

The complex Monge–Ampère equation for complex homogeneous functions in \mathbb{C}^n

by RAFAŁ CZYŻ (Kraków)

Abstract. We prove some existence results for the complex Monge–Ampère equation $(dd^c u)^n = g d\lambda$ in \mathbb{C}^n in a certain class of homogeneous functions in \mathbb{C}^n , i.e. we show that for some nonnegative complex homogeneous functions g there exists a plurisubharmonic complex homogeneous solution u of the complex Monge–Ampère equation.

0. Introduction. In this paper we consider the following problem: for which nonnegative complex homogeneous functions g in \mathbb{C}^n does there exist a complex homogeneous plurisubharmonic function u in \mathbb{C}^n solving the *complex Monge–Ampère equation*

$$(0.1) \quad (dd^c u)^n = g d\lambda,$$

where $d\lambda$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^n ?

The problem of the existence of global solutions of the complex Monge–Ampère equations in \mathbb{C}^n has been treated only in a few cases. In [K1] Kołodziej showed some sufficient conditions which guarantee that a finite measure μ in \mathbb{C}^n admits a solution of the equation $(dd^c u)^n = d\mu$ in the class \mathcal{L}_+ (for definition of \mathcal{L}_+ see Section 1). Uniqueness, up to an additive constant, in this case was proved by Bedford and Taylor in [BT2]. In [J] Jeune proved that a perturbation of the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^n by a smooth function which, together with all its derivatives, tends to 0 fast enough at infinity, admits a smooth solution of the complex Monge–Ampère equation. Monn [M] proved the existence of a solution of the complex Monge–Ampère equation in the class of radial functions in \mathbb{C}^n , i.e. for every nonnegative radial function g in \mathbb{C}^n there exists a radial, entire plurisubharmonic function satisfying (0.1). Kołodziej [K3] showed that for given two entire locally bounded plurisubharmonic functions v and w satisfying $w \leq v$, $(dd^c v)^n \leq (dd^c w)^n$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 32U15, 32W20; Secondary 32Q20.
Key words and phrases: plurisubharmonic function, complex Monge–Ampère equation, Kähler–Einstein manifold.

and $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} (v(z) - w(z)) = 0$, one can solve the Monge–Ampère equation for any measure μ such that

$$(dd^c v)^n \leq d\mu \leq (dd^c w)^n.$$

Furthermore, the solution u is unique among functions satisfying $w \leq u \leq v$.

In this paper we prove the existence of a solution of the complex Monge–Ampère equation for a certain class of homogeneous functions in \mathbb{C}^n . In the complex plane every complex homogeneous function is of the form $c|z|^\alpha$ and a simple computation shows that the function $u(z) = \frac{2}{(\alpha+2)^2}|z|^{\alpha+2}$ is a solution of $dd^c u = |z|^\alpha d\lambda$, where $\alpha > 0$. For this reason in this paper we always assume that $n \geq 2$.

In the first section we prove that for any nonnegative, smooth (outside the origin), complex homogeneous function g of order of homogeneity $n(t - 2)$, where $0 < t < 1/(n - 1)$, there exists a smooth (outside the origin) solution u of the equation (0.1). We also establish a connection, which plays a major role in proving the theorem mentioned before, between the existence of a solution of an equation of complex Monge–Ampère type in the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} and the existence of a solution of the Monge–Ampère equation in the class of homogeneous functions in \mathbb{C}^n . Namely, we show that a solution in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} allows us to construct a corresponding solution in \mathbb{C}^n . The existence of a solution for some equations of Monge–Ampère type on special compact Kähler manifolds was proved by Ben Abdesslem [BA].

At the end of Section 1 we prove that, under an additional assumption on g , it is possible to solve (0.1) with a weaker restriction on the order of homogeneity.

In the second section we prove the existence of a solution of (0.1) for g locally bounded. To prove this we need a generalization of Tian’s theorem from [T]. Tian solved the following equation on compact Kähler manifolds (M, ω) with a positive first Chern class:

$$(0.2) \quad (dd^c \varphi + \omega)^n = e^{-t\varphi + f} \omega^n,$$

where $dd^c \varphi + \omega \geq 0$, f is C^∞ smooth and $0 \leq t \leq 1$. For $t = 1$ this equation provides the existence of a Kähler–Einstein metric on M . We prove that (0.2) has a solution for every bounded function f and $0 \leq t \leq \alpha(M)$, where $\alpha(M)$ is a global holomorphic invariant on M introduced by Tian.

1. Existence of a solution for smooth data

DEFINITION 1.1. We say that a function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *complex homogeneous of order α* where $\alpha > 0$ if

$$f(\lambda z) = |\lambda|^\alpha f(z) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We denote by $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ the space of all complex homogeneous functions of order α in \mathbb{C}^n .

Sometimes we call a complex homogeneous function simply a homogeneous function.

