ESTIMATION OF THE PARAMETERS
OF GUMBEL AND BURR DISTRIBUTIONS IN TERMS
OF kTH RECORD VALUES

Abstract. The minimum variance linear unbiased estimators (MVLUE),
the best linear invariant estimators (BLIE) and the maximum likelihood
estimators (MLE) based on m selected kth record values are presented for
the parameters of the Gumbel and Burr distributions.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. We say that a random variable
X has the Gumbel distribution with parameters μ and σ if

\[ F(x) = e^{-e^{-(x-\mu)/\sigma}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}; \quad -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma > 0. \]

We say that a random variable X has the Burr distribution with parameters
μ, σ, β and λ if

\[ F(x) = 1 - \beta^\lambda \left( \beta + \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} \right)^{-\lambda}, \quad x \geq \mu; \]
\[ -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma > 0, \beta > 0, \lambda > 0. \]

From the Burr distribution we get the generalized Pareto distribution ($\lambda = \beta = \alpha^{-1}$) and the Lomax distribution ($\beta = 1$).

In [1] and [2] various estimators of the scale parameter σ and the location
parameter μ for various classes of distributions (Gumbel distribution, power
distribution, Weibull distribution, Rayleigh distribution, logistic distribution,
Pareto distribution) based on record values were given. The Bayesian
estimators of the Gumbel parameters μ and σ in terms of lower record values
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and $k$th lower record values were furnished in [6] and [9]. Moreover, estimators for location and scale parameters were given in terms of generalized order statistics (cf. [3]–[5]).

We give the maximum likelihood (MLE), best linear invariant (BLIE), and minimum variance unbiased (MVLUE) estimators of the parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma$ for the Gumbel and Burr distributions using the $k$th lower and upper record values. The use of record values to construct estimators was discussed in [1] and [2]. Some of those results are generalized in this paper.

We recall the concept of $k$th upper and lower record values (cf. [7], [11]). Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with a cumulative distribution function $F$ and a probability density function $f$. The $j$th order statistic of a sample $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is denoted by $X_{j:n}$. For a fixed $k \geq 1$ we define the sequence $\{U_k(n), n \geq 1\}$ of $k$th upper record times as follows:

\[ U_k(1) = 1, \]
\[ U_k(n + 1) = \min\{j > U_k(n) : X_{j:j+k-1} > X_{U_k(n):U_k(n)+k-1}\}, \quad n \geq 1. \]

The sequence $\{Y_n^{(k)}, n \geq 1\}$ with $Y_n^{(k)} = X_{U_k(n):U_k(n)+k-1}$ is called the sequence of $k$th upper record values of $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$. For $k = 1$ we have the sequence $\{Y_n^{(1)}, n \geq 1\}$ of upper record values. The probability density function of $(Y_1^{(k)}, \ldots, Y_n^{(k)})$ is given by

\[
(f_{y_1^{(k)}, \ldots, y_n^{(k)}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{k^n}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{f(x_i)}{1-F(x_i)} (1-F(x_n))^{k-1} f(x_n), & x_1 < \cdots < x_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Hence the probability density functions of $Y_n^{(k)}$ and $(Y_m^{(k)}, Y_n^{(k)}), m < n,$ have the following forms:

\[
f_{y_n^{(k)}}(x) = \frac{k^n}{(n-1)!} (-\ln(1-F(x)))^{n-1} (1-F(x))^{k-1} f(x), \quad n \geq 1,
\]

and

\[
f_{y_m^{(k)}, y_n^{(k)}}(x, y) = \frac{k^n}{(m-1)! (n-m-1)!} (\ln(1-F(x)) - \ln(1-F(y)))^{n-m-1} \times (-\ln(1-F(x)))^{m-1} \frac{f(x)}{1-F(x)} (1-F(y))^{k-1} f(y), \quad x < y, \quad n \geq 2.
\]

Now we define the sequence $\{L_k(n), n \geq 1\}$ of $k$th lower record times:

\[ L_k(1) = 1, \]
\[ L_k(n + 1) = \min\{j > L_k(n) : X_{k:L_k(n)+k-1} > X_{k:j+k-1}\}, \quad n \geq 1. \]
The sequence \( \{Z_n^{(k)}, n \geq 1\} \) with \( Z_n^{(k)} = X_{k:L_k(n)+k-1} \), is called the sequence of \( k \)th lower record values of \( \{X_n, n \geq 1\} \). The probability density function of \((Z_1^{(k)}, \ldots, Z_n^{(k)})\) has the form

\[
(4) \quad f_{Z_1^{(k)}, \ldots, Z_n^{(k)}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
    k^n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{f(x_i)}{F(x_i)} (F(x_n))^{k-1} f(x_n), & x_1 < \cdots < x_n, \\
    0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Hence the pdf’s of \( Z_n^{(k)} \) and \((Z_m^{(k)}, Z_n^{(k)}), m < n\), are as follows:

\[
f_{Z_n^{(k)}}(x) = \frac{k^n}{(n-1)!} (-\ln(F(x)))^{n-1} (F(x))^{k-1} f(x), \quad n \geq 1,
\]

\[
f_{Z_m^{(k)}, Z_n^{(k)}}(x, y) = \frac{k^n}{(m-1)!(n-m-1)!} \left( \ln(F(x)) - \ln(F(y)) \right)^{n-m-1}
\times (-\ln(F(x)))^{m-1} \frac{f(x)}{F(x)} (F(y))^{k-1} f(y), \quad x > y, \quad n \geq 2,
\]

respectively.

2. The least-squares estimators of \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) using \( k \)th record values. The use of order statistics in the estimation of parameters was presented in [8]. Our approach to the estimation of the location and scale parameters \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) of a variate \( X \) whose distribution depends on only these two parameters is based on the \( k \)th record values.

