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1. Introduction. Let $\varrho = \beta + i\gamma$ denote the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$. For $t \neq \gamma$, 

\begin{equation}
S(t) := \frac{1}{\pi} \arg \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \log \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right),
\end{equation}

where the argument is obtained by continuous variation along the horizontal line $\sigma + it$ starting with value zero at $\infty + it$. For $t = \gamma$, we define 

\[
S(t) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{S(t + \varepsilon) + S(t - \varepsilon)}{2}.
\]

Selberg [10] proved that 

\[
\int_0^T |S(t)|^2 \, dt = \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \log \log T + O(T(\log \log T)^{1/2}).
\]

He also proved the same asymptotic formula with a better error term $O(T)$ under Riemann Hypothesis (RH). Later, Goldston [8] proved that, under RH, 

\begin{equation}
\int_0^T |S(t)|^2 \, dt = \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \log \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \left[ \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{F(\alpha, T)}{\alpha^2} \, d\alpha + C_0 \right.

- \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p} \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \frac{1}{p^{m}} \left] + o(T) \right.
\end{equation}

where $C_0$ is Euler’s constant and 

\[
F(\alpha, T) = \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^{-1} \sum_{0< \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma'),
\]

with $w(u) = 4/(4 + u^2)$, is Montgomery’s pair correlation function. Here and throughout the paper, $p$ will denote a prime, and sums or products over
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\( p \) are over all primes. Note that the integral involving \( F \) equals 1 + \( o(1) \) if Montgomery’s strong pair correlation conjecture is true. Under RH and a strong quantitative form of the Twin Prime Conjecture, the author proved in [4] that
\[
\int_0^T |S(t)|^2 \, dt = \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \log \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \left[ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_p \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \frac{1}{p^m} \right] + \frac{C_1T}{2\pi^2 \log^2 (T/2\pi)} + O \left( \frac{T \log T}{\log^3 T} \right)
\]
where \( C_1 \) is some constant. This does not quite give the next lower order term \( T / \log \frac{T}{2\pi} \) as things are hidden in the integral involving \( F \). In this article, we derive lower order terms for the second moment using a strong asymptotic formula on pair correlation of zeros of the Riemann zeta function by Bogomolny and Keating [3] using random matrix method (see [2] for more details):
\[
\sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} f(\gamma - \gamma') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T \left[ 2\pi f(0) \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \int_{-T}^T f(r) \left( \log^2 \frac{t}{2\pi} + 2 \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (1 + ir) \right) \right. \\
\left. + \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{-ir} \left( 1 - ir \zeta (1+ir) \right) \right] dr \right) \, dt + O(T^{1/2+\varepsilon})
\]
for every continuous real, even function \( f(x) \) with \( f(x) \ll 1/(1+x^2) \). Here the integral is to be regarded as a principal value near \( r = 0 \), and
\[
A(\eta) = \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+\eta}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^{1+\eta}} \right),
\]
\[
B(\eta) = \sum_p \left( \frac{\log p}{p^{1+\eta} - 1} \right)^2.
\]

We shall prove

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume RH and (3). Then
\[
\int_0^T |S(t)|^2 \, dt = \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \log \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \left[ 1 + C_0 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_p \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \frac{1}{p^m} \right]
\]
\[- \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Li}\left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left[ \zeta(1 - v)\zeta(1 + v)A(v) + \frac{1}{v^2} \right] \frac{(T/2\pi)^{1-v} - x^{1-v}}{1 - v} \, v \, dv \]

\[+ O(T e^{-c(\log x)^\alpha}) \]

where \( A \) is defined in (4), \( 0 < \alpha < 1, \) \( 0 < c < 1 \) may depend on \( \alpha, \) and \( x = T^\beta \) with free parameter \( 0 < \beta < 1/2. \)

Although it is not immediately clear why the integral above gives the lower order terms, equation (30) in the proof gives some indications. The last section shows very good numerical evidence for the above theorem.

One can modify the proof to get a short interval result (left to the reader):

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume RH and

\[(7) \sum_{T < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T + H} f(\gamma - \gamma') \]

\[= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^1 \left( 2\pi f(0) \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + \int_{-T}^T f(r) \left( \log^2 \frac{t}{2\pi} + 2 \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)'(1 + ir) \right) \right) \, dt + O(H^{1/2}T^\varepsilon). \]

Then

\[\int_T^{T+H} |S(t)|^2 \, dt \]

\[= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^1 \left( \log \log \frac{t}{2\pi} + 1 + C_0 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_p \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \frac{1}{p^m} \right) \, dt \]

\[+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left[ \zeta(1 - v)\zeta(1 + v)A(v) + \frac{1}{v^2} \right] \frac{(T+H/2\pi)^{1-v} - (T/2\pi)^{1-v}}{1 - v} \, v \, dv \]

\[+ O(H e^{-c(\log T)^\alpha}) \]

for \( T^\varepsilon \leq H \leq T \) with \( \varepsilon > 0. \) Again \( 0 < \alpha < 1, \) and \( 0 < c < 1 \) may depend on \( \alpha. \)
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2. Explicit formulas. We need some notations for inverse Mellin transform. For real $f(x)$, its Mellin transform is

$$F(s) := \int_0^\infty x^s f(x) \frac{dx}{x}.$$  

We define

$$\tilde{F}(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} F(s)x^{-s} ds$$

for some $c$ such that the integral converges. Here $\tilde{F}$ is called the inverse Mellin transform of $F$, and $\tilde{F}(x) = f(x)$ if $f(x)$ is a nice function.

