

New pseudorandom sequences constructed using multiplicative inverses

by

HUANING LIU (Xi'an)

1. Introduction. In a series of papers Mauduit, Rivat and Sárközy (partly with other authors) studied finite pseudorandom binary sequences

$$E_N = \{e_1, \dots, e_N\} \in \{-1, +1\}^N.$$

In [5] Mauduit and Sárközy first introduced the following measures of pseudorandomness: the *well-distribution measure* of E_N is defined by

$$W(E_N) = \max_{a,b,t} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} e_{a+jb} \right|,$$

where the maximum is taken over all $a, b, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq a \leq a+(t-1)b \leq N$. The *correlation measure* of order k of E_N is

$$C_k(E_N) = \max_{M,D} \left| \sum_{n=1}^M e_{n+d_1} e_{n+d_2} \cdots e_{n+d_k} \right|,$$

where the maximum is taken over all $D = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ and M with $0 \leq d_1 < \cdots < d_k \leq N - M$, and the combined (well-distribution-correlation) *PR-measure* of order k

$$Q_k(E_N) = \max_{a,b,t,D} \left| \sum_{j=0}^t e_{a+jb+d_1} e_{a+jb+d_2} \cdots e_{a+jb+d_k} \right|$$

is defined for all $a, b, t, D = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ with $1 \leq a + jb + d_i \leq N$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). In [6] the connection between the measures W and C_2 was studied.

A pseudorandom sequence E_N is considered to be “good” if both $W(E_N)$ and $C_k(E_N)$ (at least for small k) are “small” in terms of N . Later Cas-

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11K45.

Key words and phrases: pseudorandom, binary sequence, exponential sums.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 60472068; and the Natural Science Foundation of the Education Department of Shaanxi Province of China under Grant No. 06JK168.

saigne, Mauduit and Sárközy [3] proved that this terminology is justified since for almost all $E_N \in \{-1, +1\}^N$, both $W(E_N)$ and $C_k(E_N)$ are less than $N^{1/2}(\log N)^c$. Moreover, it was shown in [5] that the Legendre symbol forms a “good” pseudorandom sequence. In [1] and [2], Cassaigne and coauthors studied the pseudorandomness of the Liouville function, defined as $\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$ ($\Omega(n)$ being the number of prime factors of n counted with multiplicity) and also of $\gamma(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)}$ ($\omega(n)$ being the number of distinct prime factors of n). Furthermore, let

$$K(m, n; p) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{ma + n\bar{a}}{p}\right)$$

denote the Kloosterman sums, where $e(y) = e^{2\pi iy}$, p is a prime, and \bar{a} is the multiplicative inverse of a modulo p such that $1 \leq \bar{a} \leq p-1$. Fouvry (with coauthors) [4] showed that the signs of $K(1, n; p)$ form a “good” pseudorandom binary sequence.

Assume that p is a prime number, $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ has degree k ($0 < k < p$) and no multiple zero in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and $r_p(n)$ is defined to be the least non-negative residue of n modulo p . Define the binary sequence $E_p = (e_1, \dots, e_p)$ by

$$e_n = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (f(n), p) = 1 \text{ and } r_p(\overline{f(n)}) < p/2, \\ -1 & \text{if either } (f(n), p) = 1 \text{ and } r_p(\overline{f(n)}) > p/2, \text{ or } p \mid f(n). \end{cases}$$

Mauduit and Sárközy [7] proved that this large family of finite binary sequences has strong pseudorandom properties.

As was said in [5], the search for new approaches and new constructions should be continued. The purpose of this paper is to give some new examples of pseudorandom sequences. Define

$$(1.1) \quad e_n = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\bar{n} + \overline{n+x}} & \text{if } p \nmid n \text{ and } p \nmid n+x, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where x is an integer with $1 \leq x \leq p-1$. We shall prove that $\{e_n\}$ is a “good” pseudorandom sequence:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let p be an odd prime, and let $E_{p-1} = \{e_1, \dots, e_{p-1}\}$ be defined by (1.1). Then*

$$W(E_{p-1}) \ll p^{1/2} \log^3 p, \quad C_2(E_{p-1}) \ll p^{1/2} \log^5 p, \quad Q_2(E_{p-1}) \ll p^{1/2} \log^5 p.$$

2. Some lemmas. We need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1 ([9]). *Let p be a prime, and m and n be integers. Then*

$$K(m, n; p) \ll (m, n, p)^{1/2} p^{1/2},$$

where (m, n, p) denotes the greatest common divisor of m , n and p .

