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#### Abstract

For an analytic function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ having a critical point at the origin, we describe the topological properties of the partition of the family of trajectories of the gradient equation $\dot{x}=\nabla f(x)$ attracted by the origin, given by characteristic exponents and asymptotic critical values.


1. Introduction. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be an analytic function defined in a neighbourhood of the origin, having a critical point at 0 . We consider the trajectories of the gradient vector field $\dot{x}=\nabla f(x)$. Take $y>0$ such that $-y$ is a regular value of $f$. One can show that there exists a closed set $\Gamma \subset f^{-1}(-y)$ such that a non-trivial trajectory of the gradient field is attracted by the origin if and only if it intersects $f^{-1}(-y)$ transversally at a point belonging to $\Gamma$. Thus one may equip the set of non-trivial trajectories attracted by 0 with the topology induced from $\Gamma$.

By [18], the Čech-Alexander cohomology groups $\check{H}^{*}(\Gamma)$ are isomorphic to the cohomology groups $H^{*}\left(F_{y}\right)$ of the real Milnor fibre $F_{y}=\left\{x \in f^{-1}(-y) \mid\right.$ $|x| \leq d\}$, where $0<y \ll d \ll 1$. A more general version concerning analytic functions on manifold is presented in [19].

By [8], if $n=3$ and $f$ is harmonic then $\Gamma$ may be stratified.
Kurdyka et al. [11], in the course of proving Thom's conjecture, showed in particular that to each trajectory attracted by 0 (and so to each point in $\Gamma$ ) one may associate an element of a finite subset $L^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{-}$. This way we obtain a natural partition

$$
\Gamma=\bigcup_{(l, a) \in L^{\prime}} \Gamma(l, a)
$$

[^0]In $\mathbb{Q}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{-}$we may introduce the lexicographic order, so we may enumerate the elements of $L^{\prime}$ according to this order: $L^{\prime}=\left\{\left(l_{1}, a_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(l_{j}, a_{j}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.\left(l_{s}, a_{s}\right)\right\}$.

We will show that

$$
\Gamma\left(l_{1}, a_{1}\right) \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{i} \Gamma\left(l_{j}, a_{j}\right) \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} \Gamma\left(l_{j}, a_{j}\right)=\Gamma
$$

is a filtration of $\Gamma$ by closed sets, and that there are regular values $0<z_{1}<$ $\cdots<z_{i}<\cdots<z_{s}$ of the distance function $|x|$ restricted to the Milnor fibre $F_{y}$ such that each inclusion

$$
\bigcup_{j=1}^{i} \Gamma\left(l_{j}, a_{j}\right) \hookrightarrow\left\{x \in F_{y}| | x \mid \leq z_{i}\right\}
$$

induces isomorphism of Čech-Alexander cohomology groups. Hence one may apply techniques of differential topology to investigate the topology of the partition $\left\{\Gamma\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right)\right\}$ of the set of trajectories attracted by the origin.

Among the references we list several papers $[2-7,9,10,12,13,15,17$, 20-22] devoted to geometric and topological properties of solutions of the gradient equation.
2. Preliminaries. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be an analytic function defined in a neighbourhood of the origin, having a critical point at 0 . We consider the gradient $\nabla f$ of $f$. We will denote by $x(t)$ a trajectory of this vector field, that is, a curve satisfying

$$
\dot{x}(t)=\nabla f(x(t))
$$

It is easy to see that $\frac{d}{d t} f(x(t))>0$ unless $x(t)$ is constant, that is, $f$ is increasing along the trajectory $x(t)$. For $x$ with $f(x) \leq 0$ and sufficiently close to the origin, we denote by $\tau_{x}$ the set of points on the trajectory passing through $x$ belonging to $\{y \mid f(y) \geq f(x)\}$. Denote by $\omega(x) \in f^{-1}(0)$ either the intersection point of $\tau_{x}$ and $f^{-1}(0)$ or the limit point of the trajectory if it tends to $f^{-1}(0)$. It is well known that $\omega$ is a strong deformation retraction.

