

Bifurcation theorems for nonlinear problems with lack of compactness

by FRANCESCA FARACI (Catania) and ROBERTO LIVREA (Messina)

Abstract. We deal with a bifurcation result for the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u + \lambda f(x, u) & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Starting from a weak lower semicontinuity result by E. Montefusco, which allows us to apply a general variational principle by B. Ricceri, we prove that, for μ close to zero, there exists a positive number λ_μ^* such that for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$ the above problem admits a nonzero weak solution u_λ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_\lambda\| = 0$.

1. Introduction. In the present paper we are interested in the existence of solutions for the Dirichlet problem

$$(P_{\lambda,\mu}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u + \lambda f(x, u) & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) containing the origin, $1 < p < N$, $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function with $f(x, 0) = 0$, and λ, μ are two parameters respectively positive and nonnegative.

The presence of the term $\mu/|x|^p$ does not allow us to apply the classical variational approach. The Hardy inequality ensures that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is continuously, but not compactly embedded in $L^p(\Omega)$ with respect to the weight $|x|^{-p}$. Because of this lack of compactness we are not able to obtain the weak lower semicontinuity of the energy functional via the classical De Giorgi theorem.

The problem

$$(P_\mu) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u + f(x) & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35B32, 35J60.

Key words and phrases: bifurcation point, p -Laplacian, critical points.

is studied in [3], where $f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ and $\mu < H$, H being the best constant in the Hardy inequality. In particular, starting from the coercivity and homogeneity of the energy functional, the authors of [3] are able to prove the required compactness property by finding a minimizing sequence converging to a global minimum.

The authors of [1] are interested in minima of the nondifferentiable functional

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla u) \, dx - a \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x)u(x) \, dx,$$

where $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $j(x, \xi)$ is a convex function with respect to ξ , satisfying

$$\alpha|\xi|^2 \leq j(x, \xi) \leq \beta|\xi|^2$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, a.e. in Ω . With no information about the weak lower semicontinuity of the functional, they state the existence of a global minimum using a truncation approach.

We refer moreover to the recent papers [2] and [4] for a complete survey of the topic. The authors of [4] deal with the problem

$$(\tilde{P}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \frac{\mu}{|x|^s} |u|^{q-2} u + \lambda |u|^{r-2} u & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq s \leq p < n$, $q \leq p^*(s) = (p-s)/(n-p)p$. In particular in the case $s = q = p$, $p < r < p^*$ they obtain infinitely many solutions, at least one of them being positive, for any $\lambda > 0$ and $0 < \mu < H$. We notice that the main assumption in that paper is a bound on r , which is incompatible with our hypothesis on the nonlinearity at zero (see condition (3)).

Here we propose a novel approach to the subject. In particular, combining a weak lower semicontinuity result by E. Montefusco [5] with a recent variational principle by B. Ricceri [6] we establish a bifurcation theorem for problem $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$ just assuming a suitable behaviour of $f(x, t)$ at zero. Moreover, we prove that if λ is sufficiently small, then the energy functional related to the problem is negative and decreasing on the solutions.

Finally, using the same technique, we obtain an analogous result for the problem

$$(P_{\lambda,\mu}^*) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \mu \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*-1} |u|^{p^*-2} u + \lambda f(x, u) & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where n , p and f are as in problem $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$, Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $p^* = np/(n-p)$.

2. Preliminaries. Assume the following growth condition on f : there exist two positive constants a, q with

$$q < \frac{n(p-1)+p}{n-p}$$

and a nonnegative constant b such that

$$(1) \quad |f(x, \xi)| \leq a|\xi|^q + b$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. in Ω .

Denote by X the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let us recall the Hardy inequality

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq \frac{1}{H} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx,$$

where Ω is an open set in \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin and H is the best constant in the inclusion of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ with weight $|x|^{-p}$. In particular, when Ω is a ball, $H = ((n-p)/p)^p$ (see [3]).

For each $u \in X$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mu}(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx, \\ \Phi(u) &= - \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^{u(x)} f(x, \xi) d\xi \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In [5] it is shown that \mathcal{H}_{μ} is a well defined and continuously Gateaux differentiable functional in X . Moreover, if $\mu \in [0, H[$, then \mathcal{H}_{μ} is weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive.

Standard arguments show that Φ is a well defined and continuously Gateaux differentiable functional whose Gateaux derivative is a compact operator from X to X^* .