LEMMA 2.2 ([8]). For any polynomials $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that the rational function $f(x) = g(x)/h(x)$ is not constant on \mathbb{F}_p , let s be the number of distinct roots of $h(x)$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{F}_p \\ h(n) \neq 0}} e\left(\frac{g(n)}{h(n)p}\right) \right| \leq (\max(\deg(g), \deg(h)) + s - 1)\sqrt{p}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. For $1 \leq a, b, x, r, s \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq u \leq p$, we have

$$\Psi = \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid a+jb \\ p \nmid a+jb+x}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{\overline{ra+jb} + \overline{sa+jb+x} + uj}{p}\right) \ll \sqrt{p}.$$

Proof. If $u = p$, from the properties of a residue system we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid a+jb \\ p \nmid a+jb+x}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{\overline{ra+jb} + \overline{sa+jb+x}}{p}\right) = \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid a+j \\ p \nmid a+j+x}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{\overline{ra+j} + \overline{sa+j+x}}{p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ p \nmid j+x}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{\overline{rj} + \overline{sj+x}}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\overline{j+x} \equiv \overline{jx\bar{x} + xj\bar{j}} \equiv \overline{x\bar{j}\bar{x} + \bar{j}} \equiv \overline{x(\bar{j} + \bar{x} - \bar{x})\bar{j} + \bar{x}} \equiv \overline{x - \bar{x}^2\bar{j} + \bar{x}} \pmod{p},$$

by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ p \nmid \bar{j} + \bar{x}}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{\overline{r\bar{j} + s(\bar{x} - \bar{x}^2\bar{j} + \bar{x})}}{p}\right) \\ &= e\left(\frac{\overline{x(s-r)}}{p}\right) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ p \nmid \bar{j} + \bar{x}}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{\overline{r(\bar{j} + \bar{x}) - s\bar{x}^2\bar{j} + \bar{x}}}{p}\right) \\ &= e\left(\frac{\overline{x(s-r)}}{p}\right) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq \bar{x}}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{\overline{rj - s\bar{x}^2\bar{j}}}{p}\right) \ll \sqrt{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we suppose $1 \leq u \leq p-1$. Then

$$\Psi = \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid a+jb \\ p \nmid a+jb+x}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{r(a+jb+x) + s(a+jb) + uj(a+jb)(a+jb+x)}{(a+jb)(a+jb+x)p}\right).$$

Let $g(j) = r(a + jb + x) + s(a + jb) + uj(a + jb)(a + jb + x)$, and $h(j) = (a + jb)(a + jb + x)$. Since $1 \leq u, b \leq p-1$, we have $0 < \deg(h) < \deg(g)$. That is to say, the rational function g/h over \mathbb{F}_p is not constant. Then Lemma 2.2 yields the assertion.

LEMMA 2.4. *For $1 \leq r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, x \leq p-1$, $1 \leq d_1 < d_2 \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq u \leq p$, we have*

$$\Omega = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ p \nmid n+d_1, n+d_1+x \\ p \nmid n+d_2, n+d_2+x}}^p e\left(\frac{\overline{r_1 n+d_1+s_1 n+d_1+x+r_2 n+d_2+s_2 n+d_2+x+un}}{p}\right) \ll \sqrt{p}.$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} g(n) &= r_1(n+d_1+x)(n+d_2)(n+d_2+x) + s_1(n+d_1)(n+d_2)(n+d_2+x) \\ &\quad + r_2(n+d_1)(n+d_1+x)(n+d_2+x) \\ &\quad + s_2(n+d_1)(n+d_1+x)(n+d_2) \\ &\quad + un(n+d_1)(n+d_1+x)(n+d_2)(n+d_2+x), \\ h(n) &= (n+d_1)(n+d_1+x)(n+d_2)(n+d_2+x). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\Omega = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ p \nmid n+d_1, n+d_1+x \\ p \nmid n+d_2, n+d_2+x}}^p e\left(\frac{g(n)}{h(n)p}\right).$$

If $1 \leq u \leq p-1$, then $0 < \deg(h) < \deg(g)$ and it remains to apply Lemma 2.2.