There is a neighbourhood $U_{0}$ of the origin, $0<\varrho<1$ and $c_{\varrho}, c_{f}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\nabla f(x)| \geq c_{\varrho}|f(x)|^{\varrho}  \tag{2.1}\\
|x||\nabla f(x)| \geq c_{f}|f(x)| \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $x \in U_{0}$. Inequality (2.1) is due to Łojasiewicz (see [14]), and (2.2) is known as the Bochnak-Łojasiewicz inequality (see [1]). In particular as a consequence of (2.1) we have $\nabla f^{-1}(0) \subseteq f^{-1}(0)$.

The gradient $\nabla f(x)$ splits into its radial component $\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(x) \frac{x}{|x|}$ and the spherical one $\nabla^{\prime} f(x)=\nabla f(x)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(x) \frac{x}{|x|}$. We shall denote $x /|x|$ by $\partial / \partial r$ and $\partial f / \partial r$ by $\partial_{r} f$. We will also often write $r$ instead of $|x|$. Then

$$
\nabla f=\nabla^{\prime} f+\partial_{r} f \frac{\partial}{\partial r}
$$

and

$$
|\nabla f|^{2}=\left|\nabla^{\prime} f\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{r} f\right|^{2}
$$

Now let $y, d$ be such that $0<y \ll d \ll 1$, and $-y \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular value of $f$. We call the set $F_{y}=\{x| | x \mid \leq d, f(x)=-y\}$ the real Milnor fibre of $f$. It is either an $(n-1)$-dimensional compact manifold with boundary or an empty set (see [16]). If $f(x) \leq-y$ and $0 \in \bar{\tau}_{x}$ then $\tau_{x} \cap f^{-1}(-y) \neq \emptyset$, because the function is increasing along the trajectory. The intersection is transversal and consists exactly of one point. This justifies

Definition. $\Gamma=\left\{x \in F_{y} \mid 0 \in \bar{\tau}_{x}\right\}=\left\{x \in F_{y} \mid \omega(x)=0\right\}$.
Nowel and the second-named author showed that each trajectory attracted by the origin intersects $F_{y}$ at a point in $\Gamma$ and the topology of the set $\Gamma$ is related to the topology of the Milnor fibre. We have (see [18])

THEOREM 1. The inclusion $\Gamma \hookrightarrow F_{y}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\check{H}^{*}(\Gamma) \simeq H^{*}\left(F_{y}\right)
$$

where $\check{H}^{*}$ denotes the Čech-Alexander cohomology groups.
3. Invariants associated with trajectories. In order to say more about the topology of the set $\Gamma$, we need some notions introduced in [11]. For $\varepsilon>0$ define

$$
W^{\varepsilon}=\left\{x|f(x) \neq 0, \varepsilon| \nabla^{\prime} f\left|\leq\left|\partial_{r} f\right|\right\}\right.
$$

Kurdyka et al. have defined the characteristic exponents, which are characterised by the following proposition ([11, Proposition 4.2]).

Proposition 2. There exists a finite subset of positive rationals $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^{+}$ such that for any sequence $W^{\varepsilon} \ni x \rightarrow 0$ there is a subsequence $W^{\varepsilon} \ni x^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ and $l \in L$ such that

$$
\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\right| \partial_{r} f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow l
$$

In particular, as a germ at the origin, each $W^{\varepsilon}$ is the disjoint union

$$
W^{\varepsilon}=\bigcup_{l \in L} W_{l}^{\varepsilon}
$$

where

$$
W_{l}^{\varepsilon}=\left\{x \in W^{\varepsilon}| | \frac{|x| \partial_{r} f}{f}-l\left|\leq|x|^{\delta}\right\}\right.
$$

for $\delta>0$ sufficiently small. Moreover, there exist constants $0<c_{\varepsilon}<C_{\varepsilon}$, which depend on $\varepsilon$, such that

$$
c_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{|f|}{|x|^{l}} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \quad \text { on } W_{l}^{\varepsilon}
$$

