

On values of the Mahler measure in a quadratic field (solution of a problem of Dixon and Dubickas)

by

A. SCHINZEL (Warszawa)

To Robert Tijdeman on the occasion of his 60th birthday

For an algebraic number α , let $M(\alpha)$ be the Mahler measure of α and let $\mathcal{M} = \{M(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\}$. No method is known to decide whether a given algebraic integer β is in \mathcal{M} . Partial results have been obtained by Adler and Marcus [1], Boyd [2]–[4], Dubickas [6]–[8] and Dixon and Dubickas [5], but the problem has not been solved even for β of degree two. The following theorem, similar to, but not identical with Theorem 9 of [5], is an easy consequence of [7].

THEOREM 1. *A primitive real quadratic integer β is in \mathcal{M} if and only if there exists a rational integer a such that $\beta > a > |\beta'|$ and $a \mid \beta\beta'$, where β' is the conjugate of β . If the condition is satisfied, then $\beta = M(\beta/a)$ and $a = N(a, \beta)$, where N denotes the absolute norm.*

There remain to be considered quadratic integers that are not primitive. The following theorem deals with the simplest class of such numbers.

THEOREM 2. *Let K be a quadratic field with discriminant $\Delta > 0$, β, β' be conjugate primitive integers of K and p a prime. If*

$$(1) \quad p\beta \in \mathcal{M},$$

then either there exists an integer r such that

$$(2) \quad p\beta > r > p|\beta'| \quad \text{and} \quad r \mid \beta\beta', \quad p \nmid r$$

or

$$(3) \quad \beta \in \mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad p \text{ splits in } K.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11R04.

Conversely, (2) implies (1), while (3) implies (1) provided either

$$(4) \quad \beta > \max \left\{ -4\beta', \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}}{4} \right)^2 \right\}$$

or

$$(5) \quad p > \sqrt{\Delta}.$$

REMARK 1. (2) implies $\beta > p\beta|\beta'|/r \geq p$.

Theorem 2 answers two questions raised in [5].

COROLLARY 1. For all primes p we have $p^{\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}} \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if either $p = 2$, or $p = 5$, or $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$.

COROLLARY 2. For every real quadratic field K there is an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, basal in the sense of [5], such that $M(f) \in K$, but the zeros of f do not lie in K .

COROLLARY 3. In every real quadratic field K there are only finitely many integers $p\beta$, where p is prime, while β is primitive and totally positive, for which the condition $p\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ is not equivalent to the alternative of (2) and (3).

Proof of Theorem 1. Necessity. Let $\beta = M(\alpha)$, let f be the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Z} , $a > 0$ its leading coefficient, D its degree, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_D$ all its zeros. By Lemma 2 of [7] applied with $d = 2$,

$$(6) \quad \beta\beta' = a^2 \prod_{i=1}^D \alpha_i = (-1)^D a f(0).$$

Moreover, by formula (3) of [7], $D = 2s$, where s is the number of $i \leq D$ with $|\alpha_i| > 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $|\alpha_i| > 1$ precisely for $i \leq s$. For some $\eta \in \{1, -1\}$ we have

$$(7) \quad \prod_{i=1}^s \alpha_i = \eta\beta/a,$$

hence, by (6),

$$(8) \quad \prod_{i=s+1}^D \alpha_i = \eta\beta'/a,$$

which gives

$$(9) \quad \beta > a > |\beta'|.$$

Also, by (6),

$$(10) \quad a \mid \beta\beta'.$$

Sufficiency. Assume the existence of an integer a satisfying (9) and (10) and consider the polynomial

$$g(x) = ax^2 - (\beta + \beta')x + \beta\beta'/a.$$

If g is not primitive, there exists a prime p such that $p|a$, $p|\beta + \beta'$ and $p|\beta\beta'/a$. However, then $p^2|\beta\beta'$ and β/p is a zero of the polynomial $x^2 - \frac{\beta+\beta'}{p}x + \frac{\beta\beta'}{p^2} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, contrary to the assumption that β is primitive. Therefore, g is the minimal polynomial of β/a over \mathbb{Z} and $\beta = M(\beta/a)$. Also, $(a) | (a^2, a\beta, a\beta', \beta\beta') | (a^2, a(\beta + \beta'), \beta\beta') = (a)$, hence

$$(a) = (a^2, a\beta, a\beta', \beta\beta') = (a, \beta)(a, \beta').$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on three lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If an integer β of K is the Mahler measure of an algebraic number whose minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Z} has leading coefficient a , then a is the norm of an ideal of K .*