Let \( \{X_n, n \geq 1\} \) be a sequence of independent observations of \( X \) and

\[
*X_n = \frac{X_n - \mu}{\sigma}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

denote the standardized variants which may be regarded as independent observations of the standardized variate

\[
*X = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}.
\]

Let \( Y_1^{(k)}, \ldots, Y_m^{(k)} \) be the first \( m \) of the \( k \)th upper record values from \( \{X_n, n \geq 1\} \) and \( Z_1^{(k)}, \ldots, Z_m^{(k)} \) be the first \( m \) of the \( k \)th lower record values. Then

\[
*Y_i^{(k)} = \frac{Y_i^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma}, \quad *Z_i^{(k)} = \frac{Z_i^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m,
\]

are the sequences of \( k \)th upper and lower record values based on \( \{*X_n, n \geq 1\} \).
Write
\[
\alpha_i := \text{E}[Y_i^{(k)}], \quad \xi_i := \text{E}[Z_i^{(k)}],
\]
\[
\omega_{ii} := \text{Var}[Y_i^{(k)}], \quad \psi_{ii} := \text{Var}[Z_i^{(k)}],
\]
\[
\omega_{ij} := \text{Cov}[Y_i^{(k)}, Y_j^{(k)}], \quad \psi_{ij} := \text{Cov}[Z_i^{(k)}, Z_j^{(k)}], \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, m; \ i < j.
\]
Reverting now to the original observations we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{E}[Y_i^{(k)}] &= \mu + \sigma \alpha_i, \\
\text{E}[Z_i^{(k)}] &= \mu + \sigma \xi_i, \\
\text{Var}[Y_i^{(k)}] &= \sigma^2 \omega_{ii}, \\
\text{Var}[Z_i^{(k)}] &= \sigma^2 \psi_{ii}, \\
\text{Cov}[Y_i^{(k)}, Y_j^{(k)}] &= \sigma^2 \omega_{ij}, \\
\text{Cov}[Z_i^{(k)}, Z_j^{(k)}] &= \sigma^2 \psi_{ij}.
\end{align*}
\]
We see that \(\text{E}[Y_i^{(k)}]\) (resp. \(\text{E}[Z_i^{(k)}]\)) are linear functions of the parameters \(\mu\) and \(\sigma\) with known coefficients \(\alpha_i\) (resp. \(\xi_i\)), and \(\text{Var}[Y_i^{(k)}] = \sigma^2 \omega_{ii}\) (resp. \(\text{Var}[Z_i^{(k)}] = \sigma^2 \psi_{ii}\)) and \(\text{Cov}[Y_i^{(k)}, Y_j^{(k)}] = \sigma^2 \omega_{ij}\) (resp. \(\text{Cov}[Z_i^{(k)}, Z_j^{(k)}] = \sigma^2 \psi_{ij}\)) are known up to a scale factor \(\sigma^2\). The least-squares theorem of Gauss and Markov (cf. [13]) will be applied to derive the unbiased linear estimators of \(\mu\) and \(\sigma\) with minimal variance. We write the above results (5) in matrix form, as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{E} \mathbf{Y} &= \mu \mathbf{1} + \sigma \mathbf{\alpha}, \\
\text{E} \mathbf{Z} &= \mu \mathbf{1} + \sigma \mathbf{\xi},
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\mathbf{Y}\) is the (column) vector of the \(Y_i^{(k)}\) and \(\mathbf{Z}\) is the vector of the \(Z_i^{(k)}\), \(\mathbf{\alpha}\) the vector of the \(\alpha_i\), \(\mathbf{\xi}\) the vector of the \(\xi_i\), and \(\mathbf{1}\) a vector with unit elements. The equation (6) can be written as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{E} \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{p} \mathbf{\Theta}, \\
\text{E} \mathbf{Z} &= \mathbf{p} \mathbf{\Theta},
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\mathbf{p}\) is the \(m \times 2\) matrix \((\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{\alpha})\) or \((\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{\xi})\) and \(\mathbf{\Theta}' = (\mu, \sigma)\). The variance matrices of the \(Y_i^{(k)}\) and \(Z_i^{(k)}\), i.e. the matrices of variances and covariances, are
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{V} (\mathbf{Y}) &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{\omega}, \\
\text{V} (\mathbf{Z}) &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{\psi},
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\mathbf{\omega}\) and \(\mathbf{\psi}\) are the \(m \times m\) symmetric positive-definite matrices of all the \(\omega_{ij}\) and \(\psi_{ij}\). From the theorem of Gauss and Markov the required estimators \(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2\) of the vector \(\mathbf{\Theta}\) are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\theta}_1 &= (\mathbf{p}' \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{p})^{-1} \mathbf{p}' \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{Y}, \\
\hat{\theta}_2 &= (\mathbf{p}' \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{p})^{-1} \mathbf{p}' \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{Z},
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\mathbf{\Omega} = \omega^{-1}\) and \(\mathbf{\Psi} = \psi^{-1}\). The variance matrices of the estimates are \((\mathbf{p}' \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{p})^{-1} \sigma^2, (\mathbf{p}' \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{p})^{-1} \sigma^2\) where
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{p}' \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{p} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1' \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{1} & 1' \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{\alpha} \\ 1' \mathbf{\alpha} & \alpha' \mathbf{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathbf{p}' \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{p} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1' \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{1} & 1' \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{\xi} \\ 1' \mathbf{\xi} & \xi' \mathbf{\xi} \end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]
the elements of these matrices being, of course, scalars. The inverses of these matrices are
\[
(p'\Omega p)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha'\Omega \alpha & -1'\Omega \alpha \\ -1'\Omega \alpha & 1'\Omega 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (p'\Psi p)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{bmatrix} \xi'\Psi \xi & -1'\Psi \xi \\ -1'\Psi \xi & 1'\Psi 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]
where \( \Delta \) is the determinant of the matrix \( p'\Omega p \) or \( p'\Psi p \), respectively.

