On higher-power moments of $\Delta(x)$

by

WENGUANG ZHAI (Jinan)

1. Introduction and main results. In this paper we shall study the higher-power moments of some error terms in analytic number theory, including $\Delta(x)$, E(t), P(x), A(x) and $\Delta_a(x)$.

1.1. Higher-power moments of $\Delta(x)$. We begin with the Dirichlet divisor problem. Let d(n) denote the divisor function. Dirichlet first proved that the error term

$$\Delta(x) := \sum_{n \le x}' d(n) - x \log x - (2\gamma - 1)x, \quad x \ge 2,$$

satisfies $\Delta(x) = O(x^{1/2})$. The exponent 1/2 was improved by many authors. The latest result is due to Huxley [10], who showed that

(1.1) $\Delta(x) \ll x^{23/73} (\log x)^{315/146}.$

For a survey of the history of this problem, see Krätzel [19].

For the lower bounds, the best results read

(1.2)
$$\Delta(x) = \Omega_+ (x^{1/4} (\log x)^{1/4} (\log \log x)^{(3+\log 4)/4} \\ \times \exp(-c\sqrt{\log \log \log x})) \quad (c > 0)$$

due to Hafner [6], and

(1.3)
$$\Delta(x) = \Omega_{-}(x^{1/4} \exp(c'(\log \log x)^{1/4} (\log \log \log x)^{-3/4})) \quad (c' > 0)$$
due to Corrádi and Kátai [3]. It is conjectured that

$$\Delta(x) = O(x^{1/4 + \varepsilon}),$$

which is supported by the classical mean-square result

(1.4)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{2}(x) \, dx = \frac{(\zeta(3/2))^{4}}{6\pi^{2}\zeta(3)} T^{3/2} + O(T \log^{5} T)$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 11N37, 11M06, 11P21.

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10301018).

W. G. Zhai

proved by Tong [24]. On the other hand, Voronoï [26] proved that

(1.5)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta(x) \, dx = T/4 + O(T^{3/4}),$$

which in conjunction with (1.4) shows that $\Delta(x)$ has a lot of sign changes and cancellations between the positive and negative portions.

Tsang [25] studied the third- and fourth-power moments of $\Delta(x)$. He proved that

(1.6)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{3}(x) \, dx = \frac{3c_{1}}{28\pi^{3}} T^{7/4} + O(T^{7/4 - \delta_{1} + \varepsilon}),$$

(1.7)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{4}(x) \, dx = \frac{3c_2}{64\pi^4} \, T^2 + O(T^{2-\delta_2+\varepsilon}),$$

where $\delta_1 = 1/14, \, \delta_2 = 1/23,$

$$c_{1} := \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta,h\in\mathbb{N}\\\alpha,\beta,h\in\mathbb{N}}} (\alpha\beta(\alpha+\beta))^{-3/2} h^{-9/4} |\mu(h)| d(\alpha^{2}h) d(\beta^{2}h) d((\alpha+\beta)^{2}h),$$

$$c_{2} := \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l\in\mathbb{N}\\\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}=\sqrt{k}+\sqrt{l}}} (nmkl)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k) d(l),$$

and $\mu(h)$ is the Möbius function. (1.6) shows, just as Tsang [25] stated, that " $\Delta^3(x)$ is biased strongly towards the positive side and does not even out as much as $\Delta(x) \dots$ this suggests that $\Delta(x)$ frequently attains exceptionally large values. This is also consistent with the fact that the Ω_+ result in (1.2) is stronger than the Ω_- result in (1.3) (here)."

In this paper we shall improve (1.6) and (1.7) further. We shall also study the fifth-power moment of $\Delta(x)$.

For the third-power moment of $\Delta(x)$, we prove the following

THEOREM 1. We have

(1.8)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{3}(x) \, dx = \frac{3c_{1}}{28\pi^{3}} T^{7/4} + O(T^{3/2+\varepsilon}).$$

For the fourth-power moment of $\Delta(x)$, we prove the following

THEOREM 2. Suppose (κ, λ) is any exponent pair. Then the asymptotic formula

(1.9)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{4}(x) \, dx = \frac{3c_2}{64\pi^4} \, T^2 + O(T^{2-\delta_2(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon})$$

holds, where

$$\delta_2(\kappa,\lambda) := \frac{1 - \eta(\kappa,\lambda)}{7}, \quad \eta(\kappa,\lambda) := \frac{2\lambda + 2\kappa}{2 + 2\kappa}.$$

Throughout this paper we shall use the definition $\eta(\kappa, \lambda) = (2\lambda + 2\kappa)/(2+2\kappa)$, which is well known in the theory of exponent pairs. If (κ, λ) is an exponent pair, then

$$A(\kappa,\lambda) := \left(\frac{\kappa}{2+2\kappa}, \frac{\lambda}{2+2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad B(\kappa,\lambda) := (\lambda - 1/2, \kappa + 1/2)$$

are both exponent pairs. Now take

$$\begin{split} (\kappa,\lambda) &= BA^2(ABA)(AB)^2(ABA)(AB)^2(ABA)(ABA^3)\bigg(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\bigg) \\ &= \bigg(\frac{141841}{368018},\frac{193668}{368018}\bigg). \end{split}$$

Then

$$(\kappa_0, \lambda_0) = A(\kappa, \lambda) = \left(\frac{141841}{1019718}, \frac{703527}{1019718}\right)$$

is Rankin's exponent pair [23] such that

$$\eta(\kappa,\lambda) = 2\kappa_0 + 2\lambda_0 - 1 = 0.65804\dots$$

See also p. 58 of Krätzel [19].

The above exponent pair yields

m

COROLLARY 1. We have

(1.10)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{4}(x) \, dx = \frac{3c_2}{64\pi^4} \, T^2 + O(T^{2-2/41}).$$

If the exponent pair hypothesis is true, namely, if $(\varepsilon, 1/2 + \varepsilon)$ is an exponent pair, then

(1.11)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{4}(x) \, dx = \frac{3c_2}{64\pi^4} \, T^2 + O(T^{2-1/14+\varepsilon}).$$

For the fifth-power moment of $\Delta(x)$, Heath-Brown [9] proved that

(1.12)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{5}(x) \, dx = CT^{9/4}(1+o(1))$$

for some constant C. But Heath-Brown did not give C explicitly. In this paper we shall prove

THEOREM 3. Suppose (κ, λ) is any exponent pair with $4\lambda + \kappa < 3$. Then

(1.13)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{5}(x) \, dx = \frac{5(2c_{3} - c_{4})}{288\pi^{5}} T^{9/4} + O(T^{9/4 - \delta_{3}(\kappa,\lambda) + \varepsilon}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \delta_{3}(\kappa,\lambda) &:= \frac{1}{15} \bigg(\frac{3}{4} - \eta(\kappa,\lambda) \bigg), \\ c_{3} &:= \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l,r \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{l} + \sqrt{r}}} (nmklr)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k) d(l) d(r), \\ c_{4} &:= \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l,r \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l} = \sqrt{r}}} (nmklr)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k) d(l) d(r). \end{split}$$

As shown in Section 5, both series above are convergent. The above exponent pair again yields

COROLLARY 2. We have

(1.14)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{5}(x) \, dx = \frac{5(2c_{3} - c_{4})}{288\pi^{5}} T^{9/4} + O(T^{9/4 - 5/816}).$$

If the exponent pair hypothesis is true, then

(1.15)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta^{5}(x) \, dx = \frac{5(2c_{3}-c_{4})}{288\pi^{5}} T^{9/4} + O(T^{9/4-1/60+\varepsilon}).$$

REMARK 1. Numerical computation shows that $c_3 - c_4 > 0$ and hence $2c_3 - c_4 > c_3$. Thus Theorem 3 means that $\Delta^5(x)$ also has the properties similar to $\Delta^3(x)$.

REMARK 2. For the third-power moment of $\Delta(x)$, it is the most important thing to study the distribution of the values of $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}$ for $(n,m,k) \in \mathbb{N}^3$. The points (n,m,k) with $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} = 0$ provide the main term. For other points, we need two things. First, we need a good lower bound of $|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}|$ if $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} \neq 0$, which was established by Lemma 2 of Tsang [25]. Secondly, we need a good upper bound of the number of solutions of the inequality $|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| < \Delta$ for small $\Delta > 0$, which will be given in Lemma 2.5 below. Note that Lemma 2.5 is best possible when Δ is very small. Maybe the exponent 3/2 in Theorem 1 is also best possible.

Lemma 2.5 also plays an important role in the proof of the fifth-power moment of $\Delta(x)$.

1.2. Higher-power moments of E(t). Let

(1.16)
$$E(t) := \int_{0}^{t} |\zeta(1/2 + iu)|^2 \, du - t \log(t/2\pi) - (2\gamma - 1)t, \quad t \ge 2$$

Many results for E(t) parallel to those for $\Delta(x)$ have been obtained (see, for example, Heath-Brown [8, 9], Jutila [14, 15], Hafner and Ivić [7], Meurman [21]). In particular, Meurman [21] proved that

(1.17)
$$\int_{2}^{T} E^{2}(t) dt = \frac{2\zeta^{4}(3/2)}{3\zeta(3)\sqrt{2\pi}} T^{3/2} + O(T \log^{5} T),$$

which is an analogue of (1.4). See Ivić [11] for a survey.

