Integral power sums of Hecke eigenvalues

by

Y.-K. LAU (Hong Kong), G.-S. LÜ (Jinan) and J. WU (Nancy)

1. Introduction. The set of primitive holomorphic cusp forms of even integral weight $k \geq 2$ for the full modular group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, denoted by H_{k}^{*} , consists of the common eigenfunctions f of all Hecke operators T_{n} , whose Fourier series expansions at the cusp ∞ are of the form

(1.1)
$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e^{2\pi i n z} \quad (\text{Im } z > 0),$$

and the coefficients $\lambda_f(n)$ are (Hecke) eigenvalues of T_n . As a function of n, $\lambda_f(n)$ is real-valued and multiplicative. Furthermore, it was shown by Deligne that for every prime p there is a (complex) number $\alpha_f(p)$ such that

(1.2)
$$|\alpha_f(p)| = 1$$
 and $\lambda_f(p^{\nu}) = \alpha_f(p)^{\nu} + \alpha_f(p)^{\nu-2} + \dots + \alpha_f(p)^{-\nu}$

for all integers $\nu \geq 1$. This yields the Deligne inequality

$$(1.3) |\lambda_f(n)| \le d(n)$$

for all integers $n \ge 1$, where d(n) is the divisor function.

In this paper we consider, for $f \in \mathcal{H}_k^*$, the asymptotic behavior of the ℓ th power sum $S_\ell(f; x)$ of the Hecke eigenvalues, defined as

$$S_{\ell}(f;x) := \sum_{n \le x} \lambda_f(n)^{\ell}$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \geq 1$.

1.1. *O*-results on $S_{\ell}(f; x)$. This problem received attention of many authors (see [26] for a detailed historical description). For $\ell = 1$, the best result to date (given by [26, Theorem 3]) is

$$S_1(f;x) \ll_f x^{1/3} (\log x)^{\rho_{1/2}^+}$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11F30, 11F66.

Key words and phrases: Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms, Dirichlet series.

where $\rho_{1/2}^+ := \frac{102+7\sqrt{21}}{210} \left(\frac{6-\sqrt{21}}{5}\right)^{1/2} + \frac{102-7\sqrt{21}}{210} \left(\frac{6+\sqrt{21}}{5}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{33}{35} = -0.118...$ When the Sato-Tate conjecture holds, $\rho_{1/2}^+$ can be replaced by $\theta_{1/2} := 8/(3\pi) - 1 = -0.151...$ The case $\ell = 2$ is the well known result obtained independently by Rankin [22] and Selberg [24]. Their powerful method, now called the Rankin–Selberg method, gives

$$S_2(f;x) = C_f x + O_f(x^{3/5}),$$

where C_f is a positive constant depending on f (¹). A key point of their method is the analytic properties of the Rankin–Selberg *L*-function

$$L(s, f \times f) := \zeta(2s) \sum_{n \ge 1} \lambda_f(n)^2 n^{-s}.$$

As usual, $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta-function.

The study of $S_{\ell}(f; x)$ for other ℓ requires similar auxiliary tools. Associated to each $f \in \mathcal{H}_k^*$, we have the symmetric *m*th power *L*-function $(m \in \mathbb{N})$ defined by

(1.4)
$$L(s, \text{sym}^m f) := \prod_p \prod_{0 \le j \le m} \left(1 - \alpha_f(p)^{m-2j} p^{-s} \right)^{-1}$$

for $\sigma > 1$; here and below we write $s = \sigma + i\tau$. With (1.2), one has

(1.5)
$$L(s, f \times f) = \zeta(s)L(s, \text{sym}^2 f)$$

for Re s > 1. Using Moreno & Shahidi's work [19] on $L(s, \text{sym}^m f)$ for m = 2, 3, 4, Fomenko [1, Theorems 1 and 4] established the following estimates:

$$S_3(f;x) \ll_{f,\varepsilon} x^{5/6+\varepsilon}, \quad S_4(f;x) = D_f x \log x + F_f x + O_{f,\varepsilon}(x^{9/10+\varepsilon}),$$

where D_f and F_f are constants depending on f and ε is an arbitrarily small positive number. Recently Lü improved Fomenko's results and investigated the higher moments:

(1.6)
$$S_{\ell}(f;x) = xP_{\ell}(\log x) + O_{f,\varepsilon}(x^{\theta_{\ell}+\varepsilon}) \quad (3 \le \ell \le 8),$$

where $P_{\ell}(t) \equiv 0$ for $\ell = 3, 5, 7, P_4(t), P_6(t), P_8(t)$ are polynomials of degree 1, 4, 13 respectively and

(1.7)
$$\begin{array}{l} \theta_3 = \frac{3}{4} = 0.75, \\ \theta_4 = \frac{7}{8} = 0.875, \\ \theta_6 = \frac{31}{32} = 0.96875, \\ \theta_8 = \frac{127}{128} = 0.99218\dots \end{array}$$

See [15, Theorems 1.1 and 1.4], [16, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2] and [17, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2]. A key ingredient of the proof is the properties of $L(s, \text{sym}^m f)$ (m = 5, 6, 7, 8) in the excellent work of Kim & Shahidi [11].

