

A mean square formula for central values of twisted automorphic L -functions

by

YUK-KAM LAU and KAI-MAN TSANG (Hong Kong)

1. Introduction. Let k be an even positive integer and $S_k(\Gamma(1))$ be the space of all holomorphic cusp forms of weight k with respect to the full modular group. It is known that $S_k(\Gamma(1))$ has a basis \mathcal{B}_k consisting of normalized cusp forms f which are simultaneously eigenforms for all Hecke operators T_n . To be specific, $T_n f = \lambda_f(n)n^{(k-1)/2}f$, and f has the Fourier series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n)n^{(k-1)/2}e(nz)$$

where $e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$. Note that $\lambda_f(1) = 1$ and each $\lambda_f(n)$ is real.

Let $\chi \pmod{D}$ be a primitive Dirichlet character. Associated with each f , the *twisted L -function* is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad L(f \otimes \chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)\lambda_f(n)}{n^s} \quad (\operatorname{Re} s > 1).$$

This L -function has the usual properties of classical L -functions. Define

$$(1.2) \quad \Lambda(f \otimes \chi, s) = \left(\frac{D}{2\pi}\right)^s \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k-1}{2}\right)L(f \otimes \chi, s).$$

We know from [Iw, Theorem 7.6] that $\Lambda(f \otimes \chi, s)$ can be holomorphically continued to the whole of \mathbb{C} , bounded on any vertical strip, and satisfies the functional equation

$$(1.3) \quad \Lambda(f \otimes \chi, s) = \varepsilon_k(\chi)\Lambda(f \otimes \bar{\chi}, 1-s)$$

where the root factor $\varepsilon_k(\chi)$ equals $i^k \tau(\chi)^2/D$. ($\tau(\chi)$ is the Gaussian sum.)

The central values $L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)$ are of particular importance and interest; indeed, the non-vanishing nature of these values is linked to different arithmetic problems (see [IS]). An interesting result about the central value

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11F66; Secondary 11F30.

is the non-negativity of $L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)$ for any real character χ . To get non-vanishing results, we investigate the first and the second moments (with mollifiers). By using (1.3) it is not hard to derive the following formula for the first moment for large k :

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2) = 1 + \varepsilon_k(\chi) + O(k^{-1}),$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad w_f = \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1} \|f\|^2} \ll \frac{\log k}{k}$$

by [HL] or [KS, (4)]. (The O -constant is independent of k and $\chi \pmod{D}$.) In addition, for a quadratic character $\chi \pmod{D}$, Kohnen and Sengupta [KS] proved that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2) \ll_D k^{1+\varepsilon} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, assuming the Lindelöf hypothesis $L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2) \ll_D k^{\varepsilon_0}$, they showed that

$$(1.6) \quad \#\{f \in \mathcal{B}_k : L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2) \neq 0\} \gg_D \frac{k^{1-\varepsilon_0}}{\log k} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Aiming at the problem of non-existence of Landau–Siegel zeros, Iwaniec and Sarnak [IS] investigated the moments (averaging over k)

$$\mathcal{A}_K[X_f] = \sum_{k \text{ even}} \frac{h(k/K)}{|\mathcal{B}_k|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f X_f$$

where $X_f = L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)$ or $L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)^2$ (χ is real), and $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a test function. The role of h is to localize the weight k within an interval of length of order K . They got asymptotic results [IS, Theorem 1] as $K \rightarrow \infty$: let $H = \int_0^\infty h(t) dt$ and D be the modulus of the real character χ ; then

$$\mathcal{A}_K[L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)] \sim HK \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_K[L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)^2] \sim \frac{\phi(D)}{D} 2HK \log DK$$

where the asymptotics are uniform for $D \leq K^\delta$ for some positive constant δ . (But this was not sufficient for their purpose and they considered mollified moments.)

In this paper, we establish an asymptotic formula for the second moment of $L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)$ for all large even k for both real and complex primitive characters. As a consequence, we prove unconditionally the better lower bound $k/(\log k)^2$ in (1.6). Moreover, our result here can be viewed as a supplement to giving an asymptotic formula for individual (large) k . Without the extra smoothing process over k , we cannot make use of the tool in [Sa, Section 3] or [Iw, Section 5.5].

THEOREM 1. Let $k \geq k_0$ be any sufficiently large even integer. Suppose that χ is a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor D , where $1 \leq D \leq k/(16 \log k)$.

(a) If $D = 1$ (i.e. χ is the trivial character) and $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f L(f, 1/2)^2 = 4 \left(\frac{\Gamma'(k/2)}{\Gamma(k/2)} + \gamma - \log 2\pi \right) + O_A(k^{-A}),$$

where $A \geq 1$ is arbitrary, and the O -constant depends on A .

(b) If χ is real, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)^2 &= 2(1 + i^k \chi(-1)) \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \left(\log \frac{k}{2} + \gamma + \log \frac{D}{2\pi} + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \\ &\quad + O(D^3 k^{-1/2} (\log k)^4). \end{aligned}$$

(c) If χ is complex, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)^2 &= 2\varepsilon_k(\chi) \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \left(\log \frac{k}{2} + \gamma + \log \frac{D}{2\pi} + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \\ &\quad + (L(1, \chi^2) + \varepsilon_k(\chi)^2 L(1, \bar{\chi}^2)) + O(D^3 k^{-1/2} (\log k)^4). \end{aligned}$$

The O -constants are independent of D .

THEOREM 2. Suppose that χ_1 and χ_2 are primitive Dirichlet characters of conductors D_1 and D_2 respectively, and $1 \leq D_1 D_2 \leq k/(16 \log k)$. If $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ and $\chi_1 \neq \bar{\chi}_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f L(f \otimes \chi_1, 1/2) L(f \otimes \chi_2, 1/2) &= L(1, \chi_1 \chi_2) + \varepsilon_k(\chi_1) \varepsilon_k(\chi_2) L(1, \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_k(\chi_1) L(1, \bar{\chi}_1 \chi_2) + \varepsilon_k(\chi_2) L(1, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2) + O((D_1 D_2)^{3/2} k^{-1/2} (\log k)^4). \end{aligned}$$

Here $L(s, \psi)$ denotes the Dirichlet L-function for the character ψ .

REMARK 1. For the trivial character χ and $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, the central value $L(f, 1/2)$ is zero by the functional equation (1.3).

REMARK 2. A character is said to be complex when it is not a real character.

REMARK 3. The error terms in the last three asymptotic formulas become prominent when $D_1 D_2 \gg k^{1/3}/(\log k)^2$. ($D_1 = D_2 = D$ in (b) and (c) of Theorem 1.)

REMARK 4. Let \mathcal{R}_T be the positively oriented rectangular contour with vertices at $\pm 2 \pm iT$. Taking $T \rightarrow \infty$ and using (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.7) \quad & \Lambda(f \otimes \chi, 1/2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{R}_T} \Lambda(f \otimes \chi, 1/2 + w) \frac{dw}{w} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \Lambda(f \otimes \chi, 1/2 + w) \frac{dw}{w} \\
 &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon_k(\chi)}{2\pi i} \int_{(-2)} \Lambda(f \otimes \bar{\chi}, 1/2 - w) \frac{dw}{w} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} (\Lambda(f \otimes \chi, 1/2 + w) + \varepsilon_k(\chi) \Lambda(f \otimes \bar{\chi}, 1/2 + w)) \frac{dw}{w}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is apparent that $\overline{\Lambda(f \otimes \chi, s)} = \Lambda(f \otimes \bar{\chi}, \bar{s})$ for $\text{Re } s > 1$. Hence

$$\overline{\Lambda(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)} = \Lambda(f \otimes \bar{\chi}, 1/2).$$

Using (1.3), we see that

$$\varepsilon_k(\bar{\chi}) \Lambda(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)^2 = |\Lambda(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)|^2,$$

or equivalently, $\varepsilon_k(\bar{\chi}) L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)^2 = |L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)|^2$. Thus, for complex χ , Theorem 1(c) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.8) \quad & \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f |L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)|^2 \\
 &= 2 \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \left(\log \frac{k}{2} + \gamma + \log \frac{D}{2\pi} + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \\
 &\quad + 2 \text{Re}(\varepsilon_k(\chi) L(1, \bar{\chi}^2)) + O(D^3 k^{-1/2} (\log k)^4),
 \end{aligned}$$

since, for even k , $\varepsilon_k(\bar{\chi}) \varepsilon_k(\chi) = |\varepsilon_k(\chi)|^2 = 1$.

REMARK 5. Our proof is based on the Petersson trace formula, which is different from [KS]. The approach using this trace formula and investigating the contributions from the so-called diagonal and off-diagonal terms is explored in various articles, for example, [Du], [IS], [MV] and [Sa]. (Note that these papers do not deal with the situation of large individual weight.)

Finally, we give a direct application of Theorem 1 to the non-vanishing of $L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)$.

COROLLARY 3. Let k be any sufficiently large even integer. Suppose that either

(i) χ is a real primitive character mod D where $1 \leq D \leq k^{1/6}/(\log k)^5$,

or

(ii) χ is a complex primitive character mod D with $\log D \leq c_0 \frac{\log k}{\log \log k}$ for some suitable positive constant c_0 .

Then

$$\#\{f \in \mathcal{B}_k : L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2) \neq 0\} \gg |1 + \varepsilon_k(\chi)|^2 \frac{D}{\phi(D)} \frac{k}{(\log k)^2}$$

where the implied constant is independent of D but depends on c_0 in case (ii). (As $\varepsilon_k(\chi) = i^k \chi(-1)$ for real χ , both sides will equal zero if $i^k \chi(-1) = -1$.)

