) \quad \int_{(N^{1-d}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\Delta}} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} \ll N^{s-d}.$$

*Proof.* We prove (22). The proof of (21) is analogous; see [6, p. 24, Lemma 4.B], for an even sharper estimate. Choose  $\omega$  such that  $\Omega(F) > \omega > d$ . If  $\Delta = 1$  the definition of  $\Omega(F)$  implies  $S_N(t) \ll N^{s-\omega}$  for all  $t \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ . Hence

$$\int_{(N^{1-d}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{m}_1} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} \ll N^{s-\omega} \int_{(N^{1-d}, 1]} \frac{dt}{t} \ll N^{s-\omega} \log N \ll N^{s-d}.$$

If  $0 < \Delta < 1$  we split  $(\Delta, 1]$  into subintervals  $(\Delta_{i-1}, \Delta_i]$ , where  $\Delta = \Delta_0 < \Delta_1 < \dots < \Delta_n = 1$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\Delta} = ((\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathfrak{m}_1) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_{\Delta_i} \setminus \mathfrak{m}_{\Delta_{i-1}} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{r}_i,$$

where  $\mathfrak{r}_i = \mathfrak{M}_{\Delta_i} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_{\Delta_{i-1}} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\Delta_i}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}$  has Lebesgue measure

$$\lambda(\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}) \ll \sum_{1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^{\Delta}} q^{-1} N^{\Delta-d} \ll N^{2\Delta-d},$$

it follows that  $\lambda(\mathfrak{r}_i) \ll N^{2\Delta_i-d}$ . Furthermore, the definition of  $\Omega(F)$  yields for  $t \in \mathfrak{r}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{\Delta_{i-1}}$  the estimate  $S_N(t) \ll N^{s-\omega\Delta_{i-1}}$ . Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(N^{d-1}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\Delta}} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} &\ll \int_{(N^{d-1}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{m}_1} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(N^{d-1}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{r}_i} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\ll N^{s-d} + \sum_{i=1}^n N^{s-\omega\Delta_{i-1}} \int_{(N^{d-1}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{r}_i} \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{r}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\Delta_i}$  we consider (for  $(a, q) \neq (1, 1)$ )

$$(23) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}(q, a) \cap (0, 1]} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{q} N^{\Delta-d}}^{\frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{q} N^{\Delta-d}} \frac{dt}{t} = \log \frac{1 + \frac{1}{a} N^{\Delta-d}}{1 - \frac{1}{a} N^{\Delta-d}} \ll \frac{1}{a} N^{\Delta-d}.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{(N^{1-d}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}} \frac{dt}{t} \ll \sum_{1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^{\Delta}} \frac{1}{a} N^{\Delta-d} \ll N^{\Delta-d} \sum_{1 < q \leq N^{\Delta}} \log q \ll N^{2\Delta-d} \log N.$$

Altogether we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(N^{d-1}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\Delta}} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} &\ll N^{s-d} + \sum_{i=1}^n N^{s-d-(\omega-2)\Delta_{i-1}+2(\Delta_i-\Delta_{i-1})} \log N \\ &\ll N^{s-d} + N^{s-d-(\omega-2)\Delta+2\varepsilon} \ll N^{s-d}, \end{aligned}$$

if we choose  $\Delta_i - \Delta_{i-1} < \varepsilon$  sufficiently small. This proves (22) for every  $\Delta \in (0, 1]$ .

LEMMA 4.4 (major arcs). *If  $\Omega(F) > 2$  and  $0 < \Delta < 1/4$  then*

$$(24) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}} |S_N(t)| dt \ll N^{s-d},$$

$$(25) \quad \int_{(N^{1-d}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} \ll N^{s-d}.$$

*Proof.* If  $F$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients and  $t$  is close to a rational number with small denominator, then  $S_N(t)$  can be evaluated asymptotically. It is well known (cf. [6, p. 26, Lemma 5.A]) that for every  $t \in \mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}(q, a)$ , we have

$$(26) \quad S_N(t) = S\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) G_0\left(t - \frac{a}{q}\right) + O(qN^{s-1+\Delta}),$$

where

$$S\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = q^{-s} \sum_{n \in q(0,1]^s \cap \mathbb{Z}^s} e\left(\frac{a}{q}F(n)\right), \quad G_0(t) = \int_{NB} e(tF(u)) du.$$

Since  $a/q$  with  $(a, q) = 1$  lies in  $\mathfrak{M}_1(q, a)$  with  $N = q$ , the definition of  $\Omega(F)$  implies

$$(27) \quad S\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) \ll q^{-\omega}$$

for every  $\omega < \Omega(F)$ . Additionally, by Lemma 4.1,  $G_0(t) \ll N^s \min(1, |tN^d|^{-\omega})$  for  $\omega < \Omega(F)$ . Since  $\Omega(F) > 2$  we can choose  $\omega > 2$ . Using these estimates it is easy to prove (24) and (25). We demonstrate (25). Since

$$\left|t - \frac{a}{q}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q} N^{\Delta-d} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathfrak{M}_\Delta(q, a),$$

it follows that  $t \geq a/(2q)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathfrak{M}_\Delta(q, a) \cap (0, 1]} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \ll \left|S\left(\frac{a}{q}\right)\right| \frac{q}{a} \int_{|u| \leq \frac{1}{q} N^{\Delta-d}} |G_0(u)| du + qN^{s-1+\Delta} \int_{\mathfrak{M}_\Delta(q, a) \cap (0, 1]} \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