Tsang [25] studied the third- and fourth-power moment of E(t) by using Atkinson's formula (see [1]) and proved that

(1.18)
$$\int_{2}^{T} E^{3}(t) dt = \frac{6}{7} (2\pi)^{-3/4} c_{1} T^{7/4} + O(T^{7/4 - \delta_{4} + \varepsilon}),$$

(1.19)
$$\int_{2}^{T} E^{4}(t) dt = \frac{3}{8\pi} c_{2}T^{2} + O(T^{2-\delta_{5}+\varepsilon})$$

with $\delta_4 > 0$ and $\delta_5 > 0$. On p. 83 of [25], Tsang mentioned that (1.18) holds for $\delta_4 = 1/36$, but did not specify the permissible value of δ_5 in (1.19). Ivić [13] proved in a different way that (1.18) holds with $\delta_4 = 1/14$ and (1.19) holds with $\delta_5 = 1/23$.

We prove the following

THEOREM 4. We have

m

(1.20)
$$\int_{2}^{1} E^{3}(t) dt = \frac{6}{7} (2\pi)^{-3/4} c_{1} T^{7/4} + O(T^{7/4 - 1/12} \log^{3/2} T).$$

(1.21)
$$\int_{2}^{T} E^{4}(t) dt = \frac{3}{8\pi} c_{2}T^{2} + O(T^{2-2/41}),$$

(1.22)
$$\int_{2}^{T} E^{5}(t) dt = \frac{5(2c_{3} - c_{4})}{9(2\pi)^{5/4}} T^{9/4} + O(T^{9/4 - 5/816}).$$

REMARK 4. The exponent 1/12 in (1.20) comes from Theorem 2 of Ivić [13]. We believe that it could be replaced by 1/4 in view of the analogy between E(t) and the Dirichlet divisor problem (Jutila [14, 15]). But we have not been able to prove this.

W. G. Zhai

1.3. Higher-power moments of P(x). The Gauss circle problem is to estimate the error term defined by

$$P(x) := \sum_{n \le x}' r(n) - \pi x,$$

where r(n) denotes the number of ways n can be written as $n = x^2 + y^2$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. It has been shown that P(x) resembles $\Delta(x)$ in many respects. See Krätzel [19] for a survey of the circle problem.

Kátai [17] proved that

m

(1.23)
$$\int_{2}^{T} P^{2}(x) dx = \left(\frac{1}{3\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{2}(n) n^{-3/2}\right) T^{3/2} + O(T \log^{2} T).$$

Tsang [25] also studied the third- and the fourth-power moments of P(x). He proved that

(1.24)
$$\int_{2}^{1} P^{3}(x) dx = -\frac{3c_{5}}{7\sqrt{2}\pi^{3}} T^{7/4} + O(T^{7/4-\delta_{6}}),$$

(1.25)
$$\int_{2}^{T} P^{4}(x) \, dx = \frac{3c_{6}}{16\pi^{4}} T^{2} + O(T^{2-\delta_{7}}),$$

where $\delta_6 > 0$ and $\delta_7 > 0$ are unspecified constants, while c_5 and c_6 are constants defined respectively by the formulas for c_1 and c_2 with $d(\cdot)$ replaced by $r(\cdot)$.

Lemma 3 of Müller [22] yields a truncated Voronoï formula similar to that of $\Delta(x)$. So by Tsang's arguments for $\Delta(x)$, we know that (1.24) is true with $\delta_6 = 1/14 - \varepsilon$ and (1.25) is true with $\delta_7 = 1/23 - \varepsilon$.

We prove the following

(1.26)
$$\int_{2}^{T} P^{3}(x) dx = -\frac{3c_{5}}{7\sqrt{2}\pi^{3}} T^{7/4} + O(T^{3/2+\varepsilon}),$$

(1.27)
$$\int_{2}^{T} P^{4}(x) dx = \frac{3c_{6}}{16\pi^{4}} T^{2} + O(T^{2-2/41}),$$

(1.28)
$$\int_{2}^{T} P^{5}(x) dx = -\frac{5(2c_{7}-c_{8})}{36\sqrt{2}\pi^{5}} T^{9/4} + O(T^{9/4-5/816}),$$

where c_7 and c_8 are constants defined respectively by the formulas for c_3 and c_4 with $d(\cdot)$ replaced by $r(\cdot)$.

1.4. Higher-power moments of A(x). Let a(n) be the Fourier coefficients of a holomorphic cusp form of weight $\kappa = 2n \ge 12$ for the full modular group

and define

$$A(x) := \sum_{n \le x}' a(n), \quad x \ge 2.$$

It is well known that A(x) has no main term and $A(x) \ll x^{\kappa/2-1/6+\varepsilon}$. Ivić [12] proved that

(1.29)
$$\int_{1}^{T} A^{2}(x) dx = B_{2}T^{\kappa+1/2} + O(T^{\kappa} \log^{5} T),$$

where

$$B_2 = \frac{1}{4\kappa + 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^2(n) n^{-\kappa - 1/2}.$$

Cai [2] studied the third- and fourth-power moments of A(x). He proved that

(1.30)
$$\int_{1}^{T} A^{3}(x) \, dx = B_{3} T^{(6\kappa+1)/4} + O(T^{(6\kappa+1)/4 - 1/14 + \varepsilon}),$$

(1.31)
$$\int_{1}^{T} A^{4}(x) \, dx = B_{4}T^{2\kappa} + O(T^{2\kappa - 1/23 + \varepsilon}),$$

where

$$B_{3} := \frac{3}{4(6\kappa+1)\pi^{3}} \sum_{\substack{n,m,k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}=\sqrt{k}}} (nmk)^{-\kappa/2-1/4} a(n)a(m)a(k),$$
$$B_{4} := \frac{3}{64\kappa\pi^{4}} \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}=\sqrt{k}+\sqrt{l}}} (nmkl)^{-\kappa/2-1/4} a(n)a(m)a(k)a(l).$$

We prove the following

THEOREM 6. We have

(1.32)
$$\int_{1}^{T} A^{3}(x) \, dx = B_{3} T^{(6\kappa+1)/4} + O(T^{3\kappa/2+\varepsilon}),$$

(1.33)
$$\int_{1}^{T} A^{4}(x) dx = B_{4}T^{2\kappa} + O(T^{2\kappa - 2/41}),$$

(1.34)
$$\int_{1}^{T} A^{5}(x) dx = B_{5}T^{(10\kappa-1)/4} + O(T^{(10\kappa-1)/4-5/816}),$$

where

$$B_5 = \frac{5(2c_9 - c_{10})}{32(10\kappa - 1)\pi^5},$$

W. G. Zhai

$$c_{9} = \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l,r \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{l} + \sqrt{r}}} (nmklr)^{-\kappa/2 - 1/4} a(n)a(m)a(k)a(l)a(r),$$

$$c_{10} = \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l,r \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l} = \sqrt{r}}} (nmklr)^{-\kappa/2 - 1/4} a(n)a(m)a(k)a(l)a(r).$$

1.5. Higher-power moments of $\Delta_a(x)$. Let -1/2 < a < 0 be a fixed real number and set

$$\Delta_a(x) := \sum_{n \le x}' \sigma_a(n) - \zeta(1-a)x - \frac{\zeta(1+a)}{1+a} x^{1+a} + \frac{1}{2} \zeta(-a),$$

where $\sigma_a(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^a$. Kiuchi [18] proved that

(1.35)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta_{a}^{2}(x) \, dx = C_{2}(a)T^{3/2+a} + O(T^{5/4+a/2+\varepsilon}) \quad (-1/2 < a < 0)$$

with

$$C_2(a) := \frac{\zeta^2(3/2)}{2\pi^2(6+4a)\zeta(3)}\,\zeta(3/2-a)\zeta(3/2+a).$$

Meurman [20] refined (1.35) to

(1.36)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta_{a}^{2}(x) \, dx = C_{2}(a) T^{3/2+a} + O(T) \quad (-1/2 < a < 0).$$

For higher-power moments of $\Delta_a(x)$, we have the following theorems:

THEOREM 7. Suppose $0 > a > (2 - \sqrt{13})/6 = -0.267...$ Then (1.37) $\int_{2}^{T} \Delta_{a}^{3}(x) \, dx = C_{3}(a)T^{(7+6a)/4} + O(T^{(7+6a)/4 - \delta_{1}(a) + \varepsilon}),$

where

$$C_{3}(a) := \frac{3c_{1}(a)}{(28+24a)\pi^{3}},$$

$$c_{1}(a) := \sum_{\substack{n,m,k \in \mathbb{N}\\\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}=\sqrt{k}}} (nmk)^{-(3+2a)/4} \sigma_{a}(n)\sigma_{a}(m)\sigma_{a}(k),$$

$$\delta_{1}(a) := (3+8a-12a^{2})/(12-24a) > 0.$$

THEOREM 8. Suppose $0 > a > (3 - \sqrt{17})/8 = -0.140...$ Then

(1.38)
$$\int_{2} \Delta_{a}^{4}(x) \, dx = C_{4}(a) T^{2+2a} + O(T^{2+2a-\delta_{2}(a)+\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$C_4(a) := \frac{3c_2(a)}{64(1+a)\pi^4},$$

$$c_2(a) := \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l \in \mathbb{N}\\\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}=\sqrt{k}+\sqrt{l}}} (nmkl)^{-(3+2a)/4} \sigma_a(n)\sigma_a(m)\sigma_a(k)\sigma_a(l),$$

$$\delta_2(a) := \min\left(\frac{1+6a-8a^2}{8-16a}, \frac{1}{7}\left(\frac{1}{3}+2a\right)\right) > 0.$$

