

On certain subclasses of analytic functions involving a linear operator

by J. PATEL and G. P. MOHAPATRA (Bhubaneswar)

Abstract. A certain general class $\mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$ of analytic functions involving a linear operator is introduced. The objective is to investigate various properties and characteristics of this class. Several applications of the results (obtained here) to a class of fractional calculus operators are also considered. The results contain some of the earlier work in univalent function theory.

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

that are analytic in the open unit disc $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let \mathcal{S} , $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) be the usual subclasses of functions in \mathcal{A} that are univalent, starlike of order α and convex of order α , respectively. We note that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow z f'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha).$$

For arbitrary fixed real numbers A, B ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), let $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$\phi(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$$

which are analytic in E and satisfy the condition

$$\phi(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in E)$$

where the symbol \prec stands for subordination. The class $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$ was introduced and studied by Janowski [5].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases: differential subordination, hypergeometric functions, Hadamard product (or convolution).

For a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1), the *generalized Bernardi integral operator* \mathcal{F}_δ is

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_\delta(z) = \frac{\delta + 1}{z^\delta} \int_0^z t^{\delta-1} f(t) dt = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\delta + 1}{\delta + n} a_n z^n \quad (\delta > -1, z \in E).$$

It readily follows from (1.2) that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\delta(z) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Several essentially equivalent definitions of fractional calculus have been given in the literature (cf., e.g., [12], [13], [14]). We state the following definitions due to Owa [10] which have been used rather frequently in the theory of analytic functions.

DEFINITION 1. The *fractional integral of order* λ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$(1.3) \quad D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{1-\lambda}} d\zeta \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

and the *fractional derivative of order* λ is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^\lambda} d\zeta \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1),$$

where $f(z)$ is analytic in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z - \zeta)^{\lambda-1}$ involved in (1.3) (and that of $z - \zeta$ involved in (1.4)) is removed by requiring $\log(z - \zeta)$ to be real when $z - \zeta > 0$.

DEFINITION 2. Under the hypotheses of Definition 1, the *fractional derivative of order* $n + \lambda$ is defined by

$$D_z^{n+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (D_z^\lambda f(z)) \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}).$$

Let

$$\phi(a, c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^{n+1} \quad (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots)$$

where $(x)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_n = \frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{\Gamma(x)} = \begin{cases} x(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+n-1), & n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ 1, & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

We note that $\phi(a, 1; z) = z/(1-z)^a$ and $\phi(2, 1; z)$ is the well known Koebe function.

Corresponding to the function $\phi(a, c; z)$ and for an analytic function $f(z)$ given by (1.1), Carlson and Shaffer [4] defined a linear operator $\mathcal{L}(a, c)$ by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) = \phi(a, c; z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}} a_n z^n$$

where the symbol $*$ stands for the Hadamard product (or convolution). We see that if $a = 0, -1, -2, \dots$, then $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)$ is a polynomial. For $a \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, an application of the root test shows that the infinite series for $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)$ has the same radius of convergence as that of $f(z)$ because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(a)_n / (c)_n|^{1/n} = 1$. Hence, $\mathcal{L}(a, c)$ maps \mathcal{A} into itself. The Ruscheweyh derivatives [11] of $f(z)$ are $\mathcal{L}(n + 1, 1)f(z), n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We further observe that

$$\mathcal{L}(a, a)f(z) = f(z), \quad \mathcal{L}(2, 1)f(z) = zf'(z), \quad \mathcal{L}(\delta + 1, \delta + 2)f(z) = F_\delta(z)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}(2, 2 - \lambda)f(z) = \Gamma(2 - \lambda)z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z) = J_z^\lambda f(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1).$$

Making use of the operator $\mathcal{L}(a, c)$, we now introduce a subclass of \mathcal{A} as follows:

DEFINITION 3. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$ if it satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in E)$$

for some $a > 0, c > 1$, and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. By the definition of subordination, it follows that

$$(1.7) \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f(z) - \mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{A\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) - B\mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f(z)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in E).$$

For convenience, we put

$$\mathcal{S}(2, 2 - \lambda, \beta(1 - 2\alpha), -\beta) = \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1),$$

the class consisting of functions in \mathcal{A} satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{J_z^{\lambda+1} f(z) - J_z^\lambda f(z)}{J_z^\lambda f(z) + (1 - 2\alpha)J_z^{\lambda+1} f(z)} \right| < \beta \quad (z \in E).$$

The following observations are obvious:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}(0, \alpha, 1) = \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ is the class of starlike functions of order α ;
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \gamma, 1) = \mathcal{A}(\lambda + 1, \lambda, \gamma)$ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1, -\lambda/(1 - \lambda) \leq \gamma < 1$), the class studied by Kim and Srivastava [7].