If $u=p$, we need to prove $g(n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Suppose that $g(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then comparing the coefficients of n^3 , n^2 , n and n^0 we have

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_1 + s_1 + r_2 + s_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ r_1(d_1 + 2d_2 + 2x) + s_1(d_1 + 2d_2 + x) + r_2(2d_1 + d_2 + 2x) \\ \quad + s_2(2d_1 + d_2 + x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ r_1(2d_1d_2 + d_2^2 + d_1x + 3d_2x + x^2) + s_1(2d_1d_2 + d_2^2 + d_1x + d_2x) \\ \quad + r_2(2d_1d_2 + d_1^2 + 3d_1x + d_2x + x^2) \\ \quad + s_2(2d_1d_2 + d_1^2 + d_1x + d_2x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ r_1(d_1d_2^2 + d_1d_2x + d_2^2x + d_2x^2) + s_1(d_1d_2^2 + d_1d_2x) \\ \quad + r_2(d_1^2d_2 + d_1^2x + d_1d_2x + d_1x^2) + s_2(d_1^2d_2 + d_1d_2x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{array} \right.$$

This gives

$$\begin{cases} r_1(d_2 - d_1 + x) + s_1(d_2 - d_1) + r_2x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ r_1(d_2^2 - d_1^2 + 2d_2x + x^2) + s_1(d_2^2 - d_1^2) + r_2x(2d_1 + x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ r_1(d_1d_2^2 - d_1^2d_2 + d_2^2x + d_2x^2) + s_1(d_1d_2^2 - d_1^2d_2) + r_2x(d_1^2 + d_1x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\begin{cases} r_1(d_1^2 + d_2^2 - 2d_1d_2 - d_1x + d_2x) + s_1(d_1^2 + d_2^2 - 2d_1d_2 + d_1x - d_2x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ r_1(d_1^3 - 2d_1^2d_2 + d_1d_2^2 - d_1d_2x + d_2^2x - d_1x^2 + d_2x^2) \\ \quad + s_1d_1(d_1^2 + d_2^2 - 2d_1d_2 + d_1x - d_2x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$r_1x(d_2 - d_1)(d_2 - d_1 + x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and consequently $d_2 \equiv d_1 - x \pmod{p}$. Inserting this in (2.1), we have

$$\begin{cases} r_1 + s_1 + r_2 + s_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ 3d_1r_1 + (3d_1 - x)s_1 + (3d_1 + x)r_2 + 3d_1s_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ d_1^2r_1 + (d_1 - x)^2s_1 + (d_1 + x)^2r_2 + d_1^2s_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ d_1^2(d_1 - x)r_1 + d_1(d_1 - x)^2s_1 + d_1(d_1^2 + x^2)r_2 + d_1^2(d_1 - x)s_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

This implies $s_1 \equiv r_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which is impossible. So $g(n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and an appeal to Lemma 2.2 completes the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. For a, b, t with $1 \leq a \leq a + (t-1)b \leq p-1$, by (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} e_{a+jb} &= \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid a+jb \\ p \nmid a+jb+x}}^{t-1} (-1)^{\overline{a+jb} + \overline{a+jb+x}} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^3} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid a+jb \\ p \nmid a+jb+x}}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} \sum_{u=1}^p e\left(\frac{u(j-l)}{p}\right) \sum_{c=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^p e\left(\frac{r(\overline{a+jb} - c)}{p}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{d=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s=1}^p e\left(\frac{s(\overline{a+jb+x} - d)}{p}\right) (-1)^{c+d} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^3} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u=1}^p \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} e\left(-\frac{ul}{p}\right) \sum_{c=1}^{p-1} (-1)^c e\left(-\frac{rc}{p}\right) \sum_{d=1}^{p-1} (-1)^d e\left(-\frac{sd}{p}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid a+jb \\ p \nmid a+jb+x}}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{\overline{ra+jb} + \overline{sa+jb+x} + uj}{p}\right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} e\left(-\frac{ul}{p}\right) &\ll \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi u/p)|} \quad \text{for } p \nmid u, \\ \sum_{c=1}^{p-1} (-1)^c e\left(-\frac{rc}{p}\right) &\ll \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r/p)|}, \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} e_{a+jb} &\ll \frac{tp^{1/2}}{p^3} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r/p)|} \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s/p)|} \\ &+ \frac{p^{1/2}}{p^3} \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r/p)|} \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s/p)|} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi u/p)|} \\ &\ll p^{1/2} \log^3 p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$W(E_{p-1}) = \max_{a,b,t} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} e_{a+jb} \right| \ll p^{1/2} \log^3 p.$$

