

**A note on the ideal class group
of the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension
of a totally real number field**

by

HUMIO ICHIMURA (Yokohama)

1. Introduction. Let p be a fixed prime number (not necessarily odd), k a fixed totally real number field, and k_∞/k the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension. Let k_n be the n th layer of k_∞/k with $k_0 = k$, and A_n the Sylow p -subgroup of the ideal class group of k_n . Denote by

$$A_\infty = \varinjlim A_n$$

the inductive limit with respect to the inclusion maps $k_n \rightarrow k_m$ ($n < m$). It is conjectured that $A_\infty = \{0\}$ (see Greenberg [1]). Let \tilde{A}_0 be the image of A_0 in A_∞ . Concerning the conjecture, Greenberg [1, Theorem 1] proved the following:

THEOREM 1. *Assume that there is only one prime ideal of k over p and that it is totally ramified in k_∞ . Then $A_\infty = \{0\}$ if $\tilde{A}_0 = \{0\}$.*

The purpose of the present note is to give (1) the following rather stronger version of this theorem (under the same assumptions), and (2) corresponding assertions when p splits completely in k . Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$, and A_∞^Γ the elements of A_∞ fixed by Γ . Clearly, $\tilde{A}_0 \subseteq A_\infty^\Gamma$.

THEOREM 2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, $A_\infty^\Gamma/\tilde{A}_0 = \{0\}$.*

Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 and the following assertion which is known to specialists.

PROPOSITION 1. *Under the general setting of this section, $A_\infty = \{0\}$ if and only if $A_\infty^\Gamma = \{0\}$.*

Let L_n be the maximal pro- p abelian extension over k_n such that $L_n \supseteq k_\infty$ and L_n/k_∞ is unramified, and let F_n be the Hilbert p -class field of k_n .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11R23.

Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No.13640036), the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

Clearly, we have $F_n k_\infty \subseteq L_n$. It is known (Sumida [6, Lemma 1]) that if the equality $F_n k_\infty = L_n$ holds for some $n = n_0$, then it holds for all $n \geq n_0$. For this, see also Lemma 3 and (1) in Section 3.

THEOREM 3. *Assume that p splits completely in k and that the Leopoldt conjecture holds for (k, p) . Then $A_\infty^F/\tilde{A}_0 = \{0\}$ if $F_n k_\infty = L_n$ for some n .*

Corresponding to Theorem 1, we obtain, from Theorem 3 and Proposition 1, the following:

THEOREM 4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, $A_\infty = \{0\}$ if $\tilde{A}_0 = \{0\}$ and $F_n k_\infty = L_n$ for some n .*

In the previous paper [2, Propositions 1, 3], we proved the assertions of Theorems 2, 3 when p is odd and k is a real abelian field with $[k : \mathbb{Q}]$ not divisible by p .

We show Theorem 2 by re-arranging some arguments in [1, pp. 267–269]. We can say that Theorem 2 is essentially contained in [1]. We show Theorem 3 similarly to [2, Proposition 3], using some skillful arguments of [1, p. 270].

REMARK 1. (1) Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, it is known and easy to show that $F_n k_\infty = L_n$ for all n . (2) The sufficient condition for $A_\infty = \{0\}$ in Theorem 4 is also necessary. This is because $A_\infty = \{0\}$ if and only if $L = \bigcup_n L_n$ is a finite extension over k_∞ (cf. [1, Proposition 2]). (3) Taya [8, Theorem 4] gave a condition for $A_\infty = \{0\}$ similar to Theorem 4 when p is odd and k is a real quadratic field in which p splits. In [7], Sumida proved an assertion on the p -ideal class group of k_∞ similar to Theorem 4. His theorem is given in a very general setting where k is not necessarily totally real, p does not necessarily split completely in k , and k_∞/k is an arbitrary \mathbb{Z}_p -extension.

REMARK 2. At present, we have many numerical examples of (k, p) with $A_\infty = \{0\}$ but no counterexamples (see Kraft and Schoof [4], Kurihara [5], Sumida and the author [3]). For example, it is known that $A_\infty = \{0\}$ when $p = 3$ and $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for all square free integers d with $1 < d < 10^4$ ([3, Proposition]). However, the conjecture is not yet proved to be true in general.

2. Proof of Theorem 2. In this section, we show Theorem 2 by re-arranging some arguments of [1, pp. 267–269]. In what follows, we assume that the prime ideals of k over p are totally ramified in k_∞ . Let E_n be the group of units of k_n . The following lemma is proved in [1, p. 269, line 15].