In the present paper, we derive various properties and characteristics of the class $\mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$ by using the techniques of Briot–Bouquet differential

subordination. We also obtain a sufficient condition, coefficient estimates and distortion theorems for this class. Further, we give some applications of our results to a class of fractional calculus operators. Many of our results improve and generalize the corresponding ones in [2], [3], and [7].

2. Preliminaries. In order to establish our results, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1 [8]. *If $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\beta > 0$ and the complex number γ satisfy $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \geq -\beta(1 - A)/(1 - B)$, then the differential equation*

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

has a univalent solution in E given by

$$(2.1) \quad q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{\beta+\gamma}(1 + Bz)^{\beta(A-B)/B}}{\beta \int_0^z t^{\beta+\gamma-1}(1 + Bt)^{\beta(A-B)/B} dt} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, & B \neq 0, \\ \frac{z^{\beta+\gamma} \exp(\beta Az)}{\beta \int_0^z t^{\beta+\gamma-1} \exp(\beta At) dt} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

If $p(z)$ is analytic in E and satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

LEMMA 2 [16]. *Let μ be a positive measure on the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Let $g(t, z)$ be an analytic function in E for each $t \in [0, 1]$, and integrable in t for each $z \in E$ and for almost all $t \in [0, 1]$, and suppose that $\operatorname{Re}\{g(t, z)\} > 0$ on E , $g(t, -r)$ is real and $\operatorname{Re}\{1/g(t, z)\} \geq 1/g(t, -r)$ for $|z| \leq r$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. If $g(z) = \int_0^z g(t, z) d\mu(t)$, then $\operatorname{Re}\{1/g(z)\} \geq 1/g(-r)$ for $|z| \leq r$.*

For real or complex numbers α_1, α_2 and β_1 ($\beta_1 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$), the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(z)$ is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad {}_2F_1(z) = {}_2F_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n (\alpha_2)_n}{(\beta_1)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

We note that the series in (2.2) converges absolutely in E (cf. [15]). The following identities are well known [15].

LEMMA 3. For real or complex α_1, α_2 and β_1 ($\beta_1 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$), we have

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^1 t^{\alpha_2-1} (1-t)^{\beta_1-\alpha_2-1} (1-tz)^{-\alpha_1} dt \\ = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_2)\Gamma(\beta_1-\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(\beta_1)} {}_2F_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1; z) \quad (\operatorname{Re} \alpha_1 > \operatorname{Re} \alpha_2 > 0),$$

$$(2.4) \quad {}_2F_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1; z) = {}_2F_1(\alpha_2, \alpha_1; \beta_1; z),$$

$$(2.5) \quad {}_2F_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1; z) = (1-z)^{-\alpha_1} {}_2F_1(\alpha_1, \beta_1 - \alpha_2; \beta_1; z).$$

LEMMA 4. Let $p(z)$ be analytic in E with $p(0) = 1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ for $0 < |z| < 1$, and let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

(i) Let $B \neq 0$ and μ be a complex number with $\mu \neq 0$. Let A, B and μ satisfy either

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \mu \frac{A-B}{B} - 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \left| \mu \frac{A-B}{B} + 1 \right| \leq 1.$$

If $p(z)$ satisfies

$$1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{\mu p(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in E)$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) = (1 + Bz)^{\mu(A-B)/B}$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

(ii) Let $B = 0$, μ be a complex number with $\mu \neq 0$, and $|\mu A| < \pi$. If $p(z)$ satisfies

$$1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{\mu p(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in E)$$

then

$$p(z) \prec \exp(\mu Az)$$

and this is the best dominant.

To avoid repetition we lay down, once for all, that $a > 0, c > 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

3. Main results

THEOREM 1. If $B < A \leq (c - B)/(c - A)$, then:

(i) $\mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B) \subset \mathcal{S}(a, c + 1, A^*, B)$ where $A^* = \{(c - 1)A + B\}/c$. Furthermore, if $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$ then

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c + 1)f(z)} \prec \frac{1}{cQ(z)} = \tilde{q}(z) \quad (z \in E)$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad Q(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 t^{c-1} \left(\frac{1+Btz}{1+Bz} \right)^{(c-1)(A-B)/B} dt, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^1 t^{c-1} \exp\{(c-1)(t-1)Az\} dt, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{q}(z)$ is the best dominant.