Proof. In the notation of the proof of Theorem 1 (necessity part) we have (7) and (8). Since $\eta\beta'/a$ is the only conjugate of $\eta\beta/a$, every automorphism of the splitting field of f that sends an α_i ($i \leq s$) to an α_j ($j > s$) sends the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ onto $\{\alpha_{s+1}, \dots, \alpha_D\}$ (compare the proof of Lemma 2 in [7]). Hence $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ and $\{\alpha_{s+1}, \dots, \alpha_D\}$ are blocks of imprimitivity of the Galois group of f and the coefficients of the polynomials

$$P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s (x - \alpha_i), \quad P'(x) = \prod_{i=s+1}^D (x - \alpha_i)$$

belong to a quadratic field, which clearly is K . Let the contents of P and P' be \mathfrak{a}^{-1} and \mathfrak{a}'^{-1} , where \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}' are conjugate ideals of K . Since f is primitive, we have

$$(1) = \text{cont } f = \text{cont}(aPP') = (a)/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}'$$

and, since $a > 0$, $a = N\mathfrak{a}$.

LEMMA 2. *If the dash denotes conjugation in K , δ, ε are elements of K such that*

$$(11) \quad \delta > 1 > \delta' > -1/2,$$

$$(12) \quad (1, \delta) | \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \neq \varepsilon',$$

$$(13) \quad |\varepsilon - \varepsilon'| + 1 < 4\sqrt{\delta},$$

while \mathfrak{p} is an ideal of K , then there exists $\gamma \in K$ such that

$$(14) \quad (1, \gamma, \delta) = \frac{(1, \delta)}{\mathfrak{p}},$$

$$(15) \quad |\gamma| < 2\sqrt{\delta}, \quad |\gamma'| < 1 + \delta'.$$

Proof. Take an integer α of K divisible by $\mathfrak{p}(1, \delta)^{-1}$. Applying Theorem 74 of [9] with

$$\mathfrak{a} = \frac{(\alpha)(1, \delta)}{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \mathfrak{b} = \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{(1, \delta)}$$

we find an integer ω of K such that $(\alpha, \omega) = \mathfrak{a}$, hence

$$(16) \quad \left(1, \frac{\omega}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{(1, \delta)}{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Taking

$$b = \left[\left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha} - \frac{\omega'}{\alpha'} \right) / (\varepsilon - \varepsilon') + \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad a = \left[\frac{\omega'}{\alpha'} - b\varepsilon' + \frac{1}{2} \right]$$

we find

$$(17) \quad \left| \frac{\omega}{\alpha} - \frac{\omega'}{\alpha'} - b(\varepsilon - \varepsilon') \right| \leq \frac{|\varepsilon - \varepsilon'|}{2}, \quad \left| \frac{\omega'}{\alpha'} - a - b\varepsilon' \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} < 1 + \delta',$$

hence on addition, by (13),

$$(18) \quad \left| \frac{\omega}{\alpha} - a - b\varepsilon \right| \leq \frac{|\varepsilon - \varepsilon'|}{2} + \frac{1}{2} < 2\sqrt{\delta}$$

and for $\gamma = \omega/\alpha - a - b\varepsilon$, (14) follows from (16), while (15) from (17) and (18).

LEMMA 3. *If, in the notation of Lemma 2, \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal dividing a rational prime p , then the conclusion of the lemma holds, provided*

$$(19) \quad p > \frac{N(1, \delta)\sqrt{\Delta}}{\min\{N(1, \delta), 2\sqrt{\delta}(1 + \delta')\}}.$$

Proof. Let the ideal $(1, \delta)$ considered as a module over \mathbb{Z} have the basis $[\eta, \zeta]$. The system of inequalities

$$|c| < p, \quad \left| c \frac{\omega}{\alpha} - a\eta - b\zeta \right| < 2\sqrt{\delta}, \quad \left| c \frac{\omega'}{\alpha'} - a\eta' - b\zeta' \right| < \min \left\{ \frac{N(1, \delta)}{2\sqrt{\delta}}, 1 + \delta' \right\}$$

has a non-zero integer solution by Minkowski's theorem (Theorem 94 of [9]), since by Theorem 76 of [9], which applies also to fractional ideals (see §31, formula (47))

$$|\eta\zeta' - \eta'\zeta| = N(1, \delta)\sqrt{\Delta} < \min\{N(1, \delta), 2\sqrt{\delta}(1 + \delta')\}p.$$

