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1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite field of $q$ elements, where $q=p^{r}$ and $p$ is the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a nonzero polynomial in $n$ variables over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and let $N(f=0)$ denote the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational points on the affine hypersurface $f=0$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, that is,

$$
N(f=0)=\#\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \mid f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0\right\}
$$

One of the main objectives of arithmetic of finite fields is to study the value of $N(f=0)$. In general, it is difficult to give an explicit formula for $N(f=0)$. Hence there are various estimates for $N(f=0)$. The degree of $f$, denoted $\operatorname{deg} f$, plays an important role in these estimates. An elementary upper bound for $N(f=0)$ (see [10, p. 147]) is

$$
N(f=0) \leq q^{n-1} \operatorname{deg} f
$$

Let $\operatorname{ord}_{p}$ be the $p$-adic additive valuation normalized so that $\operatorname{ord}_{p} p=1$. The classical Chevalley-Warning theorem asserts that $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(N(f=0)) \geq 1$ if $n>\operatorname{deg} f$. Further, Ax [2] showed that

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}(N(f=0)) \geq r\left\lceil\frac{n-\operatorname{deg} f}{\operatorname{deg} f}\right\rceil
$$

Ax's result was extended by Katz [6] to a system of equations. Note that in [12] Katz's theorem was proved by using elementary methods based on Gauss sums, which is also a useful tool adopted in this paper. The Chevalley-Warning-Ax-Katz-type estimates can be improved in many special cases; see $[1,4,5,9]$.

However, the effort to find the formula for $N(f=0)$ under certain conditions has never been given up. By observing that the explicit formula for $N(f=0)$ can be obtained if the degrees of the variables in $f$ satisfy

[^0]certain conditions, Sun [11] gave the following result. Its generalizations as well as a short proof to them are given in [3]. In what follows, let det denote the determinant of a square matrix and $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ be the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Theorem 1.1 (see [11, Theorem 2] or [3, Theorem 1.1]). Suppose

$$
f=a_{1} x_{1}^{d_{11}} x_{2}^{d_{21}} \cdots x_{n}^{d_{n 1}}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{1}^{d_{1 n}} x_{2}^{d_{2 n}} \cdots x_{n}^{d_{n n}}
$$

where $d_{i j}>0, a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. Let $D=\left(d_{i j}\right)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. If $\operatorname{gcd}(\operatorname{det}(D), q-1)=1$, then for $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ we have

$$
N(f=b)= \begin{cases}q^{n}-(q-1)^{n}+\frac{(q-1)^{n}+(-1)^{n}(q-1)}{q} & \text { if } b=0 \\ \frac{(q-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{q} & \text { if } b \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

For the so-called "triangular equations", Wang and Sun [14] showed
Theorem 1.2 (see [14, Corollary]). Suppose

$$
f=a_{1} x_{1}^{d_{11}}+a_{2} x_{1}^{d_{12}} x_{2}^{d_{22}}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{1}^{d_{1 n}} x_{2}^{d_{2 n}} \cdots x_{n}^{d_{n n}}
$$

where $d_{i j}>0, \operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{11} d_{22} \cdots d_{n n}, q-1\right)=1, a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. Then

$$
N(f=b)= \begin{cases}(-1)^{n-1}+2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-k-1} q^{k} & \text { if } b=0 \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-k-1} q^{k} & \text { if } b \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

It is known that the coefficient matrix of a system of linear equations can be used to find the solutions of the system. Inspired by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 , we will show that in some special cases the matrix formed by the degrees of the variables of a "nonlinear" equation over finite fields can be used to count the number of solutions of the equation. Thus the concept of degree matrix of a given polynomial naturally arises.

