

KONRAD FURMAŃCZYK (Warszawa)

A UNIFORM CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR DEPENDENT VARIABLES

Abstract. Niemiro and Zieliński (2007) have recently obtained uniform asymptotic normality for the Bernoulli scheme. This paper concerns a similar problem. We show the uniform central limit theorem for a sequence of stationary random variables.

1. Introduction. We consider a strictly stationary sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots defined on a statistical space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\})$, where P_θ is a marginal distribution of the sequence X_1, X_2, \dots with $\mathbb{E}_\theta X_i = \mu(\theta)$ and finite variance $\text{Var}_\theta X_i = \sigma^2(\theta)$.

We assume that there exist a function $\sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta) > 0$ and a sequence $a_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$(A1a) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}_\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) - \sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta) \right| \leq a_n,$$

$$(A1b) \quad \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta) > M_1 \quad \text{for some } M_1 > 0.$$

Define

$$S_n^* := \frac{S_n - n\mu(\theta)}{\sigma_{\text{as}}(\theta)\sqrt{n}},$$

where $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

Let Φ be the c.d.f. of $N(0, 1)$. We say that the sequence S_n^* is *uniformly asymptotically normal* (UAN) over Θ if

$$(1) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P_\theta(S_n^* \leq x) - \Phi(x)| = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 60B10, 60F05, 60G10, 62M10.

Key words and phrases: central limit theorem, uniform central limit theorem, linear process.

Clearly, (1) implies that \bar{X}_n is UAN($\mu(\theta)$, $\sigma_{\text{as}}(\theta)/\sqrt{n}$), i.e.

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P_{\theta} \left(\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu(\theta)}{\sigma_{\text{as}}(\theta)} \leq x \right) - \Phi(x) \right| = o(1).$$

This fact is useful for example when constructing the asymptotic confidence interval for $\mu(\theta)$ or θ for dependent statistical data.

In Section 2 we show UAN for dependent random variables together with some necessary lemmas. In Section 3 we give applications of our results to linear processes and AR(1) processes.

2. Main results. Now, we present a basic lemma to obtain UAN for dependent random variables.

LEMMA 1. *If there exists a sequence $c_n \rightarrow 0$ such that, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$(2) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \exp(itS_n^*) - \exp(-t^2/2)| \leq c_n(|t| + t^2 + |t|^3),$$

then there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P_{\theta}(S_n^* \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \leq C\sqrt{c_n}.$$

Proof. The main tool is the following well-known inequality:

$$(4) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P_{\theta}(S_n^* \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \leq C_1 \int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{\varphi_{n,\theta}(t) - \varphi(t)}{t} \right| dt + \frac{C_2}{T}$$

for every $T > 0$, for some absolute constants C_1, C_2 , where $\varphi_{n,\theta}(t) := \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \exp(itS_n^*)$ and $\varphi(t) := \exp(-t^2/2)$. Using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P_{\theta}(S_n^* \leq x) - \Phi(x)| &\leq C_1 \int_{-T}^T c_n \frac{|t| + t^2 + |t|^3}{|t|} dt + \frac{C_2}{T} \\ &\leq C'_1 c_n (T + T^2 + T^3) + C_2 T^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where C'_1 is an absolute constant. Putting $T = c_n^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 1/2$ we get

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P_{\theta}(S_n^* \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \leq C' c_n^{\alpha}$$

for some absolute constant C' . ■

Now, we formulate some assumptions which imply (2). We will use Bernstein's "large block - small block" technique. Let $p = p(n)$ and $q = q(n)$ be sequences of positive integers such that $p \rightarrow \infty$, $q \rightarrow \infty$, $q/p \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and let $k = \lfloor n/(p+q) \rfloor$. Moreover,

$$B_j = ((p+q)(j-1) + 1, \dots, (p+q)(j-1) + p] \cap \mathbb{N}$$

is a block of size p and B'_j is the block between B_j and B_{j+1} of size q .