A weak solution of problem $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$ is any $u \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p-2}}{|x|^p} u(x)v(x) dx \\ - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x))v(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in X. \end{aligned}$$

For each $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the functional $J_{\lambda,\mu} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = \mathcal{H}_{\mu}(u) + \lambda\Phi(u)$$

and observe that it is the energy functional related to $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$.

Finally, for the reader's convenience, we recall the main tool that we will use. It is due to B. Ricceri and it can be stated as follows:

THEOREM A ([6, Theorem 2.5]). *Let X be a reflexive real Banach space, and let $\Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functionals. Assume also that Ψ is (strongly) continuous and $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi(x) = +\infty$. For each $\varrho > \inf_X \Psi$, put*

$$\varphi(\varrho) = \inf_{x \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho])} \frac{\Phi(x) - \inf_{\text{cl}_w \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho])} \Phi}{\varrho - \Psi(x)},$$

where cl_w is the closure in the weak topology. Then, for each $\varrho > \inf_X \Psi$ and each $\lambda > \varphi(\varrho)$, the restriction of the functional $\Phi + \lambda\Psi$ to $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \varrho])$ has a global minimum.

3. Main result

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function with $f(x, 0) = 0$, satisfying condition (1). Assume that there are a nonempty open set $D \subseteq \Omega$ and a set $B \subseteq D$ of positive measure such that*

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\inf_{x \in B} \int_0^\xi f(x, t) dt}{|\xi|^p} &= +\infty, \\ \liminf_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\inf_{x \in D} \int_0^\xi f(x, t) dt}{|\xi|^p} &> -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then for every $\mu \in [0, H[$ there exists a positive number λ_μ^* such that for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$ problem $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$ admits a nonzero weak solution u_λ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_\lambda\| = 0$$

and the function $\lambda \mapsto J_{\lambda, \mu}(u_\lambda)$ is negative and decreasing in $]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$.

Proof. Fix $\mu \in [0, H[$. We want to apply Theorem A, where $X = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $\Psi = \mathcal{H}_\mu$ and Φ is the functional introduced in Section 2.

Since $\mu \in [0, H[$, we have already observed in Section 2 that Φ and Ψ are two sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and continuously Gateaux differentiable functionals. Moreover, Ψ is coercive and clearly $\inf_{u \in X} \Psi(u) = 0$.

Let $\bar{\varrho} > 0$ be such that $\varphi(\bar{\varrho}) > 0$ and put $\lambda_\mu^* = 1/\varphi(\bar{\varrho})$. Thanks to Theorem A, for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$ there exists $u_\lambda \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \bar{\varrho}])$ such that

$$(4) \quad \Phi'(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \Psi'(u_\lambda) = 0$$

and, in particular, u_λ is a global minimum of the restriction of $\Phi + \frac{1}{\lambda}\Psi$ to $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \bar{\varrho}])$.

Fix $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*];$ we will prove that $u_\lambda \neq 0$. To this end, let us prove that

$$(5) \quad \liminf_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Phi(u)}{\Psi(u)} = -\infty.$$

Thanks to (3) we can fix a sequence $\{\xi_k\}$ in \mathbb{R}^+ converging to zero and two constants δ and Γ with $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\inf_{x \in B} \int_0^{\xi_k} f(x, t) dt}{|\xi_k|^p} = +\infty$$

and

$$\inf_{x \in D} \int_0^\xi f(x, t) dt \geq \Gamma |\xi|^p$$

for all $\xi \in [0, \delta]$. Next, fix a set $C \subset B$ of positive measure and a function $v \in X$ such that $v(x) \in [0, 1]$ for all $x \in \Omega$, $v(x) = 1$ for all $x \in C$, and $v(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus D$. Let $Q > 0$, put

$$\|v\|^p = \int_\Omega \frac{|v(x)|^p}{|x|^p} dx$$

and consider a positive number T with

$$Q < \frac{T \operatorname{meas}(C) + \Gamma \int_{D \setminus C} |v(x)|^p dx}{\frac{1}{p} \|v\|^p - \frac{\mu}{p} \|v\|^p}.$$

Then there is $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\xi_k < \delta$ and

$$\inf_{x \in B} \int_0^{\xi_k} f(x, t) dt \geq T |\xi_k|^p$$

for all $k > \nu$. Now, for each $k > \nu$, one has

$$(6) \quad -\frac{\Phi(\xi_k v)}{\Psi(\xi_k v)} = \frac{\int_C (\int_0^{\xi_k} f(x, t) dt) dx + \int_{D \setminus C} (\int_0^{\xi_k v(x)} f(x, t) dt) dx}{\frac{1}{p} \|\xi_k v\|^p - \frac{\mu}{p} \|\xi_k v\|^p} \\ \geq \frac{T \operatorname{meas}(C) + \Gamma \int_{D \setminus C} |v(x)|^p dx}{\frac{1}{p} \|v\|^p - \frac{\mu}{p} \|v\|^p} > Q.$$