We denote (see [Kl]) by \mathcal{L}_+ the set of all entire plurisubharmonic functions u in \mathbb{C}^n for which there exist constants C_1 and C_2 (depending on u) such that

$$C_1 + \log(1 + |z|) \leq u(z) \leq C_2 + \log(1 + |z|).$$

We denote by \mathcal{H}_+ the set of all entire plurisubharmonic functions u in \mathbb{C}^n which satisfy

$$u(\lambda z) = \log |\lambda| + u(z) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{H}_+ \subset \mathcal{L}_+$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (dd^c u)^n = (2\pi)^n \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{L}_+.$$

Now we recall that for a function from \mathcal{H}_+ much more is known about its Monge–Ampère measure.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *If $u \in \mathcal{H}_+$ then $(dd^c u)^n = (2\pi)^n \delta_0$, where δ_0 is the Dirac measure at zero.*

Proof. First we prove our proposition for smooth functions. Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{H}_+ \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Then taking the $\partial^2/\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k$ derivative of the equation $u(\lambda z) = \log |\lambda| + u(z)$ for $z \neq 0$ we obtain

$$u_{j\bar{k}}(z) = |\lambda|^2 u_{j\bar{k}}(\lambda z) \quad \text{for } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } z \neq 0,$$

where $u_{j\bar{k}}(z) := \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(z)$. Taking $z = z/|z|$ and $\lambda = |z|$ we have

$$u_{j\bar{k}}(z) = |z|^{-2} u_{j\bar{k}}(z/|z|).$$

Recall that if a plurisubharmonic function u is \mathcal{C}^2 smooth then

$$(dd^c u)^n = 4^n n! \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \right) d\lambda.$$

Using this equation we can show that for any $R > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,R) \setminus \{0\}} (dd^c u)^n &= n! 4^n \int_{B(0,R) \setminus \{0\}} \det(u_{j\bar{k}}(z)) d\lambda \\ &= n! 4^n \int_{B(0,R) \setminus \{0\}} |z|^{-2n} \det(u_{j\bar{k}}(z/|z|)) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} n! 4^n \int_{\varepsilon}^R r^{-1} dr \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \det(u_{j\bar{k}}(z/|z|)) d\sigma \\
 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \det(u_{j\bar{k}}(z/|z|)) d\sigma = 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \det(u_{j\bar{k}}(z/|z|)) d\sigma \neq 0. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

However we know that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (dd^c u)^n = (2\pi)^n < \infty$, so $\det(u_{j\bar{k}}(z))$ must vanish on $\partial B(0,1)$. From that we conclude that $(dd^c u)^n = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. This implies that the measure $(dd^c u)^n$ is supported at the origin, so $(dd^c u)^n = (2\pi)^n \delta_0$.

To finish the proof of Proposition 1.2 it is enough to find for every $u \in \mathcal{H}_+$ a sequence of smooth functions from \mathcal{H}_+ decreasing to u . First we recall the standard way of regularization of u .

Define a function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \exp(-1/t) & \text{for } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Set $\theta(x) = Ah(1 - |x|^2)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where $A = (\int_{B(0,1)} h(1 - |x|^2) d\lambda)^{-1}$. Obviously $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\text{supp } \theta = \overline{B(0,1)}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \theta(x) d\lambda = 1$. For $\delta > 0$ we define $\theta_\delta(x) = (1/\delta^m)\theta(x/\delta)$. Note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \theta_\delta(x) d\lambda = 1$ and $\text{supp } \theta_\delta = \overline{B(0,\delta)}$. It is well known that $v_\delta := u * \theta_\delta \in \text{PSH} \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty$ and v_δ is decreasing to u as $\delta \searrow 0$. We call the sequence $\{v_\delta\}$ the *standard regularization* of u .

Now we define another regularization of u which preserves homogeneity. Set

$$u_\delta(z) := |z|^{-2n} \int u(w)\theta_\delta\left(\frac{z-w}{|z|}\right) d\lambda(w) = \int u(z - |z|w)\theta_\delta(w) d\lambda(w).$$

We claim that u_δ is the desired sequence. Obviously $u_\delta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$.

First we show that if u satisfies $u(\mu z) = \log |\mu| + u(z)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ then also the functions u_δ satisfy this equation. To see this observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_\delta(\mu z) &= \int u(\mu z - |\mu z|w)\theta_\delta(w) d\lambda(w) \\
 &= \log |\mu| + \int u\left(z - \frac{|\mu|}{\mu}|z|w\right)\theta_\delta(w) d\lambda(w) \\
 &= \log |\mu| + \int u\left(z - \frac{|\mu|}{\mu}|z|w\right)\theta_\delta\left(\frac{|\mu|}{\mu}w\right)\left|\frac{\mu}{|\mu|}\right|^{2n} d\lambda\left(\frac{|\mu|}{\mu}w\right) \\
 &= \log |\mu| + u_\delta(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that $u_\delta \searrow u$ as $\delta \searrow 0$. From the above equation it is enough to check this for $|z| = 1$. But for such z our regularization is the standard

regularization $u_\delta = v_\delta$. For the standard regularization we know that v_δ decreases to u , so also u_δ decreases to u .

To end the proof it is enough to check that $u_\delta \in \text{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. To see this, note that we can write u_δ as

$$u_\delta(z) = \log |z| + u_\delta(z/|z|) = \log |z| + v_\delta(z/|z|),$$

where v_δ is the standard regularization of u .

