

A domain whose envelope of holomorphy is not a domain

by EDGAR LEE STOUT (Seattle, WA)

Abstract. We construct a domain of holomorphy in \mathbb{C}^N , $N \geq 2$, whose envelope of holomorphy is not diffeomorphic to a domain in \mathbb{C}^N .

The envelope of holomorphy plays a central role in multivariate complex analysis; the standard textbooks in several complex variables give various constructions for it. Given a domain D in \mathbb{C}^N , the *envelope of holomorphy* of D is a Riemann domain (D^*, π) so that $\pi : D^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is a locally biholomorphic map. Roughly speaking, (D^*, π) is the largest Riemann domain to which all functions holomorphic on D extend. The manifold D^* is presented as an abstractly given complex manifold, and, in general, the map π is not injective. Thus, the manifold D^* is not presented as a domain in \mathbb{C}^N . The question arose in a recent discussion with some colleagues whether D^* might, nonetheless, be biholomorphically equivalent to a domain in \mathbb{C}^N .

It is the purpose of this note to exhibit a domain Ω in \mathbb{C}^N , $N \geq 2$, whose envelope of holomorphy is not biholomorphically equivalent to a domain in \mathbb{C}^N . The construction of Ω requires the following lemma, in which \mathbb{B}_n denotes the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n centered at the origin.

LEMMA. In \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$, let

$$D_\varepsilon = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}_x^n + i\mathbb{R}_y^n : z \in \mathbb{B}_n \text{ and } |y| > \varepsilon\}.$$

If K is a compact subset of \mathbb{B}_n , then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a domain $\tilde{D}_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ that contains D_ε and K , and with the property that each function holomorphic on D_ε continues holomorphically into \tilde{D}_ε .

Precisely: The fixed domain \tilde{D}_ε has the property that for each function f holomorphic on D_ε there is a corresponding (single-valued) function \tilde{f} holomorphic on \tilde{D}_ε that is an extension of f .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 32D10.

Key words and phrases: envelope of holomorphy.

Proof. The set $b\mathbb{B}_n \cap \{z : y = 0\}$ is the $(n - 1)$ -sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = b\mathbb{B}_n \cap \mathbb{R}_x^n$, which, as a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , is polynomially convex. Consequently, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough that the polynomially convex hull of the set $S_\varepsilon = b\mathbb{B}_n \cap \{z : |y| \leq \varepsilon\}$ is contained in $\overline{\mathbb{B}_n} \setminus K$. Thus, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small, then \widehat{S}_ε , the polynomially convex hull of the set S_ε , is disjoint from K . A function holomorphic on D_ε continues holomorphically into $\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \widehat{S}_\varepsilon$. For this continuation, one can consult the appendix to [5] or [1]. For the $\widetilde{D}_\varepsilon$ of the lemma, we can take the latter set.

We now proceed to the construction of Ω .

Fix an integer $N \geq 2$. The space \mathbb{C}^N contains N -dimensional compact totally real submanifolds, e.g., the unit torus \mathbb{T}^N , which is the distinguished boundary of the unit polydisc in \mathbb{C}^N . The paper [6]—see in particular Lemmas 3, 4, and 5—therefore provides in \mathbb{C}^N a pair M_1 and M_2 of compact, connected, totally real N -dimensional submanifolds of class \mathcal{C}^∞ with the following properties:

- (a) $M_1 \cap M_2$ consists of two points, say p_1 and p_2 .
- (b) In a neighborhood of p_1 and in a neighborhood of p_2 the manifolds M_1 and M_2 coincide with their tangent planes and these tangent planes are transversal.
- (c) For small balls B_j centered at p_j , each of the intersections $\overline{B_j} \cap (M_1 \cup M_2)$ is polynomially convex.

It is further shown that

- (d) The union $M_1 \cup M_2$ has a neighborhood basis consisting of Stein domains.

Let us denote the union $M_1 \cup M_2$ by Σ . That Σ has a Stein neighborhood basis implies that it is holomorphically convex in the sense of Harvey and Wells [2], i.e., that every nonzero complex homomorphism of the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma)$ of germs of functions holomorphic on Σ is of the form $f \mapsto f(p)$ for some necessarily unique point $p \in \Sigma$. Alternatively phrased, Σ is, in a natural way, the spectrum of the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma)$. As a consequence of the holomorphic convexity of Σ , we can invoke an approximation theorem of O'Farrell, Preskenis, and Walsh [4, Theorem 2] to conclude that every continuous function on Σ can be approximated uniformly on Σ by functions holomorphic on varying neighborhoods of Σ .

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the point p_1 from property (a) above is the origin. We can then choose coordinates so that the tangent space T_0M_1 is \mathbb{R}^N and so that in the unit ball \mathbb{B}_N centered at 0, the manifolds M_1 and M_2 both coincide with their tangent planes, which means that $M_1 \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}_N} = \mathbb{R}^N \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}_N}$ and that $M_2 \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}_N} = T_0M_2 \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}_N}$.