LEMMA 1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the order $|E_0/N_{n/0}E_n|$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here, $N_{n/0}$ is the norm map from k_n^\times to k^\times .*

Let I_n be the group of fractional ideals of k_n , and I_∞ the inductive limit of I_n with respect to the inclusion maps $k_n \rightarrow k_m$ ($n < m$). We often regard ideals of k_n as elements of I_∞ . For an ideal $\mathfrak{A} \in I_n$ ($0 \leq n \leq \infty$), $[\mathfrak{A}]_n$ denotes the ideal class of k_n represented by \mathfrak{A} . We put $B_n = A_n^\Gamma$, the elements of A_n invariant under the action of Γ . The following lemma is a detailed version of [1, Corollary].

LEMMA 2. *Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold or that the Leopoldt conjecture holds for (k, p) . Let h' be the non- p -part of the class number of k . Then, for any natural numbers m, l and any prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of k_m over p , the ideal $\mathfrak{P}^{h'}$ becomes a p^l th power of a principal ideal in I_∞ .*

Proof. It is known that the order of B_n is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$ under the assumption of the lemma. For this, see [1, Proposition 1] and line 14 of [1, p. 269]. Let t be an integer such that p^t is a multiple of $|B_n|$ for all n . There exists a unique prime ideal $\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ of k_{m+l+t} over \mathfrak{P} , and the ideal class $[\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{h'}]_{m+l+t}$ is contained in B_{m+l+t} , because the primes of k over p are totally ramified in k_∞ . Then $\mathfrak{P}^{h'} = (\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{h'p^t})^{p^l}$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{h'p^t}$ is a principal ideal of k_{m+l+t} . The assertion follows from this. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. We fix a topological generator γ of Γ . Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Let $[\mathfrak{A}]_\infty$ be an element of A_∞^Γ with $\mathfrak{A} \in I_\infty$. We have $\mathfrak{A}^{\gamma^{-1}} = (x)$ for some $x \in k_n^\times$. Take an integer n such that $\mathfrak{A} \in I_n$ and $x \in k_n^\times$. We have $\varepsilon = N_{n/0}x \in E_0$. By Lemma 1, we see that

$$N_{m/0}x = \varepsilon^{p^{m-n}} \in N_{m/0}E_m$$

for a sufficiently large $m \geq n$. Then $N_{m/0}x = N_{m/0}\eta$ for some $\eta \in E_m$. From this, $x\eta^{-1} = y\gamma^{-1}$ for some $y \in k_m^\times$, and hence

$$\mathfrak{A}^{\gamma^{-1}} = (x\eta^{-1}) = (y\gamma^{-1}).$$

Therefore, the ideal $\mathfrak{A}(y)^{-1}$ of k_m is Γ -invariant. Then we see that $\mathfrak{A}(y)^{-1} = \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{C}$ for some $\mathfrak{B} \in I_0$ and a product \mathfrak{C} of prime ideals of k_m over p . Therefore, by Lemma 2, we see that $[\mathfrak{A}]_\infty^{h'} \in \tilde{A}_0$. From this, we obtain $A_\infty^\Gamma/\tilde{A}_0 = \{0\}$. ■

Proof of Proposition 1. Though this is more or less known, we give a proof for the sake of completeness. It suffices to show that the condition $A_\infty \neq \{0\}$ implies $A_\infty^\Gamma \neq \{0\}$. Assume that $A_\infty \neq \{0\}$. Let H_n be the kernel of the natural map $A_n \rightarrow A_\infty$. As $A_\infty \neq \{0\}$, $A_n/H_n \neq \{0\}$ for some n . Then we see that there exists a class $[\mathfrak{A}]_n \in A_n$ with $\mathfrak{A} \in I_n$ such that $[\mathfrak{A}]_n \notin H_n$ but $[\mathfrak{A}]_n^{\gamma^{-1}} \in H_n$. This is because p -groups acting on p -groups have nontrivial fixed points. Therefore, we obtain $A_\infty^\Gamma \neq \{0\}$. ■

REMARK 3. Let D_n be the classes in A_n which contain a product of prime ideals of k_n over p . We have $D_n \subseteq B_n$ since the primes of k over p are totally ramified in k_∞ . Assume that the Leopoldt conjecture holds for

(k, p) . Then, in [1, p. 270], it is shown that $A_\infty = \{0\}$ when $B_n = D_n$ for all sufficiently large n . This assertion also follows from Proposition 1 and Lemma 2.