We denote by \mathbb{P}^{n-1} the $(n-1)$ -dimensional complex projective space, i.e. the set of all one-dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n . Set $U_k = \{[Z_1, \dots, Z_n] : Z_k \neq 0\}$. Then we have $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n U_k$. In U_k we have local coordinates $(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_k, \dots, z_n)$, where $z_j = Z_j/Z_k$. The Kähler metric h on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} is given by

$$h_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}(z) = n\partial_\lambda\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \log \left(1 + \sum_{j \neq k} |z_j|^2 \right) \quad \text{on } U_k.$$

We denote by ω the form given by the formula

$$\omega = \frac{n}{2} dd^c \log \left(1 + \sum_{j \neq k} |z_j|^2 \right) \quad \text{on } U_k.$$

We define a mapping $\Pi : \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ by $\Pi(z) = [z_1, \dots, z_n]$.

LEMMA 1.3. *Let $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a complex homogeneous function of order $n(\alpha - 2)$, where $\alpha > 0$ and suppose that there exists a solution v of the following Monge–Ampère equation on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} :*

$$(1.1) \quad (dd^c v + \omega)^{n-1} = G(v, \cdot)\omega^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad dd^c v + \omega \geq 0,$$

where $G : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and

$$G(t, z) = C(n, \alpha)\tilde{g}(\Pi^{-1}(z))e^{-\alpha t},$$

with

$$\tilde{g}(z) = |z|^{-n(\alpha-2)}g(z) \quad \text{and} \quad C(n, \alpha) = \frac{1}{n!2^{n+1}\alpha^{n+1}}.$$

Then there exists a solution $u \in \text{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap H_{\mathbb{C}}^\alpha$ of the complex Monge–Ampère equation on \mathbb{C}^n :

$$(1.2) \quad (dd^c u)^n = gd\lambda.$$

Proof. First we define $w(z) := \log |z| + \frac{1}{n}v(\Pi(z))$. Observe that $w \in \text{PSH}(\mathbb{C}_*^n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w(\lambda z) &= \log |\lambda z| + \frac{1}{n}v(\Pi(\lambda z)) = \log |\lambda| + \log |z| + \frac{1}{n}v(\Pi(z)) \\ &= \log |\lambda| + w(z), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. So we have checked that $w \in \mathcal{H}_+$ and Proposition 1.2 gives $(dd^c w)^n = (2\pi)^n \delta_0$. Now we can define $u(z) := \exp(\alpha w(z))$

for $z \neq 0$ and $u(0) = 0$. Then $u \in \text{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(\lambda z) &= e^{\alpha w(\lambda z)} = e^{\alpha(\log |\lambda z| + n^{-1}v(\Pi(\lambda z)))} \\ &= e^{\alpha \log |\lambda|} e^{\alpha \log |z| + \alpha n^{-1}v(\Pi(z))} = |\lambda|^\alpha u(z). \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute the Monge–Ampère measure for u :

$$\begin{aligned} (dd^c u)^n &= (dd^c e^{\alpha w})^n = (\alpha^2 e^{\alpha w} dw \wedge d^c w + \alpha e^{\alpha w} dd^c w)^n \\ &= \alpha^n e^{n\alpha w} (\alpha dw \wedge d^c w + dd^c w)^n \\ &= \alpha^n e^{n\alpha w} ((dd^c w)^n + n\alpha dw \wedge d^c w \wedge (dd^c w)^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that from the fact that $w \in \mathcal{H}_+$ we obtain

$$\alpha^n e^{n\alpha w} (dd^c w)^n = \alpha^n |z|^{n\alpha} e^{\alpha v(\Pi(z))} \cdot (2\pi)^n \delta_0 \equiv 0.$$

So

$$(dd^c u)^n = n\alpha^{n+1} e^{n\alpha w} dw \wedge d^c w \wedge (dd^c w)^{n-1}.$$

Denote by T the current $T = e^{n\alpha w} (dd^c w)^{n-1}$ and $z = (z_1, z') = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$. Now fix a point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. We can assume (applying rotation if necessary) that $z = (a, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $|a| = |z|$.

Recall that Π denotes the canonical projection from $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to \mathbb{P}^{n-1} ; we denote by Π_a the restriction of Π to $\{z_1 = a\}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_a(a, z_2, \dots, z_n) &= (z_2/a, \dots, z_n/a) \in U_1, \\ \Pi_a^{-1}(z_2, \dots, z_n) &= (a, az_2, \dots, az_n) \in \{z_1 = a\}, \\ \Pi_a \circ \Pi_a^{-1} &= \text{id}_{U_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_a^{-1} \circ \Pi_a = \text{id}_{\{z_1 = a\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we express the current $(dd^c w)^{n-1}$ on the set $\{z_1 = a\}$ using our assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned} (1.3) \quad (dd^c w)^{n-1} &= (\Pi_a^{-1} \circ \Pi_a)^*(dd^c w)^{n-1} = \Pi_a^*(dd^c(w \circ \Pi_a^{-1}))^{n-1} \\ &= \Pi_a^* \left(dd^c \left(\frac{1}{2} \log |\Pi_a^{-1}(z')|^2 + \frac{1}{n} v(\Pi_a \circ \Pi_a^{-1}(z')) \right) \right)^{n-1} \\ &= \Pi_a^* \left(dd^c \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(|a|^2(1 + |z'|^2)) + \frac{1}{n} v(z') \right) \right)^{n-1} \\ &= \Pi_a^* \left(\frac{1}{2} dd^c \log(1 + |z'|^2) + \frac{1}{n} dd^c v \right)^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{n-1}} \Pi_a^*(\omega + dd^c v)^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{n-1}} \Pi_a^*(G\omega^{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n^{n-1}} (G \circ \Pi_a)(\Pi_a^*\omega)^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{n-1}} (G \circ \Pi_a) \left(\frac{n}{2} dd^c \log(1 + |z'/a|^2) \right)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2^{1-n}(G \circ \Pi_a)(dd^c \log(|a|^2 + |z'|^2))^{n-1} \\ &= 2^{n-1}(n-1)!|a|^2(G \circ \Pi)(|a|^2 + |z'|^2)^{-n} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{i}{2} dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{i}{2} dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(1.4) \quad dw \wedge d^c w = 4 \frac{\partial w}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \frac{i}{2} dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + \dots$$

