

Foliations by planes and Lie group actions

by J. A. ÁLVAREZ LÓPEZ (Santiago de Compostela),
J. L. ARRAUT (São Carlos) and C. BIASI (São Carlos)

Abstract. Let N be a closed orientable n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, and K a compact non-empty subset. We prove that the existence of a transversally orientable codimension one foliation on $N \setminus K$ with leaves homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , in the relative topology, implies that K must be connected. If in addition one imposes some restrictions on the homology of K , then N must be a homotopy sphere. Next we consider C^2 actions of a Lie group diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} on N and obtain our main result: if K , the set of singular points of the action, is a finite non-empty subset, then K contains only one point and N is homeomorphic to S^n .

1. Introduction. A codimension one C^2 foliation defined on an n -manifold such that all leaves are diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} is called a *foliation by planes*. Two foliated manifolds (V, \mathcal{F}) and (V', \mathcal{F}') are said to be C^r -conjugate if there exists a C^r homeomorphism $h : V \rightarrow V'$ that takes leaves of \mathcal{F} onto leaves of \mathcal{F}' . In this paper we first consider foliations by planes on a closed manifold N minus a compact set K . The results obtained apply to the case of a singular foliation on N defined by a C^2 integrable 1-form for which all regular leaves are planes that cluster in K , which is the union of all singular leaves. The conclusions, listed below, suggest that very few closed manifolds admit *singular foliations* by planes. Next, we apply the same techniques to obtain information on the singular set of a C^2 action of a non-compact simply connected Lie group on a closed n -manifold N . It is well known that the singular set of a C^2 action of \mathbb{R} on N is generically a finite subset, but very little is known when the group acting is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Here we prove (see Theorem 2.9) that the singular set K of a C^2 action of a Lie group G diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} on N cannot be a finite

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 57S25; Secondary 57R25, 57R30.

Key words and phrases: foliation by planes, homotopy spheres, actions.

Research of J. A. Álvarez López partially supported by the “Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia” (Spain), grant # BFM2001-3280.

Research of J. L. Arraut and C. Biasi partially supported by FAPESP, grant # 00/05385-8.

non-empty set unless N is homeomorphic to S^n , and in this case K contains exactly one point. What is generically the singular set of those actions is an open and difficult question.

2. Statements of the results. Given a manifold M we shall denote by M^* its one-point compactification and by $P : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ its universal covering map. If A is a subset of M , define $\widetilde{A} = P^{-1}(A)$. If \mathcal{F} is a foliation of M , then its lift to \widetilde{M} will be denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let M be an n -manifold foliated by planes. Then $\pi_1(M)$ is torsion-free.*

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let M be an open connected n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, and K a closed subset such that $\pi_1(M \setminus K)$ is finitely generated. If there exists a transversely orientable foliation of $M \setminus K$ by planes such that each leaf is closed, then K^* is connected.*

COROLLARY 2.3. *Under the hypothesis of Proposition 2.2:*

(i) *If $K \neq \emptyset$, then no connected component of K is compact. In particular, if K is compact, then $K = \emptyset$.*

(ii) *If $\dim_{\text{top}} K = 0$, then $K = \emptyset$.*

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let N be a closed, connected and orientable n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, and K a compact non-empty subset. If $\pi_1(N \setminus K)$ is finitely generated and there exists a transversely orientable foliation of $N \setminus K$ by planes such that each leaf is closed, then K is connected.*

Due to Proposition 2.4, there is no loss of generality if one assumes, in the next two theorems, that K is connected.