Our first aim is to refine the exponents θ_{ℓ} .

194

 $[\]binom{1}{1}$ The exponent 3/5 in the error term remains the best since its birth.

THEOREM 1. Under the previous notation, we have

$$\theta_3 = \frac{7}{10} = 0.7, \qquad \theta_5 = \frac{40}{43} = 0.9302\dots, \qquad \theta_7 = \frac{176}{179} = 0.9832\dots, \\ \theta_4 = \frac{151}{175} = 0.8628\dots, \qquad \theta_6 = \frac{175}{181} = 0.9668\dots, \qquad \theta_8 = \frac{2933}{2957} = 0.9918\dots$$

For $f \in \mathcal{H}_k^*$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, let us define

(1.8)
$$F_{\ell}(s) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \lambda_f(n)^{\ell} n^{-s}.$$

It is known that $F_{\ell}(s)$ factorizes into

(1.9)
$$F_{\ell}(s) = G_{\ell}(s)H_{\ell}(s)$$

where $G_{\ell}(s)$ is product of the Riemann ζ -function and $L(s, \operatorname{sym}^m f)$ with $m \leq \ell$, and $H_{\ell}(s)$ is a Dirichlet series absolutely convergent in $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ (see [26, Lemma 2.4], for example). Since the automorphy of $L(s, \operatorname{sym}^m f)$ is available only when $m \leq 4$, the cases $5 \leq \ell \leq 8$ cannot be treated directly. The basic idea of Lü to overcome this difficulty is the use of the Rankin–Selberg *L*-functions attached to $\operatorname{sym}^m f$ and $\operatorname{sym}^n f$,

$$L(s, \operatorname{sym}^m f \times \operatorname{sym}^n f) := \prod_p \prod_{0 \le j \le m} \prod_{0 \le \ell \le n} (1 - \alpha_f(p)^{m-2j} \alpha_f(p)^{n-2\ell} p^{-s})^{-1}$$

for Re s > 1. See [15, (3.1)], [16, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2], and [13, Lemma 7.2]. When $\ell \leq 8$, the theory for general Rankin–Selberg *L*-functions guarantees that $G_{\ell}(s)$ is a general *L*-function in the sense of Perelli [21]. The values of θ_{ℓ} in (1.7) are obtained with the (individual or averaged) convexity bounds for general *L*-functions.

The main idea for our improvement is an alternative expression of $G_{\ell}(s)$ in Lemma 2.1 below, different from [15, 16, 17, 13]; this expression decomposes $G_{\ell}(s)$ into a product of *L*-functions, general and (more importantly) of lower degree (≤ 3). Hence we can take advantage of their (individual or averaged) subconvexity bounds (see Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 below). Our sharpening relies on these delicate results, and the method also leads to improvements of [15, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3] and [17, Theorems 1.3–1.5].

1.2. Ω -results on $S_{\ell}(f;x)$. In addition to O-results on $S_{\ell}(f;x)$ one may consider Ω -estimates. The case of $\ell = 1$ was considered by various authors. Currently the best result is due to Hafner & Ivić [4, Theorem 2]:

$$S_1(f;x) = \Omega_{\pm} \left(x^{1/4} \exp\left\{ \frac{D(\log \log x)^{1/4}}{(\log \log \log x)^{3/4}} \right\} \right).$$

For even ℓ , we denote by $\Delta_{\ell}(f; x)$ the error term in (1.6). Ivić [7, (7.23)] conjectured

(1.10)
$$\Delta_2(f;x) = \Omega(x^{3/8}).$$

Our second aim is to establish some Ω -results, which in particular confirm (1.10).

THEOREM 2. Under the previous notation, we have

(1.11)
$$S_{\ell}(f;x) = \Omega(x^{(1-2^{-\ell})/2}) \quad (\ell = 3,5),$$

(1.12) $\Delta_{\ell}(f;x) = \Omega(x^{(1-2^{-\ell})/2}) \quad (\ell = 2, 4, 6).$

Our principal tool in the proof is Kühleitner & Nowak's general Omega theorem for a class of arithmetic functions [12, Theorem 2]. To implement it, we need a more precise decomposition of the Dirichlet series $H_{\ell}(s)$ in (1.9) (see Lemma 4.1). For the sake of unconditional results, we are restricted to $\ell \leq 6$ because the automorphy of $L(s, \text{sym}^m f)$ is merely available for m = 1, 2, 3, 4.

2. Preliminary lemmas. This section is devoted to some preliminary results for the proof of Theorem 1.

2.1. Decomposition of $F_{\ell}(s)$. As indicated in the introduction, our starting point is a new decomposition of $F_{\ell}(s)$.

