

## Parametrization of Riemann-measurable selections for multifunctions of two variables with application to differential inclusions

by GIOVANNI ANELLO and PAOLO CUBIOTTI (Messina)

**Abstract.** We consider a multifunction  $F : T \times X \rightarrow 2^E$ , where  $T$ ,  $X$  and  $E$  are separable metric spaces, with  $E$  complete. Assuming that  $F$  is jointly measurable in the product and a.e. lower semicontinuous in the second variable, we establish the existence of a selection for  $F$  which is measurable with respect to the first variable and a.e. continuous with respect to the second one. Our result is in the spirit of [11], where multifunctions of only one variable are considered.

**1. Introduction.** If  $X$  is a topological space, we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $X$ . Moreover, if  $\mu$  is measure on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{T}_\mu(X)$  the completion of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  with respect to  $\mu$ . We briefly put  $\mathcal{T}_\mu = \mathcal{T}_\mu(X)$  when ambiguities do not occur. For the basic definitions about multifunctions, we refer the reader to [6] and [7].

This note is motivated by the main result of [11], which concerns the existence of Riemann-measurable selections (i.e., selections which are a.e. continuous) for a given multifunction. For the reader's convenience, we now state the main result of [11] (as usual, by a *Polish space* we mean a complete separable metric space).

**THEOREM 1** (Theorem 3 of [11]). *Let  $X$  be a Polish space equipped with a  $\sigma$ -finite regular Borel measure,  $E$  a metric space and  $F : X \rightarrow 2^E$  a multifunction with nonempty complete values. If  $F$  is lower semicontinuous at almost every point of  $X$ , then there exists a selection of  $F$  which is continuous at almost every point of  $X$ .*

We refer to [11] for motivations leading to Theorem 1. Applications of Theorem 1 to implicit integral equations and to elliptic differential equations can be found in [2] and [8], respectively.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47H04, 28B20, 34A60.

*Key words and phrases*: multifunctions, Riemann-measurable selections, lower semicontinuity, differential inclusions.

Our aim in this paper is to prove a parametrized version of Theorem 1 for multifunctions of two variables, obtaining, in particular, sufficient conditions for the existence of a selection which is measurable with respect to the first variable and a.e. continuous with respect to the second one. More precisely, we prove the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T, X$  be two Polish spaces and let  $\mu, \psi$  be two positive regular Borel measures on  $T$  and  $X$ , respectively, with  $\mu$  finite and  $\psi$   $\sigma$ -finite. Let  $S$  be a separable metric space,  $F : T \times X \rightarrow 2^S$  a multifunction with nonempty complete values, and let  $E \subseteq X$  be a given set. Assume that:*

- (i)  $F$  is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable;
- (ii) for a.a.  $t \in T$ ,

$$(1) \quad \{x \in X : F(t, \cdot) \text{ is not lower semicontinuous at } x\} \subseteq E.$$

Then there exist a selection  $\phi : T \times X \rightarrow S$  of  $F$  and a set  $R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , with  $\psi(R) = 0$ , such that

- (i)'  $\phi(\cdot, x)$  is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable for each  $x \in X \setminus (E \cup R)$ ;
- (ii)' for a.a.  $t \in T$ ,

$$\{x \in X : \phi(t, \cdot) \text{ is not continuous at } x\} \subseteq E \cup R.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 will be given in Section 2, while in Section 3 we shall provide an application of Theorem 2 to differential inclusions.