Recall that a space is called a *homology sphere* when its homology is isomorphic to the homology of some sphere, and it is called a *homotopy sphere* if its homotopy groups are isomorphic to the corresponding homotopy groups of some sphere.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let N be a closed, connected and orientable n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, and $K \subset N$ a non-empty, compact and connected ANR. Assume that $H_p(K; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $0 < p \leq [n/2]$. If $\pi_1(N \setminus K)$ is finitely generated and there exists a transversely orientable foliation of $N \setminus K$ by planes such that each leaf is closed, then N is a homology sphere.*

THEOREM 2.6. *Let N and K be as in Theorem 2.5 and assume, moreover, that $H^{n-2}(K; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\dim_{\text{top}} K \leq n - 2$. Then N is a homotopy sphere for $n = 3$, and homeomorphic to S^n if $n \geq 4$.*

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let N be a closed, connected and orientable n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, and K a non-empty compact subset with $\dim_{\text{top}} K = 0$. If*

$\pi_1(N \setminus K)$ is finitely generated and there exists a transversely orientable foliation of $N \setminus K$ by planes such that each leaf is closed, then:

- (i) K contains only one point,
- (ii) N is homeomorphic to S^n .

THEOREM 2.8. *Let N be a closed, connected and orientable 3-manifold, and K a circle embedded in N . Suppose that there exists a transversally orientable foliation of $N \setminus K$ by planes such that each leaf is closed. Then N admits a Heegaard diagram of genus one, and therefore $\pi_1(N)$ is a cyclic group. Moreover:*

- (i) if $\pi_1(N) = 0$, then N is homeomorphic to S^3 ,
- (ii) if $\pi_1(N) = \mathbb{Z}$, then N is homeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$.

Now, let G denote a Lie group diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . For $n - 1 = 2$, there are two such Lie groups: \mathbb{R}^2 and the group A^2 of orientation preserving affine transformations of \mathbb{R} . Given an action $A : N \times G \rightarrow N$, a point p is said to be a *singular point* of A if the orbit of p has topological dimension strictly less than $n - 1$. In the following propositions it will not be necessary to assume that N is orientable. Also, instead of assuming that $N \setminus K$ is foliated by planes, we shall assume that on N there is given a C^2 action of a Lie group diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

THEOREM 2.9. *Let N be a closed and connected n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, with a C^2 action of a Lie group G diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Assume that the set K of singular points of the action is non-empty and finite. Then:*

- (i) K contains only one point,
- (ii) N is homeomorphic to S^n .

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let N be a closed and connected n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, with a C^2 action of a Lie group G diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Assume that K is composed of k orbits with $k \neq 0$. Then:*

- (i) if $N \neq S^n$ then at least one orbit has dimension greater than or equal to one,
- (ii) if $N = S^n$ and $k \geq 2$, then at least one orbit has dimension greater than or equal to one.

THEOREM 2.11. *Let N be a closed and connected n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, with a C^2 action of a Lie group G diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Assume that the singular set K of the action is a Whitney stratified set that contains at least one stratum of dimension $n - 2$. If A_i is a connected component of K with $\dim A_i \leq n - 3$, then the homomorphism $\pi_1(A_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$, induced by the inclusion map $A_i \hookrightarrow N$, is not the zero map.*

COROLLARY 2.12. *Let N be a closed orientable 3-manifold with a C^2 action of a Lie group G diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 . Assume that the singular set K of the action is a Whitney stratified non-empty set. Then:*

- (i) *if $\dim K = 0$, then $N = S^3$ and K contains only one point;*
- (ii) *if $\dim K = 1$, then K does not contain isolated points.*

3. Examples

EXAMPLE 3.1. Consider the singular foliation of S^2 whose regular leaves are the meridians and the singular ones are the poles P_1 and P_2 , and form the product $S^2 \times [0, 1]$. Next, identify each $(x, 1)$ with $(\psi(x), 0)$, where $\psi : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ is a rotation, fixing the poles, of angle α such that the numbers α and 2π are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . In this way one obtains a foliation of $N = S^2 \times S^1$ by planes with singular set $K = (\{P_1\} \times S^1) \cup (\{P_2\} \times S^1)$, which is not connected. Notice that here the regular leaves are not closed in $N \setminus K$, instead they are dense in N .