Set

$$\tilde{X}_j := \frac{X_j - \mu(\theta)}{\sigma_{\text{as}}(\theta)}, \quad U_j := \sum_{i \in B_j} \tilde{X}_i.$$

We consider the following assumptions:

(A3) there exists a sequence $b_n \rightarrow 0$ such that, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{j=2}^k \left| \text{Cov}_{\theta} \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} U_s\right), \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} U_j\right) \right\} \right| \leq |t| b_n;$$

(A4) we have

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}_{\theta}(X_1, X_{1+j})| < M_2$$

for some $M_2 > 0$;

(A5) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an absolute constant C'' such that

$$(5) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{X}_i \right|^3 \leq C'' n^{3/2}.$$

THEOREM 2. *The assumptions (A1a)–(A1b) and (A3)–(A5) imply (2).*

Proof. For fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $f(x) = \exp(itx)$ and set

$$S := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{X}_i, \quad Z := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^k U_j, \quad Z^* := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^k U_j^*,$$

where the sequence (U_j^*) is i.i.d., and U_1^* has the same distribution as U_1 .

Moreover, let $Y := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^k N_j$, where $N_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \text{Var}(U_j))$ and (N_j) is i.i.d.

Then, similarly to Doukhan and Wintenberger (2007), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \exp(itS_n^*) - \exp(-t^2/2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(f(S) - f(Z)) + \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(f(Z) - f(Z^*)) + \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(f(Z^*) - f(Y)) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(f(Y)) - \exp(-t^2/2) \\ &=: I_{1,\theta} + I_{2,\theta} + I_{3,\theta} + I_{4,\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Taylor expansion we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{1,\theta}| &\leq \|f'\|_{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\theta} |S - Z| \leq |t| \mathbb{E}_{\theta}^{1/2} (S - Z)^2 \\ &= \frac{|t|}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{s \in B'_j} \tilde{X}_s + \sum_{s \in R_n} \tilde{X}_s \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|t|}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\theta}^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{s \in B'_j} \tilde{X}_s \right)^2 + \mathbb{E}_{\theta}^{1/2} \left(\sum_{s \in R_n} \tilde{X}_s \right)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $R_n := \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \bigcap_{j=1}^k (B_j \cup B'_j)$. From stationarity of the sequence (\tilde{X}_s) , we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{s \in B'_j} \tilde{X}_s \right)^2 \leq 2kq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}_\theta(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_{1+j})|.$$

From (A1b) and (A4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{s \in B'_j} \tilde{X}_s \right)^2 &\leq 2kq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}_\theta(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_{1+j})| \\ &\leq 2kq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}_\theta(X_1, X_{1+j})| \leq C_1 kq \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_1 . Similarly,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\sum_{s \in R_n} \tilde{X}_s \right)^2 \leq C_2(n - k(p + q)) \leq C_2(p + q)$$

for some constant C_2 . Hence,

$$(6) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |I_{1,\theta}| \leq C'_1 |t| \left(\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} + \sqrt{\frac{p+q}{n}} \right) \leq 2C'_1 |t| \left(\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} + \sqrt{\frac{p}{n}} \right)$$

for some constant C'_1 .

Observe that

$$|\mathbb{E}_\theta(f(Z) - f(Z^*))| \leq \sum_{j=2}^k \left| \text{Cov}_\theta \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} U_s\right), \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} U_j\right) \right\} \right|.$$

Then using (A3), we get

$$(7) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |I_{2,\theta}| \leq C'_2 |t| b_n$$

for some constant C'_2 .

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{3,\theta}| &= \left| \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}_\theta \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} U_j\right) - \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}_\theta \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} N_j\right) \right| \\ &\leq k \left| \mathbb{E}_\theta \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} U_1\right) - \mathbb{E}_\theta \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} N_1\right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

From the Taylor formula we have

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} U_1\right) = 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}_\theta U_1^2}{2n} t^2 - \frac{i}{6n^{3/2}} \mathbb{E}_\theta(U_1^3) t^3 \eta_1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\theta \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}}N_1\right) &= 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}_\theta N_1^2}{2n} t^2 - \frac{i}{6n^{3/2}} \mathbb{E}_\theta(N_1^3)t^3\eta_2 \\ &= 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}_\theta U_1^2}{2n} t^2 - \frac{i}{6n^{3/2}} \mathbb{E}_\theta(U_1^3)t^3\eta_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $|\eta_1|, |\eta_2| \in (0, 1)$. This and (A5) yield

$$(8) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |I_{3,\theta}| \leq k \frac{|t|^3}{6n^{3/2}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_\theta |U_1|^3 \leq C'_3 |t|^3 \frac{kp^{3/2}}{n^{3/2}} \leq C'_3 |t|^3 \sqrt{\frac{p}{n}}$$

for some constant C'_3 .