Fix $l>0$, not necessarily in $L$, and consider $F=f /|x|^{l}$ defined in the complement of the origin. We say that $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is an asymptotic critical value of $F$ at the origin if there exists a sequence $x \rightarrow 0, x \neq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x||\nabla F(x)| \rightarrow 0 \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x) \rightarrow a \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [11, Propositions 5.1 and 5.4] we have
Proposition 3. The set of asymptotic critical values of $F=f /|x|^{l}$ is finite. The real number $a \neq 0$ is an asymptotic critical value if and only if there exists a sequence $x \rightarrow 0, x \neq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\left|\nabla^{\prime} f(x)\right|}{\left|\partial_{r} f(x)\right|} \rightarrow 0 \\
\quad F(x) \rightarrow a \tag{b}
\end{gather*}
$$

By the above proposition, the set

$$
L^{\prime}=\left\{(l, a) \mid l \in L, a<0 \text { is an asymptotic critical value of } f /|x|^{l}\right\}
$$

is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Q}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{-}$. For a given characteristic exponent $l \in L$ there can be more than one asymptotic critical value $a$. By Section 6 of [11] we have

TheOrem 4. For every trajectory $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ of the gradient vector field there exists a unique pair $(l, a) \in L^{\prime}$ such that $\frac{f}{r^{l}}(x(t)) \rightarrow a$.

## 4. Partition of the set of trajectories

Definition. There is a natural partition of $\Gamma$ associated with $L^{\prime}$. Namely for $(l, a) \in L^{\prime}$,

$$
\Gamma(l, a)=\left\{x \in \Gamma\left|f(x(t)) /|x(t)|^{l} \rightarrow a \text { on the trajectory } \tau_{x}\right\}\right.
$$

Definition. In the set $\mathbb{Q}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{-}$we may introduce the lexicographic order

$$
(l, a) \leq\left(l^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { if } l<l^{\prime}, \text { or } l=l^{\prime} \text { and } a \leq a^{\prime}
$$

It is obvious that $(l, a) \leq\left(l^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $a|x|^{l} \leq a^{\prime}|x|^{l^{\prime}}$ near the origin. We enumerate the elements of $L^{\prime}$ according to this order.

Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denote the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We have the following Lemma 5. If $(l, a) \in\left(\mathbb{Q}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{-}\right) \backslash L^{\prime}$ then

$$
\left\langle\nabla\left(f-a|x|^{l}\right)(x), \nabla f(x)\right\rangle>0
$$

for $x \in\left(f-a|x|^{l}\right)^{-1}(0) \backslash\{0\}$ near 0 .

Proof. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that there is a sequence $x \rightarrow 0$, $x \neq 0$, such that $f(x)-a|x|^{l}=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq\left\langle\nabla\left(f-a|x|^{l}\right), \nabla f\right\rangle  \tag{4.3}\\
& \left.=|\nabla f|^{2}-\left.\langle l a| x\right|^{l-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \nabla^{\prime} f+\partial_{r} f \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rangle \\
& =|\nabla f|^{2}-l a r^{l-1} \partial_{r} f=|\nabla f|^{2}-\frac{l f}{r} \partial_{r} f .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.2) we have

$$
l|f|\left|\partial_{r} f\right| \geq r|\nabla f|^{2} \geq c_{f}|f||\nabla f|
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{f}}{l}|\nabla f| \leq\left|\partial_{r} f\right|, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that $x \in W^{c_{f} / l}$. By Proposition 2 , there are $l^{\prime} \in L$ and a subsequence $x^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\right| \partial_{r} f}{f} \rightarrow l^{\prime}
$$

All $x^{\prime}$ lie in $W_{l^{\prime}}^{c_{f} / l}$, hence

$$
c \leq \frac{f}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{l^{\prime}}} \leq C
$$

where $c=c_{c_{f} / l}$ and $C=C_{c_{f} / l}$. Since $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=a\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{l}, l=l^{\prime}$ is a characteristic exponent.