Proof. In view of Theorem 1(b) and (1.8) (for real and complex characters respectively), by using the bound $L(1, \bar{\chi}^2) \ll \log D$ (as $\bar{\chi}^2$ is non-principal) for case (ii), we obtain

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f |L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)|^2 \ll \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \log k$$

for D in the specified ranges. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (1.4),

$$\begin{aligned} |1 + \varepsilon_k(\chi)|^2 &\ll \left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2) \right|^2 \\ &\ll \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f |L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2)|^2 \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}_k \\ L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2) \neq 0}} \frac{\log k}{k} \end{aligned}$$

by (1.5). The result follows.

2. Some preparations. The idea of our proof is to express the central value of $L(f \otimes \chi_1, s)L(f \otimes \chi_2, s)$ in terms of infinite sums via an integral analogous to (1.7). For $\text{Re } s > 1$, we deduce from (1.1) and the relation $\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \lambda_f(mn/d^2)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad &L(f \otimes \chi_1, s)L(f \otimes \chi_2, s) \\ &= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_1(m)\chi_2(n)}{(mn)^s} \sum_{d|(m,n)} \lambda_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \chi_1\chi_2(d)d^{-2s} \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_1(m)\chi_2(n)\lambda_f(mn)}{(mn)^s} \\ &= L(2s, \chi_1\chi_2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)\tau_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n)}{n^s} \end{aligned}$$

where $L(\cdot, \chi_1\chi_2)$ is the Dirichlet L -function for the character $\chi_1\chi_2$ and

$$\tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n) = \sum_{ab=n} \chi_1(a)\chi_2(b).$$

It turns out that the central value is represented by a sum of two rapidly convergent series. If we average the series over all $f \in \mathcal{B}_k$ with the Petersson trace formula, the sum will consist of two types of terms: those coming from the Kronecker delta, called the *diagonal terms*, and those which involve the Kloosterman sums, the *off-diagonal terms*. The diagonal terms can be easily handled, while for the off-diagonal terms, we open the Kloosterman sums and insert the Mellin transform for the Bessel function J_{k-1} . After rearrangements, one can find among all factors the (twisted) Dirichlet series associated with $\tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n)$,

$$E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, a/c) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n)e(an/c)n^{-s} \quad (\text{Re } s > 1),$$

where $(a, c) = 1$. This series will play a crucial role in our investigation. In fact, the main contribution of the off-diagonal terms comes from its pole.

The series $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, a/c)$ can be viewed as a generalization of $E(s, a/c)$ investigated by Estermann [Es] (or see [Ju]). Like $E(s, a/c)$, it has nice properties, as stated in Lemma 2.1 below. (The proof of this will be given in the last section.)

LEMMA 2.1. *The function $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, a/c)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function, which is holomorphic on \mathbb{C} except possibly at $s = 1$. The Laurent expansion of $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, a/c)$ at $s = 1$ is of the form*

$$E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, a/c) = A_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(a, c)(s - 1)^{-2} + B_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(a, c)(s - 1)^{-1} + \dots .$$

When $\chi_1 = \chi_2$, we put $\chi = \chi_1 = \chi_2$ and $D = D_1 = D_2$. For $c = D\kappa$ with $(D, \kappa) = 1$,

$$A_{\chi, \chi}(a, c) = c^{-1}\tau(\chi)\bar{\chi}(a)\chi(\kappa) \frac{\phi(D)}{D},$$

$$B_{\chi, \chi}(a, c) = 2c^{-1}\tau(\chi)\bar{\chi}(a)\chi(\kappa) \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \left(\gamma - \log \kappa + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right).$$

In all other cases $A_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(a, c) = 0$, and we have (for $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$)

$$B_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(a, c) = \delta_{12}(c)c^{-1}\tau(\chi_1)\bar{\chi}_1(a)\chi_2\left(\frac{c}{D_1}\right)L(1, \chi_2\bar{\chi}_1)$$

$$+ \delta_{21}(c)c^{-1}\tau(\chi_2)\bar{\chi}_2(a)\chi_1\left(\frac{c}{D_2}\right)L(1, \chi_1\bar{\chi}_2)$$

where $\delta_{ij}(c) = 1$ if $D_i | c$ and $(c/D_i, D_j) = 1$, and $\delta_{ij}(c) = 0$ otherwise.

In addition, $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, a/c)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, a/c) \\
 &= c_1 [D_1, c]^{-s} [D_2, c]^{-s} (2\pi)^{2s-2} \Gamma(1-s)^2 \sum_{\substack{u(D_1) \\ v(D_2)}} \chi_1(u) \chi_2(v) e(uva_0/c) \\
 &\quad \times ((1 + \chi_1 \chi_2(-1)) \varphi_{a,c}^+(1-s; u, -v) \\
 &\quad - (e(s/2) + \chi_1 \chi_2(-1) e(-s/2)) \varphi_{a,c}^-(1-s; u, v))
 \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 divides c and a_0 is an integral multiple of a . When $\text{Re } s > 1$, the functions $\varphi_{a,c}^\mp(s; u, v)$ (abbreviation for $\varphi_{a,c,D_1,D_2}^\mp(s; u, v)$) are given by

$$\varphi_{a,c}^\mp(s; u, v) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-s} \tau_{a,c}^\mp(n; u, v) e\left(\mp \frac{na_1}{c}\right)$$

for some integer a_1 . Also, $|\tau_{a,c}^\mp(n; u, v)| \leq d(n)$. ($d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ is the divisor function.)

REMARK. The constants a_0 and a_1 depend only on a, c, D_1 and D_2 , and the functions $\tau_{a,c}^\mp(n; u, v)$ also depend on D_1, D_2 . When $D_1 = D_2 = 1$, we have $c_1 = c, h_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$ and

$$\varphi_{a,c}^\mp(s; 1, 1) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-s} d(n) e(\mp \bar{a}n/c) = E(s, \mp \bar{a}/c) \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s > 1.$$

Hence, the functional equation reduces to (see [Ju, Lemma 1]):

$$E(s, a/c) = 2c^{1-2s} (2\pi)^{2s-2} \Gamma(1-s)^2 (E(1-s, \bar{a}/c) - \cos(\pi s) E(1-s, -\bar{a}/c)).$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the Phragmén–Lindelöf Theorem, the function $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, a/c)$ satisfies the convexity bound

$$(2.2) \quad E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(\sigma + it, a/c) \ll_{D_1, D_2, c, C, \varepsilon} (|t| + 1)^{\alpha(\sigma) + \varepsilon} \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0,$$

where $C > 0$ is an arbitrary constant, $\alpha(\sigma) = 0$ for $\sigma \geq 1$, $\alpha(\sigma) = 1 - \sigma$ for $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $\alpha(\sigma) = 1 - 2\sigma$ for $-C \leq \sigma \leq 0$.

In addition we need a few lemmas. We start with some results on the Bessel functions $J_n(x)$ and $Y_0(x)$, which will be used later. These two Bessel functions can be defined, for $x > 0$, as

$$(2.3) \quad J_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^l}{l!(n+l)!} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{n+2l} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots),$$

$$(2.4) \quad Y_0(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} J_0(x) \log \frac{x}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{l=0}^\infty (-1)^l \frac{\Gamma'(l+1)}{\Gamma(l+1)^3} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2l}.$$

For all $x \geq 1$ (see [Le, (5.11.6) and (5.11.7)]),

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_0(x) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \sin(x - \pi/4) + O(x^{-3/2}), \\ J_n(x) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos(x - n\pi/2 - \pi/4) + O_n(x^{-3/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where the O -term in the second formula depends on n . Furthermore ([Le, (5.10.8)]), for any positive integer n ,

$$(2.6) \quad J_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(x \sin \theta - n\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \operatorname{Re} f_n(\theta, x) d\theta,$$

where $f_n(\theta, x) = (e^{-in\theta} + (-1)^n e^{in\theta}) e^{ix \sin \theta}$. Also ([Le, (5.10.2)]),

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{k-1}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(k-1/2)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{k-1} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{k-3/2} \cos(xt) dt \\ &\ll \left(\frac{ex}{2k}\right)^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

with an absolute implied constant. Finally, we notice that the functions $J_{k-1}(x)$ and $2^{s-1} \Gamma((k-1+s)/2) / \Gamma((k+1-s)/2)$ are Mellin transform pairs, that is,

$$(2.8) \quad J_{k-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-1)} \frac{\Gamma((k+s)/2)}{\Gamma((k-s)/2)} 2^s x^{-s-1} ds,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{\Gamma((k+s)/2)}{\Gamma((k-s)/2)} = \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^s dx \quad (-k < \operatorname{Re} s < -1/2).$$

Our first lemma below prepares an estimate of the Gamma function. The second one transforms two integrals of Gamma functions into integrals of Bessel functions. The third lemma gives upper estimates for certain integrals of Bessel functions, which we will make use of later.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $s = \sigma + it$ and $A > 1/2$ be a fixed constant. For all sufficiently large k ($\geq k_0(A)$) and $0 \leq \sigma < A$, we have*

$$\frac{\Gamma(k-s)}{\Gamma(k+s)} \ll_A (k+|t|)^{-2\sigma}.$$

The implied constant depends on A only.