Inserting the above quantities in (9) we get
\[
\hat{\mu}_1 = -\alpha'\Gamma Y, \quad \hat{\mu}_2 = -\xi'\Upsilon Z, \\
\hat{\sigma}_1 = 1'\Gamma Y, \quad \hat{\sigma}_2 = 1'\Upsilon Z,
\]
where \( \Gamma \) and \( \Upsilon \) are the symmetric matrices defined by
\[
\Gamma = \frac{\Omega(1\alpha' - \alpha 1')\Omega}{\Delta}, \quad \Upsilon = \frac{\Psi(1\xi' - \xi 1')\Psi}{\Delta}.
\]
The variance and covariance of these estimates are given by
\[
\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_1) = \sigma^2 \frac{\alpha'\Omega \alpha}{\Delta}, \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_2) = \sigma^2 \frac{\xi'\Psi \xi}{\Delta}, \\
\text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}_1) = \sigma^2 \frac{1'\Omega 1}{\Delta}, \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}_2) = \sigma^2 \frac{1'\Psi 1}{\Delta}, \\
\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\sigma}_1) = -\sigma^2 \frac{1'\Omega \alpha}{\Delta}, \quad \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\sigma}_2) = -\sigma^2 \frac{1'\Psi \xi}{\Delta}.
\]

3. Estimators of parameters for the Gumbel distribution

3.1. Minimum variance linear unbiased estimators (MVLUE)

**Theorem 1.** The minimum variance linear unbiased estimators \( \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \) of the Gumbel parameters \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) based on the observed \( k \)th lower record values \( z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}, \ldots, z_m^{(k)} \) are
\[
\hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} = z_m^{(k)} - (\nu(m) + \ln k)\left[ (m-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} z_i^{(k)} - z_m^{(k)} \right],
\]
\[
\hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} = (m-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} z_i^{(k)} - z_m^{(k)}.
\]
The corresponding variances and covariance are
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)}) &= \sigma^2 \left( \frac{(\nu(m) + \ln k)^2}{(m-1)} + V_{m,m}^* \right), \\
\text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)}) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{m-1}, \\
\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)}, \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)}) &= -\frac{\sigma^2(\nu(m) + \ln k)}{m-1},
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[ \nu(1) = \gamma, \quad \nu(i) = \nu(i - 1) - (i - 1)^{-1}, \quad i \geq 2, \]
\[ V_{1,1}^* = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \quad V_{i,i}^* = V_{i-1,i-1}^* - (i - 1)^{-2}, \quad i \geq 2, \]
where \( \gamma \) is the Euler constant (\( \gamma = 0.57722 \)).

**Proof.** We see that
\[ E(Z_i^{(k)}) = \mu + \xi_i^{(k)} \sigma, \quad \xi_i^{(k)} = \ln k + \nu(i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \]
\[ \text{Var}(Z_i^{(k)}) = \sigma^2 V_{i,i}^*, \]
\[ \text{Cov}(Z_i^{(k)}, Z_j^{(k)}) = \text{Var}(Z_j^{(k)}), \quad i < j \quad (\text{cf. } [11]). \]
Let \( \Psi(m \times m) = [\psi^{ij}] \). Then
\[ \psi^{ii} = i^2 + (i - 1)^2, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m - 1, \]
\[ \psi^{ij} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i+j} \min(i^2, j^2), & i \neq j, \ |i - j| = 1, \\ 0, & |i - j| > 1, \end{cases} \]
\[ \psi^{mm} = (m - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{V_{m,m}^*}, \]
and
\[ 1'\Psi = \left(0, 0, \ldots, \frac{1}{V_{m,m}^*}\right), \quad \xi'\Psi = \left(1, 1, \ldots, \frac{\xi_m^{(k)}}{V_{m,m}^*} - (m - 1)\right), \]
\[ \xi'\Psi 1 = \frac{\xi_m^{(k)}}{V_{m,m}^*}, \quad \xi'\Psi \xi = \frac{(\xi_m^{(k)})^2}{V_{m,m}^*} + m - 1, \quad \triangle = \frac{m - 1}{V_{m,m}^*}. \]
From (10), we see that \( \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \) are as in (12).

**Remark 1.** For \( k = 1 \) we obtain the estimators
\[ \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(1)} = z_m^{(1)} - \nu(m) \left[ (m - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} z_i^{(1)} - z_m^{(1)} \right], \]
\[ \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(1)} = (m - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} z_i^{(1)} - z_m^{(1)} \]
(cf. [1], [2]).

**Remark 2.** The Bayesian estimators of the parameters \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) are given by
\[ \hat{\mu}_B^{(k)} = z_m^{(k)} - (\nu(m) + \ln k) \frac{m(z^{(k)} - z_m^{(k)})}{m + \alpha - 1}, \]
\[ \hat{\sigma}_B^{(k)} = \frac{m(z^{(k)} - z_m^{(k)})}{m + \alpha - 1}, \]

where
\[ \bar{z}^{(k)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m z_i^{(k)}}{m}, \]

and \( \alpha, \beta > 0 \) are the parameters of a prior distribution given by
\[ g(\mu, \sigma) \propto \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)\sigma^{\alpha+2}} e^{-\beta/\sigma}, \quad -\infty < \mu < \infty, \quad \sigma > 0, \]
(cf. [9]). If \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in (14) tend to zero, then
\[ \hat{\mu}_B^{(k)} = z_m^{(k)} - (\nu(m) + \ln k) \frac{m \bar{z}^{(k)} - z_m^{(k)}}{m - 1}, \]
\[ \hat{\sigma}_B^{(k)} = (m - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} z_i^{(k)} - z_m^{(k)} \]

are the estimators \( \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \) given in (12), and the estimators \( \hat{\mu}_B^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_B^{(k)} \) for \( k = 1 \) coincide with the estimators in terms of record values (cf. [6]).