3. Proof of Theorem 3. Let p and k be as in Section 1. For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of k_n over p , let $k_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completion of k_n at \mathfrak{p} , and $\mathfrak{U}_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$ the group of principal units of $k_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{U}_n = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathfrak{U}_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$ the group of semi-local units of k_n at p , where \mathfrak{p} runs over the primes of k_n over p . We put

$$\mathfrak{V}_n = \bigcap_{m \geq n} N_{m/n} \mathfrak{U}_m$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n = \left\{ (u_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \mathfrak{U}_n \mid \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (u_{\mathfrak{p}}, k_m/k_n, \mathfrak{p}) = 1, \forall m \geq n \right\}.$$

Here, $N_{m/n}$ is the norm map from k_m^\times to k_n^\times , and $(*, k_m/k_n, \mathfrak{p})$ denotes the norm residue symbol at \mathfrak{p} for the extension k_m/k_n . We have $\mathfrak{V}_n \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n$ by local class field theory. Let E_n be, as before, the group of units of k_n . Embed k_n^\times diagonally into the product $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} k_{n,\mathfrak{p}}^\times$, and let \mathfrak{E}_n be the closure of $E_n \cap \mathfrak{U}_n$ in \mathfrak{U}_n . We see that $\mathfrak{E}_n \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n$ by the product formula for the norm residue symbols. On the quotient group $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n/\mathfrak{V}_n \mathfrak{E}_n$, the following assertion holds, which is essentially contained in [6].

LEMMA 3. *If the equality $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n = \mathfrak{V}_n \mathfrak{E}_n$ holds for some $n = n_0$, then it holds for all $n \geq n_0$.*

Proof. Let $m > n$. Using local class field theory, we can show that the inclusion map $k_n^\times \rightarrow k_m^\times$ induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n/\mathfrak{V}_n \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_m/\mathfrak{V}_m.$$

For details, see [6, p. 695, line 17]. The assertion follows from this as $E_n \subseteq E_m$. ■

Let M_n be the maximal pro- p abelian extension over k_n unramified outside p , and let L_n, F_n be the extensions of k_n defined in Section 1. From the definitions, we have $F_n k_\infty \subseteq L_n \subseteq M_n$. It is known that the reciprocity law map induces isomorphisms

$$\text{Gal}(M_n/F_n k_\infty) \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n/\mathfrak{E}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Gal}(M_n/L_n) \cong \mathfrak{V}_n \mathfrak{E}_n/\mathfrak{E}_n.$$

For the former, see [9, Corollary 13.6], and for the latter, see [6, Proposition 1] or [3, Lemma 3]. From the above, one obtains the following isomorphism:

$$(1) \quad \text{Gal}(L_n/F_n k_\infty) \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n/\mathfrak{V}_n \mathfrak{E}_n.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. We assume that p splits completely in k and that the Leopoldt conjecture holds for (k, p) . We also assume that $F_{n_0}k_\infty = L_{n_0}$ for some integer n_0 . Let $[\mathfrak{A}]_\infty$ be an element of A_∞^Γ with $\mathfrak{A} \in I_\infty$. We have

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{A}^{\gamma-1} = (x)$$

for some $x \in k_\infty^\times$. Here, γ is the fixed topological generator of Γ . Take an integer n such that $n \geq n_0$, $\mathfrak{A} \in I_n$ and $x \in k_n^\times$. By (2), x is relatively prime to p . Embedding k_n^\times into the product $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} k_{n,\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ diagonally, we can also regard x as an element of \mathfrak{U}_n (by raising \mathfrak{A} and x to the $(p-1)$ st power if necessary). By (2), we obtain

$$(3) \quad N_{n/0}x \in E_0.$$

From this, we see that for any $m \geq n$,

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (x, k_m/k_n, \mathfrak{p}) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (N_{n/0}x, k_m/k, \mathfrak{p}') = 1$$

by the product formula for the norm residue symbols. Here, \mathfrak{p} runs over the primes of k_n over p , and $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p} \cap k$. Therefore, we obtain $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n$. It is known ([1, p. 265]) that

$$(4) \quad E_0 \cap \mathfrak{U}_0^{p^{n+l}} \subseteq E_0^{p^{n+1}}$$

for some integer $l \geq 0$ as a consequence of the Leopoldt conjecture for (k, p) . Since $n \geq n_0$ and $F_{n_0}k_\infty = L_{n_0}$, we obtain $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n = \mathfrak{V}_n \mathfrak{C}_n$ from Lemma 3 and (1). Then, as $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_n$, we have

$$(5) \quad x \equiv (N_{n+l/n}v)\varepsilon \pmod{\mathfrak{U}_n^{p^l}}$$

for some $v \in \mathfrak{U}_{n+l}$ and $\varepsilon \in E_n$.

