

The sharp version of a criterion for starlikeness related to the operator of Alexander

by RÓBERT SZÁSZ (Corunca)

Abstract. The method of convolution is used to determine a sharp condition for starlikeness of analytic functions defined in the unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and having the property $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. The integral version is given using the Alexander integral operator.

1. Introduction. Let $\mathcal{H}(U)$ be the class of analytic functions in the unit disc U and let $\mathcal{A} = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0\}$. The subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of starlike functions is denoted by S^* . It is well known that $S^* = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re}(zf'(z)/f(z)) > 0, z \in U\}$. In [2] it was proved that if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f'(z) + zf''(z)\} > -\frac{\pi^2 - 6}{24 - \pi^2} = -0.273\dots, \quad z \in U,$$

then $f \in S^*$. This result is equivalent to the result obtained in [1]. In [3] an improvement of the results obtained in [1] and [2] is given. In [1] the author conjectured that if $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then the greatest value of c for which the inequality $\operatorname{Re}(f'(z) + zf''(z)) > -c, z \in U$, implies $f \in S^*$, is

$$c = \frac{2 \ln 2 - 1}{2(1 - \ln 2)} = 0.629\dots$$

In this paper this conjecture will be confirmed.

2. Preliminaries. Let $A_0 = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(0) = 1\}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \{f \in A_0 : \operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > 0 \text{ for all } z \in U\}$. We will need the following definitions and lemmas to prove the main result. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ and $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ be two analytic functions in U . The *Hadamard product* of f and g is defined

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases: operator of Alexander, starlike functions, convolution.

This work was supported by the Research Foundation Sapientia.

by

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

For $V \subset A_0$, the dual set of V is

$$V^d = \{g \in A_0 : (f * g)(z) \neq 0 \text{ for all } f \in V \text{ and } z \in U\}.$$

Let $h_T \in \mathcal{A}$ be the function defined by

$$h_T(z) = \frac{iT \frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}}{1 + iT}, \quad T \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The following two lemmas are established in [4].

LEMMA 1 ([4, p. 94]). *The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class S^* if and only if*

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} * \frac{h_T(z)}{z} \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in U \text{ and } T \in \mathbb{R}.$$

LEMMA 2 ([4, p. 23]). *The dual set of the class $\mathcal{P} = \{f \in A_0 : \operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > 0, z \in U\}$ is*

$$\mathcal{P}^d = \{f \in A_0 : \operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > 1/2, z \in U\}.$$

LEMMA 3. *If the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is increasing and continuous, then for every $a \in [0, \infty)$,*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^{a+1}}{f(x)} dx \leq \frac{a+1}{a+2} \int_0^1 \frac{x^a}{f(x)} dx.$$

Proof.

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^{a+1}}{f(x)} dx = \frac{a+1}{a+2} \int_0^1 \frac{x^a}{f(x^{\frac{a+1}{a+2}})} dx \leq \frac{a+1}{a+2} \int_0^1 \frac{x^a}{f(x)} dx. \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 4. *If $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ and $\beta > 0$ then the following identity holds:*

$$(1) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x})}{(\beta^2 + x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx + i\beta \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - e^{\theta x}}{(\beta^2 + x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx \\ = \frac{1}{2\beta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\theta k}}{k + \beta}.$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{i\theta z}}{(\beta + z)(e^{2\pi iz} - 1)}, \quad \beta > 0,$$

where $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ is a fixed number. Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of natural numbers. The contour $\Gamma(r, n)$ is constructed as follows: $\Gamma(r, n) = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_3 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \gamma_4$ where $\gamma_1(t) = R_n e^{i(\pi t - \pi/2)}$, $\gamma_2(t) = r e^{i(-\pi t + \pi/2)}$, $\gamma_3(t) = iR_n + t(ir - iR_n)$,

$\gamma_4(t) = -ir + t(ir - iR_n)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $r \in (0, 1)$ and $R_n = n + 1/2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The residue theorem implies that

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Gamma(r,n)} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < k < n+1/2} \text{Res}(f, k).$$

A computation leads to

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz &= -i\pi \cdot \text{Res}(f, 0), \\ \text{Res}(f, z_k) = \text{Res}(f, k) &= \frac{e^{i\theta k}}{2\pi i(k + \beta)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