3.2. Best linear invariant estimators (BLIE). In this section we present the best linear invariant estimators for the parameters of the Gumbel distribution. “Best” is used in the sense of minimum mean squared error and “invariant” with respect to the location parameter.

**Theorem 2.** The best invariant estimators \( \tilde{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} \) and \( \tilde{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} \) of the location and scale parameters of the Gumbel distribution (1) based on the first \( m \) \( k \)th lower record values (BLIE) are

\[ (15) \quad \tilde{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} = \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} - \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \frac{(\nu(m) + \ln k)}{m}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} = \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \frac{m - 1}{m}. \]

The mean squared errors of \( \tilde{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} \) and \( \tilde{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} \) are
\[ \text{MSE}(\tilde{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)}) = \sigma^2 \left[ \frac{(\nu(m) + \ln k)^2}{m} + V_{m,m}^* \right], \quad \text{MSE}(\tilde{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{m}, \]

where \( \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \) and \( \mu_{GM}^{(k)} \) are the MVLUE for \( \sigma \) and \( \mu \) given by (12).

**Proof.** Using the method of Mann (cf. [10]) we obtain
\[ \tilde{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} = \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} - \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} [E_{12}(1 + E_{22})^{-1}], \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} = \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} (1 + E_{22})^{-1}, \]
where $E_{11}, E_{12}$ and $E_{22}$ are taken from

$$
\sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{12} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}) & \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}, \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) \\ \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}, \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) & \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) \end{bmatrix},
$$

after using (13), i.e.

$$
E_{11} = \frac{(\nu(m) + \ln k)^2}{m - 1} + V_{mm}^*, \quad E_{12} = -\frac{(\nu(m) + \ln k)}{m - 1}, \quad E_{22} = \frac{1}{m - 1}.
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{BL}) = \sigma^2[E_{11} - E_{12}^2(1 + E_{22})^{-1}], \quad \text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{BL}) = \sigma^2 E_{22}^2(1 + E_{22})^{-1}.
$$

**Remark 3.** For $k = 1$ the best linear invariant estimators are

$$
\tilde{\mu}^{(1)}_{BL} = \hat{\mu}^{(1)}_{GM} - \hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_{GM} \frac{\nu(m)}{m}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}_{BL} = \hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_{GM} \frac{m - 1}{m}
$$

(cf. [6])

### 3.3. Maximum likelihood estimators (MLE).

We now give the maximum likelihood estimators for the parameters of the Gumbel distribution.

**Theorem 3.** The maximum likelihood estimators $\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{ML}$ and $\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{ML}$ of the location and scale parameters of the Gumbel distribution (1) based on the first $m$ $k$th record values are

$$
\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{ML} = \bar{z}^{(k)} + \ln \left( \frac{m}{k} \right) \left[ \bar{z}^{(k)} - \bar{z}^{(k)}_m \right], \quad \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{ML} = \bar{z}^{(k)} - \bar{z}^{(k)}_m,
$$

where

$$
\bar{z}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} z^{(k)}_i.
$$

The variance and covariance of the estimators are

$$
\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{ML}) = \sigma^2 \left( V_{mm}^* + \frac{(m - 1)}{m} \sigma^2 \right),
$$

$$
\text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{ML}) = \left( \frac{m - 1}{m} \right)^2 \sigma^2.
$$

**Proof.** Using (4) we see that the likelihood function $L$ based on the $k$th record values for the Gumbel distribution has the form

$$
L(\mu, \sigma | \bar{z}^{(k)}) = k^m \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{f(z^{(k)}_i)}{f(z^{(k)}_m)} \right) [F(z^{(k)}_m)]^{k-1} f(z^{(k)}_m)
$$

$$
= \frac{k^m}{\sigma^m} \exp \left[ -m \frac{\bar{z}^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma} - k \exp \left( -\frac{\bar{z}^{(k)}_m - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \right],
$$

$$
\bar{z}^{(k)}_1 > \bar{z}^{(k)}_2 > \cdots > \bar{z}^{(k)}_m, \quad \bar{z}^{(k)} = (\bar{z}^{(k)}_1, \bar{z}^{(k)}_2, \ldots, \bar{z}^{(k)}_m).
\[
\ln L(\mu, \sigma | \bar{z}^{(k)}) = \ln k^m - \ln \sigma^m + \left[ -m \left( \frac{\bar{z}^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma} \right) - k \exp \left( - \frac{z_m^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma^2} \right) \right],
\]
and
\[
\frac{\partial \ln L(\mu, \sigma | \bar{z}^{(k)})}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{m}{\sigma} + \frac{m(\bar{z}^{(k)} - \mu)}{\sigma^2} - \frac{k}{\sigma} \exp \left( - \frac{z_m^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma^2} \right) \left( \frac{z_m^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma^2} \right) = 0,
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \ln L(\mu, \sigma | \bar{z}^{(k)})}{\partial \mu} = \frac{m}{\sigma} \exp \left( - \frac{z_m^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma} \right) = 0.
\]
After standard evaluations we get the maximum likelihood estimators given by (16). The estimators \( \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{ML} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{ML} \) are both biased. We see that
\[
E(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{ML}) = \mu + \left( \nu(m) + \ln k + \frac{m - 1}{m} \ln \left( \frac{m}{k} \right) \right) \sigma,
\]
\[
E(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{ML}) = \frac{m - 1}{m} \sigma.
\]