LEMMA 2.1. Let
$$f \in \mathcal{H}_k^*$$
. Then
(2.1) $F_\ell(s) = G_\ell(s)H_\ell(s)$

for $\ell = 3, \ldots, 8$, where

$$\begin{split} G_{3}(s) &= L(s,f)^{2}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{3}f), \\ G_{4}(s) &= \zeta(s)^{2}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{2}f)^{3}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{4}f), \\ G_{5}(s) &= L(s,f)^{5}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{3}f)^{3}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{4}f \times f), \\ G_{6}(s) &= \zeta(s)^{5}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{2}f)^{8}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{4}f)^{4}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{4}f \times \mathrm{sym}^{2}f), \\ G_{7}(s) &= L(s,f)^{13}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{3}f)^{8}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{4}f \times f)^{5}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{4}f \times \mathrm{sym}^{3}f), \\ G_{8}(s) &= \zeta(s)^{13}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{2}f)^{21}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{4}f)^{13}L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{4}f \times \mathrm{sym}^{2}f)^{6} \\ &\times L(s,\mathrm{sym}^{4}f \times \mathrm{sym}^{4}f), \end{split}$$

and the function $H_{\ell}(s)$ admits a Dirichlet series convergent absolutely in $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ and $H_{\ell}(s) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re} s = 1$.

Proof. Let $T_n(x)$ (resp. $T_m \times T_n(x)$) be the polynomial which gives the trace of the *n*th symmetric power of an element (resp. the trace of the Rankin–Selberg convolution of the *m*th symmetric power and the *n*th symmetric power) of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ whose trace is *x*. Then $T_m \times T_n(x) = T_m(x)T_n(x)$. Thus the expression (2.1) is a consequence of Lemma 2.2 below with m = 4. The absolute convergence of $H_{\ell}(s)$ for Re s > 1/2 can be easily deduced by the Deligne inequality (1.3). ■

LEMMA 2.2. Let
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
. For $0 \le \ell \le 2m$ and $0 \le j \le 2m+2$, define
$$a_{\ell,j} := \begin{cases} \binom{\ell}{(\ell-j)/2} - \binom{\ell}{(\ell-j)/2-1} & \text{if } j \equiv \ell \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\binom{n}{i}$ is the binomial coefficient with the convention that $\binom{n}{i} = 0$ if i < 0. Then

(2.2)
$$x^{\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (a_{\ell,j} - a_{\ell,2m-j})T_j(x) + \sum_{j=0}^m (a_{\ell,m+j} - a_{\ell,m+j+2})T_m(x)T_j(x)$$

for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, 2m$.

Proof. Let $U_n(x)$ be the *n*th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind. Then

(2.3)
$$U_n(\cos\theta) = \frac{\sin((n+1)\theta)}{\sin\theta}, \quad T_n(x) = U_n(x/2).$$

It is well known that the U_n are orthogonal with respect to the inner product

(2.4)
$$\langle U_m, U_n \rangle := \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} U_m(\cos \theta) U_n(\cos \theta) (\sin \theta)^2 d\theta = \delta_{m,n},$$

where $\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

Firstly we establish the following formulas: for $0 \le i, j \le m$,

(2.5)
$$\langle U_m U_i, U_j - U_{2m-j} \rangle = 0,$$

(2.6)
$$\langle U_m U_i, U_{m+j} - U_{m+j+2} \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$$

We begin with a simple trigonometric identity (for $0 \le i \le m$)

(2.7)
$$U_m(\cos\theta)U_i(\cos\theta) = \sum_{d=0}^i U_{m+i-2d}(\cos\theta),$$

which can be verified as follows:

$$\sum_{d=0}^{i} \sin((m+i-2d+1)\theta) \sin \theta = \frac{\cos((m-i)\theta) - \cos((m+i+2)\theta)}{2}$$
$$= \sin((m+1)\theta) \sin((i+1)\theta).$$

Combining this identity with the orthogonality relation (2.4), we deduce that

(2.8)
$$\langle U_m U_i, U_j - U_{2m-j} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{d=0}^i U_{m+i-2d}, U_j - U_{2m-j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{d=0}^i \langle U_{m+i-2d}, U_j \rangle - \sum_{d=0}^i \langle U_{m+i-2d}, U_{2m-j} \rangle$$
$$=: A - B.$$

Since $m + i - 2d = j \Leftrightarrow m + i - 2(i - d) = 2m - j$, A and B take the same value (0 or 1) and (2.5) follows from (2.8) immediately.

Similarly, for $0 \leq i, j \leq m$, we have

$$\langle U_m U_i, U_{m+j} - U_{m+j+2} \rangle = \sum_{d=0}^i \langle U_{m+i-2d}, U_{m+j} \rangle - \sum_{d=0}^i \langle U_{m+i-2d}, U_{m+j+2} \rangle.$$

Then it is trivial to verify (2.6).