We shall now prove that $a$ is an asymptotic critical value. Let us transform the inequality (4.3):

$$
0 \geq\left|\nabla^{\prime} f\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{r} f\right|^{2}-\frac{l f}{r} \frac{\left|\partial_{r} f\right|^{2}}{\partial_{r} f}=\left|\nabla^{\prime} f\right|^{2}+\left|\partial_{r} f\right|^{2}\left(1-\frac{l f}{r \partial_{r} f}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\nabla^{\prime} f\right|^{2}}{\left|\partial_{r} f\right|^{2}} \leq\left|1-\frac{l f}{r \partial_{r} f}\right| . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{r \partial_{r} f}{f}=\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\right| \partial_{r} f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow l^{\prime}=l,
$$

the right-hand side of the inequality (4.5) tends to 0 . So does the left-hand side and we have

$$
\frac{\left|\nabla^{\prime} f\right|}{\left|\partial_{r} f\right|}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{f\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{l}}=a .
$$

By Proposition 3, $a$ is an asymptotic critical value of $f / r^{l}$.

Take $(l, a) \in \mathbb{Q}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{-} \backslash L^{\prime}$ and $y>0$ close to 0 such that $-y$ is a regular value of $f$. Define

$$
\Theta(l, a)=F_{y} \cap\left\{f-a|x|^{l} \leq 0\right\}=F_{y} \cap\left\{|x| \leq(y /(-a))^{1 / l}\right\} .
$$

We will show a relation between the cohomologies of $\Theta(l, a)$ and

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)=\bigcup_{\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right)<(l, a)} \Gamma\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right), \quad \text { where }\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right) \in L^{\prime} .
$$

Theorem 6. For every $(l, a) \in \mathbb{Q}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{-} \backslash L^{\prime}$ and every $y>0$ small enough, $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ is closed, and there is an inclusion

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a) \hookrightarrow \Theta(l, a),
$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$
\check{H}^{*}(\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)) \cong H^{*}(\Theta(l, a)) .
$$

Lemma 7. For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\eta=\eta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that if $|x|<\eta$ then for every point $y$ on $\tau_{x}$ between $x$ and $\omega(x)$ we have $|y|<\varepsilon$.

Proof. For $a \in \tau_{x}$ denote by $\ell(x, a)$ the length of the trajectory between $x$ and $a$. From the Łojasiewicz inequality (2.1) it follows (see [11]) that for $x$ close to the origin

$$
\ell(x, a) \leq c_{\varrho}(1-\varrho)^{-1}\left[|f(x)|^{1-\varrho}-|f(a)|^{1-\varrho}\right] .
$$

As $a \rightarrow \omega(x)$ we get

$$
\ell(x, \omega(x)) \leq c_{\varrho}(1-\varrho)^{-1}|f(x)|^{1-\varrho}=c_{1}|f(x)|^{1-\varrho} .
$$

By continuity of $f$ there exists $\eta, 0<\eta<\varepsilon / 2$, such that for $|x|<\eta$,

$$
\ell(x, \omega(x)) \leq c_{1}|f(x)|^{1-\varrho}<\varepsilon / 2 .
$$

That is, for $x^{\prime}$ between $x$ and $\omega(x)$,

$$
\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leq|x|+\ell\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon .
$$

Define $A_{\leq}=\left\{\left.x|-y \leq f(x) \leq a| x\right|^{l}\right\}$ and $A_{=}=\left\{\left.x|-y \leq f(x)=a| x\right|^{l}\right\}$. If $y$ is small enough then $A_{\leq}$is bounded by $A_{=}$and $\Theta(l, a)$. By Corollary 6 , $A_{=}$and $\Theta(l, a)$ intersect transversally.

If $x \in \Theta(l, a)$ then $\nabla f(x)$ is normal to $\Theta(l, a)$ and points into $A_{\leq}$. If $x \in A_{=} \backslash\{0\}$ then $\nabla\left(f-a|x|^{l}\right)$ is normal to $A_{=}$and points away from $A_{\leq}$.

We consider a mapping $\gamma: \Theta(l, a) \rightarrow A_{=}$such that $\gamma(x)$ is the point of intersection of the trajectory $\tau_{x}$ with the set $A_{=}$or $\gamma(x)=\omega(x)=0$ if $\tau_{x}$ does not intersect $A_{=}$.

Lemma 8. $\gamma$ is well defined, and $\gamma^{-1}(0)=\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$.
Proof. Consider trajectories starting from $\Theta(l, a)$. Some of them will stay in the set $A_{\leq}$and others will leave it forever. (A trajectory cannot get back to $A_{\leq}$, because for a point $x \in A_{=} \backslash\{0\}$ we have $\left\langle\nabla\left(f-a|x|^{l}\right)(x), \nabla f(x)\right\rangle>0$.