Let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}$ be $m$ distinct lattice points in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}$. For $D_{j}=\left(d_{1 j}, \ldots, d_{n j}\right)$, write $x^{D_{j}}=x_{1}^{d_{1 j}} \cdots x_{n}^{d_{n j}}$. Let $f$ be written in the form

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} x^{D_{j}}, \quad a_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}
$$

The degree matrix of $f$, denoted $D_{f}$, is defined to be the $n \times m$ matrix

$$
D_{f}=\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}\right)=\left(d_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}
$$

where each $D_{j}$ is written as a column vector.
This paper will give the formulae for $N(f=0)$ provided the degree $\operatorname{matrix} D_{f}$ satisfies certain conditions. Note that in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 the requirements for $D_{f}$ are similar: a) all the entries in $D_{f}$ are nonnegative integers, which case will be denoted by $D_{f} \geq 0$ for short; b) $D_{f}$ is a square
matrix, i.e. $n=m$; and c) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(D_{f}\right), q-1\right)=1$, which means that $\operatorname{det}\left(D_{f}\right)$ is invertible in the residue ring $\mathbb{Z} /(q-1)$. The main theorem of this paper, Theorem 2.1 in the next section, will weaken these constraints and hence cover more general cases than Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.
2. The main result. Let us start by deriving a well known formula for $N(f=0)$ in terms of Gauss sums. To do this, some knowledge of $p$-adic analysis and character sums of finite fields are needed; those unfamiliar with these subjects should consult the standard references [7] and [8].

Let $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ be the field of $p$-adic numbers and let $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ be the completion of an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Let $\chi$ be the Teichmüller character of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. For $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, the value $\chi(a)$ is just the $(q-1)$ th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ such that $\chi(a)$ modulo $p$ reduces to $a$. Define the $(q-2)$ Gauss sums over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ by

$$
G(k)=\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \chi(a)^{-k} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}(a)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq q-2
$$

where $\zeta_{p}$ is a primitive $p$ th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}$ denotes the trace map from $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ to the prime field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. We claim that for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, the Gauss sums satisfy the following interpolation relation:

$$
\zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}(a)}=\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \frac{G(k)}{q-1} \chi(a)^{k},
$$

where

$$
G(0)=q-1, \quad G(q-1)=-q
$$

In fact, by the Vandermonde determinant, there are numbers $C(k)(0 \leq k \leq$ $q-1)$ such that for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, one has

$$
\zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}(a)}=\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \frac{C(k)}{q-1} \chi(a)^{k} .
$$

It suffices to prove that $C(k)=G(k)$ for all $k$. Taking $a=0$, one finds that $C(0) /(q-1)=1$. This proves that $C(0)=q-1=G(0)$. For $1 \leq k \leq q-2$, one computes that

$$
G(k)=\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \chi(a)^{-k} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}(a)}=\frac{C(k)}{q-1}(q-1)=C(k)
$$

Finally,

$$
0=\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}(a)}=\frac{C(0)}{q-1} q+\frac{C(q-1)}{q-1}(q-1)
$$

This gives $C(q-1)=-q=G(q-1)$. The claim is proved.

With the notation as introduced in Section 1 , write $\widetilde{D}_{j}=\left(1, D_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$. Then

$$
x_{0} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} x^{\widetilde{D}_{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} x_{0} x_{1}^{d_{1 j}} \cdots x_{n}^{d_{n j}}
$$

where $x$ now has $n+1$ variables $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$.
Let $R=\{0,1, \ldots, q-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $R^{m}=\prod_{j=1}^{m} R$ be the direct product of $R$. For any $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}\right) \in R^{m}$, define

$$
\sigma(k)=\#\left\{1 \leq j \leq m \mid k_{j}>0\right\}
$$

and let $s(k)$ be the number of nonzero entries in $k_{1} \widetilde{D}_{1}+\cdots+k_{m} \widetilde{D}_{m}$. Let $d_{0 j}=1$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. Then we have

$$
s(k)=\#\left\{0 \leq i \leq n \mid k_{j} d_{i j}>0 \text { for some } 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}
$$

Using the formula

$$
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi(t)^{k}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }(q-1) \nmid k \\ q-1 & \text { if }(q-1) \mid k \text { and } k>0 \\ q & \text { if } k=0\end{cases}
$$

