

The Erdős–Turán property for a class of bases

by

JAROSLAV NEŠETŘIL (Praha) and ORIOL SERRA (Barcelona)

1. Introduction. Given a set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ let $r_A(n)$ denote the number of ordered pairs $(a, a') \in A \times A$ such that $a + a' = n$. Then A is an *asymptotic additive basis of order 2* (a basis for short in what follows) if there is $n_0 = n_0(A)$ such that $r_A(n) \geq 1$ for each positive integer $n \geq n_0$. It was conjectured by Erdős and Turán in 1941 [5] that if A is a basis, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_A(n) = \infty.$$

An excellent account of this and related problems is given by Sárközy and Sós [11] (see also [3]).

Not much is known about this famous conjecture. It can be easily shown that if a set of positive integers A satisfies $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A(n)|n^{-1/2} = \infty$, where $A(n) = A \cap [1, n]$, then $r_A(n)$ cannot be bounded. Indeed, if $r_A(n) \leq g$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_n(x) = \sum_{a \in A(n)} x^a$, then $A_n^2(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} r_A(n)(i)x^i$ and

$$|A(n)|^2 = A_n^2(1) = \sum_{i \geq 1} r_A(n)(i) \leq 2ng.$$

On the other hand, the counting function of a basis must satisfy $|A(n)| = \Omega(n^{1/2})$, since $|A(n)|^2 > |A(n) + A(n)| \geq n - n_0(A)$ for some $n_0(A)$. More explicit quantitative expressions of both facts can be found for instance in [7]. Therefore, the Erdős–Turán conjecture is open for bases whose counting function satisfies $0 < \limsup |A(n)|/n^{1/2} < \infty$, called *thin* bases. The first examples of thin bases were given by Cassels, Stöhr and Raikov (see e.g. [6]). More recent constructions were provided by Hofmeister [7]. In all these examples the constructed bases either contain arbitrarily large arithmetic progressions or contain elements of the form $\sum_{i \in E} x_i d^i$, $d \geq 2$, for arbitrarily large subsets $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ and all possible choices of $x_i \in \{0, r\}$ for some $r \neq 0$. In both cases we trivially see that $r_A(n)$ is not bounded.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11B13, 11B75.

J. Nešetřil supported by the project LN00A056 of Czech Ministry of Education and Institut Català per a la Recerca ICREA.

O. Serra supported by project BFM2002-00412 of the Spanish Ministry of Science.

Erdős [2] showed that the function $r_A(n)$ can grow slowly. More precisely, he showed that there exist bases A for which

$$c_1 \log n \leq r_A(n) \leq c_2 \log n, \quad n \geq n_0(A)$$

for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$. This result may explain the difficulties involved in the Erdős–Turán conjecture.

In this note we prove the validity of the Erdős–Turán conjecture for a class of “bounded” bases. The *binary support* of a positive integer n is the subset $S(n) \subset \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ of its binary expansion

$$n = \sum_{i \in S(n)} 2^i.$$

We say that $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is *bounded* if there is a function f such that for each $n \in A + A$ there is a pair $x, y \in A$ with

$$n = x + y, \quad |S(x) \cup S(y)| \leq f(|S(n)|).$$

For instance, if the binary expansion of each element in A has no two consecutive 1’s, then A is a bounded set with $f(n) = n$.

We prove the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a bounded asymptotic basis of order 2. Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_A(n) = \infty.$$

In fact we prove a more general form of Theorem 1. Let us fix a positive integer $h \geq 2$ and let $r_A^{(h)}(n)$ denote the number of solutions of $n = a_1 + \dots + a_h$ with $a_1, \dots, a_h \in A$. We say that A has the *h -Erdős–Turán property* (*h -ET* for short) if $r_A^{(h)}(n)$ is unbounded. The set A is an *asymptotic additive basis of order h* if every sufficiently large integer can be expressed as the sum of h elements in $A \cup \{0\}$ and h is the minimum positive integer with this property. We shall omit the reference to h in the above definitions when $h = 2$. We prove the next result, for which the precise (and more technical) notion of (d, h) -bounded basis is explained in Section 2.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be an asymptotic additive basis for \mathbb{N} of order $h \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$. If A is (d, h) -bounded then it has the h -Erdős–Turán property.*

This generalizes Theorem 1, since we shall see later that every $(2, 2)$ -basis is a bounded basis.