(ii) If $B < 0$, $A \leq \min\{(c-B)/(c-1), -2B/(c-1)\}$ then for $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$,

$$(3.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c+1)f(z)} \right\} > \left\{ {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{(c-1)(A-B)}{B}; c+1; \frac{B}{B-1} \right) \right\}^{-1} \quad (z \in E).$$

The result is best possible.

Proof. From (1.5), it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad z(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))' = (c-1)\mathcal{L}(a, c-1)f(z) + (2-c)\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z).$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$. Setting

$$(3.4) \quad p(z) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c+1)f(z)},$$

we see that $p(z)$ is analytic in E and $p(0) = 1$. Making use of logarithmic differentiation in (3.4) and using the identity (3.3) in the resulting equation, we get

$$(3.5) \quad P(z) + \frac{zP'(z)}{(c-1)P(z)+1} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in E)$$

where $P(z) = \{cp(z) - 1\}/(c-1)$. Using Lemma 1, we deduce that

$$(3.6) \quad P(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in E)$$

where $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.5) and is given by (2.1) for $\beta = c-1$ and $\gamma = 1$. Again by (3.6), we obtain

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1}{cQ(z)} = \tilde{q}(z) \quad (z \in E),$$

where $Q(z)$ is given by (3.1). This proves the first part of the theorem.

Now we prove (ii). We show that

$$(3.7) \quad \inf_{|z|<1} \{\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{q}(z))\} = \tilde{q}(-1).$$

If we set $\alpha_1 = \{(c - 1)(B - A)\}/B$, $\alpha_2 = c$, $\beta_1 = c + 1$, then $\beta_1 > \alpha_2 > 0$. From (3.1), by using (2.3)–(2.5) we see that for $B \neq 0$,

$$(3.8) \quad Q(z) = (1 + Bz)^{\alpha_1} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha_2-1} (1 + Btz)^{-\alpha_1} dt \\ = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_2)\Gamma(\beta_1 - \alpha_2)}{\Gamma(\beta_1)} {}_2F_1\left(1, \alpha_1; \beta_1; \frac{Bz}{1 + Bz}\right).$$

To prove (3.7), we show that $\operatorname{Re}\{1/Q(z)\} \geq 1/Q(-1)$, $z \in E$. Again, by (3.8) for $B < 0$, $A < -2B/(c - 1)$ (so that $\beta_1 > \alpha_1 > 0$), (3.1) can be written as

$$Q(z) = \int_0^1 g(t, z) d\mu(t),$$

where

$$g(t, z) = \frac{1 + Bz}{1 + (1 - t)Bz},$$

and

$$d\mu(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\beta_1 - \alpha_1)} t^{\alpha_1-1} (1 - t)^{\beta_1-\alpha_1-1} dt$$

is a positive measure on $[0, 1]$.

For $-1 \leq B < 0$, it may be noted that $\operatorname{Re}\{g(t, z)\} > 0$, $g(t, -r)$ is real for $0 \leq r < 1$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{g(t, z)}\right\} \geq \frac{1 - (1 - t)Br}{1 - Br} = \frac{1}{g(t, -r)} \quad (|z| \leq r < 1, t \in [0, 1]).$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 2, we deduce that $\operatorname{Re}\{1/Q(z)\} \geq 1/Q(-r)$, $|z| \leq r < 1$ and by taking $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain $\operatorname{Re}\{1/Q(z)\} \geq 1/Q(-1)$, $z \in E$. In the case $A = -2B/(c - 1)$, we obtain the required assertion by letting $A \rightarrow (-2B/(c - 1))^+$. This proves (3.7).

The result is best possible because of the best dominant property of $\tilde{q}(z)$.

Putting $a = 2$, $c = 2 - \lambda$, $A = \beta(1 - 2\alpha)$ and $B = -\beta$ in Theorem 1, we get

COROLLARY 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{J_z^\lambda f(z)}{J_z^{\lambda-1} f(z)}\right\} > \left\{{}_2F_1\left(1, 2(1 - \lambda)(1 - \alpha); 3 - \lambda; \frac{\beta}{\beta + 1}\right)\right\}^{-1} \quad (z \in E).$$

The result is best possible.