**Table 1.** The estimators MVLUE, BLIE, MLE and Bayes estimators, based on generated 1/dth record values, when the population parameters are \( \sigma = 1.0 \) and \( \mu = 2.0 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{BL} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{BL} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{ML} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{ML} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\sigma}_{B}^{(k)} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\mu}_{B}^{(k)} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4321</td>
<td>2.4929</td>
<td>1.0740</td>
<td>2.9426</td>
<td>1.0740</td>
<td>2.1830</td>
<td>1.2592(^a)</td>
<td>2.2758(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2160(^b)</td>
<td>2.2215(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9375</td>
<td>2.2138</td>
<td>0.7813</td>
<td>2.4804</td>
<td>0.7813</td>
<td>2.0141</td>
<td>0.9554(^a)</td>
<td>2.2442(^a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9609(^b)</td>
<td>2.2538(^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.1269</td>
<td>2.2117</td>
<td>0.8451</td>
<td>2.3703</td>
<td>0.8451</td>
<td>2.1631</td>
<td>1.0761(^a)</td>
<td>2.1831(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0634(^b)</td>
<td>2.1760(^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.3854</td>
<td>2.1541</td>
<td>1.1545</td>
<td>2.3880</td>
<td>1.1545</td>
<td>2.0191</td>
<td>1.2753(^a)</td>
<td>2.0426(^a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2409(^b)</td>
<td>2.0077(^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0606</td>
<td>1.9118</td>
<td>0.7954</td>
<td>1.9538</td>
<td>0.7954</td>
<td>1.9736</td>
<td>1.0363(^a)</td>
<td>1.9080(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0303(^b)</td>
<td>1.9070(^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.7998</td>
<td>2.0632</td>
<td>0.6665</td>
<td>2.1441</td>
<td>0.6665</td>
<td>2.0393</td>
<td>0.8570(^a)</td>
<td>2.0979(^a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8748(^b)</td>
<td>2.1088(^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0715</td>
<td>2.2093</td>
<td>0.8036</td>
<td>2.1147</td>
<td>0.8036</td>
<td>2.4086</td>
<td>1.0429(^a)</td>
<td>2.2195(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0357(^b)</td>
<td>2.2220(^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.3782</td>
<td>1.8780</td>
<td>1.1485</td>
<td>1.9002</td>
<td>1.1485</td>
<td>1.9541</td>
<td>1.2018(^a)</td>
<td>1.8675(^a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2364(^b)</td>
<td>1.8643(^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) When the prior parameters are both equal to 2.0.
\(^b\) When the prior parameters are both equal to 3.0.
Remark 4. For $k = 1$ we have
\[ \hat{\mu}^{(1)}_{ML} = \bar{z}_m^{(1)} + \ln(m)[\bar{z}_m^{(1)} - z_m^{(1)}], \quad \hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_{ML} = \bar{z}^{(1)} - z_m^{(1)}, \]
which are the maximum likelihood estimators given in [1] and [2].

3.4. Numerical illustration. In order to illustrate the usefulness of the estimators discussed in Section 3, simulated $k$th record sets of sizes $m = 4$ and $6$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$ and $5$ from the Gumbel distribution with parameters $\sigma = 1.0$ and $\mu = 2.0$ are obtained. The MVLUE, BLIE, MLE and Bayesian estimators of the parameters $\sigma$ and $\mu$, given respectively by (12), (15), (16) and (14) are calculated. Two pairs of prior parameters ($\alpha = 2.0, \beta = 2.0$) and ($\alpha = 3.0, \beta = 3.0$) are considered here. All the results are presented in Table 1.

4. Estimators of parameters for the Burr distribution

4.1. Minimum variance linear unbiased estimators (MVLUE). Here we consider the estimation of the location parameter $\mu$ and scale parameter $\sigma$ for the Burr distribution when the parameters $\lambda$ and $\beta$ are known. We need the following

Lemma 1. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of iid random variables from the Burr distribution given by (2) and let $(Y^{(k)}_1, \ldots, Y^{(k)}_n)$ denote a vector of $k$th upper record values from $\{X_n\}$. Write
\[ c_i = k^i\lambda^i, \quad d_i = (k\lambda - 1)^i, \quad e_i = (k\lambda - 2)^i. \]
Then
\[ E[Y_i^{(k)}] = \mu + \alpha_i\sigma, \]
(17)
\[ \text{Var}[Y_i^{(k)}] = \sigma^2(a_i - b_i)b_i, \]
\[ \text{Cov}[Y_i^{(k)}Y_j^{(k)}] = \sigma^2(a_i - b_i)b_j, \]
where $\alpha_i = \beta(c_i/d_i - 1), a_i = \beta d_i/e_i$ and $b_i = \beta c_i/d_i$.

Proof. We consider the Burr distribution in the form
\[ F(x) = 1 - \beta^\lambda(\beta + x)^{-\lambda}, \quad x > 0; \quad \beta > 0, \lambda > 0. \]
The probability density function is given by
\[ f(x) = \lambda \beta^\lambda(\beta + x)^{-(\lambda + 1)}, \quad x > 0; \quad \beta > 0, \lambda > 0. \]
Let $*Y^{(k)}_1, \ldots, *Y^{(k)}_m$ be the first $m$ of $k$th upper record values of $\{*X_n, n \geq 1\}$ from the Burr distribution given by (18). Then

$$E[stellar Y^{(k)}_i] = \int_0^\infty \frac{k^i}{T(i)} y[\ln(\beta^\lambda(\beta + y)^{-\lambda})]^{i-1}(\beta^\lambda(\beta + y)^{-\lambda})^{k-1} \lambda\beta^\lambda(\beta + y)^{-(\lambda+1)} dy,$$

which after substitution $t = \beta^\lambda(\beta + y)^{-\lambda}$ gives

$$E[stellar Y^{(k)}_i] = \int_0^1 \frac{k^i}{T(i)} (-\beta + \beta t^{-1/\lambda})(-\ln t)^{i-1} t^{k-1} dt = \beta \left( \frac{c_i}{d_i} - 1 \right) = \alpha_i.$$ 

Then by (5) we have

$$E[stellar Y^{(k)}_i] = \mu + \alpha_i \sigma.$$ 

Similarly it can be shown that

$$E[stellar Y^{(k)}_i]^2 = \beta^2 \left( 1 - 2 \frac{c_i}{d_i} + \frac{c_i}{e_i} \right) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, m.$$ 