We now give a detailed proof for the equality

$$(4) \quad \lim_{R_n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Let $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 2}$ be the sequence defined by

$$\alpha_n = \frac{\ln n}{4\pi^2(n + 1/2)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

We have

$$(5) \quad \left| \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz \right| \leq \left| \int_0^{1/2-\alpha_n} f(\gamma_1(t)) \gamma'_1(t) dt \right| + \left| \int_{1/2-\alpha_n}^{1/2+\alpha_n} f(\gamma_1(t)) \gamma'_1(t) dt \right| + \left| \int_{1/2+\alpha_n}^1 f(\gamma_1(t)) \gamma'_1(t) dt \right|.$$

If $n > \beta$, the inequalities $|e^{2\pi i \gamma_1(t)} - 1| \geq e^{-2\pi(n+1/2)\sin(\pi t - \pi/2)} - 1$ and $|\gamma_1(t) + \beta| \geq n + 1/2 - \beta$ for $t \in (0, 1/2)$ imply that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{1/2-\alpha_n} f(\gamma_1(t)) \gamma'_1(t) dt \right| &\leq \int_0^{1/2-\alpha_n} \frac{e^{-\theta(n+1/2)\sin(\pi t - \pi/2)}}{e^{-2\pi(n+1/2)\sin(\pi t - \pi/2)} - 1} \frac{n + 1/2}{n + 1/2 - \beta} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{e^{(2\pi-\theta)(n+1/2)\sin(\pi\alpha_n)} - 1} \frac{n + 1/2}{n + 1/2 - \beta} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1/2} e^{2\pi i \gamma_1(t)} = -1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, there is a natural number n_0 such that $|e^{2\pi i \gamma_1(t)} - 1| > 1$ for all $n > n_0$ and all $t \in [1/2 - \alpha_n, 1/2 + \alpha_n]$.

Consequently, the second term on the right side of (5) can be estimated by

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{1/2-\alpha_n}^{1/2+\alpha_n} \frac{n+1/2}{n+1/2-\beta} e^{-\theta(n+1/2)\sin(\pi t-\pi/2)} dt \\ & \leq 2\alpha_n \frac{n+1/2}{n+1/2-\beta} e^{\theta(n+1/2)\sin(\pi\alpha_n)} \\ & \leq 2\alpha_n \frac{n+1/2}{n+1/2-\beta} e^{2\pi(n+1/2)\pi\alpha_n} = 2\alpha_n \frac{n+1/2}{n+1/2-\beta} \sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the inequalities

$$|e^{i\theta\gamma_1(t)}| \leq e^{-\theta(n+1/2)\sin(\pi t-\pi/2)}, \quad |e^{2\pi i\gamma_1(t)} - 1| \geq 1 - e^{-2\pi(n+1/2)\sin(\pi t-\pi/2)}$$

for $t \in [1/2 + \alpha_n, 1]$ imply that the third term on the right side on (5) is at most

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{1/2+\alpha_n}^1 \frac{e^{-\theta(n+1/2)\sin(\pi t-\pi/2)}}{1 - e^{-2\pi(n+1/2)\sin(\pi t-\pi/2)}} \frac{n+1/2}{n+1/2-\beta} dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-\theta(n+1/2)\sin(\pi\alpha_n)}}{1 - e^{-2\pi(n+1/2)\sin(\pi\alpha_n)}} \frac{n+1/2}{n+1/2-\beta} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we see that (4) follows from (5)–(8). From (2)–(4) we obtain

$$\lim_{\substack{R_n \rightarrow \infty \\ r \rightarrow 0}} \left(\int_{\gamma_3} f(z) dz + \int_{\gamma_4} f(z) dz \right) = \frac{1}{2\beta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\theta k}}{k + \beta},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x})}{(\beta^2 + x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx + i\beta \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - e^{\theta x}}{(\beta^2 + x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx \\ & = \frac{1}{2\beta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\theta k}}{k + \beta}. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. Let $f : [a, b] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing non-constant function. Suppose that:

- (i) $|f(\beta_1, x) - f(\beta_2, x)| \leq |\beta_1 - \beta_2|q(x)$ for all $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [a, b]$ and $x \in [0, \infty)$, where $q : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function and the improper integral $\int_0^{\infty} q(x) dg(x)$ is convergent,
- (ii) the function f is differentiable with respect to the first variable, and $\partial f / \partial \beta : (a, b) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous,
- (iii) the parametric improper integral $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta, x) dg(x)$ is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of (a, b) .