**2. Proof of Theorem 2.** Before proving Theorem 2, we need the following preliminary results.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $T, X$  be two Polish spaces and let  $\mu, \psi$  be two positive  $\sigma$ -finite regular Borel measure on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Then there exist two sets  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(T)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , with  $\mu(Q) = \psi(R) = 0$ , a continuous open function  $\pi : \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow T \times X$ , and a function  $\sigma : T \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  which is continuous at each point of  $(T \setminus Q) \times (X \setminus R)$  and satisfies  $\pi(\sigma(t, x)) = (t, x)$  for all  $(t, x) \in T \times X$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1 of [11], there exist  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(T)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  with  $\mu(Q) = \psi(R) = 0$ , two continuous open functions  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow T$ ,  $\pi_2 : \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ , a function  $\sigma_1 : T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  which is continuous at each point of  $T \setminus Q$ , and a function  $\sigma_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  which is continuous at each point of  $X \setminus R$ , such that  $\pi_1(\sigma_1(t)) = t$  and  $\pi_2(\sigma_2(x)) = x$  for all  $(t, x) \in T \times X$ . For each  $\alpha := \{n_k\}_k \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $\alpha_e$  and  $\alpha_o$  the sequences  $\{n_{2k}\}_k$  and  $\{n_{2k-1}\}_k$ , respectively. If we put  $\pi(\alpha) = (\pi_1(\alpha_e), \pi_2(\alpha_o))$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\pi : \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow T \times X$  is a continuous open function. Moreover, if we put  $\sigma(t, x) = \{n(t, x)_k\}_k$ , where  $\{n(t, x)_{2k}\}_k = \sigma_1(t)$  and  $\{n(t, x)_{2k-1}\}_k = \sigma_2(x)$ , then  $\sigma : T \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  is continuous at each point of  $(T \setminus Q) \times (X \setminus R)$  and one has  $\pi(\sigma(t, x)) = (t, x)$  for all  $(t, x) \in T \times X$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $T, X, Q, R, \mu, \psi, \pi, \sigma$  be as in Lemma 1. Let  $E$  be a metric space,  $B \subseteq T \times X$  and  $V \subseteq B$  two given sets, and  $F : B \rightarrow 2^E$  a multifunction with nonempty complete values which is lower semicontinuous at each point of  $B \setminus V$ . Then there exists a selection  $g$  of  $F$  which is continuous at each point of  $[B \cap ((T \setminus Q) \times (X \setminus R))] \setminus V$ .

*Proof.* Put  $Z = \pi^{-1}(B)$  and  $G = F \circ \pi|_Z$ . Observe that  $Z$  is 0-dimensional and  $G$  is lower semicontinuous at each point of  $Z \setminus \pi^{-1}(V)$ . Consequently, by the proof of Lemma 2 of [11], there exists a selection  $s$  of  $G$  which is continuous at each point of  $Z \setminus \pi^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $\sigma(t, x) \in \pi^{-1}(t, x) \subseteq Z$  for all  $(t, x) \in B$ , we can put  $g(t, x) = s(\sigma(t, x))$  for all  $(t, x) \in B$ . Then  $g(t, x) \in F(\pi(\sigma(t, x))) = F(t, x)$  for all  $(t, x) \in B$ . Further, it is easily seen that  $g$  is continuous at each point of  $[B \cap ((T \setminus Q) \times (X \setminus R))] \setminus V$ .

The next lemma follows from the proof of Lemma 2.3 of [1].

LEMMA 3. Let  $X$  and  $S$  be metric spaces, with  $S$  separable,  $F : X \rightarrow 2^S$  a multifunction with nonempty values,  $\{s_n\}$  a dense sequence in  $S$ , and  $x_0 \in X$ . Denote by  $d$  the distance in  $S$ .

- (i) If  $F$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x_0$ , then for each  $s \in S$  the function  $x \in X \mapsto d(s, F(x))$  is upper semicontinuous at  $x_0$ .
- (ii) If for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the function  $x \in X \mapsto d(s_n, F(x))$  is upper semicontinuous at  $x_0$ , then  $F$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x_0$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $T, X, \mu, \psi$  be as in Lemma 1, with  $\mu$  finite. Let  $f : T \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a single-valued function and  $E \subseteq X$  a given set. Assume that:

- (i)  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable;
- (ii)  $\inf_{T \times X} f > -\infty$ ;
- (iii) for a.a.  $t \in T$ ,

$$(2) \quad \{x \in X : f(t, \cdot) \text{ is not lower semicontinuous at } x\} \subseteq E.$$

Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable set  $K \subseteq T$  such that  $\mu(T \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$  and the function  $f|_{K \times X}$  is lower semicontinuous at each point  $(t, x) \in K \times (X \setminus E)$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can suppose  $f \geq 0$  in  $T \times X$ . Let  $T_0 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be such that  $\mu(T \setminus T_0) = 0$  and (2) holds for all  $t \in T_0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_n : T \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  be the function defined by putting, for all  $(t, x) \in T \times X$ ,

$$(3) \quad f_n(t, x) := \inf_{y \in X} [nd(x, y) + f(t, y)].$$

We observe the following facts.

- (a) For each  $x \in X$ , the function  $f_n(\cdot, x)$  is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable over  $T$ . This follows from Lemma III.39 of [3], since the function

$$(t, y) \mapsto nd(x, y) + f(t, y)$$

is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable for each fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ .

(b) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $(t, x) \in T \times X$ , one has

$$(4) \quad f_n(t, x) \leq f(t, x).$$

Indeed, it is enough to put  $y = x$  in (3).