Proof. Using Stirling's formula [Le, (1.4.12)], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re}(\log \Gamma(k-s) - \log \Gamma(k+s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (k - \sigma - 1/2) \log \frac{(k-\sigma)^2 + t^2}{(k+\sigma)^2 + t^2} \\ &\quad - \sigma \log((k+\sigma)^2 + t^2) - t \tan^{-1} \frac{2\sigma t}{k^2 - \sigma^2 + t^2} + O(1) \\ &= -\sigma \log((k+\sigma)^2 + t^2) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let $k > 2$ be any integer and $y > 0$. Suppose that $0 < \operatorname{Re} w < k/2 - 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w - z/2)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w + z/2)} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi z}{2}\right) y^{-z} dz \\ = -2^{1+2w} \pi \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) Y_0(yx) x^{-2w} dx, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w - z/2)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w + z/2)} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi z}{2}\right) y^{-z} dz \\ = 2^{1+2w} \pi \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) J_0(yx) x^{-2w} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It can be seen that these four integrals are holomorphic in w for $0 < \operatorname{Re} w < k/2 - 2$. Thus, it suffices to show that the equalities hold in a certain set (containing an accumulation point). Suppose that $w > 1$ is real. Applying the residue theorem with (2.3) and (2.4) (or see [Ti, p. 197]), we obtain, for $x > 0$,

$$2\pi(iJ_0(x) - Y_0(x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1/2)} 2^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^2 e^{i\pi s/2} x^{-s} ds.$$

Consider the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w - z/2)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w + z/2)} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^2 e^{i\pi z/2} y^{-z} dz.$$

Moving the line of integration to $\operatorname{Re} z = 1/2$ and using (2.9), it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/2-iT}^{1/2+iT} \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2w-z} dx \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^2 e^{i\pi z/2} y^{-z} dz \\ = \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2w} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1/2)} 2^z \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^2 e^{i\pi z/2} (xy)^{-z} dz dx \\ = 2\pi \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) (iJ_0(yx) - Y_0(yx)) \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2w} dx. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof by equating the real and imaginary parts.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $s = \sigma + it$ and $A > 1/2$ be a fixed constant. Set

$$B_0(x) = J_0(x) \text{ or } Y_0(x).$$

For all sufficiently large k ($\geq k_0(A)$), and $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq A$,

(a) if $a > 1$, then

$$\int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x)B_0(ax)x^{-s} dx \ll e^{\pi|t|/2} \frac{a^{\sigma-k}}{1-a^{-2}},$$

(b) if $k^{-1/2} \leq a \leq 1$, then

$$\int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x)B_0(ax)x^{-s} dx \ll (|t| + 1)a^{-1/2}k^{-\sigma-1/2}(\log k)^2.$$

Proof. (a) For $a > 1$, we have the formulae ([Er, §6.8, (37)] and [WG, §7.15, (8)]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x)Y_0(ax)x^{-s} dx \\ &= \frac{\cos(\pi s/2)}{2^s \pi a^{k-s}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k-s}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma(k)^{-1} F\left(\frac{k-s}{2}, \frac{k-s}{2}; k, a^{-2}\right), \\ & \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x)J_0(ax)x^{-s} dx \\ &= \frac{\sin(\pi(k-s)/2)}{2^s \pi a^{k-s}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k-s}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma(k)^{-1} F\left(\frac{k-s}{2}, \frac{k-s}{2}; k, a^{-2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where F is the hypergeometric function, defined as

$$F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, z) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{r=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+r)\Gamma(\beta+r)}{\Gamma(\gamma+r)} \frac{z^r}{r!}.$$

Observe that

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma((k-s)/2+r)^2}{r!\Gamma(k+r)} \right| \leq \frac{\Gamma((k-\sigma)/2+r)^2}{r!\Gamma(k+r)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(k/2+r)^2}{r!\Gamma(k+r)} < 1,$$

so both integrals above are

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll \frac{e^{\pi|t|/2}}{2^\sigma a^{k-\sigma}} \sum_{r=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma((k-\sigma)/2+r)^2}{r!\Gamma(k+r)} a^{-2r} \\ & \ll e^{\pi|t|/2} a^{\sigma-k} \sum_{r=0}^\infty a^{-2r} \ll e^{\pi|t|/2} a^{\sigma-k} (1-a^{-2})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We split the range of integration as follows:

$$(2.10) \quad \int_0^\infty = \int_0^{k/4} + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 0 \\ K=2^r-2k}} \int_K^{2K}.$$

Set $I_K = \int_K^{2K}$. By (2.5), $B_0(ax) \ll (ax)^{-1/2}$ for $ax \gg 1$, so

$$I_K \ll a^{-1/2} \left(\int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x)^2 x^{-1/2} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_K^{2K} x^{-2\sigma-1/2} dx \right)^{1/2},$$

for $aK \gg 1$. By the formula (see [WG, §7.15, (11)])

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(t)^2 t^{-\lambda} dt = 2^{-\lambda} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda+1}{2})^2} \frac{\Gamma(k-1/2-\lambda/2)}{\Gamma(k-1/2+\lambda/2)} \quad \text{for } 0 < \lambda < 2k-1,$$

we obtain the estimate

$$(2.12) \quad I_K \ll a^{-1/2} k^{-1/4} K^{-\sigma+1/4}.$$

Replacing $B_0(x)$ by the formulae in (2.5), we can have another estimate for I_K . To this end we only need to consider

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi a}} \int_K^{2K} J_{k-1}(x) e^{\pm iax} x^{-s-1/2} dx + O\left(a^{-3/2} \int_K^{2K} |J_{k-1}(x)| x^{-\sigma-3/2} dx\right).$$

The O -term is $\ll a^{-3/2} k^{-1} K^{-\sigma} \ll a^{-1/2} k^{-1} K^{-\sigma+1/2}$, by (2.11) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Taking $\eta = 0.01 \cdot k/K$, and applying the first-derivative test for exponential integrals ([Hu, Lemma 5.1.2]), we see that (from the line below (2.6)),

$$\int_{\pi/2-\eta}^{\pi/2} f_k(\theta, x) d\theta \ll k^{-1} \quad (x \in [K, 2K]).$$

Hence, by (2.6),

$$\int_K^{2K} J_{k-1}(x) e^{\pm iax} x^{-s-1/2} dx \ll k^{-1} \int_K^{2K} x^{-\sigma-1/2} dx + \left| \int_0^{\pi/2-\eta} \int_K^{2K} \operatorname{Re} f_k(\theta, x) e^{\pm iax} x^{-s-1/2} dx d\theta \right|.$$

The first summand is $\ll k^{-1} K^{-\sigma+1/2}$. Applying integration by parts or bounding trivially, we conclude that the x -integral in the second term is $\ll (1+|t|) K^{-\sigma-1/2} \min(|a-\sin \theta|^{-1}, K)$. After a change of variable $u = \sin \theta$, the second summand becomes

$$(2.13) \quad \ll (1+|t|) \lambda^{-1} K^{-\sigma-1/2} \int_0^1 \min(|u-a|^{-1}, K) du.$$

(Note that $d\theta \ll \eta^{-1}du$ for $\theta \in [0, \pi/2 - \eta]$.) It follows that (2.13) is $\ll (|t| + 1)k^{-1}K^{-\sigma+1/2} \log K$ and

$$(2.14) \quad I_K \ll a^{-1/2}(1 + |t|)k^{-1}K^{-\sigma+1/2} \log K.$$

For the sum in (2.10), we apply the estimate (2.12) for $K \geq k^3$ and (2.14) for $k/4 \leq K \leq k^3$. The overall contribution due to \sum_K is

$$(2.15) \quad \ll (1 + |t|)a^{-1/2}k^{-\sigma-1/2}(\log k)^2.$$

(Note that the power of $\log k$ can be reduced to 1 if $\sigma > 1/2$.)

The estimation of the integral $\int_0^{k/4}$ in (2.10) is easy. From (2.7) and $B_0(x) \ll |\log x|$, by (2.3)–(2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{k/4} &\ll \left(\frac{e}{2k}\right)^{k-1} \int_0^{k/4} |B_0(ax)|x^{k-\sigma-1} dx \ll \left(\frac{e}{2k}\right)^{k-1} \int_0^{k/4} |\log ax|x^{k-\sigma-1} dx \\ &= \left(\frac{e}{2k}\right)^{k-1} a^{\sigma-k} \int_0^{ak/4} |\log x|x^{k-\sigma-1} dx \\ &\ll \left(\frac{e}{2k}\right)^{k-1} a^{\sigma-k} \left(\frac{ak}{4}\right)^{k-\sigma} \frac{\log(ak/4)}{k-\sigma} \ll \left(\frac{e}{8}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{4}{k}\right)^\sigma \log k. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by invoking (2.10) and (2.15).

3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. Assume throughout k to be a sufficiently large even integer. Let

$$(3.1) \quad K(w) = \frac{\Gamma(2(A-w))\Gamma(2(A+w))}{\Gamma(2A)^2} \frac{1}{w},$$

where $A > 2$ is an arbitrary but fixed constant. Then K is an odd function and has only a simple pole with residue 1 at $w = 0$ inside the strip $-A < \text{Re } w < A$. Following the argument in (1.7), we apply the residue theorem to $\Lambda(f \otimes \chi_1, 1/2 + w)\Lambda(f \otimes \chi_2, 1/2 + w)K(w)$ over \mathcal{R}_T . After taking $T \rightarrow \infty$ and using (1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda(f \otimes \chi_1, 1/2)\Lambda(f \otimes \chi_2, 1/2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \Lambda(f \otimes \chi_1, 1/2 + w)\Lambda(f \otimes \chi_2, 1/2 + w)K(w) dw \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_k(\chi_1)\varepsilon_k(\chi_2) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \Lambda(f \otimes \bar{\chi}_1, 1/2 + w)\Lambda(f \otimes \bar{\chi}_2, 1/2 + w)K(w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.2) and (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(f \otimes \chi_1, 1/2)L(f \otimes \chi_2, 1/2) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)\tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{4\pi^2 n} \right) \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon_k(\chi_1)\varepsilon_k(\chi_2) \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)\tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{4\pi^2 n} \right)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad V_{\chi}(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)}{\Gamma(k/2)} \right)^2 L(1 + 2w, \chi) K(w) y^w dw.$$

Here we have used $\overline{V_{\chi}(y)} = V_{\overline{\chi}}(y)$, due to the observation that

$$\overline{(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{(2)} G(w) dw} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{(2)} \overline{G(\overline{w})} dw.$$

By Petersson’s trace formula

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f \lambda_f(n) \lambda_f(m) = \delta_{m,n} + 2\pi i^k \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1} S(m, n, c) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn}}{c} \right)$$

($\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker delta and $S(m, n, c)$ is the Kloosterman sum) and $\lambda_f(1) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f L(f \otimes \chi_1, 1/2)L(f \otimes \chi_2, 1/2) &= S(\chi_1, \chi_2) + \varepsilon_k(\chi_1)\varepsilon_k(\chi_2) \overline{S(\chi_1, \chi_2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad S(\chi_1, \chi_2) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{4\pi^2 n} \right) \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f \lambda_f(n) \\
 &= V_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{4\pi^2} \right) \\
 &\quad + 2\pi i^k \sum_{c \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{S(n, 1, c)}{c} J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{n}}{c} \right) V_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{4\pi^2 n} \right) \\
 &= V_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{4\pi^2} \right) + 2\pi i^k M, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Treatment of $V_{\chi_1\chi_2}(D_1D_2/(4\pi^2))$. Moving the line of integration to $w = -A/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\chi_1\chi_2}\left(\frac{D_1D_2}{4\pi^2}\right) &= \operatorname{Res}_{w=0}\left(\left(\frac{D_1D_2}{4\pi^2}\right)^w \frac{\Gamma(k/2+w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} L(1+2w, \chi_1\chi_2)K(w)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-A/2)} \left(\frac{D_1D_2}{4\pi^2}\right)^w \left(\frac{\Gamma(k/2+w)}{\Gamma(k/2)}\right)^2 L(1+2w, \chi_1\chi_2)K(w) dw, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.2). The last integral is $\ll_A (D_1D_2)^{(A-1)/2}k^{-A}$, which can be seen as follows.