For $\lambda = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, Corollary 1 yields

COROLLARY 2. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z f(z) \left(\int_0^z f(t) dt \right)^{-1} \right\} > 2({}_2F_1(1, 2(1 - \alpha); 3; 1/2))^{-1} \quad (z \in E).$$

The result is best possible.

THEOREM 2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$, where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ($B \neq 0$). If either*

$$\left| (c - 1) \frac{A - B}{B} - 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \left| (c - 1) \frac{A - B}{B} + 1 \right| \leq 1$$

then

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{z} \prec (1 + Bz)^{(c-1)(A-B)/B} \quad (z \in E).$$

In case $B = 0$, i.e., for $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, 0)$ ($0 < A \leq 1$), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{z} \prec \exp((c - 1)Az) \quad (z \in E),$$

where $|A| < \pi/(c - 1)$. The result is best possible.

Proof. Setting $p(z) = (\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))/z$, we note that $p(z)$ is analytic in E , $p(0) = 1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in E$. Logarithmic differentiation $p(z)$ followed by the use of the identity (3.3) yields

$$1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{(c - 1)p(z)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in E).$$

For such $p(z)$, from (i) and (ii) of Lemma 4, we get the relations (3.9) and (3.10) of the theorem.

COROLLARY 3. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, we have, for $|z| = r < 1$,*

$$(3.11) \quad |\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)| \leq \begin{cases} r(1 + Br)^{(c-1)(A-B)/B}, & B \neq 0, \\ r \exp((c - 1)Ar), & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad |\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)| \geq \begin{cases} r(1 - Br)^{(c-1)(A-B)/B}, & B \neq 0, \\ r \exp(-(c - 1)Ar), & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

All the above estimates are sharp.

Proof. For $B \neq 0$, we deduce from (3.9) that

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{z} = (1 + B\omega(z))^{(c-1)(A-B)/B},$$

where $\omega(z)$ is analytic in E satisfying the conditions $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| \leq |z|$ for $z \in E$.

(i) When $B > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{z} \right| &= \left| (1 + B\omega(z))^{(c-1)(A-B)/B} \right| \\ &= \left| \exp \left[\frac{(c-1)(A-B)}{B} \log(1 + B\omega(z)) \right] \right| \\ &= \exp \left[\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(c-1)(A-B)}{B} \log(1 + B\omega(z)) \right\} \right] \\ &= \exp \left[\frac{(c-1)(A-B)}{B} \log |(1 + B\omega(z))| \right] \\ &\leq |(1 + B\omega(z))|^{(c-1)(A-B)/B} \leq (1 + Br)^{(c-1)(A-B)/B}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) When $B < 0$, we put $B = -D$, $D > 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{z} \right| &= |(1 + B\omega(z))^{(c-1)(A-B)/B}| = |(1 - D\omega(z))^{-1}|^{(c-1)(A-B)/B} \\ &\leq |(1 - D\omega(z))^{-1}|^{(c-1)(A-B)/B} \leq \left(\frac{1}{1 - Dr} \right)^{(c-1)(A-B)/B} \\ &\leq (1 + Br)^{(c-1)(A-B)/B}. \end{aligned}$$

In case $B = 0$ and $|A| < \pi/(c - 1)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)}{z} \right| = \exp\{(c - 1)A \operatorname{Re}(\omega(z))\} \leq \exp\{(c - 1)Ar\}.$$

This proves the assertion (3.11). Similarly, we can prove (3.12).

The bounds are sharp, being attained by the function $f(z)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) = \begin{cases} z(1 + B\delta_1 z)^{(c-1)(A-B)/B}, & B \neq 0, \\ z \exp((c - 1)Az), & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $a = 2$, $c = 2 - \lambda$, $A = \beta(1 - 2\alpha)$ and $B = -\beta$, Corollary 3 yields

COROLLARY 4. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then for $|z| = r < 1$,*

$$\frac{r}{(1 + \beta r)^{2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)}} \leq |J_z^\lambda(z)| \leq \frac{r}{(1 - \beta r)^{2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)}}.$$

The bounds are sharp.

COROLLARY 5. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{J_z^\lambda(z)}{z} \right\} > (1 + \beta)^{-2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)} \quad (z \in E).$$

The result is sharp.