(c) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $t \in T$ , the function  $f_n(t, \cdot)$  is  $n$ -Lipschitzian over  $X$ . Indeed, if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in T$  are fixed, then for each  $x, z \in X$  one has

$$f_n(t, x) \leq \inf_{y \in X} [nd(x, z) + nd(z, y) + f(t, y)] = nd(x, z) + f_n(t, z),$$

hence

$$f_n(t, x) - f_n(t, z) \leq nd(x, z).$$

By the latter inequality, upon interchanging the roles of  $x$  and  $z$ , our assertion follows.

(d) For all  $(t, x) \in T \times X$ , set

$$f^*(t, x) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(t, x).$$

Then

$$(5) \quad f^*(t, x) = f(t, x) \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in T_0 \times (X \setminus E).$$

To see this, let  $(t, x) \in T_0 \times (X \setminus E)$  and  $\eta > 0$ . Since  $f(t, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) < \delta$  one has

$$f(t, y) > \beta := f(t, x) - \eta.$$

Pick  $n^* > \beta/\delta$ . For each  $y \in X$  we get

$$n^*d(x, y) + f(t, y) \geq \begin{cases} f(t, y) > \beta & \text{if } d(x, y) < \delta, \\ n^*\delta + f(t, y) > \beta + f(t, y) \geq \beta & \text{if } d(x, y) \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $f_{n^*}(t, x) \geq \beta$  and thus, by taking into account (4), the equality (5) holds.

At this point, fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by the Scorza Dragoni Theorem, there exists a  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable set  $K_n \subseteq T_0$  such that

$$\mu(T \setminus K_n) \leq \varepsilon/2^n$$

and  $f_n|_{K_n \times X}$  is continuous. The set  $K := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$  is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable and

$$\mu(T \setminus K) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (T \setminus K_n)\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(T \setminus K_n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since each  $f_n|_{K \times X}$  is continuous,  $f^*|_{K \times X}$  is lower semicontinuous (as the upper envelope of a sequence of continuous functions). Now, choose any  $(t^*, x^*) \in K \times (X \setminus E)$ , and let us show that  $f|_{K \times X}$  is lower semicontinuous

at  $(t^*, x^*)$ . To this end, fix  $\gamma > 0$ . Since  $f^*|_{K \times X}$  is lower semicontinuous, there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $(t^*, x^*)$  in  $K \times X$  such that

$$f^*(t^*, x^*) - \gamma < f^*(t, x) \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in U.$$

Taking into account (4) and (5), it follows that for all  $(t, x) \in U$  one has

$$f(t, x) \geq f^*(t, x) > f^*(t^*, x^*) - \gamma = f(t^*, x^*) - \gamma.$$

Hence,  $f|_{K \times X}$  is lower semicontinuous at  $(t^*, x^*)$ , as claimed. The proof is complete.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $T, X, \mu, \psi$  be as in Lemma 1, with  $\mu$  finite,  $S$  a separable metric space,  $F : T \times X \rightarrow 2^S$  a multifunction with nonempty closed values, and  $E \subseteq X$  a given set. Assume that:*

- (i)  $F$  is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable;
- (ii) for a.a.  $t \in T$ ,

$$\{x \in X : F(t, \cdot) \text{ is not lower semicontinuous at } x\} \subseteq E.$$

Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable set  $K \subseteq T$  such that  $\mu(T \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$  and the multifunction  $F|_{K \times X}$  is lower semicontinuous at each  $(t, x) \in K \times (X \setminus E)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varrho$  be an equivalent distance over  $S$  such that  $\varrho \leq 1$ , and let  $\{y_n\}$  be a dense sequence in  $S$ . By Proposition 13.2.2 of [7], for each  $y \in S$  the function  $\varrho(y, F(\cdot, \cdot))$  is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable. Moreover, by Lemma 3, for each  $y \in E$  and for a.a.  $t \in T$  the function  $\varrho(y, F(t, \cdot))$  is upper semicontinuous at each  $x \in X \setminus E$ . Now, fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Lemma 4 applied to the function  $-\varrho(y_n, F(\cdot, \cdot))$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable set  $K_n \subseteq T$  such that

$$\mu(T \setminus K_n) \leq \varepsilon/2^n$$

and the function  $\varrho(y_n, F(\cdot, \cdot))|_{K_n \times X}$  is upper semicontinuous at each  $(t, x) \in K_n \times (X \setminus E)$ . Putting  $K := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ , we see that  $K$  is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable,  $\mu(T \setminus K) \leq \varepsilon$ , and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the function  $\varrho(y_n, F(\cdot, \cdot))|_{K \times X}$  is upper semicontinuous at each  $(t, x) \in K \times (X \setminus E)$ . By Lemma 3, this implies our conclusion.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By Lemma 5, there exists a sequence  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of pairwise disjoint  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable subsets of  $T$  such that the set

$$Y := T \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$$

is negligible and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the multifunction  $F|_{K_n \times X}$  is lower semicontinuous at each point of  $K_n \times (X \setminus E)$ . We can assume that inclusion (1) holds for all  $t \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ . Let  $Q$  and  $R$  be as in Lemma 1. By Lemma 2,