The substitution  $u = N^{-d}v$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|u| \leq \frac{1}{q} N^{\Delta-d}} |G_0(u)| du &= N^{-d} \int_{|v| \leq \frac{1}{q} N^\Delta} |G_0(N^{-d}v)| dv \\ &\ll N^{s-d} \int_{|v| \leq \frac{1}{q} N^\Delta} \min(1, |v|^{-\omega}) dv \ll N^{s-d}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (23) and (27) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(N^{1-d}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{M}_\Delta} |S_N(t)| \frac{dt}{t} &\ll N^{s-d} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^\Delta} (a^{-1}q^{1-\omega} + a^{-1}qN^{2\Delta-1}) \\ &\ll N^{s-d}(1 + N^{4\Delta-1}) \log N \ll N^{s-d}. \end{aligned}$$

**5. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $F_0(X) = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i X_i^d$  with integer coefficients  $\lambda_i > 0$ . It is known that  $\Omega(F_0) \geq s2^{1-d}$  (see [6, p. 24] and the remarks following (11)). Hence Theorem 3 implies  $P_{F_0}(R) \ll R^{s/d-1}$  if  $s > d2^{d-1}$ . For large  $d$  this can be substantially improved by Vinogradov's mean value theorem. We prove that (A)–(D) of the Proposition are satisfied if  $s > \varrho_0(d)$ , where  $\varrho_0(d)$  is an explicitly computable function which satisfies  $\varrho_0(d) \sim 2d^3 \log d$  for  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .

First we prove that (C) and (D) are satisfied if  $s > d^2$ ,  $d > 2$ . To do this we establish (7) and (8) with  $\omega = s/d$ . By [2, Theorem 2.2] (the second derivative test), it follows that

$$\sum_{M < n \leq M'} e(t(n+u)^d) \ll (|t|M^{d-2})^{-1/2} + M(|t|M^{d-2})^{1/2}$$

uniformly for  $u \in [-1, 1]$  and  $1 \leq M < M' \leq 2M$ . Splitting  $[0, N]$  into dyadic intervals of the form  $(2^{j-1}U, 2^jU]$  with  $U = |t|^{-1/d}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} e(t(n+u)^d) &\ll 1 + U + \sum_j (|t|^{-1/2}(2^jU)^{1-d/2} + |t|^{1/2}(2^jU)^{d/2}) \\ &\ll 1 + U + |t|^{-1/2}U^{1-d/2} + |t|^{1/2}N^{d/2} \\ &\ll |t|^{-1/d} + |t|^{1/2}N^{d/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{n \in NB'} e(tF_0(n+u)) \ll (|t|^{-1/d} + |t|^{1/2}N^{d/2})^s \ll |t|^{-s/d}$$

if  $|t| \leq N^{1-d}$ . This proves (7) with  $\omega = s/d$ . To prove (D) observe that for  $t > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^N e(tx^d) dx = t^{-1/d}d^{-1} \int_0^{tN^d} \xi^{1/d-1} e(\xi) d\xi \ll t^{-1/d}$$

(the last integral is bounded by an absolute constant). This proves (8) with  $\omega = s/d$ .

Next we prove (A) and (B). Let

$$f(t) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} e(tn^d),$$

then  $S_N(t) = \prod_{i=1}^s (1 + 2f(\lambda_i t))$ . By Hölder's inequality it is sufficient to prove

$$(28) \quad \int_{(0,1]} |f(t)|^s dt \ll N^{s-d} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(\lambda_i N^{1-d}, 1]} |f(t)|^s \frac{dt}{t} \ll N^{s-d}.$$

To estimate the special function  $f(t)$  one can work with larger major arcs. Let  $N = \lceil (R+1)^{1/d} \rceil + 1/2$  and set

$$\mathfrak{M}(q, a) = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \left| \left| t - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{P}{qR} \right. \right\}, \quad P = \frac{N}{2d}.$$

Write  $\mathfrak{M}$  for the union of the  $\mathfrak{M}(q, a)$  with  $1 \leq a \leq q \leq P$  and  $(a, q) = 1$ , and set  $\mathfrak{m} = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathfrak{M}$ .