Let the conductor of $\chi_1\chi_2$ be D , which divides D_1D_2 . Then for $w = -A/2 + it$,

$$\begin{aligned} L(1+2w, \chi_1\chi_2) &= L(1-A+2it, \chi_1\chi_2) \ll (D(|t|+1))^{A-1/2} \\ &\ll (D_1D_2(|t|+1))^{A-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

As $|\Gamma(k/2+w)| \leq \Gamma(k/2+\operatorname{Re} w) \ll k^{-A/2}\Gamma(k/2)$ by Stirling’s formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(-A/2)} &\ll (D_1D_2)^{(A-1)/2}k^{-A} \int_0^\infty (|t|+1)^{A-1/2}|K(-A/2+it)| dt \\ &\ll (D_1D_2)^{(A-1)/2}k^{-A} \int_0^\infty (|t|+1)^{5(A-1/2)}e^{-2\pi|t|} dt \\ &\ll (D_1D_2)^{(A-1)/2}k^{-A}. \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the residue, we compute the following series expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} K(w) &= w^{-1} + c_1w + \dots, \\ \left(\frac{D_1D_2}{4\pi^2}\right)^w &= 1 + w \log \frac{D_1D_2}{4\pi^2} + \dots, \\ \frac{\Gamma(k/2+w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} &= 1 + 2w \frac{\Gamma'(k/2)}{\Gamma(k/2)} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

and if $\chi_1\chi_2$ is the principal character, then $D_1 = D_2 = D$ and

$$L(1+2w, \chi_1\chi_2) = \prod_{p|D} (1-p^{-1}) \left(1 + 2w \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + \dots\right) \left(\frac{1}{2w} + \gamma + \dots\right);$$

otherwise (i.e. $\chi_1 \neq \bar{\chi}_2$), $L(1+2w, \chi_1\chi_2) = L(1, \chi_1\chi_2) + 2L'(1, \chi_1\chi_2)w + \dots$. Hence, for $\chi_1 \neq \bar{\chi}_2$,

$$(3.5) \quad V_{\chi_1\chi_2} \left(\frac{D_1D_2}{4\pi^2} \right) = L(1, \chi_1\chi_2) + O((D_1D_2)^{(A-1)/2}k^{-A}),$$

and for $\chi = \chi_1 = \bar{\chi}_2$ ($D = D_1 = D_2$),

$$(3.6) \quad V_{\chi\bar{\chi}} \left(\frac{D^2}{4\pi^2} \right) = \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \left(\frac{\Gamma'(k/2)}{\Gamma(k/2)} + \left(\gamma + \log \frac{D}{2\pi} + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \right) + O(D^{A-1}k^{-A}).$$

Treatment of M. Opening the Kloostermann sum, and interchanging the sum (over n) and the integral in M (in (3.4)), yields

$$M = \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1} \sum_{a(c)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{c}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{D_1D_2}{4\pi^2}\right)^w \left(\frac{\Gamma(k/2+w)}{\Gamma(k/2)}\right)^2 \times \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n)e(an/c)}{n^{1/2+w}} J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{n}}{c}\right) L(1+2w, \chi_1\chi_2) K(w) dw.$$

Using the Mellin transform formula (2.8), we deduce that

$$M = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \sum_{a(c)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{c}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{D_1D_2}{4\pi^2}\right)^w \left(\frac{\Gamma(k/2+w)}{\Gamma(k/2)}\right)^2 \times L(1+2w, \chi_1\chi_2) K(w) \times \int_{(-1)} \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^s \frac{\Gamma((k+s)/2)}{\Gamma((k-s)/2)} E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}\left(1+w+\frac{s}{2}, \frac{a}{c}\right) ds dw.$$

We can move the line of integration of the inner integral from $\text{Re } s = -1$ to $\text{Re } s = -7$ by Lemma 2.2 and (2.2). This implies, from the possible pole of $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(\cdot, a/c)$, that

$$(3.7) \quad M = M_1 + M_2$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad M_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \sum_{a(c)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{c}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{D_1D_2}{4\pi^2}\right)^w \left(\frac{\Gamma(k/2+w)}{\Gamma(k/2)}\right)^2 \times L(1+2w, \chi_1\chi_2) K(w) \times \text{Res}_{s=-2w} \left(\left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^s \frac{\Gamma((k+s)/2)}{\Gamma((k-s)/2)} E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}\left(1+w+\frac{s}{2}, \frac{a}{c}\right) \right) dw$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad M_2 &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \sum_{a(c)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{c}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{4\pi^2}\right)^w \left(\frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)}{\Gamma(k/2)}\right)^2 \\
 &\quad \times L(1 + 2w, \chi_1 \chi_2) K(w) \\
 &\quad \times \int_{(-7)} \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^s \frac{\Gamma((k+s)/2)}{\Gamma((k-s)/2)} E_{\chi_1, \chi_2} \left(1 + w + \frac{s}{2}, \frac{a}{c}\right) ds dw.
 \end{aligned}$$

Treatment of M_1 . We divide into two cases according as $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ or not.

CASE 1: $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi$. The residue inside M_1 can be written as

$$\left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{-2w} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(\left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^z \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w + z/2)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w - z/2)} E_{\chi, \chi} \left(1 + \frac{z}{2}, \frac{a}{c}\right) \right).$$

By Lemma 2.1, the residue appears only when $D \mid c$ and $(D, c/D) = 1$, and equals

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad &4c^{-1} \tau(\chi) \bar{\chi}(a) \chi \left(\frac{c}{D}\right) \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{-2w} \\
 &\times \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(k/2 - w) \Gamma(k/2 + w) + \Gamma(k/2 - w) \Gamma'(k/2 + w)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w)} \left(\gamma + \log \frac{D}{2\pi} + \sum_{p \mid D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.8) and (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad M_1 &= \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\pi} \frac{\phi(D)}{D^2} \sum_{\substack{c \geq 1 \\ (c, D) = 1}} \sum_{a(cD)}^* \bar{\chi}(a) \chi(c) e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{cD}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} c^{-1-2w} \left(\frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)}{\Gamma(k/2)}\right)^2 L(1 + 2w, \chi^2) K(w) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(k/2 - w) \Gamma(k/2 + w) + \Gamma(k/2 - w) \Gamma'(k/2 + w)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w)} \left(\gamma + \log \frac{D}{2\pi} + \sum_{p \mid D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \right) dw.
 \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the sums and the integral, we get the sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{c \geq 1 \\ (c,D)=1}} c^{-1-2w} \sum_{a(cD)}^* \bar{\chi}(a) \chi(c) e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{cD}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{c \geq 1 \\ (c,D)=1}} c^{-1-2w} \chi(c)^2 \sum_{m(c)}^* e\left(\frac{m}{c}\right) \sum_{n(D)} \chi(n) e\left(\frac{n}{D}\right) \\ &= \tau(\chi) \sum_{\substack{c \geq 1 \\ (c,D)=1}} c^{-1-2w} \mu(c) \chi(c)^2 = \tau(\chi) L(1+2w, \chi^2)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

by first replacing \bar{a} by a and then a by $mD + nc$ where $(m, c) = (n, D) = 1$. (This is valid since $(c, D) = 1$.) If we insert this into (3.11), M_1 is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad M_1 &= \frac{\tau(\chi)^2}{2\pi} \frac{\phi(D)}{D^2} \Gamma(k/2)^{-2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left(\Gamma'(k/2 - w) \Gamma(k/2 + w) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Gamma(k/2 - w) \Gamma'(k/2 + w) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\Gamma(k/2 - w) \Gamma(k/2 + w) \left(\gamma + \log \frac{D}{2\pi} + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \times K(w) dw \\ &= \frac{\tau(\chi)^2}{2\pi} \frac{\phi(D)}{D^2} \left(\frac{\Gamma'(k/2)}{\Gamma(k/2)} + \gamma + \log \frac{D}{2\pi} + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

by the residue theorem and the observation that the integrand is an odd function.