We need a certain nice entire function $g(z)$. From Gelfand and Shilov [7, Chap. IV, Sect. 8], there is an entire function $f(z) \neq 0$ satisfying

$$|f(x + iy)| \ll e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}(|y| - |x|)}$$

for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $f(z)$ is real for real $z$ (i.e. $\overline{f(z)} = f(\overline{z})$). Without loss of generality, we can assume $f(0) = 1$. Define $g(z) := \frac{1}{2}e^{z/2}(f(iz) + f(-iz))$. One can easily check that $g(z)$ is real for real $z$, and

$$|g(x + iy)| \ll \begin{cases} 
  e^{x-|y|^\alpha} & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\
  e^{x/4-|y|^\alpha} & \text{if } x < 0.
\end{cases}$$

In summary, $g(z)$ is an entire function of order 1 with good decay in the negative $x$ direction and $y$ directions, and $g(0) = 1$.

Lemma 2.1. For $x \geq 4$ and $s \neq 1, \varrho, -2n$,

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = -\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} \tilde{g}_0(e^{\log n}) + \frac{g((1 - s) \log x)}{1 - s} - \sum_\varrho \frac{g((\varrho - s) \log x)}{\varrho - s}$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{g((-2n + s) \log x)}{2n + s}$$

where $g_0(z) = g(z)/z$ and $g(z)$ is the entire function constructed above.

Proof. Consider the integral

$$I = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\zeta'(s + w)}{\zeta(s + w)} \frac{g(w \log x)}{w} dw$$

where $c > 1 - \Re s$. On the one hand, by Cauchy’s residue theorem after shifting the line of integration to the left allowed by (8), and $g(0) = 1$, we have
and the poles of the zeros of \(\zeta(s + w)\) at \(w = 1 - s\), and the poles of the zeros of \(\zeta(s + w)\) at \(w = \sigma - s\) and \(w = -2n - s\) respectively. Note that the sums over \(g\) and \(n\) converge because of the nice decay (8) of \(g\). On the other hand, using \((\zeta'/\zeta)(s) = -\sum_n \Lambda(n)/n^s\), we get

\[
I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} g(w \log x) \frac{n^{-w} dw}{w}
\]

Here the interchange of summation and integration and the convergence of the last sum are justified by the good decay of \(g\) even when \(\Re s \leq 1\). Combining (9) and (10), we have the lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume RH. For \(t \geq 1\), \(t \neq \gamma\), \(x \geq 4\), we have

\[
S(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \sin(t \log n)}{n^{1/2} \log n} \tilde{g}_0(\log n) \\
+ \sum_{\gamma} h((t - \gamma) \log x) + O\left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{t} e^{-|t \log x|^{\alpha}}\right) + O\left(\frac{e^{-|t \log x|^{\alpha}}}{x^{1/2}}\right)
\]

where

\[
h(v) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left( \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} - \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right) du.
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.1 with \(s = \sigma + it\), \(\sigma > 0\),

\[
\Im \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma} \sin(t \log n) \tilde{g}_0(\log n) + \Im \frac{g((1 - \sigma - it) \log x)}{1 - \sigma - it} \\
- \Im \sum_{g} \frac{g((\sigma - \sigma - it) \log x)}{\sigma - \sigma - it} + \Im \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g((2n + \sigma + it) \log x)}{2n + \sigma + it}.
\]

By (8),

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g((2n + \sigma + it) \log x)}{2n + \sigma + it} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-(n/2+\sigma/4) \log x} e^{-|t \log x|^{\alpha}} \ll \frac{e^{-|t \log x|^{\alpha}}}{x^{1/2+\sigma/4}}.
\]
Then, by (1),

\[ S(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \, d\sigma \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{1/2} \log n} \tilde{g}_0(e^{\log n \frac{t}{\log x}}) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \Im \sum_{\rho} g((\sigma - \sigma - it) \log x) \frac{1}{\rho - \sigma - it} \, d\sigma \]

\[ + O\left( \frac{x^{1/2}}{t} e^{-|t \log x|^\alpha} \right) + O\left( \frac{e^{-|t \log x|^\alpha}}{x^{1/2}} \right), \]

because by (8),

\[ \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{g((1-\sigma-it) \log x)}{1-\sigma-it} \, d\sigma \ll \frac{1}{t} \left[ \int_{1/2}^{1} e^{(1-\sigma) \log x} e^{-|t \log x|^\alpha} \, d\sigma \right] \ll \frac{x^{1/2}}{t} e^{-|t \log x|^\alpha}. \]

Now, using RH, \( \Im z = \frac{1}{2i}(z - \overline{z}) \) and \( \overline{g(z)} = g(\overline{z}) \), the integral in (12) equals

\[ \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{\Im \sum_{\gamma} g((1/2-\sigma - i(t-\gamma)) \log x)}{(1/2-\sigma - i(t-\gamma))} \, d\sigma \]

\[ = \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{2i} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left( \frac{g((\sigma - i(t-\gamma)) \log x)}{\sigma - i(t-\gamma)} - \frac{g((\sigma + i(t-\gamma)) \log x)}{\sigma + i(t-\gamma)} \right) \, d\sigma \]

\[ = \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{2i} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left( \frac{g(u - i(t-\gamma)) \log x}{u - i(t-\gamma) \log x} - \frac{g(u + i(t-\gamma)) \log x}{u + i(t-\gamma) \log x} \right) \, du. \]

Again, the interchange of summation and integration and the convergence of the final sum are justified by the good decay of \( g \). Hence, the lemma follows from (12) and (13).