Since $v(\Pi(z))$ is constant on the set $\{(\zeta, 0, \dots, 0) : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\}$ we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}_1}(z) = \frac{|z_1|^2}{|z|^4}.$$

According to (1.3) and (1.4), on the set $\{z_1 = a\}$ we obtain (remembering that $|a| = |z| = |z_1|$)

$$\begin{aligned} &dw \wedge d^c w \wedge (dd^c w)^{n-1} \\ &= 4 \frac{|z_1|^2}{|z|^4} \frac{i}{2} dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge 2^{n-1}(n-1)!|a|^2(G \circ \Pi)(|a|^2 + |z'|^2)^{-n} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{i}{2} dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{i}{2} dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n \\ &= (G \circ \Pi)2^{n+1}(n-1)!|z|^{-2n}d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

So at our fixed point z we have checked that

$$\begin{aligned} (dd^c u)^n &= n2^{n+1}(n-1)!\alpha^{n+1}e^{n\alpha w}G \circ \Pi|z|^{-2n}d\lambda \\ &= n!2^{n+1}\alpha^{n+1}|z|^{n(\alpha-2)}e^{\alpha v(\Pi(z))}G \circ \Pi(z)d\lambda = g(z)d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.3.

Our main theorem is

THEOREM 1.4. *Let $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a complex homogeneous function of order $n(\alpha - 2)$, where $0 < \alpha < 1/(n - 1)$, such that $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Then there exists a solution $u \in \text{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap H_{\mathbb{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$ of the complex Monge–Ampère equation $(dd^c u)^n = gd\lambda$ on \mathbb{C}^n . Moreover if g is only $\mathcal{C}^{r+\beta}$ smooth for some $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, then u is $\mathcal{C}^{2+r+\beta}$ smooth.*

To prove Theorem 1.4 we need some facts about existence of solutions of the complex Monge–Ampère equation on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} (for more details see [A1]–[A3], [BA], [T], [R]).

Let (M, h) be a compact complex Kähler manifold of dimension n . We denote by ω its first fundamental form. Consider the equation (see [A1])

$$(1.5) \quad (dd^c \varphi + \omega)^n = e^{-t\varphi + f} \omega^n \quad \text{and} \quad dd^c \varphi + \omega \geq 0,$$

where f is a given C^∞ smooth function and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The following inequality plays an important role in solving the above equation:

$$(1.6) \quad \int_M e^{-\alpha\varphi}\omega^n \leq C \exp\left(\frac{-\alpha}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M \varphi\omega^n\right),$$

for any φ such that $dd^c\varphi + \omega \geq 0$, where $C, \alpha > 0$. We also recall an invariant $\alpha(M)$ for M :

$$\alpha(M) = \sup\{\alpha > 0 : \text{there exists a constant } C \text{ such that (1.6) is satisfied for all } \varphi \text{ with } dd^c\varphi + \omega \geq 0\}.$$

The following theorems give partial answers to the question: for which t does the equation (1.5) have a solution?

THEOREM 1.5 [BA]. *Let (M, h) be a compact complex Kähler manifold of dimension n with the first Chern class positive. Then the equation (1.5) has a solution for $0 \leq t < \frac{n+1}{n}\alpha(M)$.*

THEOREM 1.6 [R]. *We have*

$$\alpha(\mathbb{P}^n) = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

In particular on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} the equation (1.5) has a solution for $0 \leq t < 1/(n-1)$.

The following theorem tells us about the regularity of the solution.

THEOREM 1.7 [A1]. *Let (M, h) be as in Theorem 1.5. Consider the following equation on M :*

$$(1.7) \quad (dd^c\varphi + \omega)^n = e^{F(\varphi, \cdot)}\omega^n,$$

where $F : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. If F is C^∞ smooth, then every solution of (1.7) is C^∞ smooth. Moreover, if F is only $C^{r+\beta}$ smooth with $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, then every solution of (1.7) is $C^{2+r+\beta}$ smooth.