CASE 2: $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$. In this case, the residue in (3.8) is, by Lemma 2.1 again,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left(\delta_{12}(c) c^{-1} \tau(\chi_1) \bar{\chi}_1(a) \chi_2 \left(\frac{c}{D_1} \right) L(1, \bar{\chi}_1 \chi_2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \delta_{21}(c) c^{-1} \tau(\chi_2) \bar{\chi}_2(a) \chi_1 \left(\frac{c}{D_2} \right) L(1, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2) \right) \left(\frac{c}{2\pi} \right)^{-2w} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w)}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce from (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.13) \quad M_1 &= \frac{\tau(\chi_1)}{2\pi D_1} L(1, \bar{\chi}_1 \chi_2) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w) \Gamma(k/2 - w)}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} K(w) \left(\frac{D_2}{D_1} \right)^w \\ &\quad \times L(1 + 2w, \chi_1 \chi_2) \sum_{\substack{c \geq 1 \\ (c,D_2)=1}} c^{-1-2w} \chi_2(c) \sum_{a(cD_1)}^* \bar{\chi}_1(a) e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{cD_1}\right) dw \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\tau(\chi_2)}{2\pi D_2} L(1, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)\Gamma(k/2 - w)}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} K(w) \left(\frac{D_1}{D_2}\right)^w \\
 & \times L(1 + 2w, \chi_1 \chi_2) \sum_{\substack{c \geq 1 \\ (c, D_1) = 1}} c^{-1-2w} \chi_1(c) \sum_{a(cD_2)}^* \bar{\chi}_2(a) e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{cD_2}\right) dw.
 \end{aligned}$$

The sum over c equals

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1-2w} \chi_2(c) \sum_{a(cD_1)}^* \chi_1(a) e\left(\frac{a}{cD_1}\right) \\
 & = \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1-2w} \chi_2(c) \sum_{d|cD_1} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{a(cD_1) \\ d|a}} \chi_1(a) e\left(\frac{a}{cD_1}\right) \\
 & = \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1-2w} \chi_2(c) \sum_{d|cD_1} \mu(d) \chi_1(d) \sum_{a(cD_1/d)} \chi_1(a) e\left(\frac{ad}{cD_1}\right) \\
 & = \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1-2w} \chi_2(c) \sum_{\substack{d|c \\ (d, D_1) = 1}} \mu(d) \chi_1(d) \sum_{v=1}^{D_1} \chi_1(v) e\left(\frac{vd}{D_1 c}\right) \sum_{u(c/d)} e\left(\frac{ud}{c}\right) \\
 & = \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1-2w} \chi_2(c) \mu(c) \chi_1(c) \sum_{v(D_1)} \chi_1(v) e\left(\frac{v}{D_1}\right) \\
 & = \tau(\chi_1) L(1 + 2w, \chi_1 \chi_2)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument works for the second sum on the right hand side of (3.13). We put these into (3.13) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad M_1 & = \frac{\tau(\chi_1)^2}{2\pi D_1} L(1, \bar{\chi}_1 \chi_2) \\
 & \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{D_2}{D_1}\right)^w \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)\Gamma(k/2 - w)}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} K(w) dw \\
 & + \frac{\tau(\chi_2)^2}{2\pi D_2} L(1, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2) \\
 & \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{D_1}{D_2}\right)^w \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)\Gamma(k/2 - w)}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} K(w) dw.
 \end{aligned}$$

Treatment of M_2 . Changing the variable $s = -(z + 2w)$, we have from (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_2 &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \sum_{a(c)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{c}\right) \\
 &\times \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{c^2}\right)^w \left(\frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)}{\Gamma(k/2)}\right)^2 L(1 + 2w, \chi_1 \chi_2) K(w) \\
 &\times \int_{(3)} \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{-z} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w - z/2)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w + z/2)} E_{\chi_1, \chi_2} \left(1 - \frac{z}{2}, \frac{a}{c}\right) dz dw.
 \end{aligned}$$

To bound M_2 , we shall use the functional equation of $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(\cdot, a/c)$ in Lemma 2.1, and split M_2 into two parts

$$(3.15) \quad M_2 = M_2^+ + M_2^-$$

according to the functions $\varphi_{a,c}^+$ and $\varphi_{a,c}^-$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.16) \quad M_2^- &= (4\pi)^{-1} \sum_{c \geq 1} c_1([D_1, c][D_2, c])^{-1} \sum_{a(c)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{c}\right) \\
 &\times \sum_{\substack{u(D_1) \\ v(D_2)}} \chi_1(u)\chi_2(v)e(uva_0/c) \sum_{l \geq 1} \tau_{a,c}^-(l; u, v)e(-la_1/c) \\
 &\times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{c^2}\right)^w \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} L(1 + 2w, \chi_1 \chi_2) K(w) \\
 &\times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w - z/2)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w + z/2)} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^2 \\
 &\times \left(e\left(-\frac{z}{4}\right) + \chi_1 \chi_2(-1)e\left(\frac{z}{4}\right)\right) \left(\frac{c^2 l}{[D_1, c][D_2, c]}\right)^{-z/2} dz dw
 \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 divides c . Write

$$Q = \frac{c^2 l}{[D_1, c][D_2, c]}$$

for short. Then the inner integral over z , by Lemma 2.3, is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2\pi \chi_1 \chi_2(-1) \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x)(iJ_0(x\sqrt{Q}) - Y_0(x\sqrt{Q})) \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2w} dx \\
 &\quad - 2\pi \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x)(iJ_0(x\sqrt{Q}) + Y_0(x\sqrt{Q})) \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2w} dx \\
 &= -4\pi \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x)B_0(x\sqrt{Q}) \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2w} dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $B_0(\cdot) = Y_0(\cdot)$ if $\chi_1\chi_2(-1) = 1$ and $B_0(\cdot) = iY_0(\cdot)$ if $\chi_1\chi_2(-1) = -1$. Hence by moving the line of integration to $1/2 \leq \text{Re } w = A_c < A$ where A_c depends on c , we have

$$(3.17) \quad M_2^- \ll D_1 D_2 \sum_{c \geq 1} c^2 ([D_1, c][D_2, c])^{-1} \sum_{l \geq 1} d(l) \\ \times \int_{(A_c)} \left| \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} L(1 + 2w, \chi_1\chi_2) K(w) \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{c^2} \right)^w \right| \\ \times \left| \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) B_0(x\sqrt{Q}) \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2w} dx \right| |dw|.$$

Now we choose $A_c = 1$ for $c > k$ and $A_c = 1/2$ for $c \leq k$ and apply Lemma 2.4(b) to find that the contribution from those terms satisfying $Q \leq 1$ is

$$(3.18) \quad \ll (D_1 D_2)^{3/2} k^{-3/2} (\log k)^2 \sum_{1 \leq c \leq k} c ([D_1, c][D_2, c])^{-1} \\ \times \sum_{c^2 l \leq [D_1, c][D_2, c]} d(l) \left(\frac{c^2 l}{[D_1, c][D_2, c]} \right)^{-1/4} \\ \times \int_{(1/2)} \left| \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} L(1 + 2w, \chi_1\chi_2) K(w) \right| (1 + |w|) |dw| \\ + (D_1 D_2)^2 k^{-5/2} (\log k)^2 \sum_{c \geq k} ([D_1, c][D_2, c])^{-1} \\ \times \sum_{c^2 l \leq [D_1, c][D_2, c]} d(l) \left(\frac{c^2 l}{[D_1, c][D_2, c]} \right)^{-1/4} \\ \times \int_{(1)} \left| \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} L(1 + 2w, \chi_1\chi_2) K(w) \right| (1 + |w|) |dw| \\ \ll (D_1 D_2)^{3/2} k^{-1/2} (\log k)^2 \log(D_1 D_2) \\ \times \left(\sum_{1 \leq c \leq k} c^{-1} + (D_1 D_2)^{1/2} \sum_{c > k} c^{-2} \right) \\ \ll (D_1 D_2)^{3/2} k^{-1/2} (\log k)^3 \log(D_1 D_2).$$

When $D_1 = D_2 = 1$, we only consider k divisible by 4. The condition $c^2 l \leq [D_1, c][D_2, c]$ is reduced to $l = 1$. In this case, $c_1 = c$ and $\tau_{a,c}^-(1; 1, 1)e(-a_1/c) = e(-\bar{a}/c)$ (see the remark after Lemma 2.1). Thus,

by Lemma 2.3, the contribution of M_2^- is, by (3.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.19) \quad & - \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1} \phi(c) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} 2^{2w} c^{-2w} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} \zeta(1 + 2w) K(w) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) Y_0(x) x^{-2w} dx dw \\
 & = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} \zeta(2w) K(w) \cos(\pi w) dw.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last line follows from (see [Er, §6.8, (36)])

$$\int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) Y_0(x) x^{-2w} dx = -2^{-2w} \pi^{-1} \cos(\pi w) \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2}.$$

If we move the line of integration to $\text{Re } w = A/2$, it becomes apparent that the left side in (3.19) is $\ll k^{-A}$.

Now, we investigate the contribution from $c^2 l > [D_1, c][D_2, c]$ in (3.17). We have $c^2 l \geq [D_1, c][D_2, c] + c^2 \mid [D_1, c][D_2, c]$, and so $c^2 l / ([D_1, c][D_2, c]) \geq 1 + (D_1 D_2)^{-1}$. If we take $A_c = 1$, then the contribution of this part is, by Lemma 2.4(a) and (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.20) \quad & \ll (D_1 D_2)^2 \sum_{c \geq 1} c^2 ([D_1, c][D_2, c])^{-1} \\
 & \times \sum_{c^2 l > [D_1, c][D_2, c]} ld(l) (c^2 l - [D_1, c][D_2, c])^{-1} \left(\frac{c^2 l}{[D_1, c][D_2, c]} \right)^{1-k/2} \\
 & \times \int_{(1)} \left| \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} L(1 + 2w, \chi_1 \chi_2) K(w) \right| e^{|\text{Im } w| \pi} |dw| \\
 & \ll (D_1 D_2)^2 k^2 (1 + (D_1 D_2)^{-1})^{4-k/2} \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-6} ([D_1, c][D_2, c])^2 \\
 & \times \sum_{c^2 l > [D_1, c][D_2, c]} d(l) l^{-2} \\
 & \ll (D_1 D_2)^3 k^2 \exp\left(-\frac{k}{4D_1 D_2}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

(Note that our choice of $K(w)$ in (3.1) is sufficient to suppress the term $\exp(|\text{Im } w| \pi)$.) This completes the evaluation of the left side of (3.17). In view of (3.18)–(3.20), under the condition that $D_1 D_2 \leq k / (16 \log k)$, we can write

$$(3.21) \quad M_2^- \ll (D_1 D_2)^{3/2} k^{-1/2} (\log k)^3 \log(D_1 D_2) + k^{-A}.$$

(Note that $\log(D_1 D_2) = 0$ when $D_1 = D_2 = 1$.)