Observe that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_{4,\theta}| &= \left| \left(\mathbb{E}_\theta \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}}N_1\right) \right)^k - \exp(-t^2/2) \right| \\ &= \left| \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 k \text{Var}_\theta(N_1)}{2n}\right) - \exp(-t^2/2) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \left| 1 - \frac{k}{n} \text{Var}_\theta(N_1) \right| = \frac{t^2}{2} \left| 1 - \frac{k}{n} \text{Var}_\theta(U_1) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $D_p := \frac{1}{p} \text{Var}_\theta(\sum_{i \in B_1} X_i)$. Then

$$(10) \quad 1 - \frac{k}{n} \text{Var}_\theta(U_1) = 1 - \frac{k}{n} \text{Var}_\theta\left(\sum_{i \in B_1} \tilde{X}_i\right) = 1 - \frac{kp}{n} \frac{D_p}{\sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta)}.$$

Now,

$$(11) \quad 1 - \frac{kp}{n} \frac{D_p}{\sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta)} = 1 - \frac{kp}{n} - \frac{kp}{n} \frac{D_p - \sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta)}{\sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta)}.$$

Therefore and from (A1a)–(A1b), we obtain

$$(12) \quad \left| 1 - \frac{kp}{n} \frac{D_p}{\sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta)} \right| \leq \left| 1 - \frac{kp}{n} \right| + \frac{kp}{n} \frac{|D_p - \sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta)|}{\sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta)} \leq \frac{p+q}{n} + \frac{a_p}{M}.$$

From (9)–(12), we have, for some constant C_4 ,

$$(13) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |I_{4,\theta}| \leq C_4 t^2 \left(\frac{p}{n} + a_p \right).$$

From (6), (7), (8), (13) we obtain (2) for

$$(14) \quad c_n = \mathcal{O}\left(\max\left(a_p, b_n, \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}, \sqrt{\frac{p}{n}}\right)\right),$$

where a_p is the p th term in the sequence defined by (A1a). ■

3. Linear processes. We consider the following linear process (LP):

$$X_n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r(\theta) Z_{n-r},$$

where the innovations (Z_n) are i.i.d. r.v.'s with mean zero and unit variance, and $a_r(\theta)$ is a nonrandom sequence depending on the parameter θ . We will consider the following assumptions:

$$(a_0) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} |a_r(\theta)| < \infty,$$

$$(a_1) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{r=j}^{\infty} a_r^2(\theta) = \mathcal{O}(j^{-t}) \quad \text{for some } t > 1 \text{ (as } j \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$(b_1) \quad \mathbb{E}|Z_1|^3 < \infty.$$

PROPOSITION 3. *Under assumptions (a₀), (a₁), (b₁) we obtain (A3)–(A5).*

Proof. First we will show (A3). Let

$$h_1(U) := \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} U_s\right), \quad h_2(U) := \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} U_j\right),$$

$$h_1(\hat{U}) := \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} \hat{U}_s\right), \quad h_2(\hat{U}) := \exp\left(\frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{U}_j\right),$$

$$\hat{U}_s := \sum_{k \in B_s} \frac{\hat{X}_k - \mu(\theta)}{\sigma_{as}(\theta)}, \quad \hat{X}_k := \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} a_r(\theta) Z_{k-r}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}_{\theta}(h_1(U), h_2(U)) &= \text{Cov}_{\theta}(h_1(U) - h_1(\hat{U}), h_2(U)) \\ &\quad + \text{Cov}_{\theta}(h_1(\hat{U}), h_2(U) - h_2(\hat{U})) \\ &\quad + \text{Cov}_{\theta}(h_1(\hat{U}), h_2(\hat{U})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sigma(h_1(\hat{U})) \subset \sigma(\dots, Z_{(j-1)p+(j-2)q})$$