**3. Some properties of** \( h(v) \). Recall \( h \) is given by (11). Clearly, \( h(0) = 0 \) and \( h(v) \) is an odd, real-valued function. Concerning the decay of \( h \), we have

**Lemma 3.1.** \( h(v) \ll e^{-|v|^\alpha} \).

**Proof.** Since \( g(z) \) is entire and \( g(0) = 1 \), it follows that \( g(z) = 1 + O(z) \) when \( |z| \leq \varepsilon \) for some small fixed \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Now, if \( |v| > \varepsilon \), we have

\[ h(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left( \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} - \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right) \, du \ll \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-u/4} e^{-|v|^\alpha} \, du \ll e^{-|v|^\alpha}. \]
If $0 < |v| \leq \varepsilon$, it suffices to consider $0 < v \leq \varepsilon$ as $h$ is odd. Observe that $h(v)$ consists of two horizontal line integrals of $g(z)/z$, one at height $-iv$ and the other at height $iv$. So, if we join the two lines by a semi-circle $C_v = \{ z = ve^{i\theta} : -\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2 \}$, we can apply Cauchy’s residue theorem to get

$$1 = g(0) = h(v) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_v} \frac{g(z)}{z} \, dz = h(v) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{1 + O(v)}{ve^{i\theta}} \, vie^{i\theta} \, d\theta$$

$$= h(v) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (1 + O(v)) \, d\theta.$$ 

Hence $h(v) = 1/2 + O(v)$ and we have the lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $\widehat{f}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v)e^{-2\pi iav} \, dv$ be the Fourier transform of $f$. Then, for large $M, N > 0$ and small enough $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\widehat{h}(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{-N}^{N} \left[ \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} - \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right] e^{-2\pi iav} \, dv \, du$$

$$+ O(Me^{-M^\alpha}) + O(e^{-N/4}) + O(\varepsilon).$$

**Proof.** By the definition of $h$ and Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{h}(a)$ is clearly well defined and

$$\widehat{h}(a) = \int_{-M}^{M} h(v)e^{-2\pi iav} \, dv + O(Me^{-M^\alpha}).$$

Putting in the definition of $h(v)$ and using (8), we have

$$\int_{-M}^{M} h(v)e^{-2\pi iav} \, dv$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{-N}^{N} \left[ \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} - \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right] du e^{-2\pi iav} \, dv$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{-N}^{N} \left[ \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} - \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right] du e^{-2\pi iav} \, dv + O(e^{-N/4})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{-N}^{N} \left[ \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} - \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right] du e^{-2\pi iav} \, dv$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{-N}^{N} \left[ \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} - \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right] du e^{-2\pi iav} \, dv + O(e^{-N/4})$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + O(e^{-N/4}).$$
$I_2$ can be broken down further into
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{M} \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{0} + \int_{-M}^{\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{0} + \int_{\epsilon}^{M} \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{0} \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [J_1 + J_2 + J_3].
\]

By (8),
\[
J_1 = \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{0} \int_{\epsilon}^{M} \left[ \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} - \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right] e^{-2\pi iav} dv du
\]
\[
\ll \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{0} \int_{\epsilon}^{M} e^{-u/4} e^{-|v|^\alpha} dv du \ll \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{0} e^{-u/4} du \ll \epsilon.
\]

Similarly,
\[
J_2 \ll \epsilon.
\]

Now, as $g$ is entire and $g(0) = 1$, we have $g(u \pm iv) = 1 + O(|u \pm iv|)$ for $-\epsilon^2 \leq u \leq 0$ and $-\epsilon \leq v \leq \epsilon$ provided $\epsilon$ is small enough. Thus, by oddness of the inner integral in $v$,
\[
J_3 = -i \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} - \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right] \sin(2\pi av) dv du
\]
\[
= -i \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{u - iv} - \frac{1}{u + iv} + O(1) \right] \sin(2\pi av) dv du
\]
\[
= -i \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \frac{2v \sin(2\pi av)}{u^2 + v^2} dv du + O(\epsilon^3) \ll \epsilon^3,
\]
as the integrand is bounded. Therefore, $I_2 \ll \epsilon$. Combining this with (14) and (15), we have the lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.**

$\hat{h}(a) = -\frac{i}{2\pi a}$ if $|a| > \frac{1}{2\pi}$.

**Proof.** Since $\hat{h}$ is odd, we may assume $a > 1/2\pi$. From Lemma 3.2, with $E = Me^{-M^\alpha} + e^{-N/4} + \epsilon$,
\[
\hat{h}(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-N}^{M} \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{-M} \frac{g(u - iv)}{u - iv} e^{-2\pi iav} dv du
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-N}^{M} \int_{-\epsilon^2}^{-M} \frac{g(u + iv)}{u + iv} e^{-2\pi iav} dv du + O(E)
\]
The inner integral of $I_1$ equals
\[
-\frac{1}{i} \int_{-iM}^{u+iM} \frac{g(z)}{z} e^{2\pi az} \, dz = -\frac{1}{i} \left[ 0 + O\left( \frac{1}{M} \right) \right]
\]
by shifting the line of integration to the right and picking up a pole at $z = 0$. The shifted line integrals are small provided that $2\pi a > 1$, as $g(z) \ll e^{|z|}$ by (8). Consequently, for $a > 1/2\pi$,
\[
\hat{h}(a) = \frac{1}{i} \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} e^{2\pi au} \, du + O\left( \frac{1}{M+E} \right) = -\frac{i}{2\pi a} \left[ e^{-2\pi a\varepsilon^2} - e^{-2\pi aN} \right] + O\left( \frac{1}{M+E} \right).
\]
The lemma follows by taking $M, N \to \infty$ and $\varepsilon \to 0$. Note: This property of $\hat{h}$ is the same as in equation (3.10) of Goldston [8].