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let (x_1, \dots, x_n) be the standard coordinates of \mathbb{R}^n , and let $r^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$. Then the form dx_n defines a foliation of $\mathbb{R}^n = S^n \setminus \{\infty\}$ by closed planes, and the form $e^{-r^2} dx_n$ defines a singular foliation of S^n by planes with $\{\infty\}$ as the only singular leaf.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let $S^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1\}$, $F = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$, $\mathbb{R}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_{n+1} = 0\}$, and $P : S^n \setminus F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the projection with F as focus. The vector fields $P_*^{-1}(\partial/\partial x_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, defined on $S^n \setminus F$ extend to C^∞ vector fields X_j on S^n and clearly any two of them commute. They define an action of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} on S^n where all regular orbits are planes that cluster at the stationary point F .

EXAMPLE 3.4. Consider the following three foliations on $S^1 \times D^2$, the compact solid torus. Using $(\phi, (x, y))$ as coordinates, put $\omega_1 = d\phi$ and $\omega_2 = q^*(-y dx + x dy)$, where $q : S^1 \times D^2 \rightarrow D^2$ is the projection. The leaves of the foliation \mathcal{F}_1 defined by ω_1 are the disks $\{\phi\} \times D^2$. The regular leaves of the foliation \mathcal{F}_2 defined by ω_2 are of the form $S^1 \times \{\text{ray}\}$, and the singular leaf is the central circle $K = S^1 \times \{0\}$. \mathcal{F}_3 is obtained from \mathcal{F}_1 by turbulizing the disks along the central circle. Now consider a copy of the solid torus with the foliation \mathcal{F}_1 and another copy with \mathcal{F}_2 , and identify their boundaries through the map that sends meridians onto parallels. One obtains a foliation of $S^3 \setminus K$ by closed planes. If one uses \mathcal{F}_1 on one copy and \mathcal{F}_3 on the other and identify by means of the identity map of the boundary, then one obtains a foliation of $(S^1 \times S^2) \setminus K$ by closed planes.

4. Proofs of the results. In this section, we give the proof of the statements of Section 2. In [6], Palmeira studied foliations by planes on open manifolds. He proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. *If V is an orientable open n -manifold, $n \geq 3$, which has a finitely generated fundamental group and with a transversely orientable C^2 foliation \mathcal{F} by closed planes, then there exists an orientable surface Σ and an orientable one-dimensional foliation \mathcal{F}_0 of Σ such that (V, \mathcal{F}) is conjugate by a diffeomorphism to $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}, \mathcal{F}_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2})$. When V is simply connected it is not necessary to assume either that \mathcal{F} is transversely orientable or that the leaves are closed, and moreover $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^2$ in this case.*

REMARK 1. In Theorem 4.1, each connected component of Σ can only be an open surface or a torus $S^1 \times S^1$. Since the leaves of \mathcal{F}_0 are homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} and closed in Σ , it follows that no connected component of Σ is a torus. So all connected components of Σ are open surfaces.

We start with a corollary that translates Theorem 4.1 into cohomological information.

COROLLARY 4.2. *If V and \mathcal{F} are as in Theorem 4.1, then $H_p(V) = 0$ and $H^p(V) = 0$ for $p \geq 2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, there exists an orientable surface Σ and an orientable one dimensional foliation \mathcal{F}_0 of Σ such that (V, \mathcal{F}) is conjugate by a diffeomorphism to $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}, \mathcal{F}_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2})$. In particular, V and Σ have the same homotopy type, and thus $H_p(V) \cong H_p(\Sigma)$ for each p . Moreover all connected components of Σ are open surfaces by Remark 1, and thus $H_p(V) \cong H_p(\Sigma) = 0$ and $H^p(V) \cong H^p(\Sigma) = 0$ for each $p \geq 2$. ■