We tested bounded bases in the context of other bases-related results, both additive and multiplicative. For example we have the following:

The Erdős–Newman problem [4] asks for the existence of a set A with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_A(n) = k$ such that, for every finite partition $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$, we have $\limsup r_{A_i}(n) = k$ for some i . The problem has a positive answer as proved by Nešetřil and Rödl [10]. A similar question can be asked when

$\limsup r_A(n) = \infty$. The method we use in the proof of Theorem 2 gives the following result.

THEOREM 3. *Let $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$ be a partition of a (d, h) -bounded additive basis of order $h \geq 2$ into a finite number of parts. Then one of the parts has the h -ET property.*

Erdős also proposed the following strengthening of the Erdős–Turán conjecture: if A is a set of positive integers satisfying $|A(n)| = \Omega(n^{1/2})$ then A has the ET property, whether it is a basis or not. If that is true then Theorem 3 follows for a basis of order 2.

The method we use to prove Theorems 2 and 3 is inspired by the proof that Nešetřil and Rödl [10] gave for the multiplicative analog of the Erdős–Turán conjecture. This was first proved by Erdős [1] in 1964:

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that every positive integer can be written as a product of two elements in a set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a positive integer n which can be written as a product of two elements in A in at least m different ways.*

It is worth noting that the proof of Theorem 4 given in [10] can be extended to multiplicative bases of \mathbb{Z} . However the situation is strikingly different for additive bases of \mathbb{Z} or, more generally, in any linearly ordered Abelian group. Nathanson [9] proves that for an arbitrary function $r : \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ with $r^{-1}(0)$ finite there is an additive basis A of order 2 such that $r_A(x) = r(x)$ for all but a finite number of $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. As shown by Nathanson, a greedy algorithm provides a basis for \mathbb{Z} with $r_A(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A similar result holds when restricted to bounded bases.

THEOREM 5. *There is a bounded basis of \mathbb{Z} satisfying $r_A(n) = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Thus while the definition of bounded basis and the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 were inspired by the multiplicative versions of the Erdős–Turán conjecture which is valid both in \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} , this notion is sensitive enough to separate \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} in the additive case.

In the same vein, Theorem 3 is no longer true in \mathbb{Z} . We can construct an additive basis A for \mathbb{Z} with a prescribed function $r_A(n)$, even with the ET property, but which can be partitioned into two B_2^1 sequences.

THEOREM 6. *Let $r : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a given function. There is a set A of integers such that $r_A(n) = r(n)$ for each integer n and a partition $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ of A such that $r_{A_i}(n) = 1$ for each n , $i = 1, 2$.*

2. Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer. We consider the d -adic expansion of each positive integer $n = \sum_{i \geq 0} n_i d^i$, $0 \leq n_i < d$.

The d -support of n is the subset $S_d(n) \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$n = \sum_{i \in S_d(n)} n_i d^i, \quad 0 < n_i < d.$$

Let $0 \in A$ be an additive basis of order $h \geq 2$, that is, every sufficiently large integer can be expressed as a sum of h elements from A . We say that A is a (d, h) -bounded set on $X \subset \mathbb{N}$ if there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \in X$ there is an h -tuple $\tau(n) = (a_1, \dots, a_h) \in A^h$ satisfying

$$(1) \quad |S_d(a_1) \cup \dots \cup S_d(a_h)| \leq f(|S_d(n)|).$$

We call a set X of positive integers (N, d) -good if there is an infinite set $Y \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ all of whose N -subsets are supports of some element in X , that is, for every N -subset $K \subset Y$ there is $n \in X$ such that $S_d(n) = K$. The set X is d -good if it is (N, d) -good for infinitely many values of N . A basis A is (d, h) -bounded if it is (d, h) -bounded on some d -good set X . In particular, a 2-bounded basis A is $(2, 2)$ -bounded on $X = [n_0(A), \infty)$. This explains the notions involved in Theorem 2 and it also shows that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $0 \in A$ be an additive basis of order $h \geq 2$ which is (d, h) -bounded on a good set X . Given N for which X is (N, d) -good, let $Y' \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ be an infinite set each of whose N -subsets is the d -support of some element in X . By the definition, there is a function f such that for each N -subset $K \subset Y'$ there is $n \in X$ and an h -tuple $\tau(n) = (a_1, \dots, a_h)$ satisfying inequality (1).