Lastly, we have a crucial identity relating $\tilde{g}_0$ and $\hat{h}$.

**Lemma 3.4.**
\[
[1 - \tilde{g}_0(e^u)]^2 = -\left( u\hat{h}\left( \frac{u}{2\pi} \right) \right)^2.
\]

**Proof.** Recalling the definition of $g_0$ in Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show
\[
1 - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{g(v)}{v} e^{-uv} \, dv = iu\hat{h}\left( \frac{u}{2\pi} \right)
\]
for $c > 0$. Firstly, one can easily check that (16) is true when $u = 0$ by Cauchy’s residue theorem and shifting the line of integration. Without loss of generality, we may assume $u > 0$ as the other case is similar. Now, by
Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show, with $E = Me^{-M^\alpha} + e^{-N/4} + \varepsilon$,

\[
1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} g(z) \frac{e^{-uz}}{z} \, dz + \frac{iu}{2\pi i} \int_{-N-M}^{M} \frac{g(\sigma - iv)}{\sigma - iv} e^{-iuv} \, dv \, d\sigma
\]

\[
- \frac{iu}{2\pi i} \int_{-N-M}^{M} \frac{g(\sigma + iv)}{\sigma + iv} e^{-iuv} \, dv \, d\sigma + O(uE)
\]

\[
= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + O(uE).
\]

Imitating the calculation in Lemma 3.3, one has

\[
I_2 = -u \int_{-N}^{\sigma + iM} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{g(z) e^{uz}}{z} \, dz \, d\sigma = O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right),
\]

\[
I_3 = -u \int_{-N}^{\sigma - iM} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{g(z) e^{-uz}}{z} \, dz \, d\sigma.
\]

Instead of shifting the line of integration to the right in $I_3$, we just shift it to the vertical line $Re(z) = -\varepsilon$ with an error $O(1/M)$. Thus,

\[
I_3 = -u \int_{-\varepsilon - i\infty}^{-\varepsilon + iM} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{g(z) e^{-uz}}{z} \, dz \, d\sigma + O\left(1\right)
\]

\[
= -u \int_{-\varepsilon - i\infty}^{-\varepsilon + i\infty} \frac{g(z) e^{-uz}}{z} \, dz(1 + O(\varepsilon^2 u + e^{-Nu})) + O\left(1\right)
\]

by (8) again. Therefore, the right hand side of (17) is

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} g(z) \frac{e^{-uz}}{z} \, dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\varepsilon - i\infty}^{-\varepsilon + i\infty} g(z) \frac{e^{-uz}}{z} \, dz + O\left(\varepsilon u + \frac{e^{-Nu}}{\varepsilon} + 1 + uE\right),
\]

which equals 1 by Cauchy’s residue theorem and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $M, N \to \infty$ appropriately. Hence, we have the lemma.

As a consequence of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we have

\[
\tilde{g}_0(e^u) = 0 \quad \text{when } |u| > 1.
\]
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This can also be proved directly by considering $(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} (g(s)/s) e^{-us} ds$ and shifting the line of integration to the right.

4. Starting the proof of Theorem 1.1. The method of proof is essentially that of Goldston [8]. By Lemma 2.2 and (18), we have, for $t \geq 1$ and $t \neq \gamma$,

$$S(t) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A(n) \sin(t \log n)}{n^{1/2} \log n} \tilde{g}_0(e^{\log n \log x})$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma} h((t - \gamma) \log x) + O\left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{t} e^{-|t \log x|^\alpha}\right) + O\left(\frac{e^{-|t \log x|^\alpha}}{x^{1/2}}\right).$$

Since $h$ is bounded and the above formula holds except on a countable set of points, we have, on squaring both sides and integrating from 1 to $T$,

$$\int_1^T |S(t)|^2 \, dt + H(T) + G(T) = R + O(T^{1/2} x^{1/2}),$$

where

$$G(T) = \int_1^T \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A(n) \sin(t \log n)}{n^{1/2} \log n} \tilde{g}_0(e^{\log n \log x}) \right|^2 \, dt,$$

$$H(T) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^T S(t) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A(n) \sin(t \log n)}{n^{1/2} \log n} \tilde{g}_0(e^{\log n \log x}) \, dt,$$

$$R = \int_1^T \left| \sum_{\gamma} h((t - \gamma) \log x) \right|^2 \, dt.$$

The error term is obtained by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality since $R \ll T$.