Proof of Proposition 2.1. To prove that $\pi_1(M)$ is torsion-free, it is enough to show that its only finite subgroup is the trivial one. Let \mathcal{F} denote the foliation of M by planes, let H be a finite subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$, and let k denote the number of elements of H . Let $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering map, and let $\widehat{M} \rightarrow M$ be the covering map associated to H , i.e., $\pi_1(\widehat{M}) = H$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ be the foliations of \widetilde{M} and of \widehat{M} induced by \mathcal{F} ; both are foliations by planes. We have $\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$ by the last part of Theorem 4.1. Then the Euler characteristics of \widetilde{M} and \widehat{M} satisfy

$$1 = \chi(\mathbb{R}^n) = \chi(\widetilde{M}) = k \cdot \chi(\widehat{M}), \quad \chi(\widehat{M}) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

yielding $k = 1$ and $H = 0$. ■

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Consider the exact sequence of Čech cohomology groups with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 :

$$(1) \quad H^0(M^*, K^*) \rightarrow H^0(M^*) \rightarrow H^0(K^*) \rightarrow H^1(M^*, K^*) \rightarrow \dots$$

The pair (M^*, K^*) is a relative manifold, i.e., M^* is Hausdorff and compact, $K^* \subset M^*$ is closed and $M^* \setminus K^*$ is an n -manifold. Then we have the isomorphisms

$$H^p(M^*, K^*) \cong H_{n-p}(M^* \setminus K^*) = H_{n-p}(M \setminus K)$$

for $0 \leq p \leq n$, given by the Alexander–Čech duality. If we replace $H^0(M^*, K^*)$ by $H_n(M \setminus K)$ and $H^1(M^*, K^*)$ by $H_{n-1}(M \setminus K)$ in the exact sequence (1), and use Corollary 4.2 with $V = M \setminus K$, we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow H^0(K) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $H^0(K) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and consequently K^* is connected. ■

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Consider the exact sequence of singular homology groups with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} :

$$(2) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_{p+1}(N, N \setminus K) \rightarrow H_p(N \setminus K) \rightarrow H_p(N) \rightarrow H_p(N, N \setminus K) \rightarrow \dots$$

and the isomorphisms

$$H_p(N, N \setminus K) \cong H^{n-p}(K)$$

for $0 \leq p \leq n$, given by Alexander–Poincaré duality. We are using Čech cohomology for K . Then, by replacing $H_n(N, N \setminus K)$ by $H^0(K)$ in the exact sequence (2), and by using Corollary 4.2 with $V = N \setminus K$, one obtains the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H^0(K) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $H^0(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and consequently K is connected. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Consider the singular homology exact sequence of the pair (N, K) with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} :

$$(3) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_p(K) \rightarrow H_p(N) \rightarrow H_p(N, K) \rightarrow H_{p-1}(K) \rightarrow \dots,$$

and also the homology and cohomology groups of $N \setminus K$. Since K is an ANR (absolute neighbourhood retract) we have, by duality, the isomorphisms

$$H_p(N, K) \cong H^{n-p}(N \setminus K)$$

for $p \geq 0$. Therefore the exact sequence (3) can be written as

$$(4) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_p(K) \rightarrow H_p(N) \rightarrow H^{n-p}(N \setminus K) \rightarrow H_{p-1}(K) \rightarrow \dots$$

By assumption, we have $H_p(K) = 0$ for $1 \leq p \leq [n/2]$. Moreover $H^{n-p}(N \setminus K) = 0$ for $1 \leq p \leq [n/2]$ by Corollary 4.2 since $n - p \geq 2$. From (4) we obtain $H_p(N) = 0$ for $1 \leq p \leq [n/2]$, yielding $H^{n-p}(N) = 0$ by Poincaré duality. Hence $H_p(N) = 0$ for $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$ since we can write

$$H_p(N) = F_p \oplus T_p, \quad H^p(N) = F_p \oplus T_{p-1},$$

where F denotes the free part and T the torsion part (see e.g. [3, p. 136]). ■

Proof of Theorem 2.6. We already know, by Theorem 2.5, that N is a homology sphere. To see that N is a homotopy sphere, it is enough to prove that $\pi_1(N) = 0$. This simplification can be proved as follows. Since N is a homology sphere and a closed connected oriented n -manifold, its homology is isomorphic to the homology of S^n . Hence $\pi_i(N) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$ and $\pi_n(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem [8, pp. 397–398]. Then, for any map $f : S^n \rightarrow N$ representing a generator of $\pi_n(N)$, the induced map $f_* : H_n(S^n) \rightarrow H_n(N)$ is an isomorphism, and thus $f_* : H_i(S^n) \rightarrow H_i(N)$ is an isomorphism for all i . Therefore $\pi_i(f) : \pi_i(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_i(N)$ is an isomorphism for all i by the Whitehead theorem [8, p. 399], which is a consequence of the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem.