For $0 \leq d_1 < d_2 \leq p-1-M$, from (1.1), (3.1) and Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^M e_{n+d_1} e_{n+d_2} &= \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ p \nmid n+d_1, n+d_1+x \\ p \nmid n+d_2, n+d_2+x}}^M (-1)^{\overline{n+d_1} + \overline{n+d_1+x} + \overline{n+d_2} + \overline{n+d_2+x}} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^5} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ p \nmid n+d_1, n+d_1+x \\ p \nmid n+d_2, n+d_2+x}}^p \sum_{l=1}^M \sum_{u=1}^p e\left(\frac{u(n-l)}{p}\right) \sum_{c_1=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r_1=1}^p e\left(\frac{r_1(\overline{n+d_1}-c_1)}{p}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{d_1=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s_1=1}^p e\left(\frac{s_1(\overline{n+d_1+x}-d_1)}{p}\right) \sum_{c_2=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r_2=1}^p e\left(\frac{r_2(\overline{n+d_2}-c_2)}{p}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{d_2=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s_2=1}^p e\left(\frac{s_2(\overline{n+d_2+x}-d_2)}{p}\right) (-1)^{c_1+d_1+c_2+d_2} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^5} \sum_{r_1=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s_1=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r_2=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s_2=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^M e\left(-\frac{ul}{p}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{c_1=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{c_1} e\left(-\frac{r_1 c_1}{p}\right) \sum_{d_1=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{d_1} e\left(-\frac{s_1 d_1}{p}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{c_2=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{c_2} e\left(-\frac{r_2 c_2}{p}\right) \sum_{d_2=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{d_2} e\left(-\frac{s_2 d_2}{p}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ p \nmid n+d_1, n+d_1+x \\ p \nmid n+d_2, n+d_2+x}}^p e\left(\frac{r_1 \overline{n+d_1} + s_1 \overline{n+d_1+x} + r_2 \overline{n+d_2} + s_2 \overline{n+d_2+x} + un}{p}\right) \\
& + O(1) \\
& \ll \frac{Mp^{1/2}}{p^5} \sum_{r_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r_1/p)|} \sum_{s_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s_1/p)|} \\
& \times \sum_{r_2=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r_2/p)|} \sum_{s_2=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s_2/p)|} \\
& + \frac{p^{1/2}}{p^5} \sum_{r_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r_1/p)|} \sum_{s_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s_1/p)|} \\
& \times \sum_{r_2=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r_2/p)|} \sum_{s_2=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s_2/p)|} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi u/p)|} \\
& \ll p^{1/2} \log^5 p.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$C_2(E_{p-1}) = \max_{M,D} \left| \sum_{n=1}^M e_{n+d_1} e_{n+d_2} \right| \ll p^{1/2} \log^5 p.$$