If in this solution we had $c = 0$ it would follow that $a\eta + b\zeta \neq 0$ and

$$N(1, \delta) \leq |N(a\eta + b\zeta)| < 2\sqrt{\delta} \frac{N(1, \delta)}{2\sqrt{\delta}} = N(1, \delta),$$

a contradiction. Therefore $c \neq 0$, $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\gamma = c\frac{\omega}{\alpha} - a\eta - b\zeta$ has the required properties.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume first that (1) holds and let f be the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Z} , $a > 0$ its leading coefficient, and D its degree. By (6) and (7) with β replaced by $p\beta$, we have

$$(20) \quad p^2\beta\beta' = (-1)^D af(0),$$

$$(21) \quad p\beta > \max\{a, |f(0)|\} \geq \min\{a, |f(0)|\} > p|\beta'|.$$

Let $p^\mu \parallel a$, $p^\nu \parallel \beta\beta'$. If $\mu = 0$ or $\mu = \nu + 2$, then (2) follows with $r = a$ or $r = |f(0)|$, respectively. Therefore, assume

$$(22) \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq \nu + 1.$$

Let $a = p^\mu b$. By (20) and (22),

$$p^{\mu-1}b \mid \beta\beta',$$

while by (21),

$$\beta > p^{\mu-1}b > |\beta'|.$$

By Theorem 1 we have $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\nu > 0$, then $p \mid \beta\beta'$ and since β is primitive, p splits in K . If $\nu = 0$ we have, by (22), $\mu = 1$ and since, by Lemma 1, a is the norm of an ideal of K , p splits in K . This proves (3).

In the opposite direction, (2) implies $p\beta = M(p\beta/r) \in \mathcal{M}$. Indeed, the minimal polynomial of $p\beta/r$ is $rx^2 - p(\beta + \beta')x + \beta\beta'/r$, where $(r, \beta + \beta', \beta\beta'/r) = 1$, since β is primitive (see the proof of Theorem 1). Assume now that (3) holds. By Theorem 1 we have $\beta = M(\beta/b)$, where

$$(23) \quad b \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \beta > b > |\beta'|, \quad b = N(b, \beta).$$

Replacing b by $\beta|\beta'|/b$ if necessary, we may assume

$$(24) \quad b \geq \sqrt{\beta|\beta'|}.$$

First, assume (4). Since β is primitive all prime ideal factors of (b, β) are of degree one and no two of them are conjugate. Hence there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(25) \quad \omega := \frac{\Delta + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \equiv -c \pmod{(b, \beta)}.$$

We put $\delta = \beta/b$, $\varepsilon = (c + \omega)/b$. In order to apply Lemma 2 we have to check the assumptions. Now, (11) follows from (23), (24) and $\beta > -4\beta'$, (12) follows from (25), and (13) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\sqrt{\Delta}/\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{b} < 4\sqrt{\beta}.$$

The left-hand side considered as a function of b on the interval $[1, \beta]$ takes its maximum at an end of the interval. We have $\sqrt{\Delta} + 1 < 4\sqrt{\beta}$ by (4) and $\sqrt{\Delta}/\sqrt{\beta} + \sqrt{\beta} < 4\sqrt{\beta}$ since $\beta \geq (1 + \sqrt{\Delta})/2$.

The assumptions of Lemma 2 being satisfied there exists $\gamma \in K$ such that

$$(26) \quad (1, \gamma, \delta) = \frac{(b, \beta)}{(b, \beta)_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \frac{1}{(b, \beta')_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \quad |\gamma| < 2\sqrt{\delta}, \quad |\gamma'| < 1 + \delta'.$$

Let us consider the polynomial

$$P(x) = x^2 + \gamma x + \delta.$$

The discriminant of P , $\gamma^2 - 4\delta$, is negative, hence P is irreducible over the real field K , moreover its zeros are equal to $\sqrt{\delta} > 1$ in absolute value. On the other hand, the zeros of the polynomial

$$P'(x) = x^2 + \gamma'x + \delta'$$

are less than 1 in absolute value. This is clear if $\gamma'^2 - 4\delta' < 0$, since $|\delta'| < 1$, and if $\gamma'^2 - 4\delta' \geq 0$ the inequality

$$\frac{|\gamma'| + \sqrt{\gamma'^2 - 4\delta'}}{2} < 1$$

follows from the condition $|\gamma'| < 1 + \delta'$. Taking for α a zero of P we obtain, by (23) and (26),

$$M(\alpha) = \frac{M(PP')}{N \text{ cont } P} = \delta N(b, \beta') N_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{\beta}{b} \cdot bp = p\beta.$$

Now, assume (5) and let again $\delta = \beta/b$. In order to apply Lemma 3 we have to check (19).