The evaluation of M_2^+ in (3.15) is much easier. As $\varphi_{a,c}^+(s; u, v) \ll 1$ for $\text{Re } s > 1$, we move $\text{Re } z = 3$ to $\text{Re } z = 4$ and then $\text{Re } w = 2$ to $\text{Re } w = 1$. By Lemma 2.2, a crude estimate gives

$$(3.22) \quad M_2^+ \ll (D_1 D_2)^2 \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-4} ([D_1, c][D_2, c]) \\ \times \int_{(1)} \int_{(4)} \left| \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)^2}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 - w - z/2)}{\Gamma(k/2 + w + z/2)} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^2 K(w) \right| |dz| |dw| \\ \ll (D_1 D_2)^3 k^{-4}.$$

Hence, for $D_1 D_2 \leq k/(16 \log k)$, (3.15), (3.21) and (3.22) yield

$$(3.23) \quad M_2 \ll (D_1 D_2)^{3/2} k^{-1/2} (\log k)^3 \log(D_1 D_2) + k^{-A}.$$

For simplicity, let us write

$$\Phi(k, D) = \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \left(\frac{\Gamma'(k/2)}{\Gamma(k/2)} + \left(\gamma + \log \frac{D}{2\pi} + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \right), \\ I(D_1, D_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{\Gamma(k/2 + w)\Gamma(k/2 - w)}{\Gamma(k/2)^2} K(w) \left(\frac{D_1}{D_2} \right)^w dw,$$

and put $E(1, k) = k^{-A}$ and $E(D, k) = \mathcal{D}^{3/2} k^{-1/2} (\log k)^4$ for $\mathcal{D} > 1$. One can see that by the residue theorem,

$$(3.24) \quad I(D_1, D_2) + I(D_2, D_1) = 1.$$

We now deduce our result. From (3.4), (3.7) and (3.23), we have

$$S(\chi_1, \chi_2) = V_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \left(\frac{D_1 D_2}{4\pi^2} \right) + 2\pi i^k M_1 + O(E(D_1 D_2, k)).$$

- If χ is real, then using $\tau(\chi) = \chi(-1)\overline{\tau(\chi)}$ (for real χ), we deduce from (3.6) and (3.12) that

$$S(\chi, \chi) = (1 + i^k \chi(-1))\Phi(k, D) + O(E(D^2, k)).$$

From (3.3) and $\varepsilon_k(\chi)^2 = 1$, parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 1 follow.

- If χ is complex, then from (3.5) and (3.12),

$$S(\chi, \chi) = L(1, \chi^2) + \varepsilon_k(\chi)\Phi(k, D) + O(E(D^2, k)).$$

This completes part (c) with (3.3).

- If $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ and $\chi_1 \neq \overline{\chi_2}$, then, by (3.5) and (3.14),

$$S(\chi_1, \chi_2) = L(1, \chi_1 \chi_2) + \varepsilon_k(\chi_1)L(1, \overline{\chi_1} \chi_2)I(D_2, D_1) \\ + \varepsilon_k(\chi_2)L(1, \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2})I(D_1, D_2) + O(E(D_1 D_2, k)).$$

By (3.3) and using $\overline{I(\cdot, \cdot)} = I(\cdot, \cdot)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k} w_f L(f \otimes \chi_1, 1/2) L(f \otimes \chi_2, 1/2) \\ &= L(1, \chi_1 \chi_2) + \varepsilon_k(\chi_1) \varepsilon_k(\chi_2) L(1, \overline{\chi_1} \overline{\chi_2}) \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon_k(\chi_1) L(1, \overline{\chi_1} \chi_2) + \varepsilon_k(\chi_2) L(1, \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2})) (I(D_1, D_2) + I(D_2, D_1)) \\ &\quad + O(E(D_1 D_2, k)). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.24) this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4. Properties of $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, a/c)$. This section is independent of the previous parts. It is devoted to the study of the generalized Estermann function which is defined, for $\text{Re } s > 1$, as

$$(4.1) \quad E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n) e(nh/k) n^{-s}$$

where $k \geq 1$ and $(h, k) = 1$, and $\tau_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n) = \sum_{ab=n} \chi_1(a) \chi_2(b)$. (χ_1 and χ_2 are primitive characters.) We change here the notation a/c into h/k and clearly no confusion will be caused. To begin with, let us fix our notations: (m, n) and $[m, n]$ denote respectively the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of the two natural numbers m and n . We also denote by (\cdot, \cdot) an ordered pair when no confusion will occur. Given h, k and D_1, D_2 (the moduli of χ_1 and χ_2), we write

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= (D_1, k), & k &= \delta_1 \kappa_1, & D_1 &= \delta_1 d_1, \\ \Delta_1 &= (\delta_1, \kappa_2), & \delta_1 &= \Delta_1 \delta, & \kappa_1 &= \Delta_2 \kappa, \\ \delta_2 &= (D_2, k), & k &= \delta_2 \kappa_2, & D_2 &= \delta_2 d_2, \\ \Delta_2 &= (\delta_2, \kappa_1), & \delta_2 &= \Delta_2 \delta, & \kappa_2 &= \Delta_1 \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any two coprime integers m and n , we define $\overline{m}^{(n)}$ and $\overline{n}^{(m)}$ to be a pair of integers satisfying $m\overline{m}^{(n)} + n\overline{n}^{(m)} = 1$.

THEOREM A. *The function $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k)$ can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function, which is holomorphic on \mathbb{C} except possibly at $s = 1$. The order of the pole is at most two. Suppose the Laurent expansion of $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k)$ at $s = 1$ is*

$$E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k) = A_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(h, k)(s - 1)^{-2} + B_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(h, k)(s - 1)^{-1} + \dots$$

When $\chi_1 = \chi_2$, we put $\chi = \chi_1 = \chi_2$ and $D = D_1 = D_2$. For $k = D\kappa$ with $(D, \kappa) = 1$,

$$A_{\chi,\chi}(h, k) = k^{-1}\tau(\chi)\bar{\chi}(h)\chi(\kappa) \frac{\phi(D)}{D},$$

$$B_{\chi,\chi}(h, k) = 2k^{-1}\tau(\chi)\bar{\chi}(h)\chi(\kappa) \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \left(\gamma - \log \kappa + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right).$$

In all other cases $A_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(h, k) = 0$. When $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$,

$$B_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(h, k) = \delta_{12}(k)k^{-1}\tau(\chi_1)\bar{\chi}_1(h)\chi_2(\kappa_1)L(1, \chi_2\bar{\chi}_1) + \delta_{21}(k)k^{-1}\tau(\chi_2)\bar{\chi}_2(h)\chi_1(\kappa_2)L(1, \chi_1\bar{\chi}_2)$$

where $\delta_{ij}(k) = 1$ if $k = D_i\kappa_i$ and $(\kappa_i, D_j) = 1$, and $\delta_{ij}(k) = 0$ otherwise. Here $\phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler phi function and $L(s, \psi)$ is the Dirichlet L-function for the character ψ .

In addition, let $h_0 = h_0(\delta, \kappa) = h(1 - \delta\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)})h\bar{h}^{(\kappa)}$ and

$$C_0 = C_0(\delta, d_1, d_2, \kappa, \kappa_1, \kappa_2) = \delta\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)}\bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)}.$$

Then $E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(s, h/k)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(s, h/k) = \Delta_1\kappa_1[D_1, k]^{-s}[D_2, k]^{-s}(2\pi)^{2s-2}\Gamma(1-s)^2 \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a)\chi_2(b)e\left(\frac{abh_0}{k}\right) \\ \times \{(1 + \chi_1\chi_2(-1))\varphi_{h,k}^+(1-s; a, -b) - (e(s/2) + \chi_1\chi_2(-1)e(-s/2))\varphi_{h,k}^-(1-s; a, b)\}.$$

The functions $\varphi_{h,k}^\mp(\cdot; a, b)$ are given by the analytic continuation of the Dirichlet series

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi_{h,k}^\mp(s; a, b) = \varphi_{h,k,D_1,D_2}^\mp(s; a, b) = \sum_{l=1}^\infty l^{-s}\tau_{h,k}^\mp(l; a, b)e\left(\mp\frac{l\bar{h}^{(\kappa)}}{k}C_0\right)$$

for $\text{Re } s > 1$, of the arithmetical functions $\tau_{h,k}^\mp(l; a, b)$. These functions are defined by

$$(4.4) \quad \tau_{h,k}^\mp(l; a, b) = \tau_{h,k,D_1,D_2}^\mp(l; a, b) = \sum_{\substack{mn=l \\ (m,n)\in S(a,b,\mp)}} e\left(\frac{am}{D_1\kappa_1}C_1 + \frac{bn}{D_2\kappa_2}C_2\right)$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad S(a, b, \mp) = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : m \equiv \mp bhd_1 \pmod{\Delta_2}, n \equiv \mp ahd_2 \pmod{\Delta_1}\},$$

and

$$C_1 = C_1(h, \delta, \kappa, d_1, \kappa_1) = 1 - \delta \bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)} h \bar{h}^{(\kappa)} d_1 \bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)},$$

$$C_2 = C_2(h, \delta, \kappa, d_2, \kappa_2) = 1 - \delta \bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)} h \bar{h}^{(\kappa)} d_2 \bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)}.$$

Here and in what follows, the summation $\sum_{mn=l}^{(*)}$ runs over all positive integer pairs (m, n) with $mn = l$ and satisfying the constraint $(*)$.