For $1 \leq a + jb + d_2 \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq d_1 < d_2$, from (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^t e_{a+jb+d_1} e_{a+jb+d_2} \\
& = \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid a+jb+d_1, a+jb+d_1+x \\ p \nmid a+jb+d_2, a+jb+d_2+x}}^t (-1)^{\overline{a+jb+d_1} + \overline{a+jb+d_1+x} + \overline{a+jb+d_2} + \overline{a+jb+d_2+x}} + O(1) \\
& = \frac{1}{p^5} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid a+jb+d_1, a+jb+d_1+x \\ p \nmid a+jb+d_2, a+jb+d_2+x}}^p \sum_{l=0}^t \sum_{u=1}^p e\left(\frac{u(j-l)}{p}\right) \sum_{c_1=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r_1=1}^p e\left(\frac{r_1(\overline{a+jb+d_1} - c_1)}{p}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{d_1=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s_1=1}^p e\left(\frac{s_1(\overline{a+jb+d_1+x} - d_1)}{p}\right) \sum_{c_2=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r_2=1}^p e\left(\frac{r_2(\overline{a+jb+d_2} - c_2)}{p}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{d_2=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s_2=1}^p e\left(\frac{s_2(\overline{a+jb+d_2+x-d_2})}{p}\right) (-1)^{c_1+d_1+c_2+d_2} + O(1) \\
= & \frac{1}{p^5} \sum_{r_1=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s_1=1}^{p-1} \sum_{r_2=1}^{p-1} \sum_{s_2=1}^p \sum_{u=1}^p \sum_{l=0}^t e\left(-\frac{ul}{p}\right) \sum_{c_1=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{c_1} e\left(-\frac{r_1 c_1}{p}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{d_1=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{d_1} e\left(-\frac{s_1 d_1}{p}\right) \sum_{c_2=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{c_2} e\left(-\frac{r_2 c_2}{p}\right) \sum_{d_2=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{d_2} e\left(-\frac{s_2 d_2}{p}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{j=0}^p e\left(\frac{r_1 \overline{a+jb+d_1} + s_1 \overline{a+jb+d_1+x}}{p}\right) \\
& \quad \begin{matrix} p\{a+jb+d_1, a+jb+d_1+x \\ p\{a+jb+d_2, a+jb+d_2+x \end{matrix} \\
& \times e\left(\frac{r_2 \overline{a+jb+d_2} + s_2 \overline{a+jb+d_2+x+uj}}{p}\right) + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^p e\left(\frac{r_1 \overline{a+jb+d_1} + s_1 \overline{a+jb+d_1+x}}{p}\right) \\
& \quad \begin{matrix} p\{a+jb+d_1 \\ p\{a+jb+d_1+x \\ p\{a+jb+d_2 \\ p\{a+jb+d_2+x \end{matrix} \\
& \quad \times e\left(\frac{r_2 \overline{a+jb+d_2} + s_2 \overline{a+jb+d_2+x+uj}}{p}\right) \\
& = e\left(-\frac{uab}{p}\right) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{j=0}^p e\left(\frac{r_1 \overline{j+d_1} + s_1 \overline{j+d_1+x} + r_2 \overline{j+d_2} + s_2 \overline{j+d_2+x} + ubj}{p}\right) \\
& \quad \quad \begin{matrix} p\{j+d_1, j+d_1+x \\ p\{j+d_2, j+d_2+x \end{matrix} \\
& \ll p^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^t e_{a+jb+d_1} e_{a+jb+d_2} \\
& \ll \frac{tp^{1/2}}{p^5} \sum_{r_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r_1/p)|} \sum_{s_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s_1/p)|} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{r_2=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r_2/p)|} \sum_{s_2=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s_2/p)|}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{p^{1/2}}{p^5} \sum_{r_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r_1/p)|} \sum_{s_1=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s_1/p)|} \\
& \times \sum_{r_2=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi r_2/p)|} \sum_{s_2=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi/2 - \pi s_2/p)|} \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi u/p)|} \\
& \ll p^{1/2} \log^5 p.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$Q_2(E_{p-1}) = \max_{a,b,t,D} \left| \sum_{j=0}^t e_{a+jb+d_1} e_{a+jb+d_2} \right| \ll p^{1/2} \log^5 p.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

- [1] J. Cassaigne, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit, J. Rivat and A. Sárközy, *On finite pseudorandom binary sequences III: The Liouville function, I*, Acta Arith. 87 (1999), 367–390.
- [2] —, —, —, —, —, *On finite pseudorandom binary sequences IV: The Liouville function, II*, *ibid.* 95 (2000), pp. 343–359.
- [3] J. Cassaigne, C. Mauduit and A. Sárközy, *On finite pseudorandom binary sequences VII: The measures of pseudorandomness*, *ibid.* 103 (2002), 97–118.
- [4] E. Fouvry, P. Michel, J. Rivat and A. Sárközy, *On the pseudorandomness of the signs of Kloosterman sums*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 77 (2004), 425–436.
- [5] C. Mauduit and A. Sárközy, *On finite pseudorandom binary sequences I: Measure of pseudorandomness, the Legendre symbol*, Acta Arith. 82 (1997), 365–377.
- [6] —, —, *On the measures of pseudorandomness of binary sequences*, Discrete Math. 271 (2003), 195–207.
- [7] —, —, *Construction of pseudorandom binary sequences by using the multiplicative inverse*, Acta Math. Hungar. 108 (2005), 239–252.
- [8] C. J. Moreno and O. Moreno, *Exponential sums and Goppa codes: I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), 523–531.
- [9] A. Weil, *Sur les courbes algébriques et les variétés qui s'en déduisent*, Act. Sci. Ind. 1041, Hermann, Paris, 1948.

Department of Mathematics
Northwest University
Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R. China
E-mail: hnliu@nwu.edu.cn

Received on 9.11.2005
and in revised form on 1.8.2006

(5093)