The lower limit of integration may be replaced by zero since $\int_0^1 |S(t)|^2 \, dt \ll 1$. Following the same calculation as in Goldston [8], we have, for $x \geq 4$ and $T \geq 2$,

$$R = \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} \hat{k}((\gamma - \gamma') \log x) + O(\log^3 T)$$

where $k(u) = -\hat{h}(u)^2$. Note that since $h$ is odd, $\hat{h}$ is odd. So $k$ and $\hat{k}$ are even functions. Also, from [8],

$$G(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A^2(n)}{n \log^2 n} \tilde{g}_0^2(e^{\log n \log x}) + O(x^2),$$

$$H(T) = -\frac{T}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A^2(n)}{n \log^2 n} \tilde{g}_0(e^{\log n \log x}) + O(x^{2+\epsilon}).$$
Thus, by Lemma 3.4,
\[-G(T) - H(T) + O(x^{2+\varepsilon})\]
\[
= - \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda^2(n)}{n \log^2 n} \left[ 1 - \hat{g}_0(e^{\log x}) \right]^2 + \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda^2(n)}{n \log^2 n}
\]
\[
= - \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda^2(n)}{n \log^2 n} \left( \frac{\log n}{\log x} \right)^2 \hat{k} \left( \frac{\log n}{2\pi \log x} \right) + \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda^2(n)}{n \log^2 n}
\]
\[
= - \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \log^2 x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda^2(n)}{n} \hat{k} \left( \frac{\log n}{2\pi \log x} \right) + \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda^2(n)}{n \log^2 n}
\]
\[
= - \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \log x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k}(r \log x) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda^2(n)}{n^{1-ir}} \, dr + \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda^2(n)}{n \log^2 n},
\]
because \( \hat{k} \) is even. Now
\[
(21) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + C_0 + \sum_{\nu} \left[ \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{p} \right] + r(u),
\]
where \( r(u) \ll u^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \) under RH and the sum over primes is equal to
\[-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p} \frac{1}{mp^m}. \]
Therefore,
\[
(22) \quad - G(T) - H(T) = - \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \log x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k}(r \log x) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda^2(n)}{n^{1-ir}} \, dr
\]
\[
+ \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \left[ \log \log x + C_0 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p} \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \frac{1}{p^m} \right] + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/2-\varepsilon}} \right) + O(x^{2+\varepsilon}).
\]

5. Using pair correlation. Define
\[ l = \log \frac{t}{2\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \log x. \]
In view of (19) and (22), it remains to deal with \( R \) or the double sum
\[
\Sigma = \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} \hat{k}((\gamma - \gamma') \log x) = \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} f(\gamma - \gamma'),
\]
with \( f(r) = \hat{k}(r \log x) \). Note: Since \( h(v) \ll e^{-|v|^\alpha} \) by Lemma 3.3 and \( \hat{k}(v) = h * h(v) \) (the convolution of \( h \) with itself), \( \hat{k}(v) \ll e^{-|v|^\alpha} \) and \( f(r) \ll e^{-|r \log x|^\alpha} \). It is here that we make use of (3). Note: The formula
in the form of (3) is by Conrey and Snaith [6] using the ratios conjecture of Conrey, Farmer and Zirnbauer (see Conjecture 1 of [5]). By (3),

\[
\Sigma = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T \int_{-T}^T \left\{ 2\pi f(0)l + \int f(r) \left[ l^2 - \frac{2}{r^2} + 2 \frac{e^{-irl}}{r^2} \right] dr + \int f(r) \left[ \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (1 + ir) + \frac{1}{r^2} - B(ir) \right] dr \right\} dt + O(T^{1/2+\varepsilon})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T \int_{-T}^T \left\{ 2\pi l \left[ f(0) + \int_{-\infty}^\infty f \left( \frac{2\pi}{l} u \right) \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\sin \pi u}{\pi u} \right)^2 \right) du \right] dt + \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(r) \left[ \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (1 + ir) + \frac{1}{r^2} - B(ir) \right] dr \right\} dt + O(x \log x) + O(T^{1/2+\varepsilon})
\]

\[
= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + O(x \log x + T^{1/2+\varepsilon}).
\]

The integrals can be extended to ±∞ with small error because of the good decay of f. Note that the above matches exactly with the pair correlation function R(x) in [2]; \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 and \Sigma_3 correspond to \( R_{GUE}(x) \), \( R_{c}^L(x) \) and \( R_{c}^R(x) \) in [2] respectively.

Since h is odd, one can easily check that \( k(0) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty h * h(x) dx = 0 \).

Now, by Parseval’s identity \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x) g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \hat{g}(x) dx \),

\[
\Sigma_1 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ f(0) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \left( \frac{2\pi}{l} u \right) \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\sin \pi u}{\pi u} \right)^2 \right) du \right] dt
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \hat{k}(0) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{l v}{2\pi \lambda} k \left( \frac{lv}{2\pi \lambda} \right) \max \{1 - |v|, 0\} dv \right] dt
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{l v}{2\pi \lambda} k \left( \frac{lv}{2\pi \lambda} \right) dv - \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{l v}{2\pi \lambda} k \left( \frac{lv}{2\pi \lambda} \right) (1 - |v|) dv \right] dt
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T \int_{\frac{1}{2\pi \lambda}}^{\frac{l v}{2\pi \lambda}} k \left( \frac{lv}{2\pi \lambda} \right) dv + \int_0^{\frac{l v}{2\pi \lambda}} k \left( \frac{lv}{2\pi \lambda} \right) dv \right] dt.
\]
As \( l \geq \lambda \) and \( v \geq 1 \), we have \( lv/2\pi \lambda \geq 1/2\pi \). Thus, since \( k(u) = -\hat{h}(u)^2 \), we may apply Lemma 3.3 to get