THEOREM 3. *Let δ be a real number satisfying*

$$(3.13) \quad B < A \leq B + \frac{(1 - B)(\delta + 1)}{c - 1}.$$

(i) If $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$, then the function \mathcal{F}_δ defined by (1.2) belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$. Furthermore,

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c-1)\mathcal{F}_\delta(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)\mathcal{F}_\delta(z)} \prec \frac{1}{c-1} \left[\frac{1}{Q(z)} - (2 + \delta - c) \right] \equiv \tilde{q}(z) \quad (z \in E)$$

where

$$(3.15) \quad Q(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 t^\delta \left(\frac{1+Btz}{1+Bz} \right)^{(c-1)(A-B)/B} dt, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^1 t^\delta \exp\{(c-1)(t-1)Az\} dt, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{q}(z)$ is the best dominant.

(ii) If $B < 0$ and

$$A \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(1-B)(\delta+1)}{c-1} + B, -\frac{(\delta+3-c)B}{c-1} \right\},$$

then for $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c-1)\mathcal{F}_\delta(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)\mathcal{F}_\delta(z)} \right\} \\ & > \frac{1}{c-1} \left[(\delta+1) \left\{ {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{(c-1)(A-B)}{B}; \delta+2; \frac{B}{B-1} \right) \right\}^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (2 + \delta - c) \right] \quad (z \in E). \end{aligned}$$

The result is best possible.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_\delta(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \{(\delta+1)/(\delta+n)\} a_n z^n$, it follows from (1.5) that

$$(3.16) \quad z(\mathcal{L}(a, c)\mathcal{F}_\delta(z))' = (\delta+1)\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) - \delta\mathcal{L}(a, c)\mathcal{F}_\delta(z).$$

Putting

$$(3.17) \quad p(z) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c-1)\mathcal{F}_\delta(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)\mathcal{F}_\delta(z)},$$

we see that $p(z)$ is analytic in E with $p(0) = 1$. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$, it is clear that $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) \neq 0$ in $0 < |z| < 1$ so that (3.3) and (3.16) give

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c)\mathcal{F}_\delta(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)} = \frac{\delta+1}{(c-1)p(z) + (2 + \delta - c)} \quad (z \in E).$$

Making use of the logarithmic differentiation in (3.18) and using (3.17), we deduce that

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(c-1)p(z) + (2 + \delta - c)} = \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c-1)f(z)}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in E).$$

Using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1}{c-1} \left[\frac{1}{Q(z)} - (2 + \delta - c) \right] \equiv \tilde{q}(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in E),$$

where $Q(z)$ is given by (3.15), and $\tilde{q}(z)$ is the best dominant. This proves the first part of the theorem.

Proceeding as in Theorem 1 we get the second part.

Taking $a = 2$, $c = 2 - \lambda$, $A = \beta(1 - 2\alpha)$ and $B = -\beta$ in Theorem 3, we obtain

COROLLARY 6. *Let δ be a real number satisfying $\delta \geq \{(1 - \lambda)(1 - 2\alpha) - (1 + \beta\lambda)\}/(1 + \beta)$.*

(i) *If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then the function \mathcal{F}_δ defined by (1.2) belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Furthermore,*

$$\frac{J_z^{1+\lambda} \mathcal{F}_\delta(z)}{J_z^\lambda \mathcal{F}_\delta(z)} \prec \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \left\{ \frac{1}{Q(z)} - (\delta + \lambda) \right\} \equiv \tilde{q}(z) \quad (z \in E)$$

where $Q(z)$ is obtained from (3.15) for $c = 2 - \lambda$, $A = \beta(1 - 2\alpha)$ and $B = -\beta$.

(ii) *If*

$$\delta \geq \max \left\{ \frac{(1 - \lambda)(1 - 2\alpha) - (1 + \beta\lambda)}{1 + \beta}, \frac{(1 - \lambda)(1 - 2\alpha) - \beta(1 + \lambda)}{\beta} \right\}$$

and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then $\mathcal{F}_\delta \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \varrho, \beta)$, where

$$\varrho = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \left[(\delta + 1) \left\{ {}_2F_1 \left(1, 2(1 - \lambda)(1 - \alpha); \delta + 2; \frac{\beta}{\beta + 1} \right) \right\}^{-1} - (\delta + \lambda) \right].$$

The result is best possible.