From the exact sequence (2), using $H^{n-2}(K) = 0$ and $H^1(N) = 0$, one obtains $H^1(N \setminus K) = H^1(\Sigma) = 0$ with the notation of Theorem 4.1 for $V = N \setminus K$. So Σ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 , and thus $N \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , yielding $\pi_1(N \setminus K) = 0$. Finally, since $\dim_{\text{top}} K \leq n - 2$ and N is a Cantor manifold [5, p. 93], it follows that the map $\pi_1(N \setminus K) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$ induced by the inclusion is surjective, and consequently $\pi_1(N) = 0$ as desired. The fact that N is homeomorphic to S^n for $n \geq 4$ follows from the celebrated theorems of Freedman [1] (for $n = 4$) and Smale [7] (for $n \geq 5$). ■

Proof of Corollary 2.7. K is connected by Proposition 2.4. So K reduces to a point since $\dim_{\text{top}} K = 0$. By Theorem 2.6, N is a homotopy sphere, and therefore homeomorphic to S^n for $n \geq 4$. For $n = 3$, the fact that K is a point and $N \setminus K$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 implies that N is homeomorphic to S^3 as well. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Let $T(K)$ be an open tubular neighbourhood of K diffeomorphic to the solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$, and let $V = N \setminus \overline{T(K)}$. Observe that $N \setminus K$ is homotopic to $N \setminus T(K)$, which is a compact manifold with boundary, and thus $\pi_1(N \setminus K)$ is finitely generated. Since V is diffeomorphic to $N \setminus K$, we see that V satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Therefore V is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ for some connected orientable surface Σ , which is open by Remark 1. We have $H_p(V) = \underline{H_p(\Sigma)} = 0$ for $p \geq 2$ by Corollary 4.2. From the formula $\chi(N) = \chi(V) - \chi(T(K))$, which relates the Euler characteristics, the Betti numbers of Σ satisfy

$$0 = \beta_0(\Sigma) - \beta_1(\Sigma) = 1 - \beta_1(\Sigma),$$

i.e., $\beta_1(\Sigma) = 1$. Since the first Betti number is a complete invariant for connected orientable surfaces when it is finite, it follows that Σ is homeomorphic to $S^1 \times (0, 1)$, and consequently V is homeomorphic to $S^1 \times D^2$. Thus N is obtained by pasting two copies of $S^1 \times D^2$, which means that N admits a Heegaard splitting of genus one. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Let \tilde{N} be the universal covering space of N . Since G is simply connected, the C^2 action of G on N lifts to a C^2 action of G on \tilde{N} . The singular points of this action on \tilde{N} are those over points in K , and they form a discrete subset $\tilde{K} \subset \tilde{N}$. Moreover this action on \tilde{N} defines a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\tilde{N} \setminus \tilde{K}$, which is the lift of \mathcal{F} .

For any leaf L of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, consider the homomorphism $\pi_1(j) : \pi_1(L) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{N} \setminus \tilde{K})$ induced by the inclusion map $j : L \hookrightarrow \tilde{N} \setminus \tilde{K}$. On the one hand, we know that $\pi_1(\tilde{N} \setminus \tilde{K}) \cong \pi_1(\tilde{N}) = 0$. On the other hand, $\pi_1(j)$ is injective. In fact, if $\pi_1(j)$ were not injective, then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ would have a vanishing cycle by a theorem of Novikov [2, p. 265]; but a foliation defined by a locally free action of a Lie group has no vanishing cycles [2, p. 270]. Therefore $\pi_1(L) = 0$, and thus the isotropy group at any point of L is trivial. So L is diffeomorphic to G , and thus to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} ; i.e., $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a foliation by planes. Moreover the leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ are closed in $\tilde{N} \setminus \tilde{K}$ [2, p. 270]. Then, by Theorem 4.1, $\tilde{N} \setminus \tilde{K}$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , which has only one end. But any point of \tilde{K} can be considered as an end of $\tilde{N} \setminus \tilde{K}$ because \tilde{K} is discrete in \tilde{N} , which shows that \tilde{K} contains only one point. Hence K contains only one point as well, and \tilde{N} is a one-fold covering of N , i.e., $\tilde{N} = N$. So N is the one-point compactification of \mathbb{R}^n , and thus homeomorphic to S^n . ■