Set $M = \lceil \log_d h \rceil$ and consider an infinite subset $Y = \{y_1 < y_2 < \dots\} \subset Y'$ such that

$$|y_{r+1} - y_r| > \max\{f(N), M\}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

We color the N -subsets of Y as follows. For each N -subset $K = \{k_1 < \dots < k_N\} \subset Y$, choose $n = n(K)$ with $S_d(n) = K$ and let $\tau(n) = (a_1, \dots, a_h)$. Note that

$$\max S_d(n) \leq \max\{S_d(a_1), \dots, S_d(a_h)\} + M.$$

Since $k_{r+1} - k_r > M$, we have

$$(S_d(a_1) \cup \dots \cup S_d(a_h)) \cap [k_r - M, k_r] \neq \emptyset, \quad r = 1, \dots, N.$$

We may therefore assume that $S_d(a_1)$ intersects $s \geq N/h$ of the intervals $[k_r - M, k_r]$. On the other hand, since $k_{r+1} - k_r > f(N)$ we have $S_d(a_1) \subset \bigcup_{r=1}^N [k_r - M, k_r]$. Let $a_1 = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{1i} d^i$ be the d -adic expansion of a_1 . Then we define the coloring \mathbf{c} on the N -subsets of Y as follows:

$$(2) \quad c_r(K) = (a_{1i}, i \in [k_r - M, k_r]), \quad \mathbf{c}(K) = (c_1(K), \dots, c_N(K)).$$

By the definition of \mathbf{c} , if $c_r(K) = (x_{r0}, \dots, x_{rM})$, $r = 1, \dots, N$, then

$$(3) \quad x(K, \mathbf{c}) = \sum_{i=k_1-M}^{k_1} x_{1i}d^{k_1-M+i} + \dots + \sum_{i=k_N-M}^{k_N} x_{Ni}d^{k_N-M+i} = a_1 \in A.$$

The coloring \mathbf{c} uses less than Nd^{M+1} colors. By Ramsey’s theorem, there is an infinite subset $Y_0 \subset Y$ all of whose N -subsets receive the same color $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$. Let $J \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ be the set of subscripts for which $\beta_i \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. By the choice of a_1 in the definition of \mathbf{c} we have $|J| \geq s \geq N/h$. Choose a subset $S = \{z_1 < \dots < z_{2s}\} \subset Y_0$ of cardinality $2s$ such that between any two consecutive elements of S there are N elements of Y_0 . Set $V_j = \{z_{2j-1}, z_{2j}\}$, $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Let $U' \subset S$ be a subset of cardinality s obtained by picking out one element in each V_j . Since between any two elements in S there are N elements of Y_0 , U' can be completed to a set $U = \{u_1 < \dots < u_N\}$ such that $u_i \in U'$ whenever $i \in J$ and $u_i \in Y_0 \setminus S$ otherwise. By the choice of Y_0 , the set U has color β . Therefore, the element $x(U, \mathbf{c})$ as defined in (3) belongs to the basis A . By the same token, the complement $W' = S \setminus U'$ of U' in S can be completed to an N -subset $W = \{w_1 < \dots < w_N\}$ of Y_0 by adding $N - s$ elements in $Y_0 \setminus S$ which fill positions with value $(0, \dots, 0)$ in β . Therefore, the element $x(W, \mathbf{c})$ belongs to the basis A as well.

Note that, by the choice of Y , the supports of $x(U, \mathbf{c})$ and $x(W, \mathbf{c})$ are disjoint. Moreover, for any other choice of an s -subset L of S obtained by picking one element in each V_j , we have

$$x(U) + x(S \setminus U) = x(L) + x(S \setminus L).$$

Therefore, we get $2^{s-1} \geq 2^{N/h-1}$ different expressions of $m = x(U) + x(S \setminus U)$ as sums of two elements in the basis. Since there are infinitely many choices for N , the basis A has the ET property. ■

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof is similar to the above proof of Theorem 2. Given n and $\tau(n) = (a_1, \dots, a_h)$ consider the following coloring of $S(n)$:

$$\mathbf{c}'(S(n)) = (\mathbf{c}(S(n)); \alpha(n)),$$

where $\mathbf{c}(S(n))$ is the coloring given in (2) and $\alpha(n) = i$ if $a_1 \in A_i$. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2, for each $N > 0$ there is an infinite set $Y_0 \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that all its N -subsets have the same color $\beta' = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N; \alpha)$, where $\alpha = \alpha(N)$ identifies the set of the partition which the corresponding a_1 belongs to. Hence, there is a positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ which admits at least $2^{N/h-1}$ different expressions as a sum of two elements in $A_{\alpha(N)}$. Since A is partitioned into a finite number of parts, there are infinitely many values of N with the same value $\alpha(N)$. ■

Let us now consider additive bases in \mathbb{Z} . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $S(n)$ denote the 2-adic support of n in the sense that $|n| = \sum_{i \in S(n)} 2^i$. The notion of 2-bounded sets is extended to subsets of \mathbb{Z} in the obvious way.