REMARK. Substituting $\lambda = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ in part (ii) of Corollary 6, we see that $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) implies that $\mathcal{F}_\delta \in \mathcal{S}^*(\varrho_2)$, where $\varrho_2 = (\delta + 1) \{ {}_2F_1(1, 2(1 - \alpha); \delta + 2; 1/2) \}^{-1} - \delta$, provided $\delta \geq -\alpha$. This is an improvement of a recent result of Bajpai and Srivastava [2] and Bernardi [3] for $\delta = 1, 2, \dots$

THEOREM 4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be given by (1.1) and $-1 \leq B < 0$. If*

$$(3.19) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{(1 - B)(n - 1) + (A - B)(c - 1)\}(a)_n}{(c - 1)_n} |a_n| \leq A - B$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$. The result is sharp.

Proof. Suppose (3.19) holds. Then for $|z| = r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f(z) - \mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)| - |A\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) - B\mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f(z)| \\
 & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n - 1)(a)_n}{(c - 1)_n} |a_n| r^n \\
 & \quad - \left\{ (A - B)r - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{(A - B)(c - 1) - (n - 1)B\}(a)_n}{(c - 1)_n} |a_n| r^n \right\} \\
 & < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n - 1)(a)_n}{(c - 1)_n} |a_n| \\
 & \quad - \left\{ (A - B) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{(A - B)(c - 1) - (n - 1)B\}(a)_n}{(c - 1)_n} |a_n| \right\} \\
 & = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{(1 - B)(n - 1) + (A - B)(c - 1)\}(a)_n}{(c - 1)_n} |a_n| - (A - B) \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (1.7) that $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$.

The result is sharp for the functions

$$f_n(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(A - B)(c - 1)_n}{\{(1 - B)(n - 1) + (A - B)(c - 1)\}(a)_n} z^n \quad (n \geq 2),$$

because

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f_n(z) - \mathcal{L}(a, c)f_n(z)}{A\mathcal{L}(a, c)f_n(z) - B\mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f_n(z)} \right| = 1 \quad \text{for } z = \exp(i\pi/n).$$

COROLLARY 7. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be given by (1.1). If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(1 - \lambda)\{(1 + \beta)(n - 1) + 2\beta(1 - \alpha)\}}{\Gamma(n + 1 - \lambda)} |a_n| \leq 2\beta(1 - \alpha)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. The result is sharp.

THEOREM 5. If f given by (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$, then

$$(3.20) \quad |a_n| \leq \frac{(A - B)(c - 1)_n}{(n - 1)(a)_{n-1}} \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{(A - B)(c - 1)}{j - 1} \right) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$, we have

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f(z) = p(z)\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)$$

where $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{P}(A, B)$. Substituting the power series expansions of $\mathcal{L}(a, c - 1)f(z)$, $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)$ and $p(z)$ in (3.21) and comparing the coefficients of z^n on both sides of the resulting equation, we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad \frac{(n-1)(a)_{n-1}}{(c-1)_n} a_n = p_{n-1} + \frac{(a)_1}{(c)_1} p_{n-2} a_2 + \dots + \frac{(a)_{n-2}}{(c)_{n-2}} p_1 a_{n-1}.$$

Using the fact [1] that

$$|p_n| \leq A - B \quad (n \geq 1)$$

in (3.22), we get

$$(3.23) \quad \frac{(n-1)(a)_{n-1}}{(c-1)_n} |a_n| \leq (A - B) \left\{ 1 + \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \frac{(a)_{m-1}}{(c)_{m-1}} |a_m| \right\}.$$

We will prove by induction that the assertion (3.20) is satisfied for $n \geq 2$. If $n = 2$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{(c-1)_2(A-B)}{(a)_1}.$$

Now suppose that (3.20) is satisfied for $n \leq k$. Then, from (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k(a)_k}{(c-1)_{k+1}} |a_{k+1}| &\leq (A - B) \left\{ 1 + \sum_{m=2}^k \frac{(a)_{m-1}}{(c)_{m-1}} |a_m| \right\} \\ &\leq (A - B) \left\{ 1 + \sum_{m=2}^k \frac{(A-B)(c-1)}{m-1} \prod_{j=2}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{(A-B)(c-1)}{j-1} \right) \right\} \\ &= (A - B) \prod_{j=2}^k \left(1 + \frac{(A-B)(c-1)}{j-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(A-B)(c-1)_n}{(n-1)(a)_{n-1}} \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{(A-B)(c-1)}{j-1} \right)$$

for all $n \geq 2$.