\[
(23) \quad \Sigma_1 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T \left[ \sum_{l} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left( \frac{lv}{2\pi \lambda} \right)^2 \frac{l}{2\pi \lambda} \, dv + \int_0^{\lambda/l} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left( \frac{lv}{2\pi \lambda} \right)^2 \frac{lv}{2\pi \lambda} \, dv \right] \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T \left[ \frac{2\pi \lambda}{4\pi^2 l} + \frac{2\pi \lambda}{4\pi^2 l} \log \frac{l}{\lambda} + \frac{2\lambda}{l} \int_0^{1/2\pi} k(u)u \, du \right] \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{T \lambda}{2\pi^2} + \frac{T \lambda}{2\pi^2} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T \lambda}{2\pi^2} \log \log x - \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \text{Li} \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right)
\]

\[
+ 2T \lambda \int_0 \left( k(u)u \, du \right) + O(x). \]

Here \( \text{Li}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{du}{\log u} \).

Next, it turns out that there are some cancellations among \( \Sigma_2 \), \( G(T) \) and \( H(T) \). In view of (19), (21) and the decomposition of \( \Sigma \), consider

\[
(24) \quad J = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Sigma_2 - G(T) - H(T)
\]

\[
= \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \left[ \log \log x + C_0 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_p \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \frac{1}{p^m} \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k}(r \log x) \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (1 + ir) + \frac{1}{r^2}
\]

\[
- \sum_p \left( \frac{\log p}{p^{1+ir} - 1} \right)^2 - \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A^2(n)}{n^{1+ir}} \right] \, dr + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/2-\varepsilon}} \right)
\]

\[
= J_1 + J_2 + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/2-\varepsilon}} \right) + O(x^{2+\varepsilon})
\]

by (22) and the definitions of \( A \) and \( B \) (see (4) and (5)). Before proceeding, we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 5.1.** For \( x > 4 \),

\[
\sum_p \left( \frac{\log p}{p^{1+ir} - 1} \right)^2 = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A(n) \log n - A^2(n)}{n^{1+ir}} + O \left( \frac{\log^2 x \log \log x}{x^{1/2}} \right).
\]
Proof. The left hand side equals
\[
\sum_p \log^2 p \left( \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^{1+ir}} \right)^2 = \sum_p \log^2 p \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{p^{k(1+ir)}} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_p \log^2 p \left[ \sum_{k \leq \log x/p} \frac{k+1}{p^{k(1+ir)}} + O\left( \int_{\log x/p}^\infty \frac{u}{p^u} du \right) \right]
\]
\[
= \sum_{p,k \leq x} \frac{(k+1) \log^2 p}{p^{k(1+ir)}} + O\left( \sum_{p, l \geq 2} \frac{\log^2 p}{p^{l(1+ir)}} \right) + O\left( \frac{\log x}{x} \right)
\]
which gives the lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** Assume RH. For real \( r, x > 1 \) and some \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1/4 \),
\[
\left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (1 + ir) + \frac{1}{r^2} = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n) \log n}{n^{1+ir}} + \frac{x^{-ir} \log x}{ir} + \frac{1 - x^{-ir}}{r^2} + O(x^{-1/4+\varepsilon}).
\]

**Note:** Both sides are well defined even when \( r = 0 \).

**Proof.** By Perron’s formula (see Titchmarsh [11, Lemma 3.12] for example), for some large \( M > 1 \),
\[
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n) \log n}{n^{1+ir}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varepsilon-iM}^{\varepsilon+iM} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right) (s+1+ir) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left( \frac{x^\varepsilon}{M \varepsilon^2} + \frac{\log^3 x}{M} \right)
\]
\[
= \text{Res}_{s=0} + \text{Res}_{s=-ir} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1/2+\varepsilon-iM}^{1/2+\varepsilon+iM} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right) (s+1+ir) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left( \frac{x^\varepsilon}{M \varepsilon^2} + \frac{\log^3 x}{M} \right)
\]
\[
+ O\left( \frac{x^\varepsilon}{M \varepsilon^2} + \frac{\log^3 x}{M} \right) + O\left( \frac{x^\varepsilon \log^4 A}{M} \right).
\]
where $\text{Res}_{s=a}$ stands for the residue of the integrand at $s = a$ and the last error term comes from estimating the horizontal line integrals at height $\pm iM$, as $1/\zeta(\sigma + it), \zeta'(\sigma + it)$ and $\zeta''(\sigma + it)$ are all smaller than $\log^A |t|$ for some $A > 0$ when $1/2 + \varepsilon \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $|t| > 1$ under RH. The integral on the right hand side is

$$\ll x^{-1/2+\varepsilon} M \log^4 M.$$ 

By standard calculations with Taylor’s expansion, one has

$$\text{Res}_{s=0} = \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (1+ir), \quad \text{Res}_{s=-ir} = -\frac{x^{-ir} \log x}{ir} + \frac{x^{-ir}}{r^2}.$$

Combining all these together and choosing $M = x^{1/4}$, we have the lemma.

