

On disjoint arithmetic progressions

by

YONG-GAO CHEN (Nanjing)

1. Introduction. Let $\{a_i \pmod{m_i}\}_{i=1}^l$ be a collection of arithmetic progressions, where $2 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_l \leq x$ and

$$a_i \pmod{m_i} \cap a_j \pmod{m_j} = \emptyset \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

Let $f(x)$ be the maximum value for such l . In [4], Erdős and Szemerédi proved that

$$\frac{x}{\exp((\log x)^{1/2+\varepsilon})} < f(x) < \frac{x}{(\log x)^c}$$

for some constant $c > 0$ (see also [3]). Let

$$L(c, x) = \exp(c\sqrt{\log x \log \log x}).$$

Recently, E. S. Croot III [2] has proved that

$$\frac{x}{L(\sqrt{2} + o(1), x)} < f(x) < \frac{x}{L(1/6 - o(1), x)}$$

and with the assumption that all m_i are squarefree, the maximum value for such l is less than $x/L(1/2 - o(1), x)$.

In this paper, we improve Croot's methods and prove the following result:

THEOREM.

$$f(x) < \frac{x}{L(1/2 - o(1), x)}.$$

2. Proof of the Theorem. As a direct consequence of [1, Lemma 3.1], we have (see also [2])

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B25.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No.10471064 and the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers at Nanjing Normal University.

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$\psi(x, y) = \#\{n \leq x : p \text{ prime}, p | n \Rightarrow p \leq y\}.$$

Then, for any constant $c > 0$,

$$\psi(x, L(c, x)) = \frac{x}{L(1/(2c) + o(1), x)}.$$

LEMMA 2 (Croot [2]). *There are at most $x/L(c/2 - o(1), x)$ positive integers $n \leq x$ such that*

$$\omega(n) > c\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x},$$

where c is some positive constant and $\omega(n) = \sum_{p|n, p \text{ prime}} 1$.

DEFINITION. Let r and n be positive integers. Write

$$n = ab, \quad (a, b) = 1, \quad a > 0, \quad b > 0,$$

such that if p is a prime, $p^\alpha | a$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid a$, then either $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha > r$, and if p is a prime, $p^\beta | b$ and $p^{\beta+1} \nmid b$, then $\beta \leq r$. Define

$$h_r(n) = a, \quad l_r(n) = b.$$

Here we may take $a = 1$ or $b = 1$.

LEMMA 3. *Let r be a given positive integer. Then there are at most $x/L(c/2 - o(1), x)$ positive integers $n \leq x$ with $n = l_r(n)$ such that*

$$\Omega(n) > c\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x},$$

where c is some positive constant and $\Omega(n) = \sum_{p^\alpha | n, p \text{ prime}} 1$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \{n : n \leq x, \omega(n) > c\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x}\}, \\ T_2 &= \{n : n \leq x, n = l_r(n), \omega(n) \leq c\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x}, \\ &\quad \Omega(n) > c\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$|T_1| \leq \frac{x}{L(c/2 - o(1), x)}.$$

Now we estimate $|T_2|$. For a positive integer n , let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$ be the standard factorization of n . Define

$$g(n) = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_t!$$

By a multipolynomial expansion, we have

$$\left(\sum_{p \leq x, p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p} \right)^j \geq \sum_{n \leq x, \Omega(n)=j} \frac{j!}{g(n)n}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x, \Omega(n) \geq c\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x}} \frac{1}{g(n)n} &\leq \sum_{j \geq c\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x}} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\sum_{p \leq x, p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p} \right)^j \\ &\leq \frac{1}{L(c/2 - o(1), x)}. \end{aligned}$$

For any integer n with $n \in T_2$, we have

$$g(n) \leq (r!)^{\omega(n)} \leq (r!)^{c\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x}}.$$