Proof of Theorem 2.11. Recall that the dimension of a Whitney stratified set X is the maximum of the dimensions of its strata, which equals its topological dimension because X is triangulable according to a result of M. Goresky.

Consider the decomposition of K into its connected components, which are also Whitney stratified sets:

$$K = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_\alpha \cup B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_\beta,$$

where $\dim A_i \leq n - 3$, $i = 1, \dots, \alpha$, and $\dim B_j = n - 2$, $j = 1, \dots, \beta$. Let $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_\alpha$ and $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_\beta$. By assumption $B \neq \emptyset$, thus $M = N \setminus B$ is an open manifold because B is compact. Since G is simply connected, the C^2 action of G on M can be lifted to a C^2 action of G on \tilde{M} . The singular set \tilde{A} of this action is the inverse image of A . Consider the commutative diagram induced by inclusions and projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{A}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\tilde{M}) \\ \text{injective} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{injective} \\ \pi_1(M \setminus A) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(M) \end{array}$$

Note that M is homotopic to a compact manifold with boundary (the complement in N of an appropriate open neighbourhood of B); so $\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated. It follows that the map $\pi_1(M \setminus A) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is an iso-

morphism since $\dim A \leq n - 3$ (A is a finite union of manifolds of dimension $\leq n - 3$). Therefore $\pi_1(\widetilde{M} \setminus \widetilde{A}) = 0$. Let \mathcal{F} be the foliation of $M \setminus A$ defined by the orbits of the action. One deduces, as in the proof of Theorem 2.9, that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is transversely orientable with closed leaves. Then $\widetilde{M} \setminus \widetilde{A}$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n by Theorem 4.1, and $(\widetilde{A})^*$ is connected by Proposition 2.2. It follows that each \widetilde{A}_i is non-compact, and thus the projection $\widetilde{A}_i \rightarrow A_i$ is a non-trivial covering map. So $\pi_1(A_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$ is not the zero map because its image can be canonically identified with the group of deck transformations of $A_i \rightarrow A_i$. ■

References

- [1] M. H. Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), 357–453.
- [2] C. Godbillon, *Feuilletages. Études Géométriques*, Progr. Math. 98, Birkhäuser, 1991.
- [3] M. Greenberg, *Lectures on Algebraic Topology*, Benjamin, New York, 1967.
- [4] A. Haefliger, *Sur les feuilletages des variétés de dimension n par des feuilles fermées de dimension $n - 1$* , in: Colloque de Topologie de Strasbourg, 1955, 8 pp.
- [5] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1948.
- [6] C. F. B. Palmeira, *Open manifolds foliated by planes*, Ann. of Math. 107 (1978), 109–131.
- [7] S. Smale, *Generalized Poincaré's conjecture in dimensions greater than four*, *ibid.* 74 (1961), 391–406.
- [8] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

Departamento de Xeometría e Topoloxía
 Facultade de Matemáticas
 Universidade de Santiago de Compostela
 15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
 E-mail: jalvarez@usc.es

Departamento de Matemática
 Instituto de Matemática e Computação
 Universidade de São Paulo
 Campus de São Carlos
 Caixa Postal 668
 13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brasil
 E-mail: arraut@icmc.usp.br
 biasi@icmc.usp.br

Reçu par la Rédaction le 31.7.2002
Révisé le 18.11.2002 et le 14.3.2003

(1351)