Now we are ready to prove (2.2). Denote by $V_{2m}(x)$ the vector space of all real polynomials of degree $\leq 2m$ over \mathbb{R} . It is well known that $T_0(x)$, $T_1(x), \ldots, T_{2m}(x)$ constitute a basis of $V_{2m}(x)$. In view of the identity

$$T_m(x)T_j(x) = T_{m+j}(x) + T_{m+j-2}(x) + \dots + T_{m-j}(x) \quad (0 \le j \le m),$$

which is equivalent to (2.7), we easily see that

$$T_0(x), \ldots, T_{m-1}(x), T_m(x)T_0(x), \ldots, T_m(x)T_m(x)$$

constitute a basis of $V_{2m}(x)$. Thus for $0 \le \ell \le 2m$, we can write

(2.9)
$$x^{\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{m,\ell}(j)T_j(x) + \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,\ell}(j)T_m(x)T_j(x).$$

Therefore it remains to show

(2.10) $a_{m,\ell}(j) = a_{\ell,j} - a_{\ell,2m-j} \qquad (0 \le j \le m-1),$

(2.11)
$$b_{m,\ell}(j) = a_{\ell,m+j} - a_{\ell,m+j+2} \quad (0 \le j \le m).$$

Clearly (2.9) is equivalent to

(2.12)
$$(2x)^{\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{m,\ell}(j)U_j(x) + \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,\ell}(j)U_m(x)U_j(x)$$

For $0 \le \ell \le 2m$ and $0 \le j \le 2m + 2$, we have

$$\langle (2x)^{\ell}, U_j \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (2\cos\theta)^{\ell} \frac{\sin((j+1)\theta)}{\sin\theta} (\sin\theta)^2 d\theta$$
$$= \frac{2^{\ell}}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (\cos\theta)^{\ell} \cos(j\theta) d\theta - \frac{2^{\ell}}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (\cos\theta)^{\ell} \cos((j+2)\theta) d\theta.$$

198

In view of the formula

$$\frac{2^{\ell}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} (\cos \theta)^{\ell} \cos(j\theta) \, d\theta = \frac{2^{\ell}}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{|z|=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{z+z^{-1}}{2}\right)^{\ell} z^{j-1} \, dz$$
$$= \sum_{d=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{\ell-d} \frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{|z|=1}^{\ell} z^{-\ell+j+2d-1} \, dz$$
$$= \begin{cases} \binom{\ell}{(\ell-j)/2} & \text{if } j \equiv \ell \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we obtain

(2.13)
$$\langle (2x)^{\ell}, U_j \rangle = a_{\ell,j} \quad (0 \le \ell \le 2m, \ 0 \le j \le 2m+2).$$

For
$$0 \le j \le m - 1$$
, from (2.13), (2.12), (2.4) and (2.5), we infer that
 $a_{\ell,j} - a_{\ell,2m-j} = \langle (2x)^{\ell}, U_j - U_{2m-j} \rangle$
 $= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{m,\ell}(i) \langle U_i, U_j - U_{2m-j} \rangle + \sum_{i=0}^m b_{m,\ell}(i) \langle U_m U_i, U_j - U_{2m-j} \rangle$
 $= a_{m,\ell}(j).$

Similarly for $0 \leq j \leq m$, we deduce

$$a_{\ell,m+j} - a_{\ell,m+j+2} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{m,\ell}(i) \langle U_i, U_{m+j} - U_{m+j+2} \rangle + \sum_{i=0}^m b_{m,\ell}(i) \langle U_m U_i, U_{m+j} - U_{m+j+2} \rangle = b_{m,\ell}(j)$$

by (2.13), (2.12), (2.4) and (2.6) again. This proves (2.10) and (2.11).

2.2. Mean values and subconvexity bounds

LEMMA 2.3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

(2.14)
$$\int_{0}^{T} |\zeta(5/7 + i\tau)|^{12} d\tau \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{1+\varepsilon}$$

uniformly for $T \ge 1$, and

(2.15)
$$\zeta(\sigma + i\tau) \ll_{\varepsilon} (|\tau| + 1)^{\max\{(1/3)(1-\sigma), 0\} + \varepsilon}$$

uniformly for $1/2 \le \sigma \le 2$ and $|\tau| \ge 1$.

These are Theorem 8.4 and (8.87) in [5] and Theorem II.3.6 in [25].

(2.16) LEMMA 2.4. Let $f \in \mathbf{H}_k^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\int_0^T |L(5/8 + i\tau, f)|^4 d\tau \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{1+\varepsilon}$

uniformly for $T \ge 1$, and

(2.17)
$$L(\sigma + i\tau, f) \ll_{f,\varepsilon} (|\tau| + 1)^{\max\{(2/3)(1-\sigma), 0\} + \varepsilon}$$

uniformly for $1/2 \le \sigma \le 2$ and $|\tau| \ge 1$.

These are [6, Theorem 2, (1.8)] and [3, Corollary], respectively.

Lemma 2.5 ([14, Corollary 1.2]). Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_k^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

(2.18)
$$L(\sigma + i\tau, \operatorname{sym}^2 f) \ll_{f,\varepsilon} (|\tau| + 1)^{\max\{(11/8)(1-\sigma), 0\} + \varepsilon}$$

uniformly for $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $|\tau| \geq 1$.

2.3. Mean values and convexity bounds for higher rank *L*-**functions.** For our purpose we need an immediate consequence of Perelli's mean value theorem and convexity bound for the general *L*-function in [21].