3. Additive bases for \mathbb{Z} . The notion of (d, h) -bounded basis extends naturally to bases in \mathbb{Z} . For simplicity we only consider $(2, 2)$ -bounded bases. In order to preserve the unicity of binary expansions, we define the binary support of a negative integer as $S(x) = -S(|x|)$, that is, $x = -\sum_{i \in S(x)} 2^{-i}$. A set B of integers is *bounded* if there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \in B + B$ there is a pair $x, y \in B$ satisfying

$$n = x + y, \quad |S(x) \cup S(y)| \leq f(|S(n)|).$$

We can now proceed to prove Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 5. We construct an increasing family $\{A_k : k \geq 1\}$ of sets of integers with the following properties:

- (i) $r_{A_k}(n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (ii) $A_k + A_k \supseteq (-k/2, k/2)$ for all $k \geq 1$.
- (iii) For each pair $x, y \in A_k$ we have $|S(x) \cup S(y)| \leq 4|S(x + y)|$.

From (i) and (ii) it follows that $A = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} A_k$ is a unique representation basis of \mathbb{Z} and, by (iii), it is 2-bounded.

For each integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\sigma(n)$ by

$$S(\sigma(n)) = \{i \in S(n) : i - 1 \notin S(n)\}.$$

Then $|S(n + \sigma(n))| = |S(\sigma(n))| \leq |S(n)|$ and $S(n + \sigma(n))$ has no two consecutive integers.

Let $A_1 = \{0\}$, $A_2 = \{-10, 0, 9\}$ and suppose we have constructed A_k satisfying (i)–(iii) above and such that the support of each element in A_k has no two consecutive integers.

Let n_k be an element not in $A_k + A_k$ with smallest absolute value. Suppose that $n_k > 0$, the other case being similar. Choose a subset U_k of positive integers with cardinality $|U_k| = |S(n_k)|$ such that $\min U_k > 1 + \max_{x \in A_k + A_k} \max\{i \in S(|x|)\}$ and U_k has no two consecutive integers. Define

$$a_k = n_k + \sigma(n_k) + \sum_{i \in U_k} 2^i, \quad b_k = n_k - a_k, \quad A_{k+1} = A_k \cup \{a_k, b_k\}.$$

We have

$$A_{k+1} + A_{k+1} = \{n_k\} \cup (A_k + A_k) \cup (A_k + a_k) \cup (A_k + b_k),$$

where, by the choice of a_k and b_k , the four sets on the right hand side are pairwise disjoint. Hence A_{k+1} satisfies (i). Since $n_k \in A_{k+1} + A_{k+1}$, (ii) is also satisfied. Let us check that (iii) also holds. Since $|S(a_k)| \leq 2|S(n_k)|$ and

$|S(b_k)| \leq 2|S(n_k)|$, it follows that

$$|S(a_k) \cup S(b_k)| \leq 4|S(n_k)| = 4|S(a_k + b_k)|.$$

Let $x \in A_k$. Since $S(x)$ has no two consecutive integers, we have

$$|S(x) \cup S(a_k)| \leq |S(x + a_k)| \quad \text{if } x > 0,$$

and it can be easily checked that

$$|S(x) \cup S(a_k)| \leq 4|S(x + a_k)| \quad \text{if } x < 0.$$

Indeed, let $V = S(x)$ and $V' = S(|x|) \setminus S(a_k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |S(x) \cup S(a_k)| &\leq |V| + 2|S(n_k)| \leq |V'| + 3|S(n_k)| \leq 4(|V'| + |U_k| - 1) \\ &\leq 4|S(x + a_k)|, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the facts that V' has no two consecutive integers, that $\max S(|x|) < \min U_k$, and that for any positive integers r and s , $2^{r+s} - 2^r = 2^{r+s-1} + \dots + 2^r$. Similar arguments show that