THEOREM 9. Suppose 0 > a > -1/30. Then

(1.39)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta_{a}^{5}(x) \, dx = C_{5}(a) T^{(9+10a)/4} + O(T^{(9+10a)/4 - \delta_{3}(a) + \varepsilon}),$$

where

$$C_{5}(a) := \frac{5(2c_{3}(a) - c_{4}(a))}{(288 + 320a)\pi^{5}},$$

$$c_{3}(a) := \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l,r \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{l} + \sqrt{r}}} (nmklr)^{-(3+2a)/4} \sigma_{a}(n)\sigma_{a}(m)\sigma_{a}(k)\sigma_{a}(l)\sigma_{a}(r),$$

$$c_{4}(a) := \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l,r \in \mathbb{N} \\ \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l} = \sqrt{r}}} (nmklr)^{-(3+2a)/4} \sigma_{a}(n)\sigma_{a}(m)\sigma_{a}(k)\sigma_{a}(l)\sigma_{a}(r),$$

$$\delta_{3}(a) := (1 + 30a)/180 > 0.$$

Both (1.35) and (1.36) are true for all -1/2 < a < 0. However for higher-power moments, we can only get asymptotics in shorter intervals. We propose the following conjecture, which is partly confirmed by the above three theorems.

CONJECTURE. Suppose
$$-1/2 < a < 0, k = 3, 4, 5$$
. Then
(1.40)
$$\int_{2}^{T} \Delta_{a}^{k}(x) \, dx = C_{k}(a) T^{(4+k+2ka)/4}(1+o(1)).$$

Notations. N denotes the set of all natural numbers; $n \sim N$ means $N < n \leq 2N$; $n \asymp N$ means there exist two absolute positive constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1N \leq n \leq C_2N$; #G denotes the number of elements of a finite set G; ||t|| denotes the distance between t and its nearest integer; ε always denotes a sufficiently small positive constant which may be different at different places. We will use the inequality $d(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ freely. SC(\sum) denotes the summation condition of the sum \sum , and $\sum'_{n\leq x}$ means that the final term should be weighted with 1/2 if x is an integer.

W. G. Zhai

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Dr. J. Furuya for helpful discussions and the referee for his valuable suggestions.

2. Some preliminary lemmas. The following lemmas will be needed in our proof.

LEMMA 2.1 ([25, Lemma 2]). If n, m, k are natural numbers such that $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} \neq 0$, then

$$|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| \ge \frac{1}{27} \max(n, m, k)^{-3/2}.$$

LEMMA 2.2 ([25, Lemma 3]). If $n, m, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l} \neq 0$ or $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l} \neq 0$, then respectively, $|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l}| \gg \max(n, m, k, l)^{-7/2}$

or

$$|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l}| \gg \max(n, m, k, l)^{-7/2}$$
.

LEMMA 2.3. If $n, m, k, l, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{r} \neq 0$ or $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{r} \neq 0$, then respectively,

$$|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{r}| \gg \max(n, m, k, l, r)^{-15/2}$$

or

$$|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{r}| \gg \max(n, m, k, l, r)^{-15/2}.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Lemma 2 of [25]. \blacksquare

LEMMA 2.4. Suppose $K \ge 10$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \ne 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1/2$. Then for any exponent pair (κ, λ) , we have

 $\#\{k \sim K : \|\beta + \alpha \sqrt{k}\| < \delta\} \ll K\delta + |\alpha|^{\kappa/(1+\kappa)} K^{(2\lambda+\kappa)/(2+2\kappa)} + |\alpha|^{-1} K^{1/2}.$ The implied constant is absolute.

Proof. Suppose $K^{-1/2} \leq |\alpha| \leq K^{(2+\kappa-2\lambda)/2\kappa}$; otherwise the estimate is trivial. We begin with the formula (3.9) of [25], namely,

$$\#\{k \sim K : \|\beta + \alpha \sqrt{k}\| < \delta\} \le 2K\delta + KH^{-1} + \sum_{1 \le h \le H} h^{-1}|S(h)|,$$

where

$$S(h) = \sum_{k \sim K} e(h\alpha \sqrt{k}).$$

This formula follows from the Erdős–Turán inequality [4].

If $1 \le h \le \sqrt{K}/2|\alpha|$, by the Kuz'min–Landau inequality [5, Theorem 2.1] we get

$$S(h) \ll \frac{K^{1/2}}{h|\alpha|}.$$

For $h > \sqrt{K}/2|\alpha|$, by the exponent pair (κ, λ) we get $S(h) \ll (h|\alpha|)^{\kappa} K^{\lambda-\kappa/2}.$

Hence Lemma 2.4 follows by taking $H = [|\alpha|^{-\kappa/(1+\kappa)} K^{(2+\kappa-2\lambda)/(2+2\kappa)}]$.

LEMMA 2.5. Suppose that $1 \leq N \leq M \asymp K$ and $0 < \Delta < K^{1/2}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1(N, M, K; \Delta)$ denote the number of solutions of the inequality

$$|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| < \Delta$$

with $n \sim N$, $m \sim M$, $k \sim K$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_1(N, M, K; \Delta) \ll \Delta K^{1/2} (MN)^{1+\varepsilon} + (MN)^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

In particular, if $\Delta K^{1/2} \gg 1$, then

$$\mathcal{A}_1(N, M, K; \Delta) \ll \Delta K^{1/2} N M.$$

Proof. We suppose $M \leq K$; the case M > K is the same. Suppose (n,m,k) satisfies $|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| < \Delta$. Then $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{k} + \theta\Delta$ for some $|\theta| < 1$. Thus $n + m + 2\sqrt{nm} = k + u$ with

$$|u| = |2k^{1/2}\theta \Delta + \theta^2 \Delta^2| < 2k^{1/2}\Delta + \Delta^2 < 10K^{1/2}\Delta.$$

So $\mathcal{A}_1(N, M, K; \Delta)$ does not exceed the number of solutions of the inequality (2.1) $|n + m + 2\sqrt{nm} - k| < 10K^{1/2}\Delta$

with $n \sim N$, $m \sim M$, $k \sim K$.

If $K^{1/2}\Delta \gg 1$, then for fixed (n,m), the number of k for which (2.1) holds is $\ll 1 + K^{1/2}\Delta \ll K^{1/2}\Delta$. Hence

 $\mathcal{A}_1(N, M, K; \Delta) \ll \Delta K^{1/2} N M.$

Now suppose $K^{1/2}\Delta \leq 1/40$. Then for fixed n, m, there is at most one k such that (2.1) holds. If such a k exists, then $\|2\sqrt{nm}\| < 10K^{1/2}\Delta$. Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ (n,m) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \|2\sqrt{nm}\| < 10K^{1/2}\Delta, n \sim N, m \sim M \}, \\ \mathcal{G}' = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|2\sqrt{n}\| < 10K^{1/2}\Delta, MN < n \leq 4MN \}.$$

Then

 $\mathcal{A}_1(N, M, K; \Delta) \le \#\mathcal{G} \ll \#\mathcal{G}'(MN)^{\varepsilon}.$

By Lemma 2.4 with $\alpha = 2, \beta = 0$ and $(\kappa, \lambda) = (1/2, 1/2)$ we get

$$#\mathcal{G}' \ll \Delta K^{1/2}MN + (MN)^{1/2}.$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{A}_1(N, M, K; \Delta) \le \Delta K^{1/2} (MN)^{1+\varepsilon} + (MN)^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

LEMMA 2.6. Suppose $1 \leq N \leq M$, $1 \leq K \leq L$, $N \leq K$, $M \asymp L$, $0 < \Delta \ll L^{1/2}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_2(N, M, K, L; \Delta)$ denote the number of solutions of the inequality

$$(2.2) |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l}| < \Delta$$

with $n \sim N$, $m \sim M$, $k \sim K$, $l \sim L$. Then for any exponent pair (κ, λ) we have

$$\mathcal{A}_2(N, M, K, L; \Delta) \ll \Delta L^{1/2} NMK + NL + NKM^{\eta(\kappa, \lambda)}$$

In particular, if $\Delta L^{1/2} \gg 1$, then

$$\mathcal{A}_2(N, M, K, L; \Delta) \ll \Delta L^{1/2} NMK.$$

REMARK. The term NL appears only when the equation n = k has solutions with $n \sim N, k \sim K$.

