

## On additive bases with two elements

by

GIAMPIERO CHIASELOTTI (Cosenza)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian additive group. If  $S$  is a non-empty subset, we set

$$\Sigma(S) = \left\{ \sum_{x \in B} x \mid B \subseteq S, B \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

and

$$X = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{if } S \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}, |S| \geq m \text{ then } \Sigma(S) = G\}.$$

Let us observe that  $X \neq \emptyset$  if  $|G| > 2$ , since  $|G| - 1 \in X$ . The number

$$c(G) = \min\{m \mid m \in X\}$$

is called the *critical number* of  $G$ . It was first studied by Erdős and Heilbronn [4] for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , with  $p$  a prime number. Recently the parameter  $c(\mathbb{Z}_q)$  has been studied for various values of  $q$  (see [4], [9], [1], [8]).

For evaluation of  $c(G)$  for more general groups, the work of Diderrich [2] was fundamental. He proved that  $p + q - 2 \leq c(G) \leq p + q - 1$  if  $G$  is an abelian group of order  $pq$ , with  $p, q$  prime numbers. Moreover he conjectured that  $c(G) = p + h - 2$  if  $|G| = ph$ , where  $p$  is the smallest prime dividing  $|G|$  and  $h$  is a composite integer. First, this conjecture was checked in special cases: for  $p = 2$  in [3], for  $p \geq 43$  in [5], and for  $p = 3$  in [7]. Then Gao and Hamidoune [6] gave a complete proof of the conjecture.

In additive number theory we usually ask what may be said about the set  $M + M$ , for a given subset  $M$  of some additive structure; in particular when  $M + M$  is the whole structure. In this note, if  $S$  is a non-empty subset of a finite abelian group  $G$ , we set

$$\Sigma_k(S) = \left\{ \sum_{x \in B} x \mid B \subseteq S, |B| = k \right\},$$

for any integer  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq |S|$ , and we study when the subset  $\Sigma_2(S)$  of  $S + S$  is the whole  $G$ .

For this purpose, for integer  $k \leq |G| - 1$  we define

$$X_k = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid \forall S \subseteq G \setminus 0, |S| \geq m \Rightarrow \Sigma_k(S) = G\}$$

and call the number

$$c_k(G) = \min\{m \mid m \in X_k\}$$

the  $k$ th *critical number* of  $G$ . For some integer  $k$  the set  $X_k$  can be empty; in this case we set formally  $c_k(G) = \infty$ . If  $k = 1$  note that  $c_1(G) = \infty$ , since if  $S \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}$  we have  $\Sigma_1(S) = S \neq G$ . Here we determine the 2nd critical number of any finite abelian group  $G$  in terms of the order of its subgroup of elements of order 2. More precisely, if  $H_G = \{2g \mid g \in G\}$  and  $K_G = \{g \in G \mid 2g = 0\}$ , we prove that

$$c_2(G) = \begin{cases} (|G| + |K_G|)/2 + 1 & \text{if } H_G \neq \{0\}, \\ \infty & \text{if } H_G = \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Finally, note that  $c(G) \leq c_k(G)$  for any integer  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq |G|$ .

**2. The results.** First we observe that the number  $|G| + |K_G|$  is even. In fact, if  $|G|$  is odd, then  $K_G = \{0\}$ . If  $|G|$  is even, then  $K_G \neq \{0\}$  and any element of  $K_G \setminus \{0\}$  has order 2; therefore  $|K_G|$  is a power of 2.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group of order  $n$ . Set*

$$H_G = \{2g \mid g \in G\} \quad \text{and} \quad K_G = \{g \in G \mid 2g = 0\}.$$

*Then*

$$c_2(G) = \begin{cases} (|G| + q)/2 + 1 & \text{if } H_G \neq \{0\}, \\ \infty & \text{if } H_G = \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

*where  $q = |K_G|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : G \rightarrow G$  be the homomorphism  $\phi : x \mapsto 2x$ ; then we have  $\text{Ker } \phi = K_G$  and  $\text{Im } \phi = H_G$ , therefore  $G/K_G \cong H_G$ . If  $H_G = \{0\}$  then  $G = K_G$ ; this implies that each element in  $G \setminus \{0\}$  has order 2. In this case 0 cannot be the sum of two distinct elements of  $G$ ; hence for each subset  $S \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}$  we have  $\Sigma_2(S) \neq G$ , i.e.  $c_2(G) = \infty$ . We can therefore assume that  $H_G \neq \{0\}$  (in this case note that  $n \geq 2q$  since  $|H_G| \geq 2$ ).