The angle between the gradients $\nabla\left(f-a|x|^{l}\right)(x)$ and $\nabla f(x)$ is less than $\pi / 2$, so the trajectory passing through $x$ leaves $A_{\leq}$.)

Consider a trajectory $\tau_{x}$ which stays in $A_{\leq}$. By the Łojasiewicz inequality (2.1), $\nabla f$ does not vanish on $A_{\leq} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence $x(t) \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $\gamma(x)=\omega(x)=0$ and $x \in \Gamma$. That is, we proved $\gamma$ is well defined. By Theorem 4 there is $\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right) \in L^{\prime}$ such that $f(x(t)) /|x(t)|^{l_{i}} \rightarrow a_{i}$.

The trajectory stays inside $A_{\leq}$, so

$$
f(x(t))-a|x(t)|^{l} \leq 0
$$

For every $\varepsilon>0$, if $x(t)$ is sufficiently close to the origin we have

$$
\left(a_{i}-\varepsilon\right)|x(t)|^{l_{i}}<f(x(t)) \leq a|x(t)|^{l}
$$

Therefore $l_{i}<l$ or $l_{i}=l$ and $a_{i}-\varepsilon<a$ for every $\varepsilon>0$. Hence

$$
\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right) \leq(l, a)
$$

Since $(l, a) \notin L^{\prime},\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right)<(l, a)$.
Now consider a trajectory $\tau_{x}$ which leaves $A_{\leq}$, i.e. $\gamma(x) \neq 0$. Then for $t$ large enough we have $f(x(t))>a|x(t)|^{l}$. If $\tau_{x}$ starts from $\Gamma$, then $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ and there is $\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right) \in L^{\prime}$ such that $f(x(t)) /|x(t)|^{l_{i}} \rightarrow a_{i}$. For every $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\left(a_{i}+\varepsilon\right)|x(t)|^{l_{i}}>f(x(t))>a|x(t)|^{l}
$$

if $x(t)$ is sufficiently close to the origin. Applying similar arguments to the above we have $\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right)>(l, a)$. Similarly for a trajectory which starts from $\Gamma$ outside $\Theta(l, a)$ : it cannot enter the set $A_{\leq}$and hence ( $l_{i}, a_{i}$ ) corresponding to that trajectory is greater than $(l, a)$.

Lemma 9. $\gamma$ is continuous, and $\gamma$ restricted to $\Theta(l, a) \backslash \widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ is a homeomorphism onto $\operatorname{Im} \gamma \backslash\{0\}=A_{=} \backslash\{0\}$. In particular, $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ is compact.

Proof. Consider $x \in \Theta(l, a)$ such that $\gamma(x) \neq 0$. Then $\tau_{x}$ is transversal to $\Theta(l, a)$ at $x$ and to $A_{=}$at $\gamma(x)$, therefore $\gamma$ is a Poincaré mapping in some neighbourhood of $x$. Hence $\gamma$ is a local homeomorphism at $x$.

Now take $x$ such that $\gamma(x)=0$. Then $\tau_{x} \subset A_{\leq}$and $0 \in \bar{\tau}_{x}$. Fix an $\varepsilon>0$. There is $x^{\prime} \in \tau_{x}$ such that $\left|x^{\prime}\right|<\eta / 2$, where $\eta=\eta(\varepsilon)$ comes from Lemma 7 . Now consider a neighbourhood $V$ of $x^{\prime}$ of diameter $\eta / 2$ contained in $A_{\leq}$. Reversing trajectories we get an open neighbourhood $W \subset \Theta(l, a)$ of $x$ such that $|\gamma(y)|<\varepsilon$ for $y \in W$.

Lemma 10. For every open neighbourhood $U$ of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ in $\Theta(l, a), \gamma(U)$ is an open neighbourhood of 0 in $\operatorname{Im} \gamma=A_{=}$.