Proof. If
$$(n, m, k, l)$$
 satisfies $|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l}| < \Delta$, then

$$l = m + 2m^{1/2}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k}) + (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k})^2 + u$$

with $|u| \leq C\Delta L^{1/2}$ for some absolute constant C > 0. Hence the quantity $\mathcal{A}_2(N, M, K, L; \Delta)$ does not exceed the number of solutions of

(2.3)
$$|2m^{1/2}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k}) + (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k})^2 + m - l| < C\Delta L^{1/2}$$

with $n \sim N$, $m \sim M$, $k \sim K$, $l \sim L$.

If $\Delta L^{1/2} \gg 1$, then for fixed (n, m, k), the number of l for which (2.3) holds is $\ll 1 + \Delta L^{1/2} \ll \Delta L^{1/2}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{A}_2(N, M, K, L; \Delta) \ll \Delta L^{1/2} NMK.$$

Now suppose $\Delta L^{1/2} \leq 1/4C$. Let S_1 denote the set of solutions of (2.3) such that n = k, and S_2 the set of solutions such that $n \neq k$, respectively. Then

 $\mathcal{A}_2(N, M, K, L; \Delta) \le \#\mathcal{S}_1 + \#\mathcal{S}_2.$

Obviously, $\#S_1 \ll NL$. It remains to estimate $\#S_2$. For fixed (n, m, k), there is at most one l such that (2.3) holds. If such an l exists, then

$$\|2m^{1/2}(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k}) + (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k})^2\| < C\Delta L^{1/2}.$$

By Lemma 2.4 with $\alpha = 2(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k}), \beta = (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k})^2$ we get

$$#S_2 \ll \Delta L^{1/2} NMK + C_1 M^{(2\lambda+\kappa)/(2+2\kappa)} + C_2 M^{1/2},$$

$$C_1 := \sum_{n \neq k} |\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k}|^{\kappa/(1+\kappa)}, \quad C_2 := \sum_{n \neq k} |\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{k}|^{-1}.$$

Trivially, we have

$$C_1 \ll NK^{1+\kappa/(2+2\kappa)} \ll NKM^{\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}$$

Moreover,

$$C_2 \ll K^{1/2} \sum_{N < n < k \le 2K} 1/(k-n) \ll K^{1/2} N \log K \ll NK.$$

Now Lemma 2.6 follows from the above estimates.

LEMMA 2.7. Suppose $1 \leq N \leq M \leq K \asymp L$ and $0 < \Delta \ll L^{1/2}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_3(N, M, K, L; \Delta)$ denote the number of solutions of the inequality

(2.4)
$$|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l}| < \Delta$$

with $n \sim N$, $m \sim M$, $k \sim K$, $l \sim L$. Then for any exponent pair (κ, λ) we have

$$\mathcal{A}_3(N, M, K, L; \Delta) \ll \Delta L^{1/2} NMK + NMK^{\eta(\kappa, \lambda)}$$

In particular, if $\Delta L^{1/2} \gg 1$, then

$$\mathcal{A}_3(N, M, K, L; \Delta) \ll \Delta L^{1/2} NMK.$$

Proof. We omit the proof since it is similar to that of Lemma 2.6.

LEMMA 2.8. Suppose $1 \leq N \leq M \leq K$, $1 \leq L \leq R$, $K \asymp R$ and $0 < \Delta \ll R^{1/2}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_4(N, M, K, L, R; \Delta)$ denote the number of solutions of the inequality

(2.5)
$$|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{r}| < \Delta$$

with $n \sim N$, $m \sim M$, $k \sim K$, $l \sim L$, $r \sim R$. Then for any exponent pair (κ, λ) we have

$$\mathcal{A}_4(N, M, K, L, R; \Delta) \ll \Delta R^{1/2} NMKL + R(MN)^{1/2+\varepsilon} + NMLK^{\eta(\kappa, \lambda)}.$$

In particular, if $\Delta R^{1/2} \gg 1$, then

$$\mathcal{A}_4(N, M, K, L, R; \Delta) \ll \Delta R^{1/2} NMKL.$$

REMARK. The term $R(MN)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ appears only when the equation $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{l}$ has solutions with $n \sim N, m \sim M, l \sim L$.

Proof. If (n, m, k, l, r) satisfies (2.5), then

$$r = k + 2k^{1/2}(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}) + (\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l})^2 + u$$

with $|u| \leq C^* \Delta R^{1/2}$ for some absolute constant $C^* > 0$. Hence the quantity $\mathcal{A}_4(N, M, K, L, R; \Delta)$ does not exceed the number of solutions of

(2.6)
$$|2k^{1/2}(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}) + (\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l})^2 + k - r| < C^* \Delta R^{1/2}$$

with $n \sim N$, $m \sim M$, $k \sim K$, $l \sim L$, $r \sim R$.

If $\Delta R^{1/2} \gg 1$, then for fixed (n, m, k, l), the number of r for which (2.6) holds is $\ll 1 + \Delta R^{1/2} \ll \Delta R^{1/2}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{A}_4(N, M, K, L, R; \Delta) \ll \Delta R^{1/2} NMKL.$$

Now suppose $\Delta R^{1/2} \leq 1/4C^*$. Let \mathcal{T}_1 denote the set of solutions of (2.6) such that $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{l}$, and \mathcal{T}_2 the set of solutions such that $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \neq \sqrt{l}$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_4(N, M, K, L, R; \Delta) \le \#\mathcal{T}_1 + \#\mathcal{T}_2.$$

We estimate $\#T_1$ first. Suppose that the equation $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{l}$ has solutions; otherwise $\#T_1 = 0$. Since $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{l}$, the inequality (2.6) becomes k = r and hence

$$#\{(k,r): |\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{r}| < \Delta\} \ll R.$$

The equation $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{l}$ implies $\sqrt{mn} \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, mn is a square. Thus

$$\begin{split} \#\{(n,m,l):\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}=\sqrt{l}\} &\ll \#\{(n,m):nm \text{ is a square}\}\\ &\ll (MN)^{\varepsilon}\#\{n:n \text{ is a square}\} \ll (MN)^{1/2+\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

The above two estimates imply

$$\#\mathcal{T}_1 \ll R(MN)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

Now we estimate $\#\mathcal{T}_2$. For fixed (n, m, k, l), there is at most one r such that (2.6) holds. If such an r exists, then

$$\begin{split} \|2k^{1/2}(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}) + (\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l})^2\| &< C\Delta R^{1/2}.\\ \text{By Lemma 2.4 with } \alpha &= 2(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}), \beta = (\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l})^2 \text{ we get}\\ \#\mathcal{T}_2 \ll \Delta R^{1/2} NMKL + C_3 K^{(2\lambda+\kappa)/(2+2\kappa)} + C_4 K^{1/2},\\ C_3 &:= \sum_{\substack{n,m,l\\\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \neq \sqrt{l}}} |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}|^{\kappa/(1+\kappa)},\\ C_4 &:= \sum_{\substack{n,m,l\\\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \neq \sqrt{l}}} |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}|^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Trivially we have

$$C_3 \ll NML^{1+\kappa/(2+2\kappa)} \ll NMLK^{\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}$$

Write $C_4 = C_{41} + C_{42}$, where

$$C_{41} = \sum_{\substack{|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}| \ge L^{1/2}/50 \\ 0 < |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}| \le L^{1/2}/50 }} |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}|^{-1}.$$

Trivially we have

$$C_{41} \ll NML^{1/2}$$

If the inequality

(*)
$$|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}| \le L^{1/2}/50$$

has no solutions, then $C_{42} = 0$. So we suppose (*) has solutions, which implies that $M \asymp L$. By Lemma 2.1, $|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}| \gg L^{-3/2}$ for any such

381

(n,m,l). By a splitting argument and Lemma 2.5 we find that for some $L^{-3/2}\ll\delta\ll L^{1/2},$

$$C_{42} \ll \frac{\log 2L}{\delta} \sum_{\delta < |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{l}| \le 2\delta} 1$$
$$\ll \frac{\log 2L}{\delta} \left(\delta L^{1/2} (MN)^{1+\varepsilon} + (MN)^{1/2+\varepsilon} \right)$$
$$\ll L^{1/2} (MN)^{1+\varepsilon} + L^{3/2} (MN)^{1/2+\varepsilon} \ll N^{1/2+\varepsilon} M^{1+\varepsilon} L.$$

From the above estimates we get

$$\#\mathcal{T}_2 \ll \Delta R^{1/2} NMKL + NMLK^{\eta(\kappa,\lambda)}.$$

Now Lemma 2.8 follows from the estimates of $\#T_1$ and $\#T_2$.