Now, we distinguish the cases where p is odd and where $p = 2$. First, let p be odd. We see that $N_{m/0}\mathfrak{U}_m = \mathfrak{U}_0^{p^m}$ for any m since p is odd and p splits completely in k . Therefore, we obtain

$$N_{n/0}x \equiv N_{n/0}\varepsilon \pmod{\mathfrak{U}_0^{p^{n+l}}}$$

from (5). By (3), (4) and this congruence, we obtain $N_{n/0}(x\varepsilon^{-1}) = \eta^{p^n}$ for some $\eta \in E_0$, and hence $N_{n/0}(x\varepsilon^{-1}\eta^{-1}) = 1$. Therefore, $x\varepsilon^{-1}\eta^{-1} = y^{\gamma-1}$ for some $y \in k_n^\times$. From this and (2), it follows that the ideal $\mathfrak{A}(y)^{-1}$ of k_n is Γ -invariant. Then we can write $\mathfrak{A}(y)^{-1} = \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{C}$ for some ideal \mathfrak{B} of k and a product \mathfrak{C} of prime ideals of k_n over p . From this and Lemma 2, we obtain $[\mathfrak{A}]_\infty^{h'} = [\mathfrak{B}]_\infty^{h'} \in \tilde{A}_0$. The desired assertion follows from this when p is odd.

Next, let $p = 2$. Then we see that $N_{m/0}\mathfrak{U}_m^2 = \mathfrak{U}_0^{2^{m+1}}$ for any m since p splits completely in k . Thus, by (3)–(5), we obtain $N_{n/0}(x^2\varepsilon^{-2}) = \eta^{2^{n+1}}$ for some $\eta \in E_0$, and hence, $N_{n/0}(x\varepsilon^{-1}\eta^{-1}) = \pm 1$. Let ζ be a primitive 2^{n+2} nd

root of unity, and put

$$\delta = \zeta^2 + \zeta + 1 + \zeta^{-1} + \zeta^{-2} = (\zeta^5 - 1)/(\zeta^3 - \zeta^2).$$

We easily see that $\delta \in E_n$ and $N_{n/0}\delta = -1$. Therefore, we have

$$N_{n/0}(x\varepsilon^{-1}\eta^{-1}) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad N_{n/0}(x\varepsilon^{-1}\eta^{-1}\delta) = 1.$$

Using this, we obtain the desired assertion by an argument similar to the case $p \geq 3$. ■

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Hiroki Sumida for valuable conversations, especially on the proof of Theorem 3 for the case $p = 2$.

References

- [1] R. Greenberg, *On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields*, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 263–284.
- [2] H. Ichimura, *On a quotient of the unramified Iwasawa module over an abelian number field*, J. Number Theory 88 (2001), 175–190.
- [3] H. Ichimura and H. Sumida, *On the Iwasawa invariants of certain real abelian fields II*, Internat. J. Math. 7 (1996), 721–744.
- [4] J. Kraft and R. Schoof, *Computing Iwasawa modules of real quadratic fields*, Compositio Math. 97 (1995), 135–155.
- [5] M. Kurihara, *The Iwasawa λ -invariants of real abelian fields and the cyclotomic elements*, Tokyo J. Math. 22 (1999), 259–277.
- [6] H. Sumida, *Greenberg's conjecture and the Iwasawa polynomial*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 49 (1997), 689–711.
- [7] —, *On capitulation of S -ideal classes in \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions*, J. Number Theory 86 (2001), 163–174.
- [8] H. Taya, *On cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions of real quadratic fields*, Acta Arith. 74 (1996), 107–119.
- [9] L. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1996.

Department of Mathematics
 Yokohama City University
 22-2, Seto, Kanazawa-ku
 Yokohama, 236-0027 Japan
 E-mail: ichimura@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

*Received on 26.4.2000
 and in revised form on 19.12.2001*

(3815)