Thus

$$\text{Var}[stellar Y^{(k)}_i] = (a_i - b_i)b_i,$$

and by (5) we obtain

$$\text{Var}[stellar Y^{(k)}_i] = \sigma^2(a_i - b_i)b_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.$$ 

Now we know that

$$E[stellar [stellar Y^{(k)}_i][stellar Y^{(k)}_j]] = \left[ \frac{k\lambda}{k\lambda - 1} \right]^{j-i} E[stellar Y^{(k)}_i]^2 + \frac{\beta}{k\lambda - 1} \sum_{p=i+1}^j \left[ \frac{k\lambda}{k\lambda - 1} \right]^{j-p} E[stellar Y^{(k)}_i],$$

$$E[stellar Y^{(k)}_j] = \left[ \frac{k\lambda}{k\lambda - 1} \right]^{j-i} E[stellar Y^{(k)}_i] + \frac{\beta}{k\lambda - 1} \sum_{p=i+1}^j \left[ \frac{k\lambda}{k\lambda - 1} \right]^{j-p}$$

(cf. [12, Theorems 2.1 and 3.1]). Hence

$$\text{Cov}[stellar Y^{(k)}_i, stellar Y^{(k)}_j] = \left[ \frac{k\lambda}{k\lambda - 1} \right]^{j-i} E[stellar Y^{(k)}_i] - \left[ \frac{k\lambda}{k\lambda - 1} \right]^{j-i} (E[stellar Y^{(k)}_i])^2,$$

thus

$$\text{Cov}[stellar Y^{(k)}_i, stellar Y^{(k)}_j] = \frac{c_j}{c_i} \frac{d_i}{d_j} \text{Var}[stellar Y^{(k)}_i] = (a_i - b_i)b_j,$$
and by (5),
\[
\text{Cov}[Y^{(k)}_i, Y^{(k)}_j] = \sigma^2(a_i - b_i)b_j, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, m.
\]

**Theorem 4.** The minimum variance linear unbiased estimators \( \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM} \) for \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) of the Burr distribution, based on the observed \( k \)th upper record values \( y^{(k)}_1, \ldots, y^{(k)}_m \) are
\[
(19) \quad \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{1i} y^{(k)}_i \right], \quad \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM} = \frac{1}{\beta} d_1 (y^{(k)}_1 - \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}),
\]
where
\[
w_{11} = \frac{1}{D_0} \left\{ T_0 e_1 d_1 - \frac{e_1^2}{c_1} \right\},
\]
\[
w_{1i} = \frac{1}{D_0} \left\{ -\frac{e_{i+1}}{c_i} \right\}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m - 1,
\]
\[
w_{1m} = -\frac{1}{D_0} d_1 \frac{e_m + 1}{c_m},
\]
\[
D_0 = e_1 c_1 T_0 - e_1^2, \quad T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{e_i}{c_i}.
\]
with \( e_i, c_i, d_i \) given in Lemma 1. The variances and covariance of the estimators are
\[
(21) \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}) = \sigma^2 \beta^2 \frac{T_0}{D_0}, \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) = \sigma^2 \frac{T_0 + e_1^2}{D_0},
\]
\[
\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}, \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) = \sigma^2 \beta \frac{T_0 - e_1}{D_0}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \mathbf{Y}' = (Y^{(k)}_1, \ldots, Y^{(k)}_m) \) be the vector of \( k \)th upper record values. Then
\[
\mathbf{E} \mathbf{Y} = \mu \mathbf{1} + \alpha \sigma,
\]
where \( \alpha' = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \) with \( \alpha_i = \beta (c_i/d_i - 1) \). We note that \( \mathbf{E} \mathbf{Y} \) can be written as
\[
\mathbf{E} \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{p} \Theta,
\]
where \( \mathbf{p} \) is the \( m \times 2 \) matrix \((\mathbf{1}, \alpha)\) and \( \Theta' = (\mu, \sigma) \) (see (7)). The variance matrix of \( \mathbf{Y} \) has the form given by (8) with
\[
\omega(m \times m) = [\omega_{ij}] = [(a_i - b_i)b_j], \quad \text{where} \quad a_i = \beta \frac{d_i}{c_i}, \quad b_i = \beta \frac{c_i}{d_i}.
\]
So we consider the general linear model of Gauss–Markov (cf. [13, pp. 122–123]).
Here the linear unbiased estimators with minimum variance $\hat{\Theta}' = (\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma})$ of $\Theta'$, using the Gauss–Markov theorem (cf. [13]) can be written as follows:

$$\hat{\Theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = (B'B)^{-1}B'U = WY,$$

where $U := (T')^{-1}Y$, $B := (T')^{-1}p$, $T$ is the matrix such that $\omega = TT'$ and $W := (B'B)^{-1}B'(T')^{-1}$ (cf. [13]), i.e.

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & \ldots & w_{1m} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} & \ldots & w_{2m} \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Since $\omega$ is positive definite, there exists an $m \times m$ matrix $T$ such that $\omega = TT'$. Using Cholesky's decomposition of $\omega$ we get

$$T' = \begin{bmatrix} t_{11} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ t_{12} & t_{22} & \ldots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ t_{1m} & t_{2m} & \ldots & t_{mm} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $t_{11} = \sqrt{\omega_{11}}$, $t_{1j} = \omega_{1j}/t_{11}$, $j = 2, \ldots, m$,

$$t_{ii} = \sqrt{\omega_{ii} - \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} t_{pi}^2}, \quad t_{ij} = \frac{1}{t_{ii}} [\omega_{ij} - \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} t_{pi}t_{pj}], \quad i > j,$$

$$t_{ij} = 0, \quad j > i, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m.$$