LEMMA 2.9. Suppose $1 \leq N \leq M \leq K \leq L \asymp R$, $0 < \Delta \ll R^{1/2}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_5(N, M, K, L, R; \Delta)$ denote the number of solutions of the inequality

(2.7)
$$|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{r}| < \Delta$$

with $n \sim N$, $m \sim M$, $k \sim K$, $l \sim L$, $r \sim R$. Then for any exponent pair (κ, λ) we have

$$\mathcal{A}_5(N, M, K, L, R; \Delta) \ll \Delta R^{1/2} NMKL + NMKL^{\eta(\kappa, \lambda)}$$

In particular, if $\Delta R^{1/2} \gg 1$, then

$$\mathcal{A}_5(N, M, K, L, R; \Delta) \ll \Delta R^{1/2} NMKL.$$

Proof. We omit the proof since it is similar to that of Lemma 2.8 and much easier. \blacksquare

3. The third-power moment of $\Delta(x)$ **.** In this section we prove Theorem 1. We begin with the following truncated form of Voronoï's formula [11, (2.25)]

(3.1)
$$\Delta(x) = (\pi\sqrt{2})^{-1} \sum (x) + O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon}y^{-1/2}),$$

where

$$\sum(x) = \sum_{n \le y} d(n) n^{-3/4} x^{1/4} \cos(4\pi \sqrt{nx} - \pi/4)$$

and $1 \le y \ll x$.

Suppose $T \ge 10$ and take y = T in (3.1). From the elementary formula $(a+b)^3 - a^3 \ll |b|a^2 + |b|^3$ and (1.4) we get

(3.2)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} \Delta^{3}(x) \, dx = \int_{T}^{2T} \left(\sum_{T} (x) \right)^{3} dx + O(T^{3/2 + \varepsilon}).$$

We shall prove

(3.3)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} \left(\sum(x)\right)^{3} dx = \frac{3c_{1}}{4\sqrt{2}} \int_{T}^{2T} x^{3/4} dx + O(T^{3/2+\varepsilon}).$$

Theorem 1 follows easily from (3.2), (3.3).

Let

$$g = g(n, m, k) := (nmk)^{-3/4} d(n)d(m)d(k)$$
 for $n, m, k \le T$

and g = 0 otherwise. We can write (equation (2.7) of Tsang [25])

(3.4)
$$\left(\sum(x)\right)^3 = S_0(x) + S_1(x) + S_2(x),$$

where

$$S_{0}(x) := \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{k}} gx^{3/4},$$

$$S_{1}(x) := \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \neq \sqrt{k}} gx^{3/4} \cos(4\pi(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k})\sqrt{x} - \pi/4),$$

$$S_{2}(x) := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m}} gx^{3/4} \cos(4\pi(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k})\sqrt{x} - 3\pi/4).$$
(2.12) If $|\nabla f| = 1$

From (2.12) of [25] we get

(3.5)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_0(x) \, dx = \frac{3c_1}{4\sqrt{2}} \int_{T}^{2T} x^{3/4} \, dx + O(T^{3/4+\varepsilon}).$$

From (2.14) of [25] we get

(3.6)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_2(x) \, dx \ll T^{5/4+\varepsilon} y^{1/4} \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}$$

Now we estimate $\int_T^{2T} S_1(x) dx$. By the second mean-value theorem we get

$$(3.7) \qquad \int_{T}^{2T} S_1(x) \, dx \ll \sum_{\substack{n,m,k \leq T\\\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m} \neq \sqrt{k}}} g \min\left(T^{7/4}, \frac{T^{5/4}}{|\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{k}|}\right) \\ \ll T^{\varepsilon} H(N, M, K),$$

where

$$H(N,M,K) = \sum_{\substack{n \sim N, m \sim M, k \sim K\\\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \neq \sqrt{k}}} g \min\left(T^{7/4}, \frac{T^{5/4}}{|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}|}\right)$$

with $1 \ll N \leq M$.

If
$$K < M/10$$
, then $|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| \gg M^{1/2}$ and trivially we have

$$H(N, M, K) \ll \frac{T^{5/4+\varepsilon} NMK}{(NMK)^{3/4}M^{1/2}} \ll T^{5/4+\varepsilon} y^{1/4} \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Similarly if K > 10M, we also have

$$H(N, M, K) \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}$$

Later we always suppose $M \simeq K$. Write

(3.8)
$$H(N, M, K) = H_1(N, M, K) + H_2(N, M, K) + H_3(N, M, K),$$

where

$$\begin{split} H_1(N,M,K) &= T^{7/4} \sum_{0 < |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| \le T^{-1/2}} g, \\ H_2(N,M,K) &= T^{5/4} \sum_{T^{-1/2} < |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| \le (40E^{1/2})^{-1}} \frac{g}{|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}|}, \\ H_3(N,M,K) &= T^{5/4} \sum_{|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| \ge (40E^{1/2})^{-1}} \frac{g}{|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}|}, \\ E &= \max(M,K) \asymp M \asymp K. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.5 we get

(3.9)
$$H_1(N, M, K) \ll \frac{T^{7/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMK)^{3/4}} \mathcal{A}_1(N, M, K; T^{-1/2})$$

 $\ll \frac{T^{7/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMK)^{3/4}} (T^{-1/2}K^{1/2}MN + (MN)^{1/2})$
 $\ll T^{5/4+\varepsilon}y^{1/4} + T^{7/4+\varepsilon}(MN)^{-1/4}K^{-3/4} \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon},$

where we used the estimate $E \gg T^{1/3}$ which follows from Lemma 2.1.

By a splitting argument and Lemma 2.5 we get (notice $\delta \gg K^{-1/2}$)

(3.10)
$$H_3(N, M, K) \ll \frac{T^{5/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMK)^{3/4}\delta} \sum_{\delta < |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| \le 2\delta} 1$$

 $\ll \frac{T^{5/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMK)^{3/4}} K^{1/2} MN \ll T^{5/4+\varepsilon} y^{1/4} \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}.$

Finally we estimate $H_2(N, M, K)$. We consider two cases: $NMK^3 \ll T$ and $NMK^3 \gg T$. If $NMK^3 \ll T$, then by Lemma 2.1 and the estimate

$$\sum_{|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| \le (40E^{1/2})^{-1}} 1 \ll NM$$

we get

(3.11)
$$H_2(N, M, K) \ll \frac{T^{5/4+\varepsilon}K^{3/2}MN}{(NMK)^{3/4}} \ll T^{5/4+\varepsilon}(MN)^{1/4}K^{3/4}$$

 $\ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}.$

Now suppose $NMK^3 \gg T.$ By the splitting argument and Lemma 2.5 again we get

(3.12)
$$H_2(N, M, K) \ll \frac{T^{5/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMK)^{3/4}\delta} \sum_{\delta < |\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k}| \le 2\delta} 1$$
$$\ll \frac{T^{5/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMK)^{3/4}} (K^{1/2}MN + (MN)^{1/2}\delta^{-1})$$
$$\ll T^{5/4+\varepsilon}y^{1/4} + T^{7/4+\varepsilon}(MN)^{-1/4}K^{-3/4} \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Thus from (3.7)-(3.12) we get

(3.13)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_1(x) \, dx \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Now (3.3) follows from (3.4)-(3.6) and (3.13).

4. The fourth-power moment of $\Delta(x)$. In this section we prove Theorem 2. Suppose $T \ge 10$. From (3.1) and the inequality $(a+b)^4 - a^4 \ll |b| |a|^3 + |b|^4$, we get

$$(4.1) \qquad \int_{T}^{2T} \Delta^{4}(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{(\pi\sqrt{2})^{4}} \int_{T}^{2T} \left(\sum(x)\right)^{4} dx + O\left(\frac{T^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{y^{1/2}} \int_{T}^{2T} \left|\sum(x)\right|^{3} dx + \frac{T^{3+\varepsilon}}{y^{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{(\pi\sqrt{2})^{4}} \int_{T}^{2T} \left(\sum(x)\right)^{4} dx + O\left(\frac{T^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{y^{1/2}}\right)$$

for $T^{1/2} \ll y \ll T$. Take $y = T^{3/4}$. We shall prove that

(4.2)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} \left(\sum(x)\right)^4 dx = \frac{3c_2}{8} \int_{T}^{2T} x \, dx + O(T^{2-\delta_2(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon})$$

for any exponent pair (κ, λ) . Theorem 2 follows easily from (4.1), (4.2). Let

 $g_1 = g_1(n,m,k,l) := (nmkl)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k) d(l) \quad \text{ for } n,m,k,l \le y,$ and $g_1 = 0$ otherwise.