For $\mathbf{d} := \{d_1, \dots, d_J\}$, $\mathbf{m} := \{m_1, \dots, m_J\}$, $\mathbf{n} := \{n_1, \dots, n_J\}$ with $d_j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le m_j \le 4$ and $0 \le n_j \le m_j$, define

(2.19)
$$\mathfrak{L}^{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(s) := \prod_{j=1}^{J} L(s, \operatorname{sym}^{m_j} f \times \operatorname{sym}^{n_j} f)^{d_j},$$

where we make the convention that

$$\begin{cases} L(s, \operatorname{sym}^0 f) = \zeta(s), \\ L(s, \operatorname{sym}^1 f) = L(s, f), \\ L(s, \operatorname{sym}^m f \times \operatorname{sym}^0 f) = L(s, \operatorname{sym}^m f). \end{cases}$$

The works of Hecke (see [8]), Gelbart & Jacquet [2], Kim [9] and Kim & Shahidi [10, 11] show that $L(s, \operatorname{sym}^m f)$ $(1 \le m \le 4)$ is a general *L*-function, and so are $L(s, \operatorname{sym}^m f \times \operatorname{sym}^n f)$ for $m, n \le 4$ by [23]. Plainly $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(s)$ is also a general *L*-function with parameters $\alpha_j = 1/2, \beta_j \ge 0$ for all j and

$$M = N = d_1(m_1 + 1)(n_1 + 1) + \dots + d_J(m_J + 1)(n_J + 1)$$

with the notation as in [21]. Thus

$$A := \frac{1}{2} \{ d_1(m_1 + 1)(n_1 + 1) + \dots + d_J(m_J + 1)(n_J + 1) \}, \quad B \ge 0$$

and

$$H := 1 + \operatorname{Re}(B/A) - (N-1)/(2A) \ge 1/N > 0.$$

The next lemma follows plainly from [21, Theorem 4] and [18, Proposition 1].

LEMMA 2.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_k^*$, $d_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq m_j \leq 4$ and $0 \leq n_j \leq m_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq J$. Let $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(s)$ be defined as in (2.19). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

(2.20)
$$\int_{T}^{2T} |\mathfrak{L}^{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(\sigma + i\tau)|^2 d\tau \ll_{f,\varepsilon,\mathbf{d},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} T^{2A(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon}$$

uniformly for $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $T \geq 1$; and

(2.21) $\mathfrak{L}^{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(\sigma+\mathrm{i}\tau) \ll_{f,\varepsilon,\mathbf{d},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} (|\tau|+1)^{\max\{A(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})(1-\sigma),0\}+\varepsilon}$

uniformly for $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|\tau| \geq 1$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. By the Perron formula [25, Corollary II.2.1] with (1.3), we can write

$$\sum_{n \le x} \lambda_f(n)^\ell = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\varepsilon - iT}^{1+\varepsilon + iT} F_\ell(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \, ds + O_{f,\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right)$$

uniformly for $2 \leq T \leq x$, where the implied constant depends only on f and ε . In view of Lemma 2.1, the point s = 1 is the only possible pole of the integrand in the rectangle $\kappa \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|\tau| \leq T$ for any $\kappa \in [1/2 + \varepsilon, 1)$. The residue at s = 1 is equal to $xP_{\ell}(\log x)$ for $\ell = 4, 6, 8$, and $P_{\ell} \equiv 0$ if $\ell = 3, 5, 7$. Thus,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \lambda_f(n)^{\ell} = x P_{\ell}(\log x) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{L}} F_{\ell}(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \, ds + O_{f,\varepsilon}\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right),$$

where \mathscr{L} is the contour joining $1 + \varepsilon + iT$, $\kappa + iT$, $\kappa - iT$, $1 + \varepsilon - iT$ with straight lines. The absolute convergence of $H_j(s)$ for $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon$ yields $H_{\ell}(s) \ll_{f,\varepsilon} 1$ in the same half-plane. Hence the preceding formula can be written as

(3.1)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \lambda_f(n)^{\ell} = x P_{\ell}(\log x) + O_{f,\varepsilon} \left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T} + \mathfrak{R}^{\mathrm{h}}_{\ell} + \mathfrak{R}^{\mathrm{v}}_{\ell} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}^{\mathbf{h}}_{\ell} &:= \frac{1}{T} \int_{\kappa}^{1+\varepsilon} |G_{\ell}(\sigma + \mathrm{i}T)| x^{\sigma} \, d\sigma, \\ \mathfrak{R}^{\mathbf{v}}_{\ell} &:= x^{\kappa} \int_{1}^{T} |G_{\ell}(\kappa + \mathrm{i}\tau)| \, \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \ll_{f,\varepsilon} x^{\kappa+\varepsilon} \sup_{1 \leq T_{1} \leq T} \frac{1}{T_{1}} \int_{T_{1}}^{2T_{1}} |G_{\ell}(\kappa + \mathrm{i}\tau)| \, d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Next we shall treat only the case $\ell = 3$, since the other cases are similar. According to (2.17) and (2.21), we have

(3.2)
$$\Re_{3}^{h} \ll_{f,\varepsilon} \frac{1}{T} \int_{\kappa}^{1+\varepsilon} T^{\{2(2/3)+(4/2)\}(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon} x^{\sigma} d\sigma$$
$$\ll_{f,\varepsilon} T^{7/3+\varepsilon} \int_{\kappa}^{1+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{x}{T^{10/3}}\right)^{\sigma} d\sigma$$
$$\ll_{f,\varepsilon} \frac{T^{7/3+\varepsilon}}{\log x} \left(\frac{x}{T^{10/3}}\right)^{1+\varepsilon} \ll_{f,\varepsilon} \frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}$$

provided $T \leq x^{3/10}$.