one then calculates that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q N(f=0) & =\sum_{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(x_{0} f(x)\right)}=\sum_{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{j} x^{\tilde{D}_{j}}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k_{j}=0}^{q-1} \frac{G\left(k_{j}\right)}{q-1} \chi\left(a_{j}\right)^{k_{j}} \chi\left(x^{\widetilde{D}_{j}}\right)^{k_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{m}=0}^{q-1}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{G\left(k_{j}\right)}{q-1} \chi\left(a_{j}\right)^{k_{j}}\right)_{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \chi\left(x^{k_{1} \widetilde{D}_{1}+\cdots+k_{m} \widetilde{D}_{m}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{m} k_{j} \widetilde{D}_{j} \equiv 0(\bmod q-1)} \frac{(q-1)^{s(k)} q^{n+1-s(k)}}{(q-1)^{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \chi\left(a_{j}\right)^{k_{j}} G\left(k_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above deduction can also be found in [13]. Now we can state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. With the notation as above, if there is an $m \times m(m \leq n)$ submatrix of $D_{f}$ with determinant coprime to $q-1$, then $R=\{0, q-1\}$ and $N(f=b)$

$$
= \begin{cases}\sum_{k \in R^{m}}(-1)^{\sigma(k)}(q-1)^{s(k)-\sigma(k)} q^{n-s(k)+\sigma(k)} & \text { if } b=0 \\ q^{n}(q-1)^{-1}-\sum_{k \in R^{m}}(-1)^{\sigma(k)}(q-1)^{s(k)-\sigma(k)-1} q^{n-s(k)+\sigma(k)} & \text { if } b \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

In this case, for any $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ the value of $N(f=b)$ is completely determined by the degree matrix $D_{f}$.

Proof. Suppose there exists an $m \times m$ submatrix of $D_{f}$ whose determinant is coprime to $q-1$. Then from linear algebra, we know that the following congruence system has only the zero solution, i.e., $k_{1} \equiv \cdots \equiv k_{m} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod q-1)$ :

$$
k_{1} \widetilde{D}_{1}+\cdots+k_{m} \widetilde{D}_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod q-1)
$$

Note that $\chi\left(a_{j}\right)^{0}=\chi\left(a_{j}\right)^{q-1}=1$ for $a_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and $G(0)=q-1, G(q-1)=-q$. Thus we have

$$
N(f=0)=\sum_{k \in R^{m}}(-1)^{\sigma(k)}(q-1)^{s(k)-\sigma(k)} q^{n-s(k)+\sigma(k)} .
$$

Now let $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. It is easy to see that the degree matrix $D_{f-b}$ is obtained from $D_{f}$ by adjoining $(1,0, \ldots, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$ as the right most column. Consider the congruence system

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1  \tag{2.1}\\
d_{11} & \cdots & d_{1 m} & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
d_{n 1} & \cdots & d_{n m} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
k_{1} \\
\vdots \\
k_{m} \\
k_{m+1}
\end{array}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q-1)
$$

By the last $n$ congruences of (2.1) and the previous discussion, we have $k_{1} \equiv \cdots \equiv k_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod q-1)$. So $k_{m+1} \equiv 0(\bmod q-1)$ by the first congruence of (2.1). This shows that the congruence system (2.1) also has only the zero solution. Thus the value of $N(f=b)$ is completely determined by the degree matrix $D_{f-b}$, and in particular does not depend on the choice of the concrete value of $b$. So $N(f=b)=\left(q^{n}-N(f=0)\right) /(q-1)$. The result follows.
3. Corollaries. Finally, we give two corollaries to Theorem 2.1, which generalize Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 respectively. For the convenience of discussion, we introduce some notation. For a zero vector $k=(0, \ldots, 0) \in R^{m}$, we simply write $k=0$. Observe that

$$
N(f=0)=\left(\sum_{k=0}+\sum_{k \in R^{m} \backslash\{0\}}\right)(-1)^{\sigma(k)}(q-1)^{s(k)-\sigma(k)} q^{n-s(k)+\sigma(k)}
$$

Let $N_{0}(f=0)$ denote the former sum on the right side and $N_{*}(f=0)$ the latter. Clearly, for $k=0$ we get $s(k)=\sigma(k)=0$, implying $N_{0}(f=0)=q^{n}$. Thus $N(f=0)=q^{n}+N_{*}(f=0)$. So we only need to calculate $N_{*}(f=0)$.