Now we can prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Observe that the smoothness of g implies the smoothness of the function G from Lemma 1.3. Thus Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 yield the existence of a solution of the equation (1.1), which implies the existence of a solution of (1.2). For the regularity of the solution u , observe that if g is C^∞ (resp. $C^{r+\beta}$) smooth then G is also C^∞ (resp. $C^{r+\beta}$) smooth (recall that $g > 0$); then Theorem 1.7 shows that the solution v of the equation (1.1) is C^∞ (resp. $C^{2+r+\beta}$) and by the definition so is u . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

The statement of Theorem 1.4 can be strengthened if we assume additional symmetries of the function g . Suppose that $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the

following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.8) \quad & g(z_1, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_n) = g(z_1, \dots, e^{i2\pi/p} z_j, \dots, z_n) \\
 & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and some } p \in \mathbb{N}, \\
 & g(z_1, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_k, \dots, z_n) = g(z_1, \dots, z_k, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_n) \\
 & \text{for } 1 \leq j, k \leq n.
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1.8. *Let $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a complex homogeneous function of order $n(\alpha - 2)$, where $0 < \alpha < \min(n/(n - 1), p/(n - 1))$, satisfying conditions (1.8) and such that $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Then there exists a solution $u \in \text{PSH} \cap H_C^\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$ of $(dd^c u)^n = g d\lambda$ on \mathbb{C}^n satisfying also conditions (1.8). Moreover if g is only $C^{r+\beta}$ smooth for some $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, then u is $C^{2+r+\beta}$ smooth.*

To prove Theorem 1.8 we recall another invariant for M . Suppose that the manifold M has a nontrivial group of automorphisms. Then for any compact subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(M)$ we can define the following invariant:

$$\alpha_G(M) = \sup\{\alpha > 0 : \text{there exists a constant } C \text{ such that (1.6) is satisfied for all } G\text{-invariant } \varphi \text{ with } dd^c \varphi + \omega \geq 0\}.$$

For the invariant $\alpha_G(M)$ we have theorems analogous to Theorems 1.5 and 1.6.

THEOREM 1.9 [BA]. *Let (M, h) be a compact complex Kähler manifold of dimension n with the first Chern class positive and let G be a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(M)$. Then the equation*

$$(dd^c \varphi + \omega)^n = e^{-t\varphi + f} \omega^n,$$

where $dd^c \varphi + \omega \geq 0$ and f is C^∞ smooth and G -invariant, has a C^∞ smooth, G -invariant solution for $0 \leq t < \frac{n+1}{n} \alpha_G(M)$.

For $k, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ we define a class of automorphisms on \mathbb{P}^n :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_{j,\theta}([Z_0, \dots, Z_j, \dots, Z_n]) &= [Z_0, \dots, Z_j e^{i\theta}, \dots, Z_n], \\
 \sigma_{k,j}([Z_0, \dots, Z_j, \dots, Z_k, \dots, Z_n]) &= [Z_0, \dots, Z_k, \dots, Z_j, \dots, Z_n].
 \end{aligned}$$

We denote by \mathcal{G} the compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ generated by $\gamma_{j,\theta}, \sigma_{j,k}$ for $k, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, and by \mathcal{G}_p the compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ generated by $\gamma_{j,\theta}, \sigma_{j,k}$ for $k, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $\theta = 2\pi/p$.

THEOREM 1.10 [R]. *We have*

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{G}_p}(\mathbb{P}^n) \geq \min\left(1, \frac{p}{n+1}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{P}^n) = 1,$$

where \mathcal{G}_p and \mathcal{G} are as above. In particular on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} the equation

$$(dd^c\varphi + \omega)^n = e^{-t\varphi+f}\omega^n,$$

where $dd^c\varphi + \omega \geq 0$ and f is C^∞ smooth and \mathcal{G}_p -invariant, has a C^∞ smooth, \mathcal{G}_p -invariant solution for $0 \leq t < \min(n/(n-1), p/(n-1))$.

Now we can prove Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. First observe that from the assumptions on g , the function $\tilde{g} \circ \Pi^{-1}$ is \mathcal{G} -invariant, where $\tilde{g}(z) = |z|^{-n(\alpha-2)}g(z)$ for $z \neq 0$. Now the proof of Theorem 1.8 is analogous to that of Theorem 1.4.

From the above theorems we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1.11. *Suppose that $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a complex homogeneous function of order $n(\alpha - 2)$, where $0 < \alpha < n/(n - 1)$, which satisfies the following conditions:*

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} g(z_1, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_n) &= g(z_1, \dots, |z_j|, \dots, z_n) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ g(z_1, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_k, \dots, z_n) &= g(z_1, \dots, z_k, \dots, z_j, \dots, z_n) \\ &\quad \text{for } 1 \leq j, k \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

and such that $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Then there exists a solution $u \in \text{PSH} \cap H_C^\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})$ of $(dd^c u)^n = gd\lambda$ on \mathbb{C}^n satisfying also conditions (1.9). Moreover if g is only $C^{r+\beta}$ smooth for some $r \geq 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, then u is $C^{2+r+\beta}$ smooth.