Equation (3.4) of Tsang [25] reads

(4.3)
$$\left(\sum(x)\right)^4 = S_3(x) + S_4(x) + S_5(x) + S_6(x),$$

where

$$S_{3}(x) := \frac{3}{8} \sum_{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l}} g_{1}x,$$

$$S_{4}(x) := \frac{3}{8} \sum_{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \neq \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l}} g_{1}x \cos(4\pi(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l})\sqrt{x}),$$

$$S_{5}(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum g_{1}x \sin(4\pi(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l})\sqrt{x}),$$

$$S_{6}(x) := -\frac{1}{8} \sum g_{1}x \cos(4\pi(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l})\sqrt{x}).$$

From (3.7) of [25] we get

(4.4)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_3(x) \, dx = \frac{3c_2}{8} \int_{T}^{2T} x \, dx + O(T^{2-3/16+\varepsilon}).$$

By (3.8) of [25] we get

(4.5)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_6(x) \, dx \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon} y^{1/2} \ll T^{2-1/8+\varepsilon}.$$

Now let us consider the contribution of $S_4(x)$. By the second mean-value theorem we get

(4.6)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_4(x) \, dx \ll \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l \le y\\\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \neq \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l}}} g_1 \min\left(T^2, \frac{T^{3/2}}{|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l}|}\right) \\ \ll T^{\varepsilon} G(N, M, K, L),$$

where

$$G(N, M, K, L) = \sum_{1} g_1 \min\left(T^2, \frac{T^{3/2}}{|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l}|}\right),$$

SC(\sum_1): $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} \neq \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l}, 1 \leq N \leq M \leq y, 1 \leq K \leq L \leq y,$
 $n \sim N, m \sim M, k \sim K, l \sim L.$

If $M \ge 100L$, then $|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l}| \gg M^{1/2}$, so the trivial estimate yields

$$G(N, M, K, L) \ll \frac{T^{3/2 + \varepsilon} NMKL}{(NMKL)^{3/4}M^{1/2}} \ll T^{3/2 + \varepsilon} y^{1/2} \ll T^{2 - 1/8 + \varepsilon}.$$

If L > 100M, we get the same estimate. So later we always suppose that $M \simeq L$. Let $\eta_1 = \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l}$. Write

(4.7)
$$G(N, M, K, L, R) = G_1 + G_2 + G_3,$$

where

$$G_{1} := T^{2} \sum_{|\eta_{1}| \le T^{-1/2}} g_{1},$$

$$G_{2} := T^{3/2} \sum_{T^{-1/2} < |\eta_{1}| \le 1} g_{1} |\eta_{1}|^{-1},$$

$$G_{3} := T^{3/2} \sum_{|\eta_{1}| \gg 1} g_{1} |\eta_{1}|^{-1}.$$

We estimate G_1 first. From $|\eta_1| \leq T^{-1/2}$ we get $M \simeq L \gg T^{1/7}$ via Lemma 2.2. By Lemma 2.6 (suppose $N \leq K$; the case N > K is the same) we get

$$(4.8) \quad G_1 \ll \frac{T^{2+\varepsilon}}{(NMKL)^{3/4}} \mathcal{A}_2(N, M, K, L; T^{-1/2}) \\ \ll \frac{T^{2+\varepsilon}}{(NMKL)^{3/4}} \left(T^{-1/2}L^{1/2}NMK + NL + NKM^{\eta(\kappa,\lambda)}\right) \\ \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}(NMK)^{1/4}L^{-1/4} + T^{2+\varepsilon}N^{1/4}K^{-3/4}L^{-1/2} \\ + T^{2+\varepsilon}(NK)^{1/4}M^{-(3/2-\eta(\kappa,\lambda))} \\ \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}y^{1/2} + T^{2+\varepsilon}L^{-1/2} + T^{2+\varepsilon}M^{-(1-\eta(\kappa,\lambda))} \\ \ll T^{2-1/14+\varepsilon} + T^{2-\delta_2(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon} \ll T^{2-\delta_2(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon}.$$

Now we estimate G_2 . Suppose also $N \leq K$. By a splitting argument and Lemma 2.6 again we see for some $T^{-1/2} \ll \delta < 1$ that

(4.9)
$$G_{2} \ll \frac{T^{3/2+\varepsilon}}{(NMKL)^{3/4}\delta} \mathcal{A}_{2}(N, M, K, L; 2\delta) \\ \ll \frac{T^{3/2+\varepsilon}}{(NMKL)^{3/4}\delta} \left(\delta L^{1/2}NMK + NL + NKM^{\eta(\kappa,\lambda)}\right) \\ \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon}y^{1/2} + T^{3/2+\varepsilon}L^{-1/2}\delta^{-1} + T^{3/2+\varepsilon}M^{-(1-\eta(\kappa,\lambda))}\delta^{-1}.$$

We consider two cases: $M \asymp L \ll T^{1/7}$ and $M \asymp L \gg T^{1/7}$. If $M \ll T^{1/7}$, from Lemma 2.2 we get $\delta^{-1} \ll M^{7/2}$. Thus (4.9) gives

(4.10)
$$G_2 \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon} y^{1/2} + T^{3/2+\varepsilon} M^3 + T^{3/2+\varepsilon} M^{5/2+\eta(\kappa,\lambda)}$$
$$\ll T^{2-\delta_2(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon}.$$

If $M \asymp L \gg T^{1/7}$, using $\delta^{-1} \ll T^{1/2}$ (4.9) yields (4.11) $G_2 \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon} y^{1/2} T^{2+\varepsilon} L^{-1/2} + T^{2+\varepsilon} M^{-(1-\eta(\kappa,\lambda))} \ll T^{2-\delta_2(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon}.$

For G_3 , by a splitting argument and Lemma 2.6 again (notice $|\eta_1| \gg 1$) we get

(4.12)
$$G_3 \ll \frac{T^{3/2+\varepsilon}}{(NMKL)^{3/4}\delta} \sum_{\delta < |\eta_1| \le 2\delta, \, \delta \gg 1} 1$$

 $\ll \frac{T^{3/2+\varepsilon}}{(NMKL)^{3/4}} L^{1/2} NMK \ll T^{3/2+\varepsilon} y^{1/2} \ll T^{2-1/8+\varepsilon}.$

Combining (4.7)–(4.12), we get

(4.13)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_4(x) \, dx \ll T^{2-\delta_2(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon}.$$

In the same way we can show that

(4.14)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_5(x) \, dx \ll T^{2-\delta_2(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon}$$

if we use Lemma 2.7 instead of Lemma 2.6. Now (4.2) follows from (4.4), (4.5), (4.13) and (4.14).

5. The fifth-power moment of $\Delta(x)$. In this section we prove Theorem 3. Suppose $T \geq 10$. From (3.1) and the inequality $(a + b)^5 - a^5 \ll |b|a^4 + |b|^5$, we get

(5.1)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} \Delta^{5}(x) dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{(\pi\sqrt{2})^{5}} \int_{T}^{2T} \left(\sum(x)\right)^{5} dx + O\left(\frac{T^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{y^{1/2}} \int_{T}^{2T} \left(\sum(x)\right)^{4} dx + \frac{T^{7/2+\varepsilon}}{y^{5/2}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(\pi\sqrt{2})^{5}} \int_{T}^{2T} \left(\sum(x)\right)^{5} dx + O\left(\frac{T^{5/2+\varepsilon}}{y^{1/2}}\right)$$

for $T^{1/2} \ll y \ll T$. Take $y = T^{3/5}$. We shall prove

(5.2)
$$\frac{1}{(\pi\sqrt{2})^5} \int_T^{2T} \left(\sum(x)\right)^5 dx = \frac{5(2c_3 - c_4)}{288\pi^5} T^{9/4} + O(T^{9/4 - \delta_3(\kappa,\lambda) + \varepsilon}),$$

where (κ, λ) is any exponent pair with $4\lambda + \kappa < 3$. Theorem 2 follows easily from (5.1), (5.2).

Let

$$g_2 = g_2(n, m, k, l, r)$$

:= $(nmklr)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k) d(l) d(r)$ for $n, m, k, l, r \le y$,

and $g_2 = 0$ otherwise.

Similar to equation (2.7) of Tsang [25], we can write

(5.3)
$$\left(\sum(x)\right)^5 = S_7(x) + S_8(x) + S_9(x) + S_{10}(x) + S_{11}(x),$$

where

$$S_{7}(x) := \frac{5\cos(\pi/4)}{8} \sum_{\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}=\sqrt{l}+\sqrt{r}} g_{2}x^{5/4},$$

$$S_{8}(x) := \frac{5}{8} \sum_{\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}\neq\sqrt{l}+\sqrt{r}} g_{2}x^{5/4}$$

$$\times \cos(4\pi(\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}-\sqrt{l}-\sqrt{r})\sqrt{x}-\pi/4),$$

$$S_{9}(x) := \frac{5\cos(-3\pi/4)}{16} \sum_{\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}+\sqrt{l}=\sqrt{r}} g_{2}x^{5/4},$$

$$S_{10}(x) := \frac{5}{16} \sum_{\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}+\sqrt{l}\neq\sqrt{r}} g_{2}x^{5/4}$$

$$\times \cos(4\pi(\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}+\sqrt{l}-\sqrt{r})\sqrt{x}-3\pi/4),$$

$$S_{11}(x) := \frac{1}{16} \sum g_{2}x^{5/4} \cos(4\pi(\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}+\sqrt{l}+\sqrt{r})\sqrt{x}-5\pi/4)$$

Let us consider the sum $S_7(x)$ first. The classical result of Besicovitch says that the square roots of squarefree numbers are linearly independent over the integers. From this result we know that $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{l} + \sqrt{r}$ if and only if (n, m, k, l, r) satisfies one of the following cases:

So in the sum

$$\sum_{\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}=\sqrt{l}+\sqrt{r}} g_2$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l,r \leq y\\\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}=\sqrt{l}+\sqrt{r}}} (nmklr)^{-3/4} d(n)d(m)d(k)d(l)d(r),$$

if we let the variables n, m, k, l, r run over all natural numbers, the error is

$$\ll \sum_{n>y} n^{-3/2} d^2(n) + \left| \sum_{\substack{n,m,k \le y \\ \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{k}}} (nmk)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k) - c_1 \right|$$