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a selection  $g_n : K_n \times X \rightarrow S$  of  $F|_{K_n \times X}$  which is continuous at each point of

$$(K_n \setminus Q) \times (X \setminus (R \cup E)).$$

For all  $t \in Y$ , let  $h_t : X \rightarrow S$  be any selection of the multifunction  $F(t, \cdot)$ . Define  $\phi : T \times X \rightarrow S$  by putting

$$\phi(t, x) = \begin{cases} g_n(t, x) & \text{if } t \in K_n, \\ h_t(x) & \text{if } t \in Y. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\phi$  is a selection of  $F$ . Let us show that  $\phi$  satisfies our conclusion. To this end, choose any  $x^* \in X \setminus (E \cup R)$ . Since by construction each function  $g_n(\cdot, x^*)|_{K_n \setminus Q}$  is continuous, it is  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$ -measurable. Since  $Y \cup Q$  is negligible,  $\phi$  satisfies (i)'. In order to prove (ii)'', choose any  $t^* \in T \setminus (Y \cup Q)$ , and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $t^* \in K_n$ . Since

$$\{x \in X : g_n(t^*, \cdot) \text{ is discontinuous at } x\} \subseteq E \cup R$$

and  $g_n(t^*, \cdot) = \phi(t^*, \cdot)$ , our claim follows. This completes the proof.

**3. An application to differential inclusions.** In this section we provide an application of Theorem 2 to differential inclusions. In particular we stress that a multifunction  $F$  satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3 below can fail to be lower semicontinuous in the second variable at each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, unlike other recent results in the field (see, for instance, [10] and references therein), the convexity of the values of  $F$  is not assumed. Our result is as follows (as usual, we denote by  $m$  the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $[a, b]$  be a real interval,  $F : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  a multifunction and  $p \in [1, \infty[$ . Assume that there exists a multifunction  $G : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , with nonempty closed values, satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $G$  is  $\mathcal{L}([a, b]) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable;
- (ii) there exists  $E_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , with  $m(E_0) = 0$ , such that for a.a.  $t \in [a, b]$ ,
 
$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : G(t, \cdot) \text{ is not lower semicontinuous at } x\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{R} : G(t, x) \not\subseteq F(t, x)\} \subseteq E_0;$$
- (iii) there exist  $\beta \in L^p([a, b])$  and  $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow ]0, \infty[$  such that for a.a.  $t \in [a, b]$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$G(t, x) \subseteq [\alpha(t), \beta(t)].$$

Then there exists  $u \in W^{2,p}([a, b])$  such that

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) \in F(t, u(t)) & \text{for a.a. } t \in [a, b], \\ u(a) = u(b) = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2, there exist a selection  $\phi : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the multifunction  $G$ , and two  $m$ -negligible sets  $K_0 \subseteq [a, b]$  and  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , with

$E_0 \subseteq E$ , such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E$  the function  $\phi(\cdot, x)$  is measurable and for all  $t \in [a, b] \setminus K_0$  one has

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \phi(t, \cdot) \text{ is discontinuous at } x\} \subseteq E.$$

Since  $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$  a separable dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can find a countable set  $P \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus E$  which is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$G(t, x) \subseteq [\alpha(t), \beta(t)] \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [a, b] \setminus K_0.$$

Let  $\phi^* : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$\phi^*(t, x) = \begin{cases} \phi(t, x) & \text{if } t \in [a, b] \setminus K_0, \\ \beta(t) & \text{if } t \in K_0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\phi$  is a selection of  $G$ , by assumption (iii) we have

$$\alpha(t) \leq \phi^*(t, x) \leq \beta(t) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [a, b].$$

In particular, observe that  $\phi^*(t, \cdot)$  is bounded for each  $t \in [a, b]$ , and  $\phi^*(\cdot, x)$  is measurable for each  $x \in P$ . Consequently, by Proposition 2 of [4], the multifunction  $H : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by setting

$$H(t, x) = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\bigcup_{y \in D, |y-x| \leq 1/m} \{\phi^*(t, y)\}}$$

satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $H$  has nonempty closed convex values;
- (b) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the multifunction  $H(\cdot, x)$  is measurable;
- (c) for each  $t \in [a, b]$ , the multifunction  $H(t, \cdot)$  has closed graph;
- (d) for all  $t \in [a, b] \setminus K_0$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E$ ,

$$H(t, x) = \{\phi(t, x)\}.$$

Moreover, by the above construction it follows that

$$(6) \quad H(t, x) \subseteq [\alpha(t), \beta(t)] \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [a, b].$$