In order to estimate $\Re_3^{\rm v},$ we take $\kappa=5/8$ and apply the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to obtain

(3.3)
$$\mathfrak{R}_{3}^{\mathsf{v}} \ll_{f} x^{5/8+\varepsilon} \sup_{1 \le T_{1} \le T} I_{3,1}(T_{1})^{1/2} I_{3,2}(T_{1})^{1/2} T_{1}^{-1},$$

where

$$I_{3,1}(T_1) := \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L(5/8 + i\tau, f)|^4 d\tau, \quad I_{3,2}(T_1) := \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L(5/8 + i\tau, \text{sym}^3 f)|^2 d\tau.$$

By (2.16) and (2.20), we get

$$I_{3,1}(T_1) \ll_{f,\varepsilon} T_1^{1+\varepsilon}$$
 and $I_{3,2}(T_1) \ll_{f,\varepsilon} T_1^{4(1-5/8)+\varepsilon}$

Inserting this into (3.3) yields

(3.4) $\mathfrak{R}_3^{\mathsf{v}} \ll_{f,\varepsilon} x^{5/8+\varepsilon} T^{1/4+\varepsilon}.$

Combining (3.2) and (3.4) with (3.1) and $T = x^{3/10}$, we obtain the required result.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. To facilitate our proof, we give a finer decomposition of $F_{\ell}(s)$ in (1.8).

LEMMA 4.1. For $\ell = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, the Dirichlet series $F_{\ell}(s)$ admits the factorization

(4.1)
$$F_{\ell}(s) = G_{\ell}(s)\Psi_{\ell}(2s)\Upsilon_{\ell}(s)$$

where $G_{\ell}(s)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1,

(4.2)
$$\Psi_{\ell}(s) = \prod_{1 \le j \le [\ell/2]} G_{2(\ell-2j)}(s)^{-C(\ell,2j)} \times \prod_{1 \le j \le [(\ell-1)/2]} G_{2(\ell-1-2j)}(s)^{C(\ell,2j+1)}$$

with $G_0(s) = \zeta(s), \ G_1(s) = L(s, f), \ G_2(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \text{sym}^2 f)$ and

$$C(\ell,d) := \binom{\ell}{d} (2^{d-1} - 1),$$

202

and $\Upsilon_{\ell}(s)$ is defined by a Dirichlet series that is absolutely convergent in Res > 1/3. Moreover, the meromorphic function $\Psi_{\ell}(s)$ has no pole on the line Res = 1.

REMARKS. (i) In view of (1.5), we have $\Psi_2(s) = \zeta(s)^{-1}$ and $\Upsilon_2(s) \equiv 1$.

(ii) The factorization (4.1) holds for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ (with $G_{\ell}(s)$ being defined as $F_{\ell}(s)$ in [13, Lemma 7.1]). We restrict to $\ell \leq 6$ for unconditional results.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. It suffices to compare the local factors on both sides of (4.1), and check that $\log F_{\ell}(s)$ and $\log(G_{\ell}(s)\Psi_{\ell}(2s))$ coincide up to p^{-2s} for suitable exponents $C(\ell, d)$.

Write $\lambda_f(p) = 2\cos\theta$; then $\lambda_f(p^{\nu}) = T_{\nu}(2\cos\theta) = U_{\nu}(\cos\theta)$. The *p*-local factors of $F_{\ell}(s)$ and its logarithm log $F_{\ell}(s)$ are respectively

$$1 + \sum_{\nu \ge 1} \frac{U_{\nu}(\cos \theta)^{\ell}}{p^{\nu s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{U_1(\cos \theta)^{\ell}}{p^s} + \frac{U_2(\cos \theta)^{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}U_1(\cos \theta)^{2\ell}}{p^{2s}} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^{3s}}\right).$$

Recalling that (2.1) follows from (2.2) and the fact that $U_1(x)^{\ell} = (2x)^{\ell}$, the local factor of log $G_{\ell}(s)$ is

(4.3)
$$\sum_{\nu \ge 1} \frac{U_1(\cos(\nu\theta))^\ell}{\nu} p^{-\nu s}$$

Hence, the difference between the local factors of $\log F_{\ell}(s)$ and $\log G_{\ell}(s)$ equals

(4.4)
$$\left(U_2(\cos\theta)^{\ell} - \frac{1}{2}U_1(\cos\theta)^{2\ell} - \frac{1}{2}U_1(\cos(2\theta))^{\ell} \right) p^{-2s} + O(p^{-3s}).$$