and

$$\sigma(h_2(\hat{U})) \subset \sigma(Z_{(j-1)(p+q)+2-q}, \dots, Z_{jp+(j-1)q}),$$

so the r.v.'s $h_1(\hat{U})$ and $h_2(\hat{U})$ are independent, which implies

$$(15) \quad \text{Cov}_{\theta}(h_1(\hat{U}), h_2(\hat{U})) = 0.$$

From the elementary inequality

$$|\exp(ia) - \exp(ib)| \leq |a - b|, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

bounding h_2 we obtain

$$(16) \quad |\text{Cov}_\theta(h_1(U) - h_1(\hat{U}), h_2(U))| \leq 2\mathbb{E}_\theta|h_1(U) - h_1(\hat{U})| \\ \leq 2 \frac{|t|}{\sigma_{\text{as}}(\theta)\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} \sum_{l \in B_s} M_{l,q}(\theta) = 2|t|n^{-1/2}(j-1)pM_{1,q}(\theta),$$

where

$$M_{l,q}(\theta) := \mathbb{E}_\theta \left| \sum_{r=q}^{\infty} a_r(\theta) Z_{l-r} \right|.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$(17) \quad |\text{Cov}_\theta(h_1(\hat{U}), h_2(U) - h_2(\hat{U}))| \leq 2\mathbb{E}_\theta|h_2(U) - h_2(\hat{U})| \\ \leq 2 \frac{|t|}{\sigma_{\text{as}}(\theta)\sqrt{n}} \sum_{l \in B_j} M_{l,q}(\theta).$$

From (15)–(17),

$$|\text{Cov}_\theta(h_1(U), h_2(U))| \leq C|t|n^{-1/2}jpM_{1,q}(\theta),$$

and from (A1b) we have

$$(18) \quad \sum_{j=2}^k \left| \text{Cov}_\theta \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s=1}^{j-1} U_s \right\}, \exp \left\{ \frac{it}{\sqrt{n}} U_j \right\} \right) \right| \\ \leq C|t| \frac{p}{\sigma_{\text{as}}(\theta)\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=2}^k jM_{1,q}(\theta) \leq C|t| \frac{p}{\sigma_{\text{as}}(\theta)\sqrt{n}} M_{1,q}(\theta) \sum_{j=2}^k j \\ \leq C|t| \frac{pk^2}{\sigma_{\text{as}}(\theta)\sqrt{n}} M_{1,q}(\theta) \leq C'|t|p^{-1}n^{3/2}M_{1,q}(\theta)$$

for some constants C, C' . By (a₂) we get

$$(19) \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} M_{1,q}(\theta) \leq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_\theta^{1/2} \left(\sum_{r=q}^{\infty} a_r(\theta) Z_{l-r} \right)^2 \\ \leq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left(\sum_{r=q}^{\infty} a_r^2(\theta) \right)^{1/2} \leq Cq^{-(1/2+\gamma)}$$

for some $\gamma > 0$. Hence choosing

$$p(n) \sim n^{1-\varepsilon/2}, \quad q(n) \sim n^{1-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0,$$

we find that the r.h.s. of (18) is less than $C|t|b_n$, where $b_n \rightarrow 0$. This proves (A3).

From (a₀), we easily obtain (A4). From Theorem 2.1 of Furmańczyk (2008) for $Q = 3$ we get

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_\theta \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{X}_i \right|^3 \leq C \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{n^{3/2}}{\sigma_{\text{as}}^{3/2}(\theta)} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} |a_r(\theta)| \right)^3 \leq C'' n^{3/2},$$

which implies (A5). ■

COROLLARY 4. *Under assumptions (a₀), (a₁) for some $t > 7/2$, (b₁) and (A1a) we obtain (3) for*

$$(20) \quad c_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/8}).$$

Moreover, the constant in (A1a)–(A1b) has the form

$$(21) \quad \sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s^2(\theta) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s(\theta) a_{s+j}(\theta).$$

Proof. By (a₀),

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}_\theta(X_1, X_{1+j})| < \infty,$$

and from stationarity, we easily obtain (21).