Proof. From (4.1),

$$(4.6) \quad E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k)$$

$$= \sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty} \chi_1(m) \chi_2(n) e(mnh/k) (mn)^{-s}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a) \chi_2(b) \sum_{\alpha, \beta(k)} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right) \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a(D_1), m \equiv \alpha(k)}} m^{-s} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv b(D_2), n \equiv \beta(k)}} n^{-s}$$

for $\text{Re } s > 1$. The pair of congruence equations $m \equiv a \pmod{D_1}$ and $m \equiv \alpha \pmod{k}$ is solvable if and only if $\delta_1 = (D_1, k) \mid a - \alpha$. When $\delta_1 \mid a - \alpha$, m is in the arithmetic progression $\{D_1 \kappa_1 l + \alpha d_1 \bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)} + a \kappa_1 \bar{\kappa}_1^{(d_1)} : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Define $\lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)} \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{\alpha d_1 \bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)} + a \kappa_1 \bar{\kappa}_1^{(d_1)}}{D_1 \kappa_1} \pmod{1}$$

(i.e. the fractional part of the right side). We have

$$(4.8) \quad E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k) = [D_1, k]^{-s} [D_2, k]^{-s} \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a) \chi_2(b)$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1), \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right) \zeta(s, \lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)}) \zeta(s, \lambda_{\beta, b}^{(2)})$$

where $\zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+a)^{-s}$ (for $\text{Re } s > 1$) is Hurwitz's zeta function.

It is known that $\zeta(s, a)$ is meromorphic on \mathbb{C} with a simple pole at $s = 1$ of residue 1, and satisfies the functional equation

$$(4.9) \quad \zeta(s, a) = i^{-1} \Gamma(1-s) (2\pi)^{s-1} (e(s/4) \varphi(1-s, a) - e(-s/4) \varphi(1-s, -a))$$

where for $\text{Re } s > 1$, $\varphi(s, a) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e(ma) m^{-s}$. From (4.8), the order of the possible pole of $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k)$ at $s = 1$ is at most two. Hence, $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k)$ is holomorphic except possibly at $s = 1$. Moreover, for $0 < \text{Re } w < \varepsilon$, we

have when $\alpha \equiv a \pmod{\delta_1}$,

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a(D_1), m \equiv \alpha(k)}} m^{-1-w} = [D_1, k]^{-1-w} \zeta(1+w, \lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)}) \\ = [D_1, k]^{-1} w^{-1} + C_1(\alpha, a) + \dots$$

and when $\beta \equiv b \pmod{\delta_2}$,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv b(D_2), n \equiv \beta(k)}} n^{-1-w} = [D_2, k]^{-1} w^{-1} + C_2(\beta, b) + \dots$$

Inserting these into (4.6) then yields

$$(4.11) \quad A_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(h, k) \\ = [D_1, k]^{-1} [D_2, k]^{-1} \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a) \chi_2(b) \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1), \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right),$$

$$(4.12) \quad B_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(h, k) \\ = \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a) \chi_2(b) \\ \times \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1), \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right) ([D_2, k]^{-1} C_1(\alpha, a) + [D_1, k]^{-1} C_2(\beta, b)).$$

Denote the sum in $A_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(h, k)$ by Σ_A , i.e.

$$\Sigma_A = \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a) \chi_2(b) \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1), \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right).$$

Noting the condition $(h, k) = 1$, we have

$$(4.13) \quad \Sigma_A = \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a) \chi_2(b) \sum_{\substack{u(\kappa_1) \\ v(\kappa_2)}} e\left((a + \delta_1 u)(b + \delta_2 v) \frac{h}{k}\right) \\ = \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a) \chi_2(b) e\left(\frac{abh}{k}\right) \sum_{v(\kappa_2)} e\left(\frac{avh}{\kappa_2}\right) \sum_{u(\kappa_1)} e\left(u(b + \delta_2 v) \frac{h}{\kappa_1}\right) \\ = \kappa_1 \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a) \chi_2(b) e\left(\frac{abh}{k}\right) \sum_{\substack{v(\kappa_2) \\ \kappa_1 | b + \delta_2 v}} e\left(\frac{avh}{\kappa_2}\right).$$

The last sum is zero if $(\kappa_1, \delta_2) > 1$ (as then $(b, D_2) > 1$). Applying the same argument with the roles of u and v reversed, we get

$$(4.14) \quad \Sigma_A = \kappa_2 \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a)\chi_2(b)e\left(\frac{abh}{k}\right) \sum_{\substack{u(\kappa_1) \\ \kappa_2|a+\delta_1u}} e\left(\frac{auh}{\kappa_1}\right).$$

Thus, $\Sigma_A = 0$ except possibly for $(\delta_1, \kappa_2) = (\delta_2, \kappa_1) = 1$. When $(\delta_1, \kappa_2) = (\delta_2, \kappa_1) = 1$, we have, in view of (4.2), $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \kappa$, $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta$ and $(\delta, \kappa) = 1$. From (4.13) and (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_A &= \kappa \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a)\chi_2(b)e\left(\frac{abh}{\delta} \frac{1 - \delta\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}}{\kappa}\right) \\ &= \kappa\tau(\chi_2)\bar{\chi}_2\left(d_2h \frac{1 - \delta\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}}{\kappa}\right) \sum_{a(D_1)} \chi_1(a)\bar{\chi}_2(a), \\ \Sigma_A &= \kappa\tau(\chi_1)\bar{\chi}_1\left(d_1h \frac{1 - \delta\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}}{\kappa}\right) \sum_{b(D_2)} \chi_2(b)\bar{\chi}_1(b), \end{aligned}$$

by using the primitivity of χ_1 and χ_2 . Hence Σ_A is non-zero only when $d_1 = d_2 = 1$, $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ (so $D_1 = D_2$) and $k = D\kappa$ with $(D, \kappa) = 1$. In this case, $\Sigma_A = \kappa\tau(\chi)\bar{\chi}(h)\chi(\kappa)\phi(D)$. This completes the evaluation of $A_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(h, k)$ with (4.11).

In view of (4.12), we shall evaluate the sum $\Sigma_B(\chi_1, \chi_2)$, given by

$$(4.15) \quad \Sigma_B(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a)\chi_2(b) \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1), \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right) C_1(\alpha, a),$$

and we have

$$(4.16) \quad B_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(h, k) = [D_2, k]^{-1}\Sigma_B(\chi_1, \chi_2) + [D_1, k]^{-1}\Sigma_B(\chi_2, \chi_1).$$

We define, for $\text{Re } s > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(s; \chi_1, \chi_2) &= \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a)\chi_2(b) \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1), \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right) \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a(D_1), m \equiv \alpha(k)}} m^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.10) and (4.15), $\Sigma_B(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ equals the constant term in the series expansion of $F(s; \chi_1, \chi_2)$ at $s = 1$. This function $F(\cdot; \chi_1, \chi_2)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &F(s; \chi_1, \chi_2) \\
 &= \sum_{a(D_1)} \chi_1(a) \sum_{\substack{\alpha(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1)}} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a(D_1), m \equiv \alpha(k)}} m^{-s} \sum_{b(D_2)} \chi_2(b) \sum_{\substack{\beta(k) \\ \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{a(D_1)} \chi_1(a) \sum_{\substack{\alpha(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1)}} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a(D_1), m \equiv \alpha(k)}} m^{-s} \sum_{b(D_2)} \chi_2(b) \sum_{z(\kappa_2)} e\left(\frac{h\alpha(b+z\delta_2)}{k}\right) \\
 &= \kappa_2 \sum_{a(D_1)} \chi_1(a) \sum_{\substack{\alpha(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1), \alpha \equiv 0(\kappa_2)}} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a(D_1), m \equiv \alpha(k)}} m^{-s} \sum_{b(D_2)} \chi_2(b) e\left(\frac{bh}{\delta_2} \frac{\alpha}{\kappa_2}\right) \\
 &= \kappa_2 \tau(\chi_2) \bar{\chi}_2(d_2 h) \sum_{a(D_1)} \chi_1(a) \sum_{\substack{\alpha(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1), \alpha \equiv 0(\kappa_2)}} \bar{\chi}_2\left(\frac{\alpha}{\kappa_2}\right) \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a(D_1), m \equiv \alpha(k)}} m^{-s}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $d_2 \neq 1$ or $(\kappa_2, \delta_1) > 1$, then $F(s; \chi_1, \chi_2) \equiv 0$; otherwise from (4.2), we have $\delta_2 = D_2$, $\Delta_1 = 1$ (so $k = D_2\kappa_2 = D_2\kappa$, $\delta_1 = \delta$) and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &F(s; \chi_1, \chi_2) \\
 &= \kappa \tau(\chi_2) \bar{\chi}_2(h) \sum_{a(D_1)} \chi_1(a) \sum_{\substack{b(D_2) \\ \kappa b \equiv a(\delta)}} \bar{\chi}_2(b) \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a(D_1), m \equiv \kappa b(\kappa D_2)}} m^{-s} \\
 &= \kappa^{1-s} \tau(\chi_2) \bar{\chi}_2(h) \sum_{a(D_1)} \chi_1(a) \sum_{\substack{b(D_2) \\ \kappa b \equiv a(\delta)}} \bar{\chi}_2(b) \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ \kappa m \equiv a(D_1), m \equiv b(D_2)}} m^{-s},
 \end{aligned}$$

after replacing m by κm . Therefore, $F(s; \chi_1, \chi_2) \equiv 0$ also if $(\kappa, D_1) > 1$. When $(\kappa, D_1) = 1$, we see that $\delta = \delta_1 = (D_1, D_2\kappa) = (D_1, D_2)$, and by replacing a by κa ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.17) \quad &F(s; \chi_1, \chi_2) \\
 &= \kappa^{1-s} \tau(\chi_2) \bar{\chi}_2(h) \chi_1(\kappa) \sum_{a(D_1)} \chi_1(a) \sum_{\substack{b(D_2) \\ b \equiv a(D_1, D_2)}} \bar{\chi}_2(b) \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m \equiv a(D_1) \\ m \equiv b(D_2)}} m^{-s} \\
 &= \kappa^{1-s} \tau(\chi_2) \bar{\chi}_2(h) \chi_1(\kappa) L(s, \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

When $\chi_1 = \chi_2 (= \chi)$ and $k = D\kappa$ with $(\kappa, D) = 1$, we have

$$F(s; \chi, \chi) = \kappa^{1-s} \tau(\chi) \bar{\chi}(h) \chi(\kappa) \zeta(s) \prod_{p|D} (1 - p^{-s})$$

and hence the constant term in its series expansion at $s = 1$ is

$$\Sigma_B(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \tau(\chi)\overline{\chi}(h)\chi(\kappa) \frac{\phi(D)}{D} \left(\gamma - \log \kappa + \sum_{p|D} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right).$$

When $k = D_2\kappa$, $(\kappa, D_1) = 1$ but $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$, from (4.17) we have

$$\Sigma_B(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \tau(\chi_2)\overline{\chi}_2(h)\chi_1(\kappa)L(1, \chi_1\overline{\chi}_2).$$

By (4.16), the evaluation of $B_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(h, k)$ is complete.