Now, we are ready to resume the proof. By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, the Dirichlet polynomials in $J_2$ of (24) cancel out exactly and we have

$$J_2 = \frac{T}{2\pi^2 \lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k}(r\lambda) \left[ \frac{x^{-ir} \lambda}{ir} + \frac{1 - x^{-ir}}{r^2} + O(x^{-1/4+\varepsilon}) \right] \, dr$$

$$= \frac{T}{2\pi^2 \lambda} \left[ \hat{k}(r\lambda) \left( \frac{x^{-ir} - x^{ir})}{ir} \right) + 2 \left( \frac{1 - x^{-ir} + x^{ir})}{r^2} \right) \right] \, dr + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/4-\varepsilon}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k}(u) \left[ \frac{1 - \cos(u/2)}{u^2} - \frac{\sin(u/2)}{u} \right] \, du + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/4-\varepsilon}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(v) \max \{1 - |2\pi v|, 0\} \frac{1}{2} \, dv - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k}(u) \sin u \, du + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/4-\varepsilon}} \right)$$

$$+ O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/4-\varepsilon}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \left[ 2\pi \int_{0}^{1/2\pi} k(v) (1 - 2\pi v) \, dv - \pi \int_{-1/2\pi}^{1/2\pi} k(v) \, dv \right] + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/4-\varepsilon}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \left[ \int_{0}^{1/2\pi} k(v) (1 - 2\pi v) \, dv \right] + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/4-\varepsilon}} \right)$$

$$= -2T \int_{0}^{1/2\pi} k(v) \, dv + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/4-\varepsilon}} \right)$$
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by Parseval’s identity. This cancel out with one of the terms in $(1/\lambda)\Sigma_1$. Therefore, from (19)–(21),

$$
\int_0^T |S(t)|^2 \, dt = R - G(T) - H(T) + O(T^{1/2}x^{1/2})
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\lambda} [\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3] - G(T) - H(T) + O(T^{1/2}x^{1/2}).
$$

Combining the results in (23)–(25), we have

(26)  \[ \int_0^T |S(t)|^2 \, dt \]

\[ = \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \log \log \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{T}{2\pi^2} \left[ 1 + C_0 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_p \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \frac{1}{p^n} \right] - \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Li} \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \lambda} \int_{x=\infty}^{T} \hat{k}(r\lambda) \left[ \zeta(1-ir)\zeta(1+ir)A(ir) - \frac{1}{r^2} \right] e^{-irl} \, dr \, dt \]

\[ + O \left( \frac{T}{x^{1/4-\varepsilon}} \right) + O(T^{1/2}x^{1/2}) + O(x^{2+\varepsilon}). \]

6. Completion of the proof. It remains to deal with the integral in (26). Let

$$
j(r) := \zeta(1-ir)\zeta(1+ir)A(ir) - \frac{1}{r^2}.
$$

Then $j(r) \ll \min(1, \log^B r)$ for some $B > 0$. Moreover, from the definition of $A(\eta)$, $j(z)$ can be treated as a function at least on the complex disc $|z| \leq 1/3$. Let

$$
I := \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k}(r\lambda)j(r)e^{-irl} \, dr.
$$

By substituting $v = rl/2\pi$, we have

(27) \[ I = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda l} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k} \left( \frac{2\pi v}{l} \right) j \left( \frac{2\pi v}{l} \right) e^{-2\pi iv} \, dv \]

\[ = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda l} \int_{-l/8\pi}^{l/8\pi} \hat{k} \left( \frac{2\pi v}{l} \right) j \left( \frac{2\pi v}{l} \right) e^{-2\pi iv} \, dv + O(e^{-c|\lambda|^\alpha}) \]

for some constant $c > 1$. Now, as $k$ and $\hat{k}$ are even,

\[ \frac{1}{a} k \left( \frac{u}{a} \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{k}(ax)e^{-2\pi iux} \, dx. \]
Differentiating this \( m \) times, we have

\[
(28) \quad \frac{1}{(-2\pi i)^m} \frac{1}{a^{m+1}} k^{(m)} \left( \frac{u}{a} \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{k}(ax) x^m e^{-2\pi iux} \, dx.
\]

We can do this because \( \widehat{k} \) has good decay. Suppose the Taylor expansion of \( j(z) \) with remainder term in \( |z| \leq 1/4 \) is

\[
(29) \quad a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots + a_N z^N + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{j(s) \, ds}{s^{N+1}(s-z)} z^{N+1}
\]

where \( C = \{|z| = 1/3\} \) (see Ahlfors [1, p. 179] for example). Since \( j \) is bounded on \( C \), the above remainder term is \( O((3|z|)^{N+1}) \). Putting (29) into (27), we have

\[
I = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda^l} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \left( \frac{2\pi}{l} \right)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{k} \left( \frac{2\pi \lambda v}{l} \right) v^n e^{-2\pi iv} \, dv + O\left( \frac{(6\pi)^{N+1}}{L^{N+1}} \right) + O(e^{-c\lambda^\alpha})
\]

for some \( 0 < d < 1 \). Note: \( a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{j(z)}{z^{n+1}} \, dz \ll 3^n. \) By (28),

\[
I = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda^l} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \left( \frac{2\pi}{l} \right)^n \frac{1}{(-2\pi i)^n} \left( \frac{l}{2\pi \lambda} \right)^{(n+1)/2} k^{(n)} \left( \frac{l}{2\pi \lambda} \right) + O\left( \frac{(6\pi)^{N+1}}{L^{N+1}} \right) + O(e^{-d\lambda^\alpha}).
\]