Hence $(T')^{-1}$ has the form

$$(T')^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & a_{m,m-1} & a_{mm} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$a_{11} = \frac{d_1}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{e_1}{c_1}}, \quad a_{ii} = \frac{d_1}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{e_i}{c_i}},$$

$$a_{i,i-1} = -\frac{c_1}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{e_i}{c_i}}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m.$$  

Then we have $E(U) = B\Theta$ with

$$(B') = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{21} & \ldots & b_{m1} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} & \ldots & b_{m2} \end{bmatrix},$$
where
\[ b_{11} = \frac{d_1}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{e_1}{c_1}}, \quad b_{i1} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{e_i}{c_i}}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m, \]
\[ b_{i2} = \sqrt{\frac{e_i}{c_i}}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m. \]

Therefore
\[
(B'B)^{-1} = \frac{\beta^2}{D_0} \begin{bmatrix}
T_0 & \frac{T_0 - e_1}{\beta} \\
\frac{T_0 - e_1}{\beta} & \frac{T_0 + e_1^2}{\beta^2}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i/c_i \), and \( D_0 = e_1 c_1 T_0 - e_1^2 \).

From (24)–(26) we get the elements of \( W \) in (20) as follows:

\[ w_{11} = \frac{1}{D_0} \left\{ T_0 e_1 d_1 - \frac{e_1^2}{c_1} \right\}, \quad w_{1i} = \frac{1}{D_0} \left\{ -\frac{e_{i+1}}{c_i} \right\}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m - 1, \]
\[ w_{1m} = -\frac{1}{D_0} d_1 \frac{e_{m+1}}{c_m}, \]
\[ w_{21} = \frac{d_1}{\beta} - \frac{d_1 w_{11}}{\beta}, \quad w_{2i} = -\frac{d_1 w_{1i}}{\beta}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m. \]

and by (22) we get the estimators (19).

The variance and covariance of the estimators are given by (cf. [13, p. 124])

\[ \text{Var}(\hat{\Theta}') = \sigma^2 (B'B)^{-1}, \]

which by (25) proves (21).

**Corollary 1.** When \( \alpha \) is known, the minimum variance linear unbiased estimators for the parameters of the generalized Pareto distribution with the probability density function

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left( 1 + \alpha \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^{-(1+\alpha^{-1})}, \quad x \geq \mu; \]
\[-\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma > 0, \alpha > 0,
\]

in terms of \( k \)th record values, are given by

\[
\hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{1i} y_i^{(k)} \right], \quad \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} = (k - \alpha) (y_1^{(k)} - \hat{\mu}^{(k)}),
\]
where
\begin{align*}
w_{11} &= \frac{1}{D_0} \left\{ T_0 \frac{(k - 2\alpha)(k - \alpha)}{\alpha^2} - \frac{(k - 2\alpha)^2}{k\alpha} \right\}, \\
w_{1i} &= -\frac{1}{D_0} \frac{(k - 2\alpha)^{i+1}}{k^i\alpha}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m - 1, \\
w_{1m} &= -\frac{1}{D_0} \frac{k - \alpha}{k^m\alpha^2} (k - 2\alpha)^{m+1}, \\
D_0 &= \frac{k - 2\alpha}{\alpha^2} [kT_0 - k + 2\alpha], \quad T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{k - 2\alpha}{k} \right)^i.
\end{align*}

The variances and covariance of the estimators are given by
\begin{align}
\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)}) &= \sigma^2 \alpha^{-2} \frac{T_0}{D_0}, \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)}) = \sigma^2 T_0 + \frac{(k - 2\alpha^2)}{D_0}, \\
\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)}, \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)}) &= \sigma^2 \alpha^{-1} \frac{T_0 - k - 2\alpha}{D_0}.
\end{align}

We obtain these estimators from (19) when \( \lambda = \beta = \alpha^{-1} \).

**Remark 5.** For \( k = 1 \) the estimators \( \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \) coincide with those given by Ahsanullah (cf. [2]).

**Corollary 2.** When \( \lambda \) is known, the minimum variance linear unbiased estimators for the parameters of the Lomax distribution with the probability density function
\begin{align}
f(x) &= \frac{\lambda}{\sigma} \left( 1 + \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^{-\lambda+1}, \quad x \geq \mu; \quad -\infty < \mu < \infty, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad \lambda > 0,
\end{align}
in terms of \( k \)-th record values are as follows:
\begin{align}
\hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{1i} y_i^{(k)} \right], \quad \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} = (y_1^{(k)} - \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)}) d_1,
\end{align}
where
\begin{align*}
w_{11} &= \frac{1}{D_0} \left\{ T_0 e_1 d_1 - \frac{e_1^2}{c_1} \right\}, \\
w_{1i} &= -\frac{1}{D_0} \frac{e_{i+1}}{c_i}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m - 1, \\
w_{1m} &= -\frac{1}{D_0} \frac{e_{m+1}}{c_m}, \\
D_0 &= c_1 c_1 T_0 - c_1^2, \quad T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{e_i}{c_i}.
\end{align*}
The variances and covariance of the estimators are

\[ \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}) = \sigma^2 \frac{T_0}{D_0}, \]

\[ \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) = \sigma^2 \frac{T_0 + e_1^2}{D_0}, \]

\[ \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}, \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) = \sigma^2 \frac{T_0 - e_1}{D_0}. \]

We obtain these estimators from (19) when \( \beta = 1 \).

Remark 6. The estimators \( \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM} \) for \( k = 1 \) were given by Ahsanullah in [2].

4.2. Best linear invariant estimators (BLIE). We now consider the best invariant estimators for parameters of the Burr distribution when the parameters \( \lambda \) and \( \beta \) are known. “Best” is used in the sense of minimum mean squared error and “invariant” with respect to the location parameter.