+
$$\sum_{\substack{n^2h>y, l^2h\gg y \\ n^{2}h>y, l^{2}h\gg y}} h^{-15/4} (nmklr)^{-3/2} d(n^2) d(m^2) d(k^2) d(l^2) d(r^2) d^5(h)$$

$$\ll y^{-1/2+\varepsilon} + \sum_{\substack{n^2h>y, l^{2}h\gg y \\ n^{2}h\gg y}} h^{-15/4} (nl)^{-3/2} d(n^2) d(l^2) d^5(h)$$

$$\ll y^{-1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Thus we get

(5.4)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_7(x) \, dx = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{16} \, c_3 \int_{T}^{2T} x^{5/4} \, dx + O(T^{9/4 - 3/10 + \varepsilon}).$$

Similarly, we get

(5.5)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_{9}(x) \, dx = -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32} \, c_{4} \, \int_{T}^{2T} x^{5/4} \, dx + O(T^{9/4 - 3/10 + \varepsilon}).$$

The contribution of $S_{11}(x)$ is

(5.6)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_{11}(x) dx \ll \sum_{n,m,k,l,r \le y} \frac{g_2 T^{7/4}}{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l} + \sqrt{r}} \\ \ll T^{7/4+\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{n \le m \le k \le l \le r \le y \\ \ll T^{7/4+\varepsilon} y^{3/4} \ll T^{11/5+\varepsilon}} (nmklr)^{-3/4} r^{-1/2}$$

Now let us consider the contribution of $S_8(x)$. By the second mean-value theorem we get

(5.7)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_8(x) dx \\ \ll \sum_{\substack{n,m,k,l,r \le y\\\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k} \ne \sqrt{l}+\sqrt{r}}} g_2 \min\left(T^{9/4}, \frac{T^{7/4}}{|\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{k}-\sqrt{l}-\sqrt{r}|}\right) \\ \ll T^{\varepsilon} F(N, M, K, L, R),$$

where

$$F(N, M, K, L, R) = \sum_{2} g_{2} \min\left(T^{9/4}, \frac{T^{7/4}}{|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{r}|}\right),$$

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{SC}(\sum_2): \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} \neq \sqrt{l} + \sqrt{r}, \ 1 \leq N \leq M \leq K \leq y, \ 1 \leq L \leq R \leq y, \\ & n \sim N, \ m \sim M, \ k \sim K, \ l \sim L, \ r \sim R. \end{split}$$

If R < K/100, then $|\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{r}| \gg K^{1/2}$, so the trivial estimate yields

$$F(N, M, K, L, R) \ll \frac{T^{7/4 + \varepsilon} NMKLR}{(NMKLR)^{3/4} K^{1/2}} \ll T^{7/4 + \varepsilon} y^{3/4} \ll T^{11/5 + \varepsilon} y^{3/4}$$

If R > 100K, we get the same estimate. So later we always suppose that $R \simeq K$. Let $\eta_2 = \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} - \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{r}$. Write

(5.8)
$$F(N, M, K, L, R) = F_1 + F_2 + F_3,$$

where

$$F_{1} := T^{9/4} \sum_{|\eta_{2}| \le T^{-1/2}} g_{2},$$

$$F_{2} := T^{7/4} \sum_{T^{-1/2} < |\eta_{2}| \le 1} g_{2} |\eta_{2}|^{-1},$$

$$F_{3} := T^{7/4} \sum_{|\eta_{2}| \gg 1} g_{2} |\eta_{2}|^{-1}.$$

We estimate F_1 first. From $|\eta_2| \leq T^{-1/2}$ we get $R \gg T^{1/15}$ via Lemma 2.3. By Lemma 2.8 (suppose $M \leq L$; the case L < M is the same) we get

(5.9)
$$F_{1} \ll \frac{T^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMKLR)^{3/4}} \mathcal{A}_{4}(N, M, K, L, R; T^{-1/2}) \\ \ll \frac{T^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMKLR)^{3/4}} (T^{-1/2}R^{1/2}NMKL + R(MN)^{1/2} + NMLK^{\eta(\kappa,\lambda)})$$

$$\ll T^{7/4+\varepsilon} y^{3/4} + \frac{T^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{(MN)^{1/4} L^{3/4} R^{1/2}} + \frac{T^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{R^{3/4-\eta(\kappa,\lambda)}} \\ \ll T^{9/4-1/30+\varepsilon} + T^{9/4-\delta_3(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon} \ll T^{9/4-\delta_3(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon}.$$

Now we estimate F_2 . Suppose also $M \leq L$. By a splitting argument and Lemma 2.8 again we infer for some $T^{-1/2} \ll \delta < 1$ that

(5.10)
$$F_2 \ll \frac{T^{7/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMKLR)^{3/4}\delta} \mathcal{A}_2(N, M, K, L, R; 2\delta) \\ \ll T^{7/4+\varepsilon} y^{3/4} + \frac{T^{7/4+\varepsilon}}{(MN)^{1/4} L^{3/4} R^{1/2} \delta} + \frac{T^{7/4+\varepsilon}}{R^{3/4-\eta(\kappa,\lambda)} \delta}.$$

We consider two cases: $K \asymp R \ll T^{1/15}$ and $K \asymp R \gg T^{1/15}$. If $R \ll T^{1/15}$,

from Lemma 2.3 we get $\delta^{-1} \ll M^{15/2}$. Thus (5.10) gives

(5.11)
$$F_2 \ll T^{7/4+\varepsilon} y^{3/4} + T^{7/4+\varepsilon} R^7 + T^{7/4+\varepsilon} R^{27/4+\eta(\kappa,\lambda)} \\ \ll T^{9/4-1/30+\varepsilon} + T^{2-\delta_3(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon} \ll T^{9/4-\delta_3(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon}.$$

If $R \gg T^{1/15}$, using $\delta^{-1} \ll T^{1/2}$ and (5.10) yields

(5.12)
$$F_2 \ll T^{7/4+\varepsilon} y^{3/4} + \frac{T^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{R^{1/2}} + \frac{T^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{R^{3/4-\eta(\kappa,\lambda)}} \ll T^{9/4-\delta_3(\kappa,\lambda)+\varepsilon}.$$

For F_3 , by a splitting argument and Lemma 2.8 again (notice $|\eta_2| \gg 1$) we get

(5.13)
$$F_3 \ll \frac{T^{7/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMKLR)^{3/4}\delta} \sum_{\delta < |\eta| \le 2\delta, \, \delta \gg 1} 1$$
$$\ll \frac{T^{7/4+\varepsilon}}{(NMKLR)^{3/4}} R^{1/2} NMKL$$
$$\ll T^{7/4+\varepsilon} y^{3/4} \ll T^{11/5+\varepsilon}.$$

Combining (5.7)–(5.13), we get

(5.14)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_8(x) \, dx \ll T^{9/4 - \delta_3(\kappa, \lambda) + \varepsilon}$$

In the same way we can show that

(5.15)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_{10}(x) dx \ll T^{9/4 - \delta_3(\kappa, \lambda) + \varepsilon}$$

if we use Lemma 2.9 instead of Lemma 2.8.

Now (5.2) follows from (5.4)-(5.6), (5.14) and (5.15).

6. Proofs of Theorems 4–9. P(x) has the following truncated Voronoï formula:

(6.1)
$$P(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \le y} r(n) n^{-3/4} x^{1/4} \cos(4\pi\sqrt{nx} + \pi/4) + O(x^{1/2 + \varepsilon} y^{-1/2})$$

for $1 \le y \ll x$, which follows from Lemma 3 of Müller [22]. A(x) has the following truncated Voronoï formula:

(6.2)
$$A(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2}} x^{\kappa/2-1/4} \sum_{n \le y} a(n) n^{-\kappa/2-1/4} \cos(4\pi\sqrt{nx} - \pi/4) + O(x^{\kappa/2+\varepsilon} y^{-1/2})$$

for $1 \leq y \ll x$, which is a special case of Theorem 1.1 of Jutila [16]. $\Delta_a(x)$

has the following truncated Voronoï formula [18]:

(6.3)
$$\Delta_a(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \le y} \sigma_a(n) n^{-3/4 - a/2} x^{1/4 + a/2} \cos(4\pi\sqrt{nx} - \pi/4) + O(x^{1/2 + \varepsilon} y^{-1/2})$$

for $1 \le y \ll x$. So by the same arguments of $\Delta(x)$, we get Theorems 5–9 immediately. Note that in the proofs of Theorems 8 and 9, only the exponent pair (1/2, 1/2) was used.

Now we prove Theorem 4. We shall follow Ivić [13]. Let

(6.4)
$$\Delta^*(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \le 4x} (-1)^n d(n) - x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1), \quad x \ge 1.$$

Then for $1 \ll N \ll x$, we have [13, (7)]

(6.5)
$$\Delta^*(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \le N} (-1)^n d(n) n^{-3/4} x^{1/4} \cos(4\pi\sqrt{nx} - \pi/4) + O(x^{1/2 + \varepsilon} N^{-1/2}).$$

Jutila [15] proved that

(6.6)
$$\int_{0}^{T} \left(E(t) - 2\pi \Delta^{*} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right) \right)^{2} dt \ll T^{4/3} \log^{3} T,$$

which means that E(t) is well approximated by $2\pi \Delta^*(t/2\pi)$ at least in the mean square sense.