$$|S(x) \cup S(b_k)| \leq 4|S(x + b_k)|. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 6. Set $Z = r^{-1}(\infty)$ and $Z' = \mathbb{Z} \setminus Z$. Consider the set $F \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ of ordered pairs

$$F = \{(i, j) : i \in Z, j = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{(i, j) : i \in Z', j = 1, \dots, r(i)\},$$

with the ordering defined as

$$(i, j) \preceq (i', j') \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} |i| + j < |i'| + j', \text{ or} \\ |i| + j = |i'| + j' \text{ and } j < j', \text{ or} \\ |i| + j = |i'| + j' \text{ and } j = j' \text{ and } |i| < |i'|, \text{ or} \\ |i| + j = |i'| + j' \text{ and } j = j' \text{ and } |i| = |i'| \text{ and } i < i', \end{cases}$$

so that $F = \{f_1 = (0, 1), f_2 = (-1, 1), f_3 = (1, 1), f_4, \dots\}$ in this ordering.

We construct a non-decreasing family $\{A_k : k \geq 1\}$ of sets of integers satisfying

- (a) $r_{A_k}(n) = \max\{j : (n, j) \preceq f_k\}$ if $(n, 1) \preceq f_k$ and $r_{A_k}(n) \leq 1$ otherwise,
- (b) $r_{A_k^+}(n) \leq 1$ and $r_{A_k^-}(n) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $A_k^+ = A_k \cap [0, \infty)$ and $A_k^- = A_k \setminus A_k^+$.

Once such a family of sets has been constructed, the set $A = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} A_k$ satisfies

$$r_A(n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{A_k}(n) = r(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

while both $A^+ = A \cap [0, \infty)$ and $A^- = A \setminus A^+$ are B_2^1 sequences.

Let $A_1 = \{0\}$ and suppose that A_{k-1} satisfies (a) and (b) above. Let $f_k = (i, j)$. Then $r_{A_{k-1}}(i) = j - 1$ if $j > 1$ and $r_{A_{k-1}}(i) \leq 1$ if $j = 1$.

If $r_{A_{k-1}}(i) = j = 1$ then we define $A_k = A_{k-1}$.

If $r_{A_{k-1}}(i) = j - 1$, let $x_k = \max\{|x| : x \in A_{k-1} + A_{k-1}\} + |A_{k-1}| + |i|$ and define

$$a_k = i + x_k, \quad b_k = -x_k, \quad A_k = A_{k-1} \cup \{a_k, b_k\}.$$

Then

$$A_k + A_k = ((A_{k-1} + A_{k-1}) \cup \{i\}) \cup (A_{k-1} + a_k) \cup (A_{k-1} + b_k),$$

where, by the choice of x_k , the three sets on the right hand side are pairwise disjoint. Therefore $r_{A_k}(n) \leq r_{A_{k-1}}(n) + 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and equality holds if either $n = i$, so that $r_{A_k}(i) = j$, or $n \in (A_{k-1} + a_k) \cup (A_{k-1} + b_k)$, in which case $f_k \prec (n, 1)$ and $r_{A_k}(n) = 1$. Thus A_k satisfies (a). Moreover, since x_k is large enough, both $A_k^+ = A_{k-1}^+ \cup \{a_k\}$ and $A_k^- = A_{k-1}^- \cup \{b_k\}$ are still B_2^1 sets. This completes the proof. ■

4. Remarks and open problems. It is pleasing to note that the multiplicative version of Erdős–Turán conjecture is valid both in \mathbb{N} and in \mathbb{Z} . However the additive version for 2-bounded bases is true in \mathbb{N} while it fails to be true in \mathbb{Z} even in the stronger form of Theorem 6 (we note that the basis in this theorem can be required to be bounded as well). The proof of Theorem 5, though, involves constructing a very sparse basis for the set of all integers. Thicker bases for the integers without the ET property have been constructed by Nathanson [9] and Łuczak and Schoen [8] with counting function of order $n^{1/3}$. It might be true that unboundedness of the representation function appears when the lower density of the set has order $n^{1/2}$. In that respect the following may be an easier problem.