2. Existence of a solution for bounded data. The main purpose of this section is to prove the existence of a solution of $(dd^c u)^n = gd\lambda$ in the class of homogeneous functions for bounded data, but with a stronger restriction on the order of homogeneity.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a complex homogeneous function of order $n(\alpha - 2)$, where $0 < \alpha < 1/n$, such that $g \in L^\infty(\partial B(0, 1))$. Then there exists a solution $u \in \text{PSH} \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty \cap H_C^\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of $\mathbb{C}^n(dd^c u)^n = gd\lambda$ on \mathbb{C}^n .*

First we need to prove the existence of a solution of $(dd^c\varphi + \omega)^n = e^{-t\varphi+f}\omega^n$ for bounded data f on a compact Kähler manifold. This is a generalization of Tian’s theorem [T] for bounded data, but with a stronger assumption on the parameter t .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let (M, h) be a compact complex Kähler manifold of dimension n with the first Chern class positive and let $f \in L^\infty(M)$ be non-negative with $\int_M f\omega^n = \text{vol}(M)$. Then the equation*

$$(dd^c\varphi + \omega)^n = fe^{-t\varphi}\omega^n$$

has a solution φ with $dd^c\varphi + \omega \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t < \alpha(M)$.

If $f \in L^\infty(M)$ then there exists an approximating sequence $\{f_j\}$ such that $f_j \in C^\infty(M)$, $f_j > 0$, $\{f_j\}$ is uniformly bounded and $f_j \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(M)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Multiplying f_j by constants which tend to 1 as $j \rightarrow \infty$ we can get

$$\int_M f_j \omega^n = \text{vol}(M).$$

Let $\phi_{t,j}$ denote a solution of

$$(2.1) \quad (dd^c \phi_{t,j} + \omega)^n = f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} \omega^n \quad \text{and} \quad dd^c \phi_{t,j} + \omega > 0$$

for $0 \leq t < \alpha(M)$, provided by Theorem 1.5.

Now we show that for fixed t the sequence $\phi_{t,j}$ is uniformly bounded.

LEMMA 2.3. *For fixed $0 \leq t < \alpha(M)$ the sequence $\{\phi_{t,j}\}$ is uniformly bounded.*

To prove Lemma 2.3 we need some results from [K1] and [T].

THEOREM 2.4 [T]. *Let (M, ω) be a compact complex Kähler manifold of dimension n with the first Chern class positive. Then for all $0 < t < \alpha(M)$ there exists a constant C , depending only on M , such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \int_M e^{-t\varphi} \omega^n \leq C$$

for any functions $\varphi \in C^2$ with $dd^c \varphi + \omega \geq 0$ and $\sup_M \varphi = 0$.

We also need a theorem which gives us a lower bound for the infimum of the solution $\phi_{t,j}$.

THEOREM 2.5 [K1]. *Let Ω be a strictly pseudoconvex subset of \mathbb{C}^n and let u be a smooth solution of*

$$(dd^c u)^n = f d\lambda$$

on Ω with $\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq A$ for some $p > 1$. Suppose that $u < 0$ and $u(0) > C$ ($0 \in \Omega$). If the sets $U(s) := \{z : u(z) < s\} \cap \Omega''$ are nonempty and relatively compact in $\Omega'' \subset \Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ for $s \in [S, S + D]$ then $\inf_\Omega u$ is bounded from below by a constant depending on $A, C, D, p, \Omega', \Omega$, but independent of u, Ω'' .

Now we can prove Lemma 2.3.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. First we recall that the functions f_j satisfy $\int_M f_j \omega^n = \text{vol}(M)$ and note that by Stokes' theorem,

$$\int_M f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} \omega^n = \int_M (dd^c \phi_{t,j} + \omega)^n = \text{vol}(M) = \int_M f_j \omega^n.$$

Hence

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_M \phi_{t,j} \geq 0.$$

We define $\psi_{t,j} := \phi_{t,j} - \sup_M \phi_{t,j}$. Then the functions $\psi_{t,j}$ satisfy the Monge–Ampère equation

$$(dd^c \psi_{t,j} + \omega)^n = f_j e^{-t\psi_{t,j} - t \sup \phi_{t,j}} \omega^n.$$

We also set $F_j(x, z) = f_j(z) e^{-tx}$. Fix $0 < t < \alpha(M)$ and choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(1 + \varepsilon)t < \alpha(M)$. Now we show that the sequence $\{F_j(\psi_{t,j} - \sup \phi_{t,j}, z)\}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{1+\varepsilon}(M)$, and from that we conclude that $\psi_{t,j}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.5. Indeed, from (2.3) and Theorem 2.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (f_j e^{-t\psi_{t,j} - t \sup \phi_{t,j}})^{1+\varepsilon} \omega^n &\leq \int_M (f_j e^{-t\psi_{t,j}})^{1+\varepsilon} \omega^n \\ &\leq (\sup f_j)^{1+\varepsilon} \int_M e^{-(1+\varepsilon)t\psi_{t,j}} \omega^n \leq C_1, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 does not depend on j .

Now fix a covering of M by strictly pseudoconvex coordinate patches V''_ν , and another two coverings of M : V'_ν, V_ν such that $V_\nu \subset V'_\nu \subset \subset V''_\nu$.