LEMMA 5.1 (major arcs). *If  $s > 2d$  and  $c > 0$  then*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |f(t)|^s dt \ll N^{s-d} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(cN^{1-d}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{m}} |f(t)|^s \frac{dt}{t} \ll N^{s-d}.$$

*Proof.* By [9, Theorem 4.1], for  $t \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a)$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{q} S\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) v\left(t - \frac{a}{q}\right) + O(q^{1/2+\varepsilon}),$$

where, by [9, Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 2.8],

$$\frac{1}{q} S\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) \ll q^{-1/d} \quad \text{and} \quad v(t) \ll \min(N, |t|^{-1/d}).$$

This yields

$$\int_{(cN^{1-d}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{M}} |f(t)|^s \frac{dt}{t} \ll \sum_{1 \leq a \leq q \leq P} \left( q^{-s/d} \int_{|u| \leq P/(qR)} |v(u)|^s du + q^{s/2+\varepsilon} \frac{P}{qR} \right) \frac{q}{a}.$$

Since

$$\int_{|u| \leq P/(qR)} |v(u)|^s du \ll N^{s-d} + \int_{(N^{-d}, P/(qR)]} u^{-s/d} du \ll N^{s-d},$$

we obtain, for  $s > 2d$ ,

$$\int_{(cN^{1-d}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{M}} |f(t)|^s \frac{dt}{t} \ll N^{s-d} \sum_{q \leq N} q^{1-s/d} \log q + N^{1-d} \sum_{q \leq N} q^{s/2+2\varepsilon} \ll N^{s-d}.$$

This proves the second assertion of the lemma. The first one follows in the same way.

Finally, we estimate the contribution of the minor arcs to (28). Since

$$\int_{(\lambda_i N^{d-1}, 1] \cap \mathfrak{m}} |f(t)|^s \frac{dt}{t} \ll N^{1-d} \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |f(t)|^s dt$$

(28) is a consequence of Lemma 5.1 and the following lemma.

**LEMMA 5.2 (minor arcs).** *There is an explicitly computable function  $\varrho_0(d)$ , which satisfies  $\varrho_0(d) \sim 2d^3 \log d$  for  $d \rightarrow \infty$ , such that for  $s \geq \varrho_0(d)$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |f(t)|^s dt \ll N^{s-2d+1}.$$

*Proof.* We use Wooley's refinement of Vinogradov's mean value theorem. The original form of the mean value theorem yields Lemma 5.2 with  $\varrho_0(d) \sim 4d^3 \log d$ . By [9, Theorem 5.6], there is an explicitly computable function  $\sigma(d)$  such that for  $t \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,

$$f(t) \ll N^{1-\sigma(d)} \log N.$$

We have  $\sigma(d) \sim (2d^2 \log d)^{-1}$  for  $d \rightarrow \infty$ . Furthermore, by [9, Theorem 5.5 and (5.37)], for every integer  $l \geq 1$ ,

$$\int_{(0,1]} |f(t)|^{2dl} dt \ll N^{2dl-d+\eta_l(d)},$$

where

$$\eta_l(d) = \frac{1}{2}d(d-1) \left(1 - \frac{5}{4d}\right)^{l-1}.$$

These estimates imply, for every  $l \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |f(t)|^s dt &\ll (\sup_{t \in \mathfrak{m}} |f(t)|^{s-2dl}) \int_{(0,1]} |f(t)|^{2dl} dt \\ &\ll N^{(s-2dl)(1-\sigma(d))+2dl-d+\eta_l(d)} (\log N)^{s-2dl}. \end{aligned}$$

There is an  $l$  such that the right hand side is  $\ll N^{s-2d+1}$  if

$$s > \min_l \left\{ \frac{\eta_l(d)}{\sigma(d)} + 2dl \right\} + \frac{d-1}{\sigma(d)} = \varrho_0(d),$$

say. By [9, Theorem 5.7], the minimum is  $\ll d^2 \log d$ , thus  $\varrho_0(d) \sim 2d^3 \log d$  for  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .

We remark that for small  $d$  Theorem 2 can be further sharpened. For instance, Hua's lemma ([9, Lemma 2.5]) can be used to prove  $P_{F_0}(R) \ll R^{s/d-1}$  for  $s > 2^{d+1} - 2$ .

## References

- [1] V. Bentkus and F. Götze, *Lattice points in multidimensional bodies*, Forum Math. 13 (2001), 149–225.
- [2] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 126, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.
- [3] E. Krätzel, *Lattice Points*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1988.
- [4] E. Landau, *Über Gitterpunkte in mehrdimensionalen Ellipsoiden*, Math. Z. 21 (1924), 126–132.
- [5] B. Randol, *A lattice point problem I, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 121, 125 (1966), 257–268, 101–113.
- [6] W. M. Schmidt, *Analytische Methoden für Diophantische Gleichungen*, DMV Sem. 5, Birkhäuser, 1984.
- [7] —, *Bounds for exponential sums*, Acta Arith. 44 (1984), 281–297.
- [8] —, *The density of integer points on homogeneous varieties*, Acta Math. 154 (1985), 243–296.
- [9] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy–Littlewood Method*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Tracts in Math. 125, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
- [10] A. Walfisz, *Über Gitterpunkte in mehrdimensionalen Ellipsoiden*, Math. Z. 19 (1924), 300–307.

Institut für Statistik  
 Technische Universität Graz  
 A-8010 Graz, Austria  
 E-mail: mueller@stat.tu-graz.ac.at