Finally, we note that the result is sharp for the functions $f_n(z)$ given by

$$f_n(z) = z + \frac{(A-B)(c-1)_n}{(n-1)(a)_{n-1}} \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{(A-B)(c-1)}{j-1} \right) z^n \quad (n \geq 2).$$

COROLLARY 8. *If f , given by (1.1), belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)\Gamma(n+1-\lambda)}{(n-1)\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{j-1} \right) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

The result is sharp.

THEOREM 6. Let f , given by (1.1), belong to the class $\mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$ and μ be any complex number. Then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)(c - 1)_3}{2(a)_2} \times \max \left\{ 1, \left| \{B - (A - B)(c - 1)\} + \mu \frac{2(A - B)(a + 1)(c - 1)_2}{a(c + 1)} \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(a, c, A, B)$, we have, by (1.6),

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n - 1)(a)_{n-1}}{(c - 1)_n} a_n z^n = \left\{ (A - B)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{(A - B)(c - 1) - (n - 1)B\}(a)_{n-1}}{(c - 1)_n} a_n z^n \right\} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_j z^j \right\}$$

where $\omega(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_j z^j$ is analytic in E with $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$. On equating the coefficients of z^2 and z^3 on both sides of (3.24), we deduce that

$$(3.25) \quad a_2 = \frac{(A - B)(c - 1)}{a} \omega_1$$

and

$$(3.26) \quad a_3 = \frac{(A - B)(c - 1)}{2(a)_2} \{\omega_2 + ((A - B)(c - 1) - B)\omega_1^2\}.$$

It is known [6] that for every complex number γ ,

$$(3.27) \quad |\omega_2 - \gamma \omega_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |\gamma|\}$$

and the estimate is sharp. Now, by using (3.25) and (3.26), we obtain

$$(3.28) \quad |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)(c - 1)_3}{2(a)_2} |\omega_2 - \gamma \omega_1^2|,$$

where

$$\gamma = \{B - (A - B)(c - 1)\} + \mu \frac{2(A - B)(a + 1)(c - 1)_2}{a(c + 1)}.$$

The assertion of the theorem follows by using (3.27) in (3.28). The result is sharp as the estimate (3.27) is sharp.

COROLLARY 9. If f , given by (1.1), belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then for any complex number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{\beta(1 - \alpha)\Gamma(4 - \lambda)}{3! \Gamma(1 - \lambda)} \times \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{6\beta(1 - \alpha)(1 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda)}{3 - \lambda} - \beta\{2(1 - \alpha)(1 - \lambda) + 1\} \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

References

- [1] V. Anh, *K-fold symmetric starlike univalent functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 32 (1985), 419–436.
- [2] S. K. Bajpai and R. S. L. Srivastava, *On the radius of convexity and starlikeness of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (1972), 153–160.
- [3] S. D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (1969), 429–446.
- [4] B. C. Carlson and S. B. Shaffer, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15 (1984), 737–745.
- [5] Z. Janowski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. 28 (1973), 297–326.
- [6] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1960), 8–12.
- [7] Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, *Fractional integral and other linear operators associated with the Gaussian hypergeometric functions*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 34 (1997), 293–312.
- [8] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Univalent solutions of Briot–Bouquet differential equations*, J. Differential Equations 58 (1985), 297–309.
- [9] M. Obradović and S. Owa, *On certain properties for some classes of starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 145 (1990), 357–364.
- [10] S. Owa, *On the distortion theorems. I*, Kyungpook Math. J. 18 (1978), 53–59.
- [11] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1975), 109–115.
- [12] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1993.
- [13] H. M. Srivastava and R. G. Buschman, *Theory and Applications of Convolution Integral Equations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (eds.), *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1989.
- [15] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, 4th edition (reprinted), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1962.
- [16] D. R. Wilken and J. Feng, *A remark on convex and starlike functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 21 (1980), 287–290.

J. Patel
 Department of Mathematics
 Utkal University
 Vani Vihar
 Bhubaneswar 751004, India
 E-mail: jpatelmath@sify.com

G. P. Mohapatra
 Silicon School of Information Technology
 Commercial Complex
 Acharya Vihar
 Bhubaneswar 751013, India