Then the function

$$h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h(\beta) = \int_0^\infty f(\beta, x) dg(x),$$

is differentiable at every $\beta_0 \in (a, b)$ and

$$h'(\beta_0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta_0, x) dg(x).$$

Proof. Let $\beta_0 \in (a, b)$. There is a $\delta > 0$ for which $I = [\beta_0 - \delta, \beta_0 + \delta] \subset (a, b)$.

According to (i) and (iii) there exists an $N > 0$ so that $g(N) > g(0)$ and

$$(10) \quad \left| \int_M^\infty \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta, x) dg(x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_M^\infty q(x) dg(x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for $M \in [N, \infty)$ and $\beta \in I$.

Condition (i) also implies that

$$(11) \quad \int_N^\infty \left| \frac{f(\beta, x) - f(\beta_0, x)}{\beta - \beta_0} \right| dg(x) \leq \int_N^\infty q(x) dg(x).$$

The (Lagrange) mean value theorem implies that there is a $\theta_{(\beta,x)} \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{f(\beta, x) - f(\beta_0, x)}{\beta - \beta_0} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta + \theta_{(\beta,x)}(\beta_0 - \beta), x).$$

Since $\partial f / \partial \beta$ is uniformly continuous on the compact set $I \times [0, N]$, there is a $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$ such that if $|\beta - \beta_0| < \delta'$, then

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta, x) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta_0, x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(g(N) - g(0))} \quad \text{for } x \in [0, N].$$

If $|\beta - \beta_0| < \delta'$, then $|\beta + \theta_{(\beta,x)}(\beta_0 - \beta) - \beta_0| < \delta'$, and so

$$(12) \quad \left| \frac{f(\beta, x) - f(\beta_0, x)}{\beta - \beta_0} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta_0, x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(g(N) - g(0))} \quad \text{for } x \in [0, N].$$

Provided that $0 < |\beta - \beta_0| < \delta'$, inequalities (10)–(12) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{h(\beta) - h(\beta_0)}{\beta - \beta_0} - \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta_0, x) dg(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{f(\beta, x) - f(\beta_0, x)}{\beta - \beta_0} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta_0, x) \right) dg(x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^N \left| \frac{f(\beta, x) - f(\beta_0, x)}{\beta - \beta_0} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta_0, x) \right| dg(x) \\
&\quad + \int_N^\infty \left| \frac{f(\beta, x) - f(\beta_0, x)}{\beta - \beta_0} \right| dg(x) + \left| \int_N^\infty \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta_0, x) dg(x) \right| \\
&\leq \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. ■

REMARK 1. If we put, in Lemma 5, $g(x) = [x]$ where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x , then the improper integral $\int_0^\infty f(\beta, x) dg(x)$ and the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f(\beta, n)$ are convergent or divergent at the same time. If they are convergent then

$$\int_0^\infty f(\beta, x) dg(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f(\beta, n).$$

Hence we obtain an analogous lemma for the differentiability of series.

3. The main result

THEOREM 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and*

$$\operatorname{Re}(f'(z) + zf''(z)) > \frac{1 - 2 \ln 2}{2(1 - \ln 2)} \quad \text{for } z \in U,$$

then f belongs to the class S^ , and the result is sharp.*

Proof. The condition $\operatorname{Re}(f'(z) + zf''(z)) > -\alpha$, $z \in U$, is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha + f'(z) + zf''(z)}{1 + \alpha}\right) > 0, \quad z \in U,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\alpha + f' + zf''}{1 + \alpha} \in \mathcal{P}.$$

According to the representation theorem of Herglotz there is a probability measure μ on $[0, 2\pi]$ so that

$$\frac{\alpha + f'(z) + zf''(z)}{1 + \alpha} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + e^{-it}z}{1 - e^{-it}z} d\mu(t),$$

hence, if $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n z^n$, then

$$1 + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} \sum_{n=2}^\infty n^2 a_n z^{n-1} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty z^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t).$$