Observing that $U_2 = U_1^2 - 1$ and $U_1(\cos(2\theta)) = U_1(\cos\theta)^2 - 2$, the coefficient of p^{-2s} in (4.4) equals

$$\sum_{d=2}^{\ell} (-1)^d (1-2^{d-1}) {\binom{\ell}{d}} U_1(\cos\theta)^{2(\ell-d)}$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{[(\ell-1)/2]} C(\ell, 2j+1) U_1(\cos\theta)^{2(\ell-1-2j)} - \sum_{j=1}^{[\ell/2]} C(\ell, 2j) U_1(\cos\theta)^{2(\ell-2j)}.$

In view of (4.3), we can replace the first term in (4.4) by the local factors of

(4.5)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor (\ell-1)/2 \rfloor} C(\ell, 2j+1) \log G_{2(\ell-1-2j)}(2s) - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor} C(\ell, 2j) \log G_{2(\ell-2j)}(2s)$$

up to $O(p^{-3s})$. This proves the factorization of $F_{\ell}(s)$.

It remains to evaluate the order of $\Psi_{\ell}(s)$ at s = 1. $G_{2j}(s)$ has a pole of order $g_{2j} = (2j)!/(j!(j+1)!)$ at s = 1, i.e. $g_0 = 1, g_2 = 1, g_4 = 2, g_6 = 5, g_8 = 14$, and the values of $C(\ell, d)$ $(2 \le d \le \ell \le 6)$ are given in the table:

$\ell \setminus d$	2	3	4	5	6
2	1				
3	3	3			
4	6	12	γ		
5	10	30	35	15	
6	15	60	105	90	31

Hence the order of $\Psi_{\ell}(s)$ at s = 1 (which is negative for a pole) is given by (4.5) with $\log G_{2r}(2s)$ replaced by $-g_{2r}$, and is equal to 1, 0, 7, 10, 61 for $\ell = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ respectively. This completes the proof.

We are ready to prove Theorem 2. In light of Lemma 4.1, we write

$$F_{\ell}(s) = \frac{f_1(s)}{\prod_{1 \le j \le \ell/2} g_j(2s)} h(s)$$

where $f_1(s) = G_{\ell}(s), g_j(2s) = G_{2(\ell-2j)}(2s)^{C(\ell,2j)}$ and

$$h(s) = \prod_{j=1}^{[(\ell-1)/2]} G_{2(\ell-1-2j)}(2s)^{C(\ell,2j+1)} \Upsilon_{\ell}(s).$$

The conditions (A)-(E) required in [12, Theorem 2] will be verified with the following choice of parameters (in the notation of [12]):

(4.6)
$$\begin{cases} J = [\ell/2], \quad n_j = 2, \quad \sigma_*^j = 1 - 2^{-\ell} - 10^{-\ell} \quad (1 \le j \le J), \\ K = 1, \quad m_1 = 1, \quad \kappa_1 = 2^{\ell}, \quad \sigma_1^* = 0, \\ \alpha = 2^{-1}(1 - 2^{-\ell}) > 1/3 \quad (\text{as } \ell \ge 2). \end{cases}$$

Apparently $f_1(s), g_j(s)$ and h(s) are absolutely convergent Dirichlet series for Re s > 1:

$$f_1(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_1(n)n^{-s}, \quad g_j(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} b_j(n)n^{-s}, \quad h(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} c(n)n^{-s},$$

with $a_1(1) = b_j(1) = c(1) = 1$ and $a_1(n), b_j(n), b_j^*(n), c(n) \ll_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $n \ge 1$, thanks to the Deligne inequality (1.3). Note that $b_j^*(n)$ is the inverse arithmetic function of $b_j(n)$ with respect to Dirichlet convolution. Conditions (A), (B) and (D) in [12] are quite obviously valid,

for instance,

$$\left|\frac{f_1(\sigma + \mathrm{i}\tau)}{f_1(1 - \sigma + \mathrm{i}\tau)}\right| \gg |\tau|^{2^{\ell}(1/2 - \sigma)}$$

for $\sigma = \alpha$ and $|\tau| \gg 1$, as the degree of $G_{\ell}(s)$ is 2^{ℓ} .

The crucial condition (C) concerns the zero density of $g_j(s)$. Denote by $N_L(\sigma_0, T)$ the number of zeros of a generic *L*-function L(s) in $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq T$. Condition (C) will hold if $N_{g_j}(\sigma, T) \ll T^{1-1/10}$ when $\sigma = \sigma_*^{(j)} = 2\alpha - 10^{-\ell}$. To this end, we invoke [20, Theorem 1]: if L(s) is in the Selberg class and of degree d, then

$$N_L(\sigma, T) \ll T^{d(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } 2/d \le \sigma < 1.$$