We proceed now to show the functional equation. Applying (4.9) to (4.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4.18) \quad E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k) &= - [D_1, k]^{-s} [D_2, k]^{-s} (2\pi)^{2s-2} \Gamma(1-s)^2 \sum_{\substack{a(D_1) \\ b(D_2)}} \chi_1(a)\chi_2(b) \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1), \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right) \left(e\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \varphi(1-s, \lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)}) \varphi(1-s, \lambda_{\beta, b}^{(2)}) \right. \\ &+ e\left(-\frac{s}{2}\right) \varphi(1-s, -\lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)}) \varphi(1-s, -\lambda_{\beta, b}^{(2)}) \\ &\left. - \varphi(1-s, \lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)}) \varphi(1-s, -\lambda_{\beta, b}^{(2)}) - \varphi(1-s, -\lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)}) \varphi(1-s, \lambda_{\beta, b}^{(2)}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Our task is then to simplify the last four sums which we write accordingly

$$\begin{aligned} (4.19) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1) \\ \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right) \varphi(s, \pm\lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)}) \varphi(s, \pm\lambda_{\beta, b}^{(2)}) &= \sum_{m, n \geq 1} (mn)^{-s} T_{h, k}(\pm m, \pm n; a, b) \end{aligned}$$

for $\text{Re } s > 1$. For simplicity, we write $T(m, n; a, b)$ for $T_{h, k}(m, n; a, b)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.20) \quad T(m, n; a, b) &= T_{h, k}(m, n; a, b) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta(k) \\ \alpha \equiv a(\delta_1) \\ \beta \equiv b(\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k} + m\lambda_{\alpha, a}^{(1)} + n\lambda_{\beta, b}^{(2)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us take $\alpha = a + x\delta_1$ and $\beta = b + y\delta_2$ where x and y run over residue classes mod κ_1 and mod κ_2 respectively. From (4.7) and (4.20), a rearrangement of terms gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.21) \quad & T(m, n; a, b) \\
 &= e\left(\frac{abh}{k} + \frac{am}{D_1\kappa_1} + \frac{bn}{D_2\kappa_2}\right) \sum_{y(\kappa_2)} e\left(\frac{y(ah + n\bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)})}{\kappa_2}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{x(\kappa_1)} e\left(\frac{x(h(b + y\delta_2) + m\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)})}{\kappa_1}\right) \\
 &= \kappa_1 e\left(\frac{abh}{k} + \frac{am}{D_1\kappa_1} + \frac{bn}{D_2\kappa_2}\right) \sum_{\substack{y(\kappa_2) \\ h(b+\delta_2y)+m\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{\kappa_1}}} e\left(\frac{y(ah + n\bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)})}{\kappa_2}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

(Recall the definition of $\bar{m}^{(n)}$ in (4.2).) The congruence $h(b + \delta_2y) + m\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{\kappa_1}$ is solvable if and only if $\Delta_2 \mid bh + m\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)}$. Subject to this condition, we have

$$y \equiv -\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}\bar{h}^{(\kappa)}\left(\frac{bh + m\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)}}{\Delta_2}\right) \pmod{\kappa},$$

so that the sum in (4.21) equals

$$e\left(-\frac{\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}\bar{h}^{(\kappa)}}{\kappa} \frac{ah + n\bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)}}{\Delta_1} \frac{bh + m\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)}}{\Delta_2}\right) \sum_{z(\Delta_1)} e\left(\frac{z}{\Delta_1} (ah + n\bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)})\right).$$

Hence, $T(m, n; a, b)$ is non-zero only if $\Delta_1 \mid ah + n\bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)}$ and $\Delta_2 \mid bh + m\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)}$. In this case, these two conditions can be expressed as

$$(4.22) \quad m \equiv -bhd_1 \pmod{\Delta_2} \quad \text{and} \quad n \equiv -ahd_2 \pmod{\Delta_1},$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.23) \quad & T(m, n; a, b) \\
 &= \Delta_1\kappa_1 e\left(\frac{abh}{k} + \frac{am}{D_1\kappa_1} + \frac{bn}{D_2\kappa_2} - \frac{\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}\bar{h}^{(\kappa)}}{\kappa} \frac{ah + n\bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)}}{\Delta_1} \frac{bh + m\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)}}{\Delta_2}\right) \\
 &= \Delta_1\kappa_1 e\left(\frac{ab}{k} h_0 + \frac{am}{D_1\kappa_1} C_1 + \frac{bn}{D_2\kappa_2} C_2 - \frac{mn\bar{h}^{(\kappa)}}{k} C_0\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $h_0 = h(1 - \delta\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}h\bar{h}^{(\kappa)})$, $C_1 = 1 - \delta\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}h\bar{h}^{(\kappa)}d_1\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)}$, $C_2 = 1 - \delta\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}h\bar{h}^{(\kappa)}d_2\bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)}$ and $C_0 = \delta\bar{\delta}^{(\kappa)}\bar{d}_1^{(\kappa_1)}\bar{d}_2^{(\kappa_2)}$.

In view of (4.4), (4.5), (4.22) and (4.23), we have

$$\sum_{mn=l} T(\pm m, \pm n; a, b) = \Delta_1\kappa_1\tau_{h,k}^{(\mp)}(l; \pm a, \pm b)e\left(\frac{abh_0}{k} + (\mp)\frac{l\bar{h}^{(\kappa)}}{k} C_0\right)$$

corresponding to the four cases in (4.19). The \pm signs attached to a and b are chosen to be the same as the pair of \pm signs in $\pm m, \pm n$; while $(\mp) = -$ if both signs taken are equal, and $(\mp) = +$ otherwise. From (4.19) and (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.24) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta (k) \\ \alpha \equiv a (\delta_1) \\ \beta \equiv b (\delta_2)}} e\left(\frac{\alpha\beta h}{k}\right) \varphi(1-s, \pm\lambda_{\alpha,a}^{(1)}) \varphi(1-s, \pm\lambda_{\beta,b}^{(2)}) \\ = \Delta_1 \kappa_1 e\left(\frac{abh_0}{k}\right) \varphi_{h,k}^{(\mp)}(s; \pm a, \pm b).$$

Here, again (\mp) takes the $-$ or $+$ sign according as the two signs taken from $\pm\lambda_{\alpha,a}^{(1)}, \pm\lambda_{\beta,b}^{(2)}$ are the same or not. Inserting (4.24) into (4.18) we see that $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s, h/k)$ consists of four multiple sums corresponding to the possible \pm signs in the right side of (4.24). It is apparent that the left side of (4.24) is, by (4.7), independent of the choices of representatives $a \pmod{D_1}$ and $b \pmod{D_2}$. Replacing a, b by $-a$ and $-b$ in the two cases $(-, -)$ and $(-, +)$, we deduce the desired functional equation.

References

- [Du] W. Duke, *The critical order of vanishing of automorphic L -functions with large level*, Invent. Math. 119 (1995), 165–174.
- [Er] A. Erdélyi, *Tables of Integral Transforms*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill, 1954.
- [Es] T. Estermann, *On the representation of a number as the sum of two products*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 31 (1930), 123–133.
- [HL] J. Hoffstein and P. Lockhart, *Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel zero*, with an appendix by D. Goldfeld, J. Hoffstein and D. Lieman, Ann. of Math. 140 (1994), 161–181.
- [Hu] M. N. Huxley, *Area, Lattice Points and Exponential Sums*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1996.
- [Iw] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.
- [IS] H. Iwaniec and P. Sarnak, *The non-vanishing of central values of automorphic L -functions and Landau–Siegel zeros*, Israel J. Math. 120 (2000), part A, 155–177.
- [Ju] M. Jutila, *On exponential sums involving the divisor function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 355 (1985), 173–190.
- [KS] W. Kohnen and J. Sengupta, *On quadratic character twists of Hecke L -functions attached to cusp forms of varying weights at the central point*, Acta Arith. 99 (2001), 61–66.
- [Le] N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Dover, 1972.
- [MV] P. Michel and J. Vanderkam, *Simultaneous nonvanishing of twists of automorphic L -functions*, Compositio Math. 134 (2002), 135–191.
- [Sa] P. Sarnak, *Estimates for Rankin–Selberg L -functions and quantum unique ergodicity*, J. Funct. Anal. 184 (2001), 419–453.

- [Ti] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1937.
- [WG] Z. X. Wang and D. R. Guo, *Special Functions*, World Sci., 1989.

Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong
E-mail: yklau@maths.hku.hk
kmtsang@maths.hku.hk

Received on 17.3.2004
and in revised form on 2.2.2005

(4739)