But recall \( k(u) = -\widehat{h}(u)^2 = 1/4\pi^2 u^2 \) when \( u > 1/2\pi \). Thus,

\[
(30) \quad I = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda^l} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \left( \frac{2\pi}{l} \right)^n \frac{1}{(-2\pi i)^n} \left( \frac{l}{2\pi \lambda} \right)^{(n+1)/2} \left( \frac{2\pi \lambda}{l} \right)^{n+2} + O\left( \frac{(6\pi)^{N+1}}{L^{N+1}} \right) + O(e^{-d\lambda^\alpha})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \left( \frac{-i}{l} \right)^n (n+1)! + O\left( \frac{(6\pi)^{N+1}}{L^{N+1}} \right) + O(e^{-d\lambda^\alpha}),
\]

which is independent of \( \lambda \) and gives an asymptotic series for the lower order terms.
Applying $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{s-1} \, dt$ to (30), we have, for $N \leq L^\alpha$,

$$I = \frac{1}{l^2} \int_0^\infty \left[ \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \left( -\frac{iu}{l} \right)^n \right] u e^{-u} \, du + O\left( \frac{(6\pi)^{N+1}}{l^{N+1}} \right) + O(e^{-d\lambda^\alpha})$$

$$= \frac{1}{l^2} \int_0^{l^\alpha} \left[ j\left( -\frac{iu}{l} \right) + O\left( \frac{3^{N+1} u^{N+1}}{l^{N+1}} \right) \right] u e^{-u} \, du$$

$$+ O\left( \frac{(6\pi)^{N+1}}{l^{N+1}} \right) + O(3N l^{(N+1)\alpha} e^{-d\lambda^\alpha})$$

$$= \frac{1}{l^2} \int_0^{l^\alpha} j\left( -\frac{iu}{l} \right) u e^{-u} \, du + O\left( \frac{3^{N+1}(N+2)!}{l^{N+1}} \right) + O(3N l^{(N+1)\alpha} e^{-d\lambda^\alpha}).$$

Taking $N = l^\alpha / K \log l$ with $K$ large enough and using $(N+2)! \leq (N+2)^{N+2}$, we have, as $l \geq \lambda$,

$$I = \frac{1}{l^2} \int_0^{l^\alpha} j\left( -\frac{iu}{l} \right) u e^{-u} \, du + O(e^{-d'\lambda^\alpha})$$

$$= \frac{1}{l^2} \int_0^{l^\alpha} u e^{-u} \left[ \zeta\left( 1 - \frac{u}{l} \right) \zeta\left( 1 + \frac{u}{l} \right) A\left( \frac{u}{l} \right) + \frac{1}{(u/l)^2} \right] \, du + O(e^{-d'\lambda^\alpha})$$

$$= \int_0^{l^\alpha} u e^{-u} \left[ \zeta(1-v)\zeta(1+v)A(v) + \frac{1}{v^2} \right] \, dv + O(e^{-d'\lambda^\alpha})$$

for some $0 < d' < 1$. Putting (31) into (26) and integrating with respect to $t$, we deduce Theorem 1.1. Note: $d'$ may depend on $\alpha$.

**7. Numerical evidence.** Recalling $C_0 = 0.5772156649\ldots$ and by Mathematica, one has

$$\sum_{m=2}^\infty \sum_p \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \frac{1}{p^m} \approx 0.176248.$$

Note that

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} + \frac{7}{8} + S(T) + O\left( \frac{1}{T} \right)$$

where $N(T)$ denotes the number of non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ from height 0 up to height $T$. The second moment $\int_0^T S(t)^2 \, dt$ is essentially the variance of $N(T)$ from $\frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} + \frac{7}{8}$ with small error. Thus, one can use a list of the zeros
of \(\zeta(s)\) (for example by Odlyzko [9]) to compute \(\int_0^T S(t)^2 \, dt\). The asymptotic formula in (6) can be calculated by Mathematica. For our computations, we take \(x = \sqrt{T}\) and approximate the Euler product \(A(r)\) by a partial product where \(p\) ranges through the first 5000 primes. Also, instead of integrating the second integral from 0 to 1, we integrate it from 0 to \(1 - 1/T\) with an error at most \(O(\log T)\). We give the numerical evidence in the following table. Column A stands for the value from the formula in (6), B from the formula in (2), and C from the formula in (2) minus \(\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Li}\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(T)</th>
<th>(\int_0^T S(t)^2 , dt)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9998.85040</td>
<td>1653.145</td>
<td>1651.05</td>
<td>1721.61</td>
<td>1638.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19999.27562</td>
<td>3411.009</td>
<td>3407.72</td>
<td>3534.54</td>
<td>3386.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29999.71003</td>
<td>5200.768</td>
<td>5196.71</td>
<td>5376.49</td>
<td>5167.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39999.49733</td>
<td>7009.117</td>
<td>7005.47</td>
<td>7236.31</td>
<td>6968.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49999.57275</td>
<td>8831.813</td>
<td>8828.58</td>
<td>9109.15</td>
<td>8783.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59998.88155</td>
<td>10668.969</td>
<td>10662.70</td>
<td>10991.90</td>
<td>10610.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69999.61050</td>
<td>12509.875</td>
<td>12506.10</td>
<td>12883.30</td>
<td>12447.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of \(T\) are the largest imaginary parts of the zeros of \(\zeta(s)\) just below 10000, 20000, \ldots, 70000 respectively. By comparing the second and third columns, the asymptotic formula in (6) gives very good approximation to the second moment. By comparing the second, fourth and last columns, we see that it is reasonable to have \(-\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Li}\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)\) in the asymptotic formula.
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