Let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of  $G$  such that  $0 \notin S$  and  $|S| \geq (n+q)/2+1$ . Let  $a \in G$ . We claim that  $a \in \Sigma_2(S)$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  be  $m = (n+q)/2+1$  distinct elements of  $S$ . We set

$$A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}, \quad B = a - A = \{a - a_1, \dots, a - a_m\}.$$

Since  $|G| = n \geq |A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$ , it follows that

$$|A \cap B| \geq 2m - n = 2\left(\frac{n+q}{2} + 1\right) - n = q + 2.$$

There exist therefore  $q + 2$  distinct elements  $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_{q+2}}$  of  $A$  such that

$$a_{i_1} = a - a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{i_{q+2}} = a - a_{j_{q+2}},$$

where  $a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_{q+2}}$  are elements of  $A$ . We obtain

$$a = a_{i_1} + a_{j_1} = \dots = a_{i_{q+2}} + a_{j_{q+2}},$$

where  $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_{q+2}}, a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_{q+2}}$  are elements of  $A$ .

Now, if  $a_{i_k} \neq a_{j_k}$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, q + 2\}$ , then  $a = a_{i_k} + a_{j_k} \in \Sigma_2(S)$ ; thus now we suppose that

$$a_{i_1} = a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{i_{q+2}} = a_{j_{q+2}},$$

i.e.

$$(1) \quad a = 2a_{i_1} = 2a_{i_2} = \dots = 2a_{i_{q+2}}.$$

Set  $K_a = \{x \in G \mid 2x = a\}$ . By (1) it follows that  $K_a \neq \emptyset$ . For every  $c \in K_a$  the map  $K_G \rightarrow K_a, x \mapsto x + c$ , is onto and one-to-one; whence  $q = |K_G| = |K_a|$ . This implies that  $G$  contains exactly  $q$  distinct elements, say  $y_1, \dots, y_q$ , for which  $2y_i = a$  ( $i = 1, \dots, q$ ); but this contradicts (1) since  $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_{q+2}}$  are themselves distinct. Thus we have proved our claim. This also proves that  $c_2(G) \leq (n + q)/2 + 1$ . We now want to construct a subset  $S \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}$  having exactly  $(n + q)/2$  distinct elements and such that  $\Sigma_2(S) \neq G$ .

Let  $a \in H_G \setminus \{0\}$ . By definition of  $H_G$  there exists  $c \in G \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $2c = a$ . If  $K_G + c$  is the coset  $\{k + c \mid k \in G\}$ , we have  $|G \setminus (K_G + c)| = n - q$  (where  $n - q$  is even  $\geq 2$  since  $n \geq 2q$ , say  $n - q = 2m$ ). We now observe that  $G \setminus (K_G + c)$  can be partitioned into disjoint pairs of the type  $\{x, a - x\}$ , with  $a - x \neq x$ . In fact, if  $x \in G \setminus (K_G + c)$ , also  $a - x \in G \setminus (K_G + c)$  (otherwise  $a - x = k + c$  with  $k \in K_G$  implies  $x = -k - c + a = -k - c + 2c = -k + c$ , which is absurd); moreover,  $a - x \neq x$  (otherwise  $a = 2x = 2c$  implies  $2(x - c) = 0$ , i.e.  $x - c \in K_G$ ). Now, since two pairs  $\{x, a - x\}, \{y, a - y\}$  with  $x, y \in G \setminus (K_G + c)$  either coincide or are disjoint, we can suppose that  $G \setminus (K_G + c)$  has the form

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_m, a - x_1, \dots, a - x_m\},$$

where  $m = (n - q)/2$ .

First assume that  $0 \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . In this case we set

$$S = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \cup (K_G + c).$$

Then  $0 \notin S$  (if  $0 \in K_G + c$ , then  $c \in K_G$  implies that  $0 = 2c = a$ , which is impossible) and  $|S| = m + q = (n - q)/2 + q = (n + q)/2$ . We prove that  $a \notin \Sigma_2(S)$ . In fact,  $a \in \Sigma_2(S)$  if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i)  $a = x_i + x_j$ , where  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $i \neq j$ ; but then  $a - x_j = x_i \in S$ , and this contradicts the definition of  $S$ .

(ii)  $a = x_i + (k + c)$ , where  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $k \in K_G$ ; in this case we have  $x_i = a - k - c = 2c - k - c = -k + c \in K_G + c$ , which is impossible.