Proof. Rewrite the proof of Lemma 9 in [18] substituting $\Theta(l, a)$ for $F_{r}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \gamma$ for $Z_{r}$.

Proof of Theorem 6. The inclusion $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a) \subseteq \Theta(l, a)$ follows from the fact that $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)=\gamma^{-1}(0)$ as stated in Lemma 8.

In order to prove that the inclusion induces an isomorphism of ČechAlexander cohomology groups, we will construct a descending family $\Theta(l, a)$ $=U_{1} \supset U_{2} \supset \cdots$ of open neighbourhoods of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ in $\Theta(l, a)$, which satisfies
(u1) every inclusion $U_{n+1} \subset U_{n}$ is a homotopy equivalence,
(u2) for every neighbourhood $U$ of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ in $\Theta(l, a)$ there is $n$ such that $U_{n} \subset U$.
The set $\operatorname{Im} \gamma=A_{=}=\left\{\left.x|f=a| x\right|^{l},|x| \leq(y /(-a))^{1 / l}\right\}$, for $y$ small enough, is homeomorphic to a cone with vertex at 0 , so there is a descending family $A_{=}=V_{1} \supset V_{2} \supset \cdots$ of open neighbourhoods of 0 in $A_{=}$such that every inclusion is a homotopy equivalence and for every open neighbourhood $V$ of 0 in $A_{=}$there is $n$ such that $V_{n} \subset V$. We put $U_{n}=\gamma^{-1}\left(V_{n}\right)$. Clearly $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ is a family of open neighbourhoods of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ in $\Theta(l, a)$. The mapping $\gamma$ restricted to $\Theta(l, a) \backslash \widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ is a homeomorphism onto $A_{=} \backslash\{0\}$, hence (u1) holds. If $U$ is an open neighbourhood of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ then by Lemma $10, \gamma(U)$ is an open neighbourhood of 0 . There is $n$ such that $V_{n} \subset \gamma(U)$; then $U_{n} \subset U$, so (u2) holds.

As the family $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ is cofinal in the family of all open neighbourhoods of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)$ in $\Theta(l, a)$ ordered by $\supseteq$, we have an isomorphism of direct limits

$$
\underset{U}{\lim } H^{*}(U) \cong \underset{U_{n}}{\lim _{\longrightarrow}} H^{*}\left(U_{n}\right)=\check{H}^{*}(\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a))
$$

Since $H^{*}\left(U_{n}\right) \cong H^{*}(\Theta(l, a))$ by (u1), the theorem holds.
For given $l \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$and $y,(y /(-a))^{1 / l}$ is a regular value of $|x|_{\mid F_{y}}$, for almost all $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\text {_ }}$. In that case $\Theta(l, a)$ is either void or a compact ( $n-1$ )-manifold with boundary.

Proposition 11. For each $(l, a) \in\left(\mathbb{Q}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{-}\right) \backslash L^{\prime}$ and each $y>0$ small enough, $z=(y /(-a))^{1 / l}$ is a regular value for $|x|_{\mid F_{y}}$ and the inclusion

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(l, a)=\bigcup_{\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right)<(l, a)} \Gamma\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{y} \cap\{|x| \leq z\}
$$

induces an isomorphism of Čech-Alexander cohomology groups.
Proof. Consider the set of critical values of $|x|_{\mid F_{y}}$. For a given $y$ we have finitely many critical values $w_{1}(y), \ldots, w_{p}(y)$. We can treat $w_{j}(y)$ as a real function. The graph of $w_{j}$ is a subanalytic set. Since it lies in the plane, it is semianalytic. Hence we can write the Puiseux expansion for each $w_{j}$ (see [14]):

$$
w_{j}(y)=b y^{m}+\cdots \quad\left(b>0, m \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}\right)
$$

We will show that $\left(1 / m,-b^{-1 / m}\right) \in L^{\prime}$.
By the curve selection lemma we can choose a curve $\xi(r)$ of critical points corresponding to $w_{j}$. We parametrize the curve by the distance to the origin.