This implies $a_n = \frac{2(1+\alpha)}{n^2} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(n-1)t} d\mu(t)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and

$$f(z) = z + 2(1+\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^2} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(n-1)t} d\mu(t).$$

By Lemma 1, we have to prove that

$$(13) \quad \frac{f(z)}{z} * \frac{h_T(z)}{z} = \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t) \right) \\ * \left(1 + (1+\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+n+iT}{(1+iT)(n+1)^2} z^n \right) \neq 0, \quad z \in U, T \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The function defined by the development $1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t)$ belongs to the class \mathcal{P} for every $z \in U$ and every probability measure μ . From Lemma 2 and (13) it follows that f is starlike if and only if the function

$$g(z) = 1 + (1+\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+n+iT}{(1+iT)(n+1)^2} z^n, \quad z \in U,$$

belongs to the dual set \mathcal{P}^d , that is,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + (1+\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+n+iT}{(1+iT)(n+1)^2} z^n \right) > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U, T \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This inequality is equivalent to

$$(14) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+n+iT}{(1+iT)(n+1)^2} z^n \right) > 0, \quad z \in U, T \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will determine

$$\inf_{\substack{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] \\ T \in \mathbb{R}}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+n+iT}{(1+iT)(n+1)^2} e^{in\theta} \right) =: m.$$

If $1/(2(1+\alpha)) = -m$, then α will be the greatest real number for which (14) is valid. Let us introduce the notation

$$M(\theta, T) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+n+iT}{(1+iT)(n+1)^2} e^{in\theta} \right) \\ = \operatorname{Re} \left(-1 + \frac{1}{1+T^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in\theta}}{n+1} + \frac{T^2}{1+T^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in\theta}}{(n+1)^2} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{iT}{1+T^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in\theta}}{n+1} + \frac{iT}{1+T^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in\theta}}{(n+1)^2} \right).$$

The following identities hold for every $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in\theta}}{n+1} &= \int_0^1 \frac{1-t \cos \theta}{1+t^2-2t \cos \theta} dt + i \sin \theta \int_0^1 \frac{t}{1+t^2-2t \cos \theta} dt, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in\theta}}{(n+1)^2} &= \iint_0^1 \frac{1-tx \cos \theta}{1+t^2x^2-2tx \cos \theta} dt dx \\ &\quad + i \sin \theta \iint_0^1 \frac{tx}{1+t^2x^2-2tx \cos \theta} dt dx, \end{aligned}$$

and imply

$$\begin{aligned} M(\theta, T) &= -1 + \frac{1}{1+T^2} \int_0^1 \frac{1-t \cos \theta}{1+t^2-2t \cos \theta} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{T^2}{1+T^2} \iint_0^1 \frac{1-tx \cos \theta}{1+t^2x^2-2tx \cos \theta} dt dx \\ &\quad + \frac{T \sin \theta}{1+T^2} \int_0^1 \frac{t}{1+t^2-2t \cos \theta} dt \\ &\quad - \frac{T \sin \theta}{1+T^2} \iint_0^1 \frac{tx}{1+t^2x^2-2tx \cos \theta} dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{1-t \cos \theta}{1+t^2-2t \cos \theta} dt &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+t} dt + (1+\cos \theta) \int_0^1 \frac{t(1-t)}{(1+t)(1+t^2-2t \cos \theta)} dt, \\ \iint_0^1 \frac{1-tx \cos \theta}{1+t^2x^2-2tx \cos \theta} dt dx &= \iint_0^1 \frac{1}{1+tx} dt dx \\ &\quad + (1+\cos \theta) \iint_0^1 \frac{tx(1-tx)}{(1+tx)(1+t^2x^2-2tx \cos \theta)} dt dx, \quad \theta \in (0, 2\pi), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$M(\theta, T) = -1 + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+t} dt + \frac{1}{1+T^2} L_1(\theta, T),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\theta, T) &:= (1+\cos \theta) \int_0^1 \frac{t(1-t)}{(1+t)(1+t^2-2t \cos \theta)} dt \\ &\quad + T \sin \theta \iint_0^1 \frac{t(1-x)(1-t^2x)}{(1+t^2-2t \cos \theta)(1+t^2x^2-2tx \cos \theta)} dt dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + T^2 \left(\iint_0^1 \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)(1+tx)} dt dx \right. \\
& \left. + (1+\cos\theta) \iint_0^1 \frac{tx(1-tx)}{(1+tx)(1+t^2x^2-2tx\cos\theta)} dt dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we can prove that