Fix j and take $z \in V_\nu$ such that $\psi_{t,j}(z) = \inf_M \psi_{t,j}$. We may assume that there is a smooth, bounded function v such that $dd^c v = \omega$ in V''_ν , $v \leq 0$ and $v(z) \leq \inf_{\partial V_\nu} v - c_0$ for some positive $c_0 > 0$. Hence,

$$v(z) + \psi_{t,j}(z) \leq \inf_{\partial V_\nu} (v + \psi_{t,j}) - c_0.$$

So if we take $D = c_0$, $S = v(z) + \psi_{t,j}(z)$ and $u = \psi_{t,j} + v$ in Theorem 2.5 the set $U(s) = \{v + \psi_{t,j} - s < 0\}$ is nonempty and relatively compact in V_ν for $s \in [S, S + D]$. Hence from Theorem 2.5 we have $\inf_M (v + \psi_{t,j}) \geq \text{const}$, but v is bounded so $\inf_M \psi_{t,j} \geq -C_2$ and $C_2 > 0$ does not depend on j . Then by the definition of $\psi_{t,j}$,

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_M \phi_{t,j} - \inf_M \phi_{t,j} \leq C_2.$$

To finish the proof note that

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \int_M F_j(x, z) \omega^n < \int_M \omega^n < \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \int_M F_j(x, z) \omega^n.$$

Hence, by (2.4), (2.5) and the equality $\int_M F_j(\phi_{t,j}, z) \omega^n = \int_M \omega^n$ we conclude that there is a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_M \phi_{t,j} < C_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_M \phi_{t,j} > -C_3,$$

for $j \geq j_0$. This means that the sequence $\{\phi_{t,j}\}$ is uniformly bounded, which completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Now we can prove Theorem 2.2. The proof is based on [K2].

Proof of Theorem 2.2. First we recall that by $\{f_j\}$ we have denoted an approximating sequence such that $f_j \in C^\infty(M)$, $f_j > 0$, $\int_M f_j \omega^n = \text{vol}(M)$,

$\{f_j\}$ is uniformly bounded and $f_j \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(M)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $\phi_{t,j}$ denotes the solution of

$$(dd^c\phi_{t,j} + \omega)^n = f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} \omega^n \quad \text{and} \quad dd^c\phi_{t,j} + \omega \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 2.3 we know that the sequence $\phi_{t,j}$ is uniformly bounded for any $0 \leq t < \alpha(M)$.

Fix $0 \leq t < \alpha(M)$. We may take a subsequence of $\phi_{t,j}$ (denoted also by $\phi_{t,j}$) such that $\phi_{t,j} \rightarrow \phi$ in $L^1(M)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, where $\phi = (\limsup_j \phi_{t,j})^*$. We show that ϕ is the desired solution.

First we prove that $f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} \rightarrow f e^{-t\phi}$ in $L^1(M)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M (f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} - f e^{-t\phi}) \omega^n \right| &\leq \left| \int_M f_j (e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} - e^{-t\phi}) \omega^n \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_M e^{-t\phi} (f_j - f) \omega^n \right| = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \sup_M f_j \int e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} |1 - e^{t(\phi_{t,j} - \phi)}| \omega^n \\ &\leq \sup_M f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} \int t |\phi_{t,j} - \phi| e^{t|\phi_{t,j} - \phi|} \omega^n \\ &\leq \sup_M f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j} + t|\phi_{t,j} - \phi|} \int t |\phi_{t,j} - \phi| \omega^n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$I_2 \leq \sup_M e^{-t\phi} \int |f_j - f| \omega^n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have proved that $f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} \rightarrow f e^{-t\phi}$ in $L^1(M)$, so we may choose a subsequence (denoted also by $\phi_{t,j}$) such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j}} - f e^{-t\phi}\|_{L^1(M)} \leq 2^{-j-1}.$$

Let us introduce some auxiliary functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{kl} &= \max_{k \leq j \leq l} \phi_{t,j}, & \nu_k &= \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \nu_{kl} \right)^*, \\ R_{kl} &= \min_{k \leq j \leq l} f_j e^{-t\phi_{t,j}}, & R_k &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow R_{kl}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, locally, ω is representable by $dd^c v$, where v is a plurisubharmonic function, we can apply [BT1, Proposition 2.8] to get

$$(\omega + dd^c \nu_{kl})^n \geq R_{kl} \omega^n.$$

Hence by the convergence theorem [BT3],

$$(2.7) \quad R_k \leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (\omega + dd^c \nu_{kl})^n = (\omega + dd^c \nu_k)^n.$$

Note that $\phi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow \nu_k$. We can apply the convergence theorem once more to get

$$(2.8) \quad (\omega + dd^c \nu_k)^n \rightarrow (\omega + dd^c \phi)^n.$$

Now we show that $R_k \rightarrow fe^{-t\phi}$ in $L^1(M)$. To prove this we shall use (2.6) and the simple fact that

$$fe^{-t\phi} - R_k = fe^{-t\phi} - f_{k+1}e^{-t\phi_{t,k+1}} + (f_{k+1}e^{-t\phi_{t,k+1}} - f_{k+2}e^{-t\phi_{t,k+2}}) + \dots,$$

and then

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|fe^{-t\phi} - R_k\|_{L^1} &\leq \|fe^{-t\phi} - f_{k+1}e^{-t\phi_{t,k+1}}\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad + \|f_{k+1}e^{-t\phi_{t,k+1}} - f_{k+2}e^{-t\phi_{t,k+2}}\|_{L^1} + \dots \\ &\leq 2^{-k+2} + (2^{-k+2} + 2^{-k+3}) + \dots \\ &= 2^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