Consider first the case

$$(27) \quad \beta \notin \left\{ \frac{1 + \sqrt{4e + 1}}{2} : e \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then

$$(28) \quad \beta - |\beta'| \geq 2, \quad \beta \geq 1 + \sqrt{2}$$

and by (24),

$$R := \frac{2\sqrt{\delta}(1 + \delta')}{N(1, \delta)} = 2\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{b}}(b + \beta') \geq 2\sqrt{\beta}(\sqrt[4]{\beta|\beta'|} + \text{sgn } \beta' \sqrt[4]{|\beta'|^3/\beta}).$$

If $\beta' > 0$ we clearly have $R > 1$, while if $\beta' < 0$ we have, by (26),

$$R = 2\sqrt[4]{\beta|\beta'|}(\sqrt{\beta} - \sqrt{|\beta'|}) \geq 4\sqrt[4]{\beta|\beta'|}/(\sqrt{\beta} + \sqrt{|\beta'|}).$$

If $\sqrt{|\beta'|} \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\beta}$, it follows that

$$R \geq \sqrt[4]{\beta|\beta'|}\sqrt{\beta} > 1,$$

while if $\sqrt{|\beta'|} > \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\beta}$, it follows that

$$R > \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{2\sqrt{\beta}} = \sqrt{2} > 1;$$

thus (27) implies

$$\min\{N(1, \delta), 2\sqrt{\delta}(1 + \delta')\} = N(1, \delta)$$

and (19) follows from (5).

Consider now the case

$$\beta = \frac{1 + \sqrt{4e + 1}}{2}.$$

By (23), $b^2 + b > e > b^2 - b$, $b \mid e$, which implies $e = b^2$. On the other hand, $4e + 1 = f^2\Delta$ for some $f \in \mathbb{N}$. The inequality

$$p > \sqrt{\Delta} = \frac{\sqrt{4b^2 + 1}}{f}$$

implies by a tedious computation

$$p \geq \frac{2b + 1}{f} > \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{b}}(b + \beta')} = \frac{N(1, \delta)\sqrt{\Delta}}{\min\{N(1, \delta), 2\sqrt{\delta}(1 + \delta')\}},$$

hence (19) holds.

The assumptions of Lemma 3 being satisfied there exists $\gamma \in K$ satisfying (26) and arguing as before we obtain

$$p\beta = M(\alpha),$$

where α is a zero of $x^2 + \gamma x + \delta$.

Proof of Corollary 1. For $\beta = (3 + \sqrt{5})/2$ the condition (4) is satisfied. Now, (2) is fulfilled by $p = 2$ only, and (3) is fulfilled by $p = 5$ and by $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ only.

Proof of Corollary 2. Take a totally positive unit $\varepsilon > 1$ of K and a prime $p > \varepsilon$ that splits in K . Then by Theorem 2, $p\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume that the basal irreducible polynomial f of $p\varepsilon$ has all its zeros in K . Hence

$$f(x) = a\left(x \pm \frac{p\varepsilon}{a}\right)\left(x \pm \frac{p\varepsilon'}{a}\right), \quad p\varepsilon > a > p\varepsilon', \quad a \in \mathbb{N}$$

and the condition $p^2/a \in \mathbb{Z}$ together with $p > \varepsilon$ implies $a = p$. However, for $a = p$, f is not primitive.

EXAMPLE 1. For $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ we can take

$$p\varepsilon = 21 + 14\sqrt{2} = M(7x^4 + 2x^3 + 41x^2 + 22x + 7).$$

Proof of Corollary 3. There are only finitely many totally positive integers β of K , which are Perron numbers, but do not satisfy (4).

REMARK 2. By a more complicated argument one can show that for β totally positive, (3) implies (1) unless

$$\sqrt[4]{N\beta} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{\sqrt[4]{N\beta}} \geq 4\sqrt{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad p < 1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\beta}} \left(\sqrt[4]{N\beta} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{\sqrt[4]{N\beta}} \right).$$

EXAMPLE 2. Theorem 2 does not allow us to decide whether $1 + \sqrt{17} \in \mathcal{M}$. This question is open, as is a more general question, whether (3) implies (1).

References

- [1] R. L. Adler and B. Marcus, *Topological entropy and equivalence of dynamical systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1971), no. 219.
- [2] D. W. Boyd, *Inverse problems for Mahler's measure*, in: Diophantine Analysis, J. Loxton and A. van der Poorten (eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 109, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986, 147–158.
- [3] —, *Perron units which are not Mahler measures*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 6 (1986), 485–488.
- [4] —, *Reciprocal algebraic integers whose Mahler measures are non-reciprocal*, Canad. Math. Bull. 30 (1987), 3–8.
- [5] J. D. Dixon and A. Dubickas, *The values of Mahler measures*, Mathematika, to appear.
- [6] A. Dubickas, *Mahler measures close to an integer*, Canad. Math. Bull. 45 (2002), 196–203.
- [7] —, *On numbers which are Mahler measures*, Monatsh. Math. 141 (2004), 119–126.
- [8] —, *Mahler measures generate the largest possible groups*, Math. Res. Lett., to appear.
- [9] E. Hecke, *Lectures on the Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer, 1981.

Institute of Mathematics
 Polish Academy of Sciences
 P.O. Box 21
 00-956 Warszawa, Poland
 E-mail: schinzel@impan.gov.pl

Received on 23.12.2003

(4684)