$$\inf_{\substack{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] \\ T \in \mathbb{R}}} L_1(\theta, T) = 0,$$

then we will obtain

$$\inf_{\substack{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] \\ T \in \mathbb{R}}} M(\theta, T) = -1 + \ln 2.$$

Since $L_1(\theta, T)$ is a polynomial of the second degree in T with a positive dominant coefficient and $L_1(\pi, 0) = 0$, it is sufficient to show that the discriminant of $L_1(\theta, T)$ satisfies $\Delta_{L_1}(\theta) \leq 0$ for all $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{L_1}(\theta) &= \sin^2 \theta \left(\iint_0^1 \frac{t(1-x)(1-t^2x)}{(1+t^2-2t\cos\theta)(1+t^2x^2-2tx\cos\theta)} dt dx \right)^2 \\
&\quad - 4(1+\cos\theta) \int_0^1 \frac{t(1-t)}{(1+t)(1+t^2-2t\cos\theta)} dt \left(\iint_0^1 \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)(1+tx)} dt dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1+\cos\theta) \iint_0^1 \frac{tx(1-tx)}{(1+tx)(1+t^2x^2-2tx\cos\theta)} dt dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \Delta_{L_1}(\theta) = \sup_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \Delta_{L_1}(\theta)$ we can restrict to $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. Some calculations lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{L_1}(\theta) &= 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left[\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left(\iint_0^1 \frac{t(1-x)(1-t^2x)}{(1+t^2-2t\cos\theta)(1+t^2x^2-2tx\cos\theta)} dt dx \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^1 \frac{t(1-t)}{(1+t)(1+t^2-2t\cos\theta)} dt \left(\iint_0^1 \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)(1+tx)} dt dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (1+\cos\theta) \iint_0^1 \frac{tx(1-tx)}{(1+tx)(1+t^2x^2-2tx\cos\theta)} dt dx \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We will prove the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad & \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left(\iint_0^1 \frac{t(1-x)(1-t^2x)}{(1+t^2-2t\cos\theta)(1+t^2x^2-2tx\cos\theta)} dt dx \right)^2 \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^1 \frac{t(1-t)}{(1+t)(1+t^2-2t\cos\theta)} dt \iint_0^1 \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)(1+tx)} dt dx
\end{aligned}$$

for $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$, which implies the claimed condition $\Delta_{L_1}(\theta) \leq 0$ in the case $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$.

If $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$ the function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(t) = (1+t)(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)$, is strictly increasing and so Lemma 3 implies that

$$\frac{2}{3} \int_0^1 \frac{t}{(1+t)(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)} dt \geq \int_0^1 \frac{t^2}{(1+t)(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)} dt,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{t-t^2}{(1+t)(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)} dt \\ & \geq \frac{2}{3} \iint_{00}^{11} \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)} dt dx, \quad \theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that it is enough to prove

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \left(\iint_{00}^{11} \frac{t(1-x)(1-t^2x)}{(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)(1+t^2x^2 - 2tx \cos \theta)} dt dx \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{4}{3} \iint_{00}^{11} \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)} dt dx \iint_{00}^{11} \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)(1+tx)} dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

This will yield (15) in the case $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$.

The inequality of Cauchy–Schwarz implies

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\iint_{00}^{11} \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)\sqrt{(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)(1+tx)}} dt dx \right)^2 \\ & \leq \iint_{00}^{11} \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)} dt dx \iint_{00}^{11} \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)(1+tx)} dt dx \end{aligned}$$

for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. If we prove

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right|^2 \iint_{00}^{11} \frac{t(1-x)(1-t^2x)}{(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)(1+t^2x^2 - 2tx \cos \theta)} dt dx \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \iint_{00}^{11} \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)\sqrt{(1+t^2 - 2t \cos \theta)(1+tx)}} dt dx, \quad \theta \in [\pi/2, \pi], \end{aligned}$$

then this inequality and (18) imply (17).