Put $y(r)=-f(\xi(r))$. That is, $\xi(r) \in F_{y(r)}$ is a critical point of $|x|_{\mid F(y(r))}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=|\xi(r)|=w_{j}(y(r))=b(y(r))^{m}+\cdots \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also write a Puiseux expansion of $f$ along this curve,

$$
f(\xi(r))=-\alpha r^{q}+\cdots \quad\left(\alpha>0, q \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(r)=\alpha r^{q}+\cdots \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.7) and (4.6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=b\left(\alpha r^{q}\right)^{m}+\cdots=b \alpha^{m} r^{q m}+\cdots \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

along the curve $\xi(r)$. Hence $q m=1$ and $b \alpha^{m}=1$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\xi(r))=-b^{-1 / m} r^{1 / m}+\cdots \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curve $\xi(r)$ consists of critical points of $|x|_{\mid F_{y(r)}}$ and therefore on $\xi(r)$ we have $\left|\nabla^{\prime} f\right| \equiv 0,|\nabla f|=\left|\partial_{r} f\right|$. For every $\varepsilon>0$ we have $\varepsilon\left|\nabla^{\prime} f\right|<\left|\partial_{r} f\right|$, and that means the curve $\xi$ lies in every $W^{\varepsilon}$, so there exists a characteristic exponent $l^{\prime}$ such that $\xi$ lies in $W_{l^{\prime}}^{\varepsilon}$.

Since $f(\xi(r)) /|\xi(r)|^{1 / m} \rightarrow-b^{-1 / m}$, it follows that $l^{\prime}=1 / m \in L$ by the last statement of Proposition 2. By Proposition $3,-b^{-1 / m}$ is the corresponding asymptotic critical value for $f / r^{1 / m}$. In particular, $\left(1 / m,-b^{-1 / m}\right) \in L^{\prime}$. Assume that $(l, a) \notin L^{\prime}$. If $y$ is small enough, then $(y /(-a))^{1 / l}=(-a)^{-1 / l} y^{1 / l}$ is different from any $w_{j}(y)$. Hence it is a regular value for $|x|_{\mid F_{y}}$.

Now it is enough to apply Theorem 7.
The proof above gives us even more:
THEOREM 12. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be an analytic function defined in a neighbourhood of the origin, having a critical point at 0 . For each $y$ small enough there is a finite sequence $0<z_{1}<\cdots<z_{i}<\cdots<z_{s}$ of regular values of $|x|_{\mid F_{y}}$ such that

$$
\Gamma\left(l_{1}, a_{1}\right) \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{i} \Gamma\left(l_{j}, a_{j}\right) \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} \Gamma\left(l_{j}, a_{j}\right)=\Gamma
$$

is a filtration of $\Gamma$ by closed sets, and the inclusions

$$
\bigcup_{j=1}^{i} \Gamma\left(l_{j}, a_{j}\right) \hookrightarrow\left\{x \in F_{y}| | x \mid \leq z_{i}\right\}
$$

induce isomorphisms of Čech-Alexander cohomology groups. One can take $z_{i}=(y /(-a))^{1 / l}$, where $\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right)<(l, a)<\left(l_{i+1}, a_{i+1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $s$ be the cardinality of $L^{\prime}$. As we have seen in the proof of Corollary 11, if $(l, a) \notin L^{\prime}$ then $(y /(-a))^{1 / l}$ is a regular value of $|x|_{\mid F_{y}}$. Since
$L^{\prime}$ is totally ordered by the lexicographic ordering, for every $i$ we can choose a pair $(l, a)$ such that

$$
\left(l_{i}, a_{i}\right)<(l, a)<\left(l_{i+1}, a_{i+1}\right)
$$

where $\left(l_{s+1}, a_{s+1}\right)$ is greater than any pair in $L^{\prime}$. Set $z_{i}(y)=(y /(-a))^{1 / l}$. One can easily see that $z_{i}<z_{i+1}$ and $z_{i}(y) \neq w_{j}(y)$ for sufficiently small $y$.

By Proposition 11, the vertical inclusions induce isomorphisms of the Cech-Alexander cohomology groups.

The above theorem shows that applying well known methods of differential topology and Morse theory to the distance function $|x|$ on the Milnor fibre may provide important information about the topology of families of trajectories of an analytic gradient vector field with given characteristic exponent and asymptotic critical value.
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