Hence

$$|T_2| \leq \sum_{n \in T_2} \frac{x}{n} \leq x(r!)^{c\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x}} \sum_{n \in T_2} \frac{1}{g(n)n} \leq \frac{x}{L(c/2 - o(1), x)}. \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 4. For any integer $k \geq 3$, we have

$$\#\{n : 1 \leq n \leq x, h_{k(k+1)}(n) \geq L(c, x)\} \leq \frac{3x}{L(c(1 - 2/k), x)}.$$

Proof. It is well known that if an integer $\alpha > k(k + 1)$, then there exist two positive integers u and v with $\alpha = uk + v(k + 1)$. Thus, if $m = h_{k(k+1)}(m)$, then there exist two positive integers a and b such that $m = a^k b^{k+1}$. So

$$S_{k(k+1)}(t) := \#\{m : 1 \leq m \leq t, m = h_{k(k+1)}(m)\} \leq t^{\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k+1}} < t^{2/k}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{n : 1 \leq n \leq x, h_{k(k+1)}(n) \geq L(c, x)\} \\ \leq \sum_{m \geq L(c, x), m = h_{k(k+1)}(m)} \frac{x}{m} = x \int_{L(c, x)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} dS_{k(k+1)}(t) \leq \frac{3x}{L(c(1 - 2/k), x)}. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. Let r' and m be two integers with $m > 0$. Suppose that $\{b_i \pmod{m_i}\}_{i=1}^l$ is a collection of disjoint arithmetic progressions, where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(m_i) \leq M, \quad m_i > m, \\ m \mid m_i, \quad b_i \equiv r' \pmod{m}, \quad i = 1, \dots, l. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a prime p and an integer r'' such that $pm \mid m_i$ for at least l/M values of i , and

$$pm \mid m_i, \quad b_i \equiv r'' \pmod{pm}$$

for at least $l/(pM)$ values of i .

Proof. If $l = 1$, then we may take $r'' = b_1$ and p to be any prime divisor of m_1/m . Now we assume that $l \geq 2$. Let p_1, \dots, p_t be all distinct prime factors of m_1/m . For any $i \geq 2$, since the arithmetic progressions are disjoint, we have $(m_1, m_i) \nmid b_1 - b_i$. By $b_1 \equiv r' \equiv b_i \pmod{m}$, we have $(m_1, m_i) \neq m$. That is, $(m_1/m, m_i/m) \neq 1$ ($i = 2, \dots, l$). Hence, each m_i/m must be divisible by

at least one of p_1, \dots, p_t . Thus, there exists an index j such that p_j divides m_i/m for at least l/t values of i . Let $b_i = r' + ms_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$). Then there exists an integer s such that

$$s_i \equiv s \pmod{p_j}, \quad p_j \left| \frac{m_i}{m}$$

for at least $l/(p_j t)$ values of i . Thus, by $t \leq M$, p_j divides m_i/m for at least l/M values of i , and

$$b_i \equiv r' + ms \pmod{p_j m}, \quad p_j m \mid m_i$$

for at least $l/(p_j M)$ values of i . ■

LEMMA 6. *Let r be a given positive integer. If $\{b_i \pmod{m_i}\}_{i=1}^l$ is a collection of disjoint arithmetic progressions with $m_i = l_r(m_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) and $2 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_l \leq x$, then*

$$l < \frac{x}{L(1/2 - o(1), x)}.$$

Proof. Let S be the collection of all m_i 's such that

- (A) $\Omega(m_i) \leq \sqrt{\log x / \log \log x}$;
- (B) There exists a prime $p > L(1, x)$ such that $p \mid m_i$.