PROBLEM 1. *Let A be an additive basis of \mathbb{N} and assume that it has the ET property. Is it true that in any finite partition of A one of the parts still has the ET property?*

Perhaps the following perspective may contribute to a better understanding of the Erdős–Turán conjecture. Given a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, we say that a basis $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is f -restricted if for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist $x, y \in X$ such that

$$n = x + y, \quad \max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq f(n).$$

A basis for the set of positive integers is f -restricted with $f(n) = n$, so that this case for bases of \mathbb{Z} seems to be closely related to the Erdős–Turán conjecture. One possible formulation of the link between the two problems is the following:

PROBLEM 2. *Is it true that for every thin additive basis A of \mathbb{N} there exists a basis B of \mathbb{N} and a constant c which bounds the number of solutions $n = a - b$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$?*

A positive answer implies that proving the ET property for f -restricted bases in \mathbb{Z} with $f(n) = n$ gives a proof of the Erdős–Turán conjecture.

On the one side of the spectrum the function $f(n) = n/2 + c$ yields a positive solution of the Erdős–Turán conjecture for f -restricted bases.

PROPOSITION 1. *For any positive integer c an f -restrictive basis in \mathbb{Z} with $f(n) = n/2 + c$ has the Erdős–Turán property.*

Proof. Let A be an f -restricted basis of \mathbb{Z} . Define sets A_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2c$, by $n \in A_i$ iff there exists $x \in A$ such that $n/2 - x = i/2$. By the van der Waerden Theorem, one of the sets A_i contains an arithmetic progression of any length. Since an arithmetic progression of length k yields $k/2$ pairs of elements with the same sum, the basis A has the Erdős–Turán property. ■

Of course the same proof gives the Erdős–Turán property for f -restricted bases for functions $f(n) = n/2 + \varepsilon(n)$, where $\varepsilon(n)$ is a very slowly growing function (essentially the inverse function to the van der Waerden’s function $W(k, k)$; by Shelah and Gowers this is not as astronomically slowly growing as it seems at first glance). These remarks also apply to f -restricted bases in \mathbb{N} .

By using a greedy algorithm as in the above proof of Theorem 5 we easily get examples of f -restricted bases for \mathbb{Z} without the ET property for any function $f(n) > 2^n$. It would be interesting to find examples for more slowly growing functions as suggested by the following problem.

PROBLEM 3. *Fix a positive $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let A be a basis for \mathbb{Z} such that for each sufficiently large $n \in A + A$ there are $x, y \in A$ with $n = x + y$ and $\max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq cn$. Is it true that A has the ET property?*

References

- [1] P. Erdős, *On the multiplicative representation of integers*, Israel J. Math. 2 (1964), 251–261.
- [2] —, *Problems and results in additive number theory*, in: Colloque sur la Théorie des Nombres (Bruxelles, 1955), Massion, Paris, 1956, 127–137.
- [3] —, *Some applications of Ramsey’s theorem to additive number theory*, European J. Combin. 1 (1980), 43–46.
- [4] P. Erdős and R. L. Graham, *Old and New Problems and Results in Combinatorial Number Theory*, Monograph. Enseign. Math. 28, Univ. Genève, 1980.
- [5] P. Erdős and P. Turán, *On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory, and on some related problems*, J. London Math. Soc. 16 (1941), 212–215.
- [6] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences*, Springer, 1983.
- [7] G. Hofmeister, *Thin basis of order two*, J. Number Theory 86 (2001), 118–132.
- [8] T. Łuczak and T. Schoen, *A remark on unique representation basis for the integers*, preprint, 2003.
- [9] M. B. Nathanson, *The inverse problem for representation functions of additive basis*, in: Number Theory: New York Seminar 2003, D. Chudnovsky *et al.* (eds.), Springer, New York, 2004, 253–262.

- [10] J. Nešetřil and V. Rödl, *Two proofs in Combinatorial Number Theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985), 185–188.
- [11] A. Sárközy and V. Sós, *On additive representation functions*, in: The Mathematics of Paul Erdős, R. L. Graham and J. Nešetřil (eds.), Springer, 1991, 129–150.

Department of Applied Mathematics and
Institute of Theoretical Computer Sciences (ITI)
Charles University
Malostranské nám. 25
11800 Praha, Czech Republic
E-mail: nesetril@kam.mff.cuni.cz

Department of Applied Mathematics IV
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
Jordi Girona, 1
08034 Barcelona, Spain
E-mail: oserra@mat.upc.es

*Received on 31.10.2003
and in revised form on 16.2.2004*

(4657)