Inequality (19) can be deduced from

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t(1-x)(1-t^2x)\sin\frac{\theta}{2}}{(1+t^2-2t\cos\theta)(1+t^2x^2-2tx\cos\theta)} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{t(1-x)}{(1+t)\sqrt{(1+t^2-2t\cos\theta)(1+tx)}} \end{aligned}$$

for $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$ and $t, x \in [0, 1]$. The latter inequality is valid because through direct calculations it can be shown that

$$\frac{\sin\frac{\theta}{2}}{\sqrt{1+t^2-2t\cos\theta}} \leq \frac{1}{1+t} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1-t^2x}{1+t^2x^2-2tx\cos\theta} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3(1+tx)}},$$

for $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

In order to finish the proof, we must also prove that $L_1(\theta, T) \geq 0$ for $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ and $T \in \mathbb{R}$. To do this, we will apply Lemmas 4 and 5.

We have proved in Lemma 4 the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x})}{(\beta^2 + x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx + i\beta \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - e^{\theta x}}{(\beta^2 + x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx \\ = \frac{1}{2\beta} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{e^{i\theta k}}{k + \beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Let f_1, f_2 be the functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1, f_2 : [1/2, 3/2] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_1(\beta, x) = \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x})}{(\beta^2 + x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)}, \\ f_2(\beta, x) = \frac{e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - e^{\theta x}}{(\beta^2 + x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|f_k(\beta_1, x) - f_k(\beta_2, x)| \leq |\beta_1 - \beta_2| \frac{3x(e^{2\pi x} + 1)}{((1/2)^2 + x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} =: |\beta_1 - \beta_2| q(x),$$

$$x \in (0, \infty), \beta \in [1/2, 3/2], k = 1, 2,$$

and the integral $\int_0^\infty q(x) dx$ is convergent, the functions f_1, f_2 satisfy condition (i) of Lemma 5. Condition (ii) is automatically satisfied. Since

$$\left| \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial \beta}(\beta, x) \right| \leq \frac{3x(e^{2\pi x} + 1)}{((1/2)^2 + x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)},$$

$$x \in (0, \infty), \beta \in [1/2, 3/2], k = 1, 2,$$

according to a theorem due to Weierstrass the convergence of the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x(e^{2\pi x} + 1)}{((1/2)^2 + x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx$$

implies that the integral $\int_0^\infty \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\beta, x) dx$ is uniformly convergent with respect to β on $[1/2, 3/2]$, and so condition (iii) of Lemma 5 is also satisfied.

If $\beta \in (1/2, 3/2)$ then from Lemma 5 and Remark 1 we see that both sides of the equality (20) can be differentiated with respect to β :

$$(21) \quad 2\beta \int_0^\infty \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x})}{(\beta^2 + x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx + i \int_0^\infty \frac{(\beta^2 - x^2)(e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - e^{\theta x})}{(\beta^2 + x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx = \frac{1}{2\beta^2} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{e^{i\theta k}}{(k + \beta)^2}.$$

In particular, (20) and (21) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{2xe^{\pi x}}{(1+x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx &= \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} = -\frac{1}{2} + \ln 2, \\ \int_0^\infty \frac{4xe^{\pi x}}{(1+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx &= \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (20) and (21) for $\beta = 1$ and the particular cases, we find that

$$M(\theta, T) = -1 + \ln 2 + \frac{1}{1+T^2} L_2(\theta, T) + \frac{T^2}{1+T^2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{12} - \ln 2 \right),$$

where

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} L_2(\theta, T) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - 2e^{\pi x})}{(1+x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx \\ &\quad + T \int_0^\infty \frac{2x^2(e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - e^{\theta x})}{(1+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx + T^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{2x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - 2e^{\pi x})}{(1+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that $L_2(\theta, T) > 0$ for $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ and $T \in \mathbb{R}$. $L_2(\theta, T)$ is a polynomial of the second degree with respect to T with discriminant