Now we want to apply Theorem 1 of [10] to the multifunction  $H$ , taking  $T = [a, b]$ ,  $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s = q = p$ ,

$$V = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}([a, b]) : \int_a^b u(t) dt = 0 \right\},$$

$\Psi(u) = u'$ ,  $\Phi(u)(t) = \int_a^t u(\tau) d\tau$ ,  $\varphi \equiv +\infty$  and  $r = \|\beta\|_{L^p([a, b])}$ . To this end, we observe that the operators  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 1 of [10] (see the proof of Theorem 3 of [10]) as does the multifunction  $H$ . Consequently, there exist a function  $v \in V$  and a negligible set  $K \subseteq [a, b]$ , with  $K_0 \subseteq K$ , such that

$$(7) \quad \Psi(v)(t) \in H(t, \Phi(v)(t)) \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b] \setminus K.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that  $v$  is continuous. Put  $\gamma = \Phi(v)$ . By (6) and (7) we have  $\gamma''(t) = v'(t) \geq \alpha(t) > 0$  a.e. in  $[a, b]$ , hence  $\gamma' = v$  is strictly increasing. Since  $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$ , there exists  $c \in ]a, b[$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'(t) &< 0 & \text{for all } t \in [a, c[, \\ \gamma'(t) &> 0 & \text{for all } t \in ]c, b].\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2 of [12], the functions  $(\gamma|_{[a,c]})^{-1}$  and  $(\gamma|_{[c,b]})^{-1}$  are absolutely continuous. By assumption (ii), there exists  $K_1 \subseteq [a, b]$ , with  $m(K_1) = 0$ , such that for all  $t \in [a, b] \setminus K_1$  one has

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : G(t, x) \not\subseteq F(t, x)\} \subseteq E_0.$$

Clearly, we can assume that  $K \subseteq K_1$ . Put

$$S := \gamma^{-1}(E) \cup K_1.$$

Since by Theorem 18.25 of [5] the sets  $(\gamma|_{[a,c]})^{-1}(E)$  and  $(\gamma|_{[c,b]})^{-1}(E)$  are negligible, it follows that  $m(S) = 0$ . Now, observe that for all  $t \in [a, b] \setminus S$  one has  $\Phi(v)(t) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E$ , hence,

$$H(t, \Phi(v)(t)) = \{\phi(t, \Phi(v)(t))\} \subseteq G(t, \Phi(v)(t)) \subseteq F(t, \Phi(v)(t)).$$

Consequently, by (7) we get

$$v'(t) \in F\left(t, \int_a^t v(\tau) d\tau\right) \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b] \setminus S.$$

Then our conclusion follows by taking  $u(t) = \int_a^t v(\tau) d\tau$ .

## References

- [1] Z. Artstein and K. Prikry, *Carathéodory selections and the Scorza Draconi property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 127 (1987), 540–547.
- [2] F. Cammaroto and P. Cubiotti, *Implicit integral equations with discontinuous right-hand side*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 38 (1997), 241–246.
- [3] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [4] P. Cubiotti, *Non-autonomous vector integral equations with discontinuous right-hand side*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 42 (2001), 319–329.
- [5] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1965.
- [6] T. Kim, K. Prikry and N. C. Yannelis, *Carathéodory-type selections and random fixed point theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 122 (1987), 393–407.
- [7] E. Klein and A. C. Thompson, *Theory of Correspondences*, Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [8] S. A. Marano, *Elliptic boundary-value problems with discontinuous nonlinearities*, Set-Valued Anal. 3 (1995), 167–180.
- [9] E. Michael, *Continuous selections II*, Ann. of Math. 64 (1956), 562–580.
- [10] O. Naselli Ricceri and B. Ricceri, *An existence theorem for inclusions of the type  $\Psi(u)(t) \in F(t, \Phi(u)(t))$  and application to a multivalued boundary value problem*, Appl. Anal. 38 (1990), 259–270.



- [11] J. Saint-Raymond, *Riemann-measurable selections*, Set-Valued Anal. 2 (1994), 481–485.
- [12] A. Villani, *On Lusin condition for the inverse function*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 33 (1984), 331–335.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Messina  
Contrada Papardo, Salita Sperone 31  
98166 Messina, Italy  
E-mail: anello@dipmat.unime.it  
cubiotti@dipmat.unime.it

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 31.10.2003*

(1483)