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \Sigma$ map the unit circle \mathbb{T} in the plane into Σ homeomorphically and in such a way that $\gamma(1) = 0$, $\gamma(-1) = p_2$, and so that γ carries the upper half of \mathbb{T} into M_1 , and the lower half into M_2 . The map γ is not homotopically trivial in Σ .

We construct a continuous function $\varphi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with $\varphi \circ \gamma(e^{it}) = e^{it}$ for all points $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$. There is such a function: By replacing φ by $\varphi/|\varphi|$ if necessary, we see that it suffices to find a zero-free function φ on Σ such that $\varphi \circ \gamma(e^{it}) = e^{it}$ for all points $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$. To do this, let λ_1 and λ_2 be continuous real-valued functions on M_1 and M_2 , respectively, that satisfy $\lambda_1 \circ \gamma(e^{it}) = t$ when $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ and $\lambda_2 \circ \gamma(e^{it}) = t$ when $\pi \leq t \leq 2\pi$. The function φ that agrees on M_1 with $e^{i\lambda_1}$ and on M_2 with $e^{i\lambda_2}$ is continuous and zero-free on Σ and satisfies $\varphi \circ \gamma(e^{it}) = e^{it}$ for all t . By construction, $\varphi|M_1$ is homotopic to a constant as is $\varphi|M_2$. (That $\varphi|M_1$ is homotopic to a constant, is immediate: The map $H : [0, 1] \times M_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ given by $H(t, x) = e^{it\lambda_1(x)}$ is a homotopy connecting $H(1, \cdot) = \varphi = e^{i\lambda_1}$ to the constant map $H(0, \cdot)$.)

By the approximation theorem of O’Farrell, Preskenis, and Walsh, the function φ can be approximated uniformly on Σ by functions holomorphic on varying neighborhoods of Σ . There is, therefore, a neighborhood Ω_0 of Σ on which there is a zero-free holomorphic function f_0 such that the map $f_0 \circ \gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is homotopic to the inclusion $\mathbb{T} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, such that $\Omega_0 = \Omega_{0,1} \cup \Omega_{0,2}$ with $\Omega_{0,1}$ and $\Omega_{0,2}$ domains that contain M_1 and M_2 , respectively, such that $f_0|\Omega_{0,1}$ and $f_0|\Omega_{0,2}$ have holomorphic logarithms, say ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . These logarithms can be chosen so that $\ell_1(p_2) = \ell_2(p_2)$. With this choice, $\ell_1(0) = \ell_2(0) \pm 2\pi i$.

Let $\Omega \subset \Omega_0$ be a domain of the form $W_1 \cup W_2$, where W_1 is a thin ribbon around M_1 that is contained in $\Omega_{0,1}$ and that satisfies $W_1 \cap \mathbb{B}_N \subset \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{R}_x^N + i\mathbb{R}_y^N : |y| < \eta\}$ for a small $\eta > 0$ whose size will be specified further below. The domain W_2 is constructed in the following way. The domain $\Omega_{0,2}$ contains a ball $\mathbb{B}(0, r)$ of some radius $r > 0$ centered at the origin. We take r to be less than 1 so that at points of $\mathbb{B}_N(0, r)$ the manifold M_2 agrees with its tangent space. Having fixed r , we introduce the set

$$\Delta_{r,\varepsilon} = \{z = x + iy \in (\mathbb{R}_x^N + i\mathbb{R}_y^N) \cap \mathbb{B}_N(0, r) : y > |\varepsilon|\}.$$

We insist that our ε be so small that the tangent space T_0M_2 meets the sphere $b\mathbb{B}_N(0, r)$ in a set that is contained in $\bar{\Delta}_{r,\varepsilon}$. The Lemma proved above shows that there is a domain D_0 containing $\Delta_{r,\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbb{B}_N(0, r) \cap T_0M_2$ such that every function f that is holomorphic on $\Delta_{r,\varepsilon}$ continues holomorphically into D_0 .

Our domain W_2 is defined by

$$W_2 = (V \setminus \mathbb{B}_N(0, r)) \cup \Delta_{r,\varepsilon},$$

in which $V \subset \Omega_0$ is a thin tube around the manifold M_2 that is contained in $\Omega_{0,2}$.

We now choose the η used in the definition of W_1 to be smaller than the ε used in the definition of W_2 . This has the effect that W_1 and $\Delta_{r,\varepsilon}$ are disjoint and, indeed, have disjoint closures.

As noted already, our domain Ω is the union $W_1 \cup W_2$.

The envelope of holomorphy of Ω is a Riemann domain (Ω^*, π) . It has the property that $\pi(\Omega^*) \supset \Omega$, but more than that, $\pi(\Omega^*)$ also contains the domain D_0 .