From (6), clearly (5) follows. Hence, there is a sequence $\{w_k\}$ in X converging to zero such that for k large enough we have $w_k \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \bar{\varrho}[)$, and

$$\Phi(w_k) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \Psi(w_k) < 0.$$

Since u_λ is a global minimum of the restriction of $\Phi + \frac{1}{\lambda} \Psi$ to $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \bar{\varrho}[)$, we can conclude that

$$(7) \quad \Phi(u_\lambda) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \Psi(u_\lambda) < 0 = \Phi(0) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \Psi(0)$$

so that $u_\lambda \neq 0$.

Observing that the weak solutions of problem $(P_{\lambda,\mu})$ are exactly the critical points of the functional $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ and that

$$(8) \quad J_{\lambda,\mu} = \lambda \left(\Phi + \frac{1}{\lambda} \Psi \right),$$

one finds that the first part of our theorem is completely proved.

Since Ψ is coercive and $u_\lambda \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \bar{\rho}[)$ for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$, there exists a positive number L such that

$$\|u_\lambda\| \leq L$$

for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$. Therefore, since Φ' is a compact operator, there exists a positive number M such that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_\lambda(x)) u_\lambda(x) dx \right| \leq \|\Phi'(u_\lambda)\|_{X^*} \|u_\lambda\| \leq M \cdot L^2$$

for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$.

By (4), $J'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$ and in particular $(J'_\lambda(u_\lambda))(u_\lambda) = 0$, that is,

$$(9) \quad p \cdot \Psi(u_\lambda) = \|u_\lambda\|^p - \mu \|u_\lambda\|^p = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_\lambda(x)) u_\lambda(x) dx$$

for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$. Hence

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(u_\lambda) = 0.$$

Finally, putting together (2) and (9) yields

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u_\lambda\|^p \leq \Psi(u_\lambda) + \frac{\mu}{p \cdot H} \|u_\lambda\|^p$$

for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$, hence

$$\|u_\lambda\|^p \leq \frac{p \cdot H}{H - \mu} \Psi(u_\lambda)$$

for every $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$ and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_\lambda\| = 0.$$

From (7) and (8) it follows that the function $\lambda \mapsto J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_\lambda)$ is negative in $]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$. Finally, if we fix $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in]0, \lambda_\mu^*[$ with $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ and put

$$m_{\lambda_1} = \Phi(u_{\lambda_1}) + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \Psi(u_{\lambda_1}) = \inf_{v \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \bar{\rho}[)} \left(\Phi(v) + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \Psi(v) \right),$$

$$m_{\lambda_2} = \Phi(u_{\lambda_2}) + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \Psi(u_{\lambda_2}) = \inf_{v \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, \bar{\rho}[)} \left(\Phi(v) + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \Psi(v) \right),$$

then

$$J_{\lambda_1, \mu}(u_{\lambda}) = \lambda_1 m_{\lambda_1} > \lambda_2 m_{\lambda_1} \geq \lambda_2 m_{\lambda_2} = J_{\lambda_2, \mu}(u_{\lambda_2}).$$

Hence, $\lambda \mapsto J_{\lambda, \mu}(u_{\lambda})$ is decreasing in $]0, \lambda_{\mu}^*[$ and the proof is complete. ■

REMARK 1. Observe that Theorem 3.1 is a bifurcation result. In fact, since $f(x, 0) = 0$ it follows that 0 is a solution of $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$ for every λ, μ . Hence, $\lambda = 0$ is a bifurcation point for problem $(P_{\lambda, \mu})$, in the sense that $(0, 0)$ belongs to the closure in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}$ of the set

$$\{(u, \lambda) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times]0, +\infty[: u \text{ is a weak solution of } (P_{\lambda, \mu}), u \neq 0\}.$$

Anyway, also when $f(x, 0) \neq 0$ and f , in addition to assumption (3), satisfies the growth condition

$$|f(x, \xi)| \leq a(1 + |\xi|^q)$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. in Ω , where $a > 0$ and $q < \frac{n(p-1)+p}{n-p}$, the statements of Theorem 3.1 are still true.