(iii)  $a = (k + c) + (\bar{k} + c)$ , where  $k$  and  $\bar{k}$  are two distinct elements of  $K_G$ ; but then  $a = k + \bar{k} + 2c = k + \bar{k} + a$  implies  $k + \bar{k} = 0$  and since  $k \in K_G$  we also have  $k + k = 0$ , i.e.  $k = \bar{k}$ , which is absurd. Hence  $a \notin \Sigma_2(S)$ .

If  $0 \in \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ , then  $0 \notin \{a - x_1, \dots, a - x_m\}$  and thus we set

$$S = \{a - x_1, \dots, a - x_m\} \cup (K_G + c).$$

In this case we also have  $0 \notin S$ ,  $|S| = (n+q)/2$  and  $a \notin \Sigma_2(S)$  (the conditions analogous to (i)–(iii) are excluded in the same way as above). Hence in both cases  $a \notin \Sigma_2(S)$  and thus  $\Sigma_2(S) \neq G$ . This shows that  $c_2(G) \geq (n+q)/2 + 1$ . Hence

$$c_2(G) = \frac{n+q}{2} + 1 \quad \text{if } H_G \neq \{0\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  be the group of integers modulo  $n$ , with  $n > 2$ . Then*

$$c_2(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \begin{cases} (n+3)/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ (n+4)/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $n$  is odd, we have  $K_{\mathbb{Z}_n} = \{0\}$  and  $H_{\mathbb{Z}_n} = \mathbb{Z}_n \neq \{0\}$ ; if  $n$  is even, then  $K_{\mathbb{Z}_n} = \{0, n/2\}$  and  $H_{\mathbb{Z}_n} \neq \{0\}$  since  $|H_{\mathbb{Z}_n}| = n/2 \neq 0$ . In both cases the result follows directly from Theorem 2.1.  $\blacksquare$

Finally note that if  $G$  is an abelian group of order  $n$  and  $S \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}$  has at least  $\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1$  elements, then  $G \setminus H_G \subseteq \Sigma_2(S)$ .

In fact, let  $a \in G \setminus H_G$  and take  $m = \lceil n/2 \rceil$  elements  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  in  $S$ . We set

$$A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{a - a_1, \dots, a - a_m\}.$$

Now, if  $a_i = a - a_j$  for some pair  $i, j$  with  $i \neq j$ , then  $a = a_i + a_j \in \Sigma_2(S)$ ; on the other hand the condition  $a_i = a - a_i$  cannot be satisfied because  $a \notin H_G$ . We can suppose therefore that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then

$$|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| = 2 \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \geq n$$

implies that  $A \cup B = G$ . Hence the remaining element of  $S \setminus A$  must be contained in  $B$ . This proves that  $a \in \Sigma_2(S)$ , i.e.  $G \setminus H_G \subseteq \Sigma_2(S)$ .

### References

[1] J. A. Dias da Silva and Y. O. Hamidoune, *Cyclic subspaces of Grassmann derivations*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 26 (1994), 140–146.

- [2] G. T. Diderrich, *An addition theorem for abelian groups of order  $pq$* , J. Number Theory 7 (1975), 33–48.
- [3] G. T. Diderrich and H. B. Mann, *Combinatorial problems in finite abelian groups*, in: A Survey of Combinatorial Theory, J. L. Srivasta *et al.* (eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973, 95–100.
- [4] P. Erdős and H. Heilbronn, *On the addition of residue classes mod  $p$* , Acta Arith. 9 (1964), 149–159.
- [5] W. Gao, *On the size of additive bases of finite groups*, preprint, 1997.
- [6] W. Gao and Y. O. Hamidoune, *On additive bases*, Acta Arith. 88 (1999), 233–237.
- [7] Y. O. Hamidoune, A. S. Lladó and O. Serra, *On sets with a small subset sum*, Combin. Probab. Comput. 8 (1999), 461–466.
- [8] E. Lipkin, *Subset sums of sets of residues*, Astérisque 258 (1999), xiii, 187–193.
- [9] J. E. Olson, *An addition theorem modulo  $p$* , J. Combin. Theory 5 (1968), 45–52.

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università della Calabria  
87036 Arcavacata di Rende (Cosenza)  
Italy  
E-mail: chiaselo@unical.it

*Received on 3.8.2000  
and in revised form on 18.12.2000*

(3862)