Beginning with $m = 1$ and $r' = 1$, by using Lemma 5 step by step, we obtain a sequence p_1, \dots, p_v of primes (and a sequence r_1, \dots, r_v of integers) such that for each j , $p_1 \cdots p_j \mid m_i$ for at least

$$\frac{|S|}{p_1 \cdots p_{j-1} (\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x})^j}$$

values of i with $m_i \in S$ and $p_1 \cdots p_v$ is some m_{i_0} . Hence, there exists a p_u with $p_u > L(1, x)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x}{p_1 \cdots p_{u-1} L(1, x)} &\geq \#\{n \leq x : p_1 \cdots p_u \mid n\} \\ &\geq \#\{m_i : m_i \in S, p_1 \cdots p_u \mid m_i\} \\ &\geq \frac{|S|}{p_1 \cdots p_{u-1} (\sqrt{\log x / \log \log x})^u} \\ &\geq \frac{|S|}{p_1 \cdots p_{u-1} L(1/2, x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|S| \leq \frac{x}{L(1/2, x)}.$$

By Lemmas 1 and 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &\geq l - \#\{m \leq x : m = l_r(m), \Omega(m) > \sqrt{\log x / \log \log x}\} - \psi(x, L(1, x)) \\ &\geq l - \frac{2x}{L(1/2 - o(1), x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$l \leq \frac{x}{L(1/2 - o(1), x)}. \blacksquare$$

Proof of the Theorem. Suppose that $\{a_i \pmod{m_i}\}_{i=1}^{f(x)}$ is a collection of disjoint arithmetic progressions, where $2 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_{f(x)} \leq x$. Let k be any given integer with $k \geq 4$, and let U be the collection of all m_i with $h_{k(k+1)}(m_i) < L(2, x)$. By the proof of Lemma 4, we have

$$\#\{m : 1 \leq m \leq L(2, x), m = h_{k(k+1)}(m)\} \leq L(2, x)^{2/k} = L(4/k, x).$$

So there must exist a positive integer $m < L(2, x)$ for which there are at least $|U|/L(4/k, x)$ of m_i 's with $h_{k(k+1)}(m_i) = m$. Thus, there must exist an integer a such that

$$a_i \equiv a \pmod{m}, \quad h_{k(k+1)}(m_i) = m$$

for at least $|U|/(mL(4/k, x))$ of m_i . In this way, we obtain a collection $\{a_i \pmod{m_i/m}\}$ which has at least $|U|/(mL(4/k, x))$ disjoint arithmetic progressions. Noting that $l_{k(k+1)}(m_i/m) = m_i/m$ for those m_i with $h_{k(k+1)}(m_i) = m$, by Lemma 6, we have

$$\frac{|U|}{mL(4/k, x)} \leq \frac{x}{mL(1/2 - o(1), x/m)} \leq \frac{x}{mL(1/2 - o(1), x)}.$$

So

$$|U| \leq \frac{x}{L(1/2 - 4/k - o(1), x)}.$$

By Lemma 4 we have

$$\#\{n : 1 \leq n \leq x, h_{k(k+1)}(n) \geq L(2, x)\} \leq \frac{3x}{L(2(1 - 2/k), x)} \leq \frac{3x}{L(1, x)}.$$

Therefore

$$f(x) \leq \frac{x}{L(1/2 - 4/k - o(1), x)} + \frac{3x}{L(1, x)}.$$

Since k is arbitrary, the Theorem follows. \blacksquare

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Professor E. S. Croot III for his help to make me understand his paper and the referee for his/her suggestions.

References

- [1] E. R. Canfield, P. Erdős and C. Pomerance, *On a problem of Oppenheim concerning "factorisatio numerorum"*, J. Number Theory 17 (1983), 1–28.
- [2] E. S. Croot III, *On non-intersecting arithmetic progressions*, Acta Arith. 110 (2003), 233–238.
- [3] P. Erdős and R. L. Graham, *Old and New Problems and Results in Combinatorial Number Theory*, Monograph. Enseign. Math. 28, Univ. Genève, 1980.
- [4] P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi, *On a problem of P. Erdős and S. Stein*, Acta Arith. 15 (1968), 85–90.

Department of Mathematics
Nanjing Normal University
Nanjing 210097, P.R. China
E-mail: ygchen@njnu.edu.cn

Received on 23.2.2004
and in revised form on 13.2.2005

(4723)