Here is an example of application of Theorem 3.1.

EXAMPLE 1. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$, $1 < p < n$ and $\alpha, \beta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ two continuous and bounded functions. Assume that $\sup_{\Omega} \alpha > 0$ and that β is a positive function with $\inf_{\Omega} \beta > 0$. Then for each $\mu \in [0, H[$ there exists a positive number λ_{μ}^* such that the problem

$$(\tilde{P}_{\lambda, \mu}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} |u|^{p-2} u + \lambda[\alpha(x)|u|^{r-2} u + \beta(x)|u|^{s-2} u] & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

with $1 < r < p$ and $p < s < p^*$ admits a nonzero weak solution u_{λ} in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_{\lambda}\| = 0$ and the energy functional related to problem $(\tilde{P}_{\lambda, \mu})$ is negative and decreasing in $]0, \lambda_{\mu}^*[$.

To prove this, we can apply Theorem 3.1 with

$$f(x, \xi) = \alpha(x)|\xi|^{r-2}\xi + \beta(x)|\xi|^{s-2}\xi$$

for every $(x, \xi) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$.

It is easy to verify that condition (1) holds. Denote by B_{ϱ} the open ball centred at x_0 with radius ϱ , and let ϱ be such that $B_{\varrho} \subseteq \Omega$ and $\min_{B_{\varrho}} \alpha > 0$. If we put $D = B = B_{\varrho}$, a simple computation shows that

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\inf_{x \in B} \int_0^{\xi} f(x, t) dt}{|\xi|^p} \geq \frac{\min_B \alpha}{r} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{p-r}} = +\infty.$$

Hence all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are verified and the conclusion follows.

REMARK 2. We point out that the energy functional $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ related to problem $(\tilde{P}_{\lambda, \mu})$ is not coercive. In particular, it is unbounded from below.

In fact, if we fix $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(\tau v) = \frac{\tau^p}{p} \|v\|^p - \frac{\mu\tau^p}{p} \|v\|^p - \lambda \left[\frac{\tau^r}{r} \left(\int_{\Omega} \alpha(x) dx \right) \|v\|_r^r + \frac{\tau^s}{s} \left(\int_{\Omega} \beta(x) dx \right) \|v\|_s^s \right].$$

So, as $s > p > r$, it follows that $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} J_{\lambda,\mu}(\tau v) = -\infty$.

Let now Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and consider, for each $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, the functional $I_{\lambda,\mu} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by putting

$$I_{\lambda,\mu}(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*} + \lambda \Phi(u)$$

for each $u \in X$.

Taking into account the Sobolev inequality

$$(10) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} \leq \frac{1}{S^{1/p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where $u \in X$ and S is the best constant in the Sobolev inclusion (see [7]), and observing that $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ is the energy functional related to problem $(P_{\lambda,\mu}^*)$ introduced in the introduction, in analogy to Theorem 3.1, we can state the following

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Then for every $\mu \in [0, S[$ there exists a positive number ν_{μ}^* such that for every $\lambda \in]0, \nu_{\mu}^*[$ problem $(P_{\lambda,\mu}^*)$ admits a nonzero weak solution u_{λ} in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover,*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_{\lambda}\| = 0$$

and the function $\lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda,\mu}(u_{\lambda})$ is negative and decreasing in $]0, \nu_{\mu}^[$.*

References

- [1] D. Arcoya and L. Boccardo, *Some remarks on critical point theory for nondifferentiable functionals*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 6 (1999), 79–100.
- [2] I. Ekeland and N. Ghoussoub, *Selected new aspects of the calculus of variations in the large*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (2002), 207–265.
- [3] J. P. García Azorero and I. Peral, *Hardy inequalities and some critical elliptic and parabolic problems*, J. Differential Equations 144 (1998), 441–476.
- [4] N. Ghoussoub and C. Yuan, *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 5703–5743.
- [5] E. Montefusco, *Lower semicontinuity of functionals via the concentration-compactness principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263 (2001), 264–276.

- [6] B. Ricceri, *A general variational principle and some of its applications*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 113 (2000), 401–410.
- [7] G. Talenti, *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 110 (1976), 353–372.

Dipartimento di Matematica
e Informatica
Università di Catania
Viale A. Doria 6
95125 Catania, Italy
E-mail: ffaraci@dmi.unict.it

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Messina
Salita Sperone 31
98166 Sant’Agata, Messina, Italy
E-mail: livrea@dipmat.unime.it

Reçu par la Rédaction le 9.1.2003

(1420)