So $R_k \rightarrow fe^{-t\phi}$ in $L^1(M)$. Combining (2.9) with (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain

$$fe^{-t\phi} \omega^n \leq (\omega + dd^c \phi)^n.$$

Since the integrals over M of both currents in the above inequality are equal to $\text{vol}(M)$ we get

$$fe^{-t\phi} \omega^n = (\omega + dd^c \phi)^n.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\tilde{g}(z) = |z|^{-n(\alpha-2)}g(z)$. Then \tilde{g} is a complex homogeneous function of order 0 and also $\tilde{g} \in L^\infty$. Let

$$g_j(z) := |z|^{-2n} \int \tilde{g}(w) \theta_{1/j} \left(\frac{z-w}{|z|} \right) d\lambda(w)$$

be the regularization of \tilde{g} defined in Proposition 1.2. Hence we know that g_j are complex homogeneous functions of order 0 and we can also assume that $g_j > 0$ by adding, if necessary, positive constants tending to zero. Moreover $\{g_j\}$ is uniformly bounded and $g_j \rightarrow \tilde{g}$ in L^1 .

Define the following functions on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} :

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{n!2^{n+1}\alpha^{n+1}} \tilde{g}(\Pi^{-1}(z)), \\ f_j(z) &= \frac{1}{n!2^{n+1}\alpha^{n+1}} g_j(\Pi^{-1}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying g and g_j by constants which tend to 1, we can assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} f \omega^{n-1} = \int_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} f_j \omega^{n-1} = \text{vol}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}).$$

Moreover $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, $\{f_j\}$ is uniformly bounded and $f_j \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$. So we can apply Theorems 1.4 and 2.2 to get a function φ on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} such

that $dd^c\varphi + \omega \geq 0$ and $(dd^c\varphi + \omega)^{n-1} = fe^{-t\varphi}\omega^{n-1}$. Then we know from the proof of Lemma 1.3 that the function

$$u(z) = |z|^\alpha e^{(\alpha/n)\varphi(\Pi(z))}$$

is plurisubharmonic and $(dd^c u)^n = g d\lambda$. This completes the proof.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a complex homogeneous function of order $n(\alpha - 2)$, where $0 < \alpha < \min(1, p/n)$, satisfying conditions (1.8) and such that $g \in L^\infty(\partial B(0, 1))$. Then there exists a solution $u \in \text{PSH} \cap H_{\mathbb{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of $(dd^c u)^n = g d\lambda$ on \mathbb{C}^n satisfying also conditions (1.8).*

Proof. It is enough to note that, if g satisfies conditions (1.8), then the functions (2.10) are \mathcal{G}_p -invariant. Then the Corollary follows from the proof of Theorems 2.2 and the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 1.10.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a complex homogeneous function of order $n(\alpha - 2)$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$, satisfying conditions (1.9) and such that $g \in L^\infty(\partial B(0, 1))$. Then there exists a solution $u \in \text{PSH} \cap H_{\mathbb{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of $(dd^c u)^n = g d\lambda$ on \mathbb{C}^n satisfying also conditions (1.9).*

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his gratitude to Sławomir Kołodziej for many fruitful discussions concerning the subject of this paper.

References

- [A1] T. Aubin, *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds. Monge–Ampère Equations*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 244, Springer, 1982.
- [A2] —, *Équations du type Monge–Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes*, Bull. Sci. Math. 102 (1978), 63–95.
- [A3] —, *Métriques d’Einstein–Kähler et exponentiel des fonctions admissibles*, J. Funct. Anal. 88 (1990), 385–394.
- [BA] A. Ben Abdessellem, *Équations de Monge–Ampère d’origine géométrique sur certaines variétés algébriques*, *ibid.* 149 (1997), 102–134.
- [BT1] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge–Ampère operator*, Invent. Math. 37 (1976), 1–44.
- [BT2] —, —, *Uniqueness for the complex Monge–Ampère equation for functions of logarithmic growth*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 38 (1989), 455–469.
- [BT3] —, —, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. 149 (1982), 1–40.
- [J] A. Jeune, *Prescription de la courbure de Ricci sur \mathbb{C}^n , $n > 2$* , Bull. Sci. Math. 116 (1992), 185–226.

- [K1] S. Kołodziej, *The complex Monge–Ampère equations*, Acta Math. 180 (1998), 67–117.
- [K2] —, *Weak solutions of the equations of complex Monge–Ampère type*, Ann. Polon. Math. 73 (2000), 59–67.
- [K3] —, *Existence and regularity of global solutions to the complex Monge–Ampère equation*, to appear.
- [Kl] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1991.
- [M] D. R. Monn, *Regularity of the complex Monge–Ampère equation for the radially symmetric functions of the unit ball*, Math. Ann. 275 (1986), 501–511.
- [R] C. Real, *Einstein–Kähler metrics on manifolds with positive first Chern class*, J. Funct. Anal. 106 (1992), 145–188.
- [T] G. Tian, *On Kähler–Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$* , Invent. Math. 89 (1987), 225–246.

Department of Mathematics
Jagiellonian University
Reymonta 4
30-059 Kraków, Poland
E-mail: czyz@im.uj.edu.pl

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3.10.2000

(1205)