Each factor L(s) in $G_{2(\ell-2j)}(s)$ is in the Selberg class and has degree $d \leq (\ell-2j+1)^2$. If $3 \leq d \leq (\ell-2j+1)^2 \leq (\ell-1)^2$, then $2\alpha - 10^{-\ell} \geq 2/3 \geq 2/d$ and so $N_L(\sigma,T) \ll T^{A+\varepsilon}$ for $\sigma = 2\alpha - 10^{-\ell}$, where

$$A \le d(1 - 2\alpha + 10^{-\ell}) = d(2^{-\ell} + 10^{-\ell}) \le (\ell - 1)^2 (2^{-\ell} + 10^{-\ell}) < \frac{4}{5}$$

When d = 1 or 2, we have $L(s) = \zeta(s)$ or L(s, f) and thus $N_L(\sigma, T) \ll T^{0.9}$ for $\sigma = 2\alpha - 10^{-\ell}$. (This estimate is crude but sufficient.) Condition (C) is hence satisfied. Condition (E) is also valid for our choice of parameters in (4.6).

As Theorems 1 and 2 in [12] are applicable, our proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the referee for comments. Lau is supported by GRF (HKU 702308P) from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. Lü's work is supported in part by a key project of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11031004), NCET (NCET-10-0548) and Shandong Province Natural Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (JQ201102).

References

- O. M. Fomenko, Fourier coefficients of parabolic forms, and automorphic L-functions, J. Math. Sci. (New York) 95 (1999), 2295–2316.
- [2] S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet, A relation between automorphic representations of GL(2) and GL(3), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 11 (1978), 471–542.
- [3] A. Good, The square mean of Dirichlet series associated with cusp forms, Mathematika 29 (1982), 278–295.
- [4] J. L. Hafner and A. Ivić, On sums of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, Enseign. Math. (2) 35 (1989), 375–382.

Y.-K. Lau et al.

- [5] A. Ivić, Exponent pairs and the zeta function of Riemann, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 15 (1980), 157–181.
- [6] —, On zeta-functions associated with Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, in: Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory (Maiori, 1989), Univ. Salerno, Salerno, 1992, 231–246.
- [7] —, Large values of certain number-theoretic error terms, Acta Arith. 56 (1990), 135–159.
- [8] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*, Grad. Stud. Math. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [9] H. H. Kim, Functoriality for the exterior square of GL₄ and the symmetric fourth of GL₂ (with Appendix 1 by D. Ramakrishnan, and Appendix 2 by H. H. Kim and P. Sarnak), J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), 139–183.
- [10] H. H. Kim and F. Shahidi, Functorial products for GL₂ × GL₃ and the symmetric cube for GL₂ (with an appendix by C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart), Ann. of Math. (2) 155 (2002), 837–893.
- [11] —, —, Cuspidality of symmetric powers with applications, Duke Math. J. 112 (2002), 177–197.
- [12] M. Kühleitner and W. G. Nowak, An omega theorem for a class of arithmetic functions, Math. Nachr. 165 (1994), 79–98.
- [13] Y.-K. Lau and G.-S. Lü, Sums of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, doi: 10.1093/qmath/haq012.
- [14] X. Li, Bounds for GL(3)×GL(2) L-functions and GL(3) L-functions, Ann. of Math. 173 (2011), 301–336.
- G.-S. Lü, Average behavior of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 1961–1969.
- [16] —, The sixth and eighth moments of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, J. Number Theory 129 (2009), 2790–2800.
- [17] —, On higher moments of Fourier coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms, Canad. J. Math. 63 (2011), 634–647.
- K. Matsumoto, The mean values and the universality of Rankin-Selberg L-functions, in: Number Theory (Turku, 1999), de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001, 201–221.
- [19] C. J. Moreno and F. Shahidi, The fourth moment of Ramanujan τ-function, Math. Ann. 266 (1983), 233–239.
- [20] A. Mukhopadhyay and K. Srinivas, A zero density estimate for the Selberg class, Int. J. Number Theory 3 (2007), 263–273.
- [21] A. Perelli, General L-functions, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 130 (1982), 287–306.
- [22] R. A. Rankin, Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar arithmetical functions. I. The zeros of the function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)/n^s$ on the line Res = 13/2. II. The order of the Fourier coefficients of integral modular forms, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 35 (1939), 351–372.
- [23] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, Zeros of principal L-functions and random matrix theory, Duke Math. J. 81 (1996), 269–322.
- [24] A. Selberg, Bemerkungen über eine Dirichletsche Reihe, die mit der Theorie der Modulformen nahe verbunden ist, Arch. Math. Naturvid. 43 (1940), 47–50.
- [25] G. Tenenbaum, Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 46, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[26] J. Wu, Power sums of Hecke eigenvalues and application, Acta Arith. 137 (2009), 333–344.

Y.-K. Lau Department of Mathematics The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Road Hong Kong E-mail: yklau@maths.hku.hk G.-S. Lü School of Mathematics Shandong University Jinan, Shandong 250100, China E-mail: gslv@sdu.edu.cn

J. Wu Institut Élie Cartan Nancy (IECN) CNRS, Nancy-Université, INRIA Boulevard des Aiguillettes, B.P. 239 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France E-mail: wujie@iecn.u-nancy.fr

> Received on 2.7.2010 and in revised form on 23.2.2011

(6434)