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2(\theta) &= 4 \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{x^2(e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - e^{\theta x})}{(1+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx \right)^2 \\ &\quad - 8 \int_0^\infty \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - 2e^{\pi x})}{(1+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx \int_0^\infty \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - 2e^{\pi x})}{(1+x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - 2e^{\pi x})}{(1+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx \int_0^\infty \frac{x(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - 2e^{\pi x})}{(1+x^2)(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx \\ \geq \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{x\sqrt{1+x^2}(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - 2e^{\pi x})}{(1+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x} - 1)} dx \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sqrt{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{x\sqrt{1+x^2}(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - 2e^{\pi x})}{(1+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x}-1)} dx \\ & \geq \int_0^\infty \frac{x^2(e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - e^{\theta x})}{(1+x^2)^2(e^{2\pi x}-1)} dx, \quad \theta \in [0, \pi/2], \end{aligned}$$

and (23) imply that $\Delta_2(\theta) \leq 0$ for $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, which leads to $L_2(\theta, T) \geq 0$ for $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ and $T \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, the inequality

$$\sqrt{2(1+x^2)}(e^{\theta x} + e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - 2e^{\pi x}) \geq x(e^{(2\pi-\theta)x} - e^{\theta x})$$

for $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ implies (24). This inequality is equivalent to

$$e^{(\pi-\theta)x} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2(1+x^2)} + x}{\sqrt{2(1+x^2)} - x}, \quad x \in [0, \infty), \theta \in [0, \pi/2].$$

To check this last inequality, it is sufficient to consider the case $\theta = \pi/2$, and consequently only

$$e^{\frac{\pi}{2}x} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2(1+x^2)} + x}{\sqrt{2(1+x^2)} - x}, \quad x \in [0, \infty),$$

must be proved. This is easily done using the derivative of the function

$$h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h(x) = e^{\frac{\pi}{2}x} - \frac{\sqrt{2(1+x^2)} + x}{\sqrt{2(1+x^2)} - x}. \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 2. *The largest value of c for which the condition*

$$(25) \quad f \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(f'(z) + zf''(z)) > -c, \quad z \in U,$$

implies the univalence of the function f , is $c = \frac{2\ln 2 - 1}{2(1 - \ln 2)}$.

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 1, condition (25) implies that

$$f(z) = z + 2(1+c) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^2} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= 1 + 2(1+c) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t) \\ &= \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t)\right) * \left(1 + (1+c) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Because $1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t) \in \mathcal{P}$, Lemma 2 implies that the necessary condition of univalence, $f'(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in U$, holds if and only if

$$(26) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + (1+c) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n+1} \right) > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U.$$

It is simple to prove that condition (26) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2(1+c)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} \geq 0,$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$c \leq \frac{2 \ln 2 - 1}{2(1 - \ln 2)}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 1 the proof is finished. ■

4. Integral version of the result. *Alexander's operator* is defined by

$$A(f)(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, \quad z \in U.$$

Let $R_c = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re}(f'(z)) > -c, z \in U\}$. Using this notation, Theorem 1 becomes:

THEOREM 3. *If $c = \frac{2 \ln 2 - 1}{2(1 - \ln 2)}$ then $A(R_c) \subseteq S^*$, and the result is sharp.*

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, *A subclass of starlike functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 24 (1994), 447–451.
- [2] P. T. Mocanu, *On starlike images by Alexander integral*, Babes-Bolyai Univ., Fac. Math. Phys., Seminar on Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity, Preprint 6 (1987), 245–250.
- [3] S. Ponnusamy, *On starlikeness of certain integral transforms*, Ann. Polon. Math. 56 (1992), 227–232.
- [4] St. Ruscheweyh, *Convolution in Geometric Function Theory*, Les Presses Univ. de Montréal, Montréal, 1982.
- [5] R. Singh and S. Singh, *Starlikeness and convexity of certain integrals*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A 35 (1981), 145–148.

Sapientia – Hungarian University of Transilvania
str. Sighișoarei, nr. 1c
540053 Corunca, Județul Mureș
Romania
E-mail: rszasz@ms.sapientia.ro

*Received 27.11.2006
and in final form 16.5.2008*

(1746)