Corollary 3.1. With the notation as before, if $D_{f}>0$ and there exists an $m \times m$ submatrix of $D_{f}$ whose determinant is coprime to $q-1$, then

$$
N(f=b)= \begin{cases}q^{n}-q^{-1}(q-1)^{n-m+1}\left((q-1)^{m}-(-1)^{m}\right) & \text { if } b=0 \\ q^{-1}(q-1)^{n-m}\left((q-1)^{m}-(-1)^{m}\right) & \text { if } b \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

In particular, if $n=m$, then

$$
N(f=b)= \begin{cases}q^{n}-q^{-1}(q-1)\left((q-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}\right) & \text { if } b=0 \\ q^{-1}\left((q-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}\right) & \text { if } b \neq 0 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Clearly, $s(k)=n+1$ for any $k \in R^{m} \backslash\{0\}$. Then by Theorem 2.1 and the binomial theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{*}(f=0) & =\sum_{\sigma(k)=1}^{m}(-1)^{\sigma(k)}(q-1)^{n+1-\sigma(k)} q^{n-(n+1)+\sigma(k)} \\
& =q^{-1}(q-1)^{n-m+1} \sum_{\sigma(k)=1}^{m}(q-1)^{m-\sigma(k)}(-q)^{\sigma(k)} \\
& =-q^{-1}(q-1)^{n-m+1}\left((q-1)^{m}-(-1)^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The other statements follow.
Corollary 3.2. With the notation as before, suppose that $D_{f}$ is of the form

$$
D_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 
& M & \\
d_{n-m+1,1} & \cdots & d_{n-m+1, m} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & d_{n m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M>0$ is an $(n-m) \times m$ matrix and the submatrix $\left(d_{i j}\right)_{n-m+1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ is upper triangular with $d_{i j}=0$ for $i-j>n-m$ and $d_{i j}>0$ otherwise. If there exists an $m \times m$ submatrix of $D_{f}$ whose determinant is coprime to $q-1$, then
$N(f=b)= \begin{cases}q^{n}+(q+1)^{-1}(1-q)^{n-m+1}\left((-1)^{n-m} q^{m}-(-1)^{n}\right) & \text { if } b=0, \\ (q+1)^{-1}(1-q)^{n-m}\left((-1)^{n-m} q^{m}-(-1)^{n}\right) & \text { if } b \neq 0 .\end{cases}$
In particular, if $n=m$, then

$$
N(f=b)= \begin{cases}q^{n}+(q+1)^{-1}(1-q)\left(q^{n}-(-1)^{n}\right) & \text { if } b=0 \\ (q+1)^{-1}\left(q^{n}-(-1)^{n}\right) & \text { if } b \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $l=n-m$. For a $k \in R^{m} \backslash\{0\}, s(k)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
s(k)=l+1+\max \left\{1 \leq j \leq m \mid k_{j}>0\right\}
$$

For a fixed $s(k)$, only the entries in the set of $\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s(k)-l-1}\right\}$ can take nonzero values and $k_{s(k)-l-1}$ must be nonzero. Thus by Theorem 2.1 and the binomial theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{*}(f=0) \\
& =\sum_{s(k)=l+2}^{n+1} \sum_{\sigma(k)=1}^{s(k)-l-1}\binom{s(k)-l-2}{\sigma(k)-1}(-1)^{\sigma(k)}(q-1)^{s(k)-\sigma(k)} q^{n-s(k)+\sigma(k)} \\
& =\sum_{s(k)=l+2}^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{s(k)-l-2}\binom{s(k)-l-2}{i}(-1)^{i+1}(q-1)^{s(k)-i-1} q^{n-s(k)+i+1} \\
& =\sum_{s(k)=l+2}^{n+1}(-1)(q-1)^{l+1} q^{n-s(k)+1} \sum_{i=0}^{s(k)-l-2}\binom{s(k)-l-2}{i}(q-1)^{s(k)-l-2-i}(-q)^{i} \\
& =(1-q)^{l+1} q^{n+1} \sum_{s(k)=l+2}^{n+1}\left(-q^{-1}\right)^{s(k)} \\
& =(q+1)^{-1}(1-q)^{l+1}\left((-1)^{l} q^{n-l}-(-1)^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other statements follow.
Remark. We noticed that in [3] and [14], other forms of the generalizations of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are given. Similar to the proofs of the above two corollaries, these as well as other possible generalizations can also be derived from Theorem 2.1 via some combinatorial tricks.
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