Theorem 5. The best invariant estimators \( \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{BL} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{BL} \) of the location and scale parameters of the Burr distribution (2) based on the first \( m \) \( k \)th upper record values (BLIE) are

\[ \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{BL} = \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM} - \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM} \left[ \beta \frac{T_0 - e_1}{T_0 + D_0 + e_1^2} \right], \quad \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{BL} = \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM} \frac{D_0}{T_0 + D_0 + e_1^2}. \]

The mean squared errors of \( \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{BL} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{BL} \) are

\[ \text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{BL}) = \sigma^2 \beta^2 \left[ \frac{T_0}{D_0} - \beta \frac{(T_0 - e_1)^2}{D_0(D_0 + T_0 + e_1^2)} \right], \]

\[ \text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{BL}) = \sigma^2 \frac{T_0 + e_1^2}{D_0 + T_0 + e_1^2}, \]

where \( \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM} \) and \( \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM} \) are the MVLUE for \( \sigma \) and \( \mu \) given by (19), and \( D_0 = e_1c_1T_0 - e_1^2 \), \( T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i/c_i \) with \( e_1 = (k\lambda - 2)^i \).

Proof. Using the method of Mann (cf. [10]) we obtain

\[ \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{BL} = \hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM} - \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM} [E_{12}(1 + E_{22})^{-1}], \quad \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{BL} = \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM} (1 + E_{22})^{-1}, \]

where \( E_{11}, E_{12} \) and \( E_{22} \) are taken from

\[ \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{12} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}) & \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}, \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) \\ \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}^{(k)}_{GM}, \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) & \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}_{GM}) \end{bmatrix}, \]

after using (21), i.e.

\[ E_{11} = \beta^2 \frac{T_0}{D_0}, \quad E_{12} = \beta \frac{T_0 - e_1}{D_0}, \quad E_{22} = \frac{T_0 + e_1^2}{D_0}. \]
Moreover, we have
\[ \text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)}) = \sigma^2 [E_{11} - E_{12}^2 (1 + E_{22})^{-1}], \quad \text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)}) = \sigma^2 E_{22} (1 + E_{22})^{-1}. \]

**Corollary 3.** When \( \alpha \) is known, the best linear invariant estimators for the parameters of the generalized Pareto distribution given by (27) in terms of \( k \)th record values have the following form:

\[
\hat{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} = \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} - \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{T_0 - k-2\alpha}{T_0 + D_0 + (k-2\alpha)^2} \right],
\]
\[
\hat{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} = \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \frac{D_0}{T_0 + D_0 + (k-2\alpha)^2},
\]

where
\[
D_0 = \frac{k-2\alpha}{\alpha^2} [kT_0 - k + 2\alpha], \quad T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{k-2\alpha}{k} \right)^i,
\]

and \( \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \) are the MVLUE for \( \sigma \) and \( \mu \) given by (28). The mean squared errors of \( \hat{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} \) are

\[
\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)}) = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left[ \frac{T_0}{D_0} - \frac{(T_0 - k-2\alpha)^2}{D_0 (D_0 + T_0 + (k-2\alpha)^2)} \right],
\]
\[
\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)}) = \sigma^2 \frac{T_0 + (k-2\alpha)^2}{D_0 + T_0 + (k-2\alpha)^2}.
\]

**Remark 7.** The estimators \( \hat{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} \) for \( k = 1 \) were given in [2].

**Corollary 4.** When \( \lambda \) is known, the best linear invariant estimators for the parameters of the Lomax distribution given by (30) in terms of \( k \)th record values are

\[
\hat{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} = \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} - \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \left[ \frac{T_0 - e_1}{T_0 + D_0 + e_1^2} \right], \quad \hat{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} = \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \frac{D_0}{T_0 + D_0 + e_1^2}.
\]

The mean squared errors of \( \hat{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} \) are

\[
\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)}) = \sigma^2 \left[ \frac{T_0}{D_0} - \frac{(T_0 - e_1)^2}{D_0 (D_0 + T_0 + e_1^2)} \right],
\]
\[
\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)}) = \sigma^2 \frac{T_0 + e_1^2}{D_0 + T_0 + e_1^2},
\]

where \( \hat{\mu}_{GM}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{GM}^{(k)} \) are the MVLUE for \( \sigma \) and \( \mu \) given by (31) and \( D_0 = e_1 c_1 T_0 - e_1^2, T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i / c_i \) with \( c_1 = (k\lambda - 2)^i \).

**Remark 8.** The estimators \( \hat{\mu}_{BL}^{(k)} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{BL}^{(k)} \) for \( k = 1 \) were presented by Ahsanullah in [2].
4.3. Maximum likelihood estimators (MLE). The likelihood function $L$ based on the $k$th record values for the Burr distribution has the form

$$L(\mu, \sigma | y^{(k)}) = k^m \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{f(y_i^{(k)})}{1 - F(y_i^{(k)})} \right) [1 - F(y_m^{(k)})]^{k-1} f(y_m^{(k)})$$

$$= \frac{k^m \lambda^m}{\sigma^m} \beta \frac{k \lambda}{\sigma} \left( \beta + \frac{y_m^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left( \beta + \frac{y_i^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^{-1}$$

(see (3)). Hence

$$\ln L(\mu, \sigma, \beta | y^{(k)}) = \ln k^m + \ln \lambda^m - \ln \sigma^m$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln \left( \beta + \frac{y_i^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma} \right) - k \lambda \ln \left( \beta + \frac{y_m^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma} \right).$$

Differentiating (33) with respect to $\sigma$ and $\mu$ leads to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \beta + \frac{y_i^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^{-1} + \frac{k \lambda}{\beta + \frac{y_m^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma}} = 0,$$

$$-m \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{y_i^{(k)} - \mu}{\beta + \frac{y_i^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma}} + \frac{k \lambda (y_m^{(k)} - \mu)}{\beta + \frac{y_m^{(k)} - \mu}{\sigma}} = 0.$$

When $\lambda$ and $\beta$ are known, the MLE of $\mu$ and $\sigma$ can be obtained by numerical solution of these equations.
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