Ivić [13] proved that

(6.7)
$$\int_{0}^{T} E^{3}(t) dt = (2\pi)^{4} \int_{0}^{T/2\pi} (\Delta^{*}(t))^{3} dt + O(T^{5/3} \log^{3/2} T),$$

(6.8)
$$\int_{0}^{T} E^{4}(t) dt = (2\pi)^{5} \int_{0}^{T/2\pi} (\Delta^{*}(t))^{4} dt + O(T^{23/12} \log^{3/2} T).$$

Using Ivić's argument we can get

(6.9)
$$\int_{0}^{T} E^{5}(t) dt = (2\pi)^{6} \int_{0}^{T/2\pi} (\Delta^{*}(t))^{5} dt + O(T^{13/6} \log^{3/2} T).$$

We need the estimates

(6.10)
$$\int_{0}^{T} |E(t)|^{A} dt \ll T^{1+A/4}, \quad \int_{0}^{T} |\Delta^{*}(t)|^{A} dt \ll T^{1+A/4} \quad (0 \le A \le 9),$$

which follow from Heath-Brown [9].

By (6.6), (6.10) and Cauchy's inequality we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{T} E^{5}(t) \, dt - (2\pi)^{6} \int_{0}^{T/2\pi} (\Delta^{*}(t))^{5} \, dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{T} \left(E^{5}(t) - \left(2\pi \Delta^{*} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right) \right)^{5} \right) dt \\ &\ll \int_{0}^{T} \left| E(t) - 2\pi \Delta^{*} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right) \right| \left(E^{4}(t) + \Delta^{*} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{4} \right) dt \\ &\ll \left\{ \int_{0}^{T} \left| E(t) - 2\pi \Delta^{*} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right) \right|^{2} dt \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{0}^{T} \left(E^{8}(t) + \Delta^{*} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{8} \right) dt \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\ll (T^{4/3} \log^{3} T)^{1/2} T^{3/2} \ll T^{13/6} \log^{3/2} T, \end{split}$$

that is, (6.9) holds.

Now the problem is reduced to evaluating the integral $\int_0^T (\Delta^*(t))^k dt$ (k = 3, 4, 5). By the same arguments as those for $\Delta(x)$, we get

(6.11)
$$\int_{0}^{T} (\Delta^{*}(t))^{3} dt = \frac{3c_{1}^{*}}{28\pi^{3}} T^{7/4} + O(T^{3/2+\varepsilon}),$$

(6.12)
$$\int_{0}^{T} (\Delta^{*}(t))^{4} dt = \frac{3c_{2}^{*}}{64\pi^{4}} T^{2} + O(T^{2-2/41}),$$

(6.13)
$$\int_{0}^{T} (\Delta^{*}(t))^{5} dt = \frac{5(2c_{3}^{*} - c_{4}^{*})}{288\pi^{5}} T^{9/4} + O(T^{9/4 - 5/816}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} c_1^* &:= \sum_{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{k}} (-1)^{n+m+k} (nmk)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k), \\ c_2^* &:= \sum_{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} = \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l}} (-1)^{n+m+k+l} (nmkl)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k) d(l), \\ c_3^* &:= \sum_{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{l} + \sqrt{r}} (-1)^{n+m+k+l+r} (nmklr)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k) d(l) d(r), \\ c_4^* &:= \sum_{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} + \sqrt{l} = \sqrt{r}} (-1)^{n+m+k+l+r} (nmklr)^{-3/4} d(n) d(m) d(k) d(l) d(r). \end{split}$$

Ivić [13] proved that $c_1^* = c_1$, $c_2^* = c_2$. Now we prove that $c_3^* = c_3$. Suppose $(n, m, k, l, r) \in \mathbb{N}^5$ is such that $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{l} + \sqrt{r}$. We shall prove that $n + m + k + l + r \in 2\mathbb{N}$. In Section 4 we concluded that (n, m, k, l, r) must satisfy one of Cases 1.1 to 1.6 or Case 2. We only consider Case 1.1 and Case 2. Suppose n = l. Then $\sqrt{m} + \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{r}$. By the result of Besicovitch again we get

$$m=\alpha^2 h, \quad k=\beta^2 h, \quad r=\gamma^2 h, \quad \alpha+\beta=\gamma.$$

Hence $n+m+k+l+r=2n+h(2\alpha^2+2\beta^2+2\alpha\beta)\in 2\mathbb{N}$. Now suppose that (n,m,k,l,r) satisfies Case 2. Then

 $n = n_*^2 h$, $m = m_*^2 h$, $k = k_*^2 h$, $l = l_*^2 h$, $r = r_*^2 h$, $n_* + m_* + k_* = l_* + r_*$. Using the simple congruence $n^2 \equiv n \pmod{2}$, we get

$$n + m + k + l + r = (n_*^2 + m_*^2 + k_*^2 + l_*^2 + r_*^2)h$$

$$\equiv (n_* + m_* + k_* + l_* + r_*)h$$

$$= (2l_* + 2r_*)h \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

that is, $n + m + k + l + r \in 2\mathbb{N}$. Thus $c_3^* = c_3$. Similarly we get $c_4^* = c_4$. Now Theorem 4 follows from (6.7)–(6.9), (6.11)–(6.13).

References

- F. V. Atkinson, The mean value of the Riemann zeta-function, Acta Math. 81 (1949), 353–376.
- [2] Y. C. Cai, On the third and fourth power moments of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.) 13 (1997), 443–452.
- [3] K. Corrádi and I. Kátai, A comment on K. S. Ganggadharan's paper entitled "Two classical lattice point problems", Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Fiz. Oszt. Közl. 17 (1967), 89–97.
- [4] P. Erdős and P. Turán, On a problem in the theory of uniform distribution I, II, Indag. Math. 10 (1948), 370–378, 406–413.
- [5] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 126, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [6] J. L. Hafner, New omega theorems for two classical lattice point problems, Invent. Math. 63 (1981), 181–186.
- [7] J. L. Hafner and A. Ivić, On the mean-square of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line, J. Number Theory 32 (1989), 151–191.
- D. R. Heath-Brown, The mean value theorem for the Riemann zeta-function, Mathematika 25 (1978), 177–184.
- [9] —, The distribution and moments of the error term in the Dirichlet divisor problem, Acta Arith. 60 (1992), 389–415.
- [10] M. N. Huxley, Exponential sums and lattice points II, Proc. London Math. Soc. 66 (1993), 279–301.
- [11] A. Ivić, Lectures on Mean Values of the Riemann Zeta-function, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Lectures on Math. and Phys. 82, Bombay, 1991.
- [12] —, Large values of certain number-theoretic error terms, Acta Arith. 56 (1990), 135–159.

- [13] A. Ivić, On some problems involving the mean square of ζ(¹/₂ + it), Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math. 23 (1998), 71–76.
- [14] M. Jutila, Riemann's zeta-function and the divisor problem I, II, Ark. Mat. 21 (1983), 75–96 and 31 (1993), 61–70.
- [15] —, On a formula of Atkinson, in: Topics in Classical Number Theory, Vol. I, II (Budapest, 1981), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 34, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 807–823.
- [16] —, Lectures on a Method in the Theory of Exponential Sums, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Lectures on Math. and Phys. 80, Bombay, 1987.
- [17] I. Kátai, The number of lattice points in a circle, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. 8 (1965), 39–60 (in Russian).
- [18] I. Kiuchi, On an exponential sum involving the arithmetic function $\sigma_a(n)$, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 29 (1987), 193–205.
- [19] E. Krätzel, Lattice Points, Deutsch. Verlag Wiss., Berlin, 1988.
- [20] T. Meurman, The mean square of the error term in a generalization of Dirichlet's divisor problem, Acta Arith. 74 (1996), 351–364.
- [21] —, On the mean square of the Riemann zeta-function, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.
 (2) 38 (1987), 337–343.
- [22] W. Müller, On the asymptotic behaviour of the ideal counting function in quadratic number fields, Monatsh. Math. 108 (1989), 301–323.
- R. A. Rankin, Van der Corput's method and the theory of exponent pairs, Quart. J. Math. (2) 6 (1955), 147–153.
- [24] K. C. Tong, On divisor problem III, Acta Math. Sinica 6 (1956), 515–541.
- [25] K.-M. Tsang, Higher-power moments of $\Delta(x)$, E(t) and P(x), Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 65 (1992), 65–84.
- [26] G. Voronoï, Sur une fonction transcendante et ses applications à la sommation de quelques séries, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) 21 (1904), 207–267, 459–533.

Department of Mathematics Shandong Normal University Jinan, 250014, Shandong, P.R. China E-mail: zhaiwg@hotmail.com

> Received on 21.3.2003 and in revised form on 2.6.2003

(4496)