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}_\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) - \sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta) \right| &= 2 \left| \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \text{Cov}_\theta(X_1, X_{1+j}) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |a_s(\theta)| |a_{s+j}(\theta)|. \end{aligned}$$

From (a₀) and the Schwarz inequality, we have (A1a) for

$$a_n = \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_{s+j}^2(\theta)} \right) = \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{j^{-t}} \right) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-t/2+1}),$$

therefore putting $p(n) \sim n^{3/4}$ and $q(n) \sim n^{1/2}$, we obtain $a_p = \mathcal{O}(p^{-t/2+1}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/8})$. From (19) and condition (a₁) for some $t > 7/2$ we obtain

$$b_n = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{n^{3/2}}{pq^{t/2}} \right) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/8}).$$

Observe that $\sqrt{q/p} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/8})$ and $\sqrt{p/n} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/8})$. Hence from (14) we obtain (20). Therefore from Lemma 1, Theorem 2 and Proposition 3 we obtain (3). ■

We now consider an AR(1) process with parameter $\theta \in (-1; 1)$ of the form

$$(22) \quad X_n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \theta^r Z_{n-r}.$$

PROPOSITION 5. *If $\theta \in (-1+\delta; 1-\delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$ and (b_1) holds, then conditions (a_0) , (a_1) , (A1a)–(A1b) are satisfied and the process X_n satisfies uniform CLT (3) for c_n of the form (20).*

Proof. Since the AR(1) process is a linear process with $a_r(\theta) = \theta^r$ and $\Theta = (-1 + \delta; 1 - \delta)$, we have

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} |a_r(\theta)| = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} |\theta|^r = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{|\theta|}{1 - |\theta|} \leq \frac{1 - \delta}{\delta},$$

and condition (a_0) is satisfied. Similarly

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{r=j}^{\infty} a_r^2(\theta) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{\theta^{2j}}{1 - \theta^2} \leq \frac{(1 - \delta)^{2j}}{\delta(2 - \delta)} = \mathcal{O}(A^j) \quad \text{for some } A < 1,$$

and condition (a_1) holds. From (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}_{\theta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) - \sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta) \right| &= 2 \left| \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \text{Cov}_{\theta}(X_1, X_{1+j}) \right| \\ &= 2 \left| \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \theta^{2s+j} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}_{\theta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) - \sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta) \right| &= 2 \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{|\theta|^{n+1}}{(1 - \theta^2)(1 - \theta)} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \delta)^{n+1}}{\delta^2(2 - \delta)} = \mathcal{O}(A_1^n) \end{aligned}$$

for some $0 < A_1 < 1$. Therefore, we obtain condition (A1a). From (21) we have

$$\sigma_{\text{as}}^2(\theta) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s^2(\theta) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s(\theta) a_{s+j}(\theta) = \frac{1}{(1 - \theta)^2}$$

because $a_s(\theta) = \theta^s$. Then

$$\inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{(1 - \theta)^2} > \frac{1}{(2 - \delta)^2},$$

and we obtain condition (A1b). Consequently, from Corollary 4 and Lemma 1 we get uniform CLT (3) for X_n . ■

References

- P. Doukhan and O. Wintenberger (2007), *An invariance principle for weakly dependent stationary general models*, Probab. Math. Statist. 27, 45–73.
- K. Furmańczyk (2008), *Bounds for $E|S_n|^Q$ for subordinated linear processes with application to M -estimation*, *ibid.* 28, 129–141.
- W. Niemiro and R. Zieliński (2007), *Uniform asymptotic normality for Bernoulli scheme*, Appl. Math. (Warsaw) 34, 215–221.
- M. Salibian-Barrera and R. H. Zamar (2004), *Uniform asymptotics for robust location estimates when the scale is unknown*, Ann. Statist. 32, 1434–1447.

Department of Applied Mathematics
Faculty of Applied Informatics and Mathematics
Warsaw University of Life Sciences (SGGW)
Nowoursynowska 159
02-776 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail: konfur@wp.pl

*Received on 30.12.2008;
revised version on 1.2.2009*

(1984)