Let $\iota : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^*$ be the canonical injection, so that $\pi \circ \iota$ is the identity map on Ω , and for every f holomorphic on Ω , there is a unique f^* holomorphic on Ω^* that satisfies $f = f^* \circ \iota$.

The map ι carries the manifold M_1 onto a submanifold M_1^* of Ω^* . It also carries the open subset $M_{2,+} = M_2 \setminus (\mathbb{B}_N(0, r) \cap \{z : |y| \leq \varepsilon\})$ onto a locally closed ⁽¹⁾ submanifold $M_{2,+}^*$ of Ω^* that meets M_1^* at a single point, viz., at the point $\iota(p_2)$, and the intersection there is transversal.

Because the manifold Ω^* is a Stein manifold, there is a map $j : D_0 \rightarrow \Omega^*$ that agrees on $\Delta_{r,\varepsilon}$ with ι .

The existence of j is seen as follows. Since Ω^* is a Stein manifold, we can assume it to be a complex submanifold of \mathbb{C}^m for a sufficiently large m . The map ι is defined on $\Delta_{r,\varepsilon}$, and, with $\Omega^* \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, it is given by an m -tuple $(\iota_1, \dots, \iota_m)$ of holomorphic functions. Each ι_s extends to a holomorphic function j_s on D_0 . The map j is then the m -tuple (j_1, \dots, j_m) .

It carries the totally real disc $\Gamma = T_0 M_2 \cap \mathbb{B}_N(0, r)$ onto a locally closed submanifold Γ^* of Ω^* . The set $\Gamma^* \cup M_{2,+}^*$ is a smooth submanifold—call it M_2^* —of Ω^* that is diffeomorphic to the manifold M_2 .

The manifolds M_1^* and M_2^* meet only at the point $\iota(p_2)$, which we denote by p_2^* . Because the map ι is injective, the intersection $M_1^* \cap M_2^*$ is necessarily contained in the set $\pi^{-1}(M_1 \cap M_2) = \pi^{-1}(0) \cup \{p_2^*\}$. The point now is that $\iota(0)$ is different from $j(0)$ as follows from the existence of the function f_0 that we constructed above. To prove this, we define a function ψ by the condition that $\psi = \ell_1$ on W_1 and $\psi = \ell_2$ on W_2 . This function is well defined and holomorphic on Ω , and it is a branch of $\log f_0$ on Ω . Denote by ψ_0 the continuation of $\psi|_{\Delta_{r,\varepsilon}}$ into the domain D_0 . The value of ψ_0 at 0 differs by $\pm 2\pi i$ from the value of ψ at 0. This means that the points $\iota(0)$ and $j(0)$ differ. Therefore the manifolds M_1^* and M_2^* meet at a single point in Ω^* .

Intersection theory [3, middle of p. 132] shows that in \mathbb{C}^N it is impossible for two N -dimensional compact smooth manifolds to intersect at a single point if the intersection is transversal, so the domain Ω^* is not biholomorphically equivalent to a domain in \mathbb{C}^N .

This completes our discussion of the announced domain Ω .

⁽¹⁾ A set is *locally closed* if it is a closed subset of an open subset of the ambient space.

This discussion gives rise to an obvious and probably difficult question: Can one give conditions under which the envelope of holomorphy of a domain in \mathbb{C}^N is biholomorphically equivalent to a domain in \mathbb{C}^N ? Note that this is not the question of when the envelope of holomorphy is schlicht. Precisely, the latter question, which is classical and not easy, is this: If D is a domain in \mathbb{C}^N with envelope of holomorphy (\tilde{D}, π) , what conditions on D guarantee that the projection π is injective?

References

- [1] E. M. Chirka and E. L. Stout, *Removable singularities in the boundary*, in: Contributions to Complex Analysis and Analytic Geometry, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1994, 43–104.
- [2] F. R. Harvey and R. O. Wells Jr., *Compact holomorphically convex subsets of a Stein manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (1969), 509–516.
- [3] M. W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [4] A. G. O’Farrell, K. J. Preskenis and D. Walsh, *Holomorphic approximation in Lipschitz norms*, in: Proc. Conf. on Banach Algebras and Several Complex Variables (New Haven, CT, 1983), Contemp. Math. 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984, 187–194.
- [5] J.-P. Rosay and E. L. Stout, *Radó’s theorem for CR-functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1989), 1017–1026.
- [6] E. L. Stout and W. R. Zame, *Totally real imbeddings and the universal covering spaces of domains of holomorphy: some examples*, Manuscripta Math. 50 (1985), 29–48.

The University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195, U.S.A.
E-mail: stout@math.washington.edu

*Received 9.5.2006
and in final form 3.7.2006*

(1672)