

Enclosing solutions of second order equations

by GERD HERZOG and ROLAND LEMMERT (Karlsruhe)

Abstract. We apply Max Müller's Theorem to second order equations $u'' = f(t, u, u')$ to obtain solutions between given functions v, w .

1. Introduction. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, and let $v, w \in C^2(I, \mathbb{R})$ with $v(t) \leq w(t)$ ($t \in I$). Let

$$S := \{(t, x) : t \in I, v(t) \leq x \leq w(t)\},$$

and let $f : S \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Consider the second order equation

$$(1) \quad u''(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t)).$$

We are interested in the existence of a solution $u : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1). Then in particular graph $u \subseteq S$, that is, $v(t) \leq u(t) \leq w(t)$ on I .

Let $k, l : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and such that the equation

$$(2) \quad h''(t) + k(t)|h'(t)| + l(t)h(t) = 0$$

has a positive solution $h : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. Under these assumptions we prove

THEOREM 1. *If*

- (i) $|f(t, x, p) - f(t, x, q)| \leq k(t)|p - q|$ ($(t, x) \in S, p, q \in \mathbb{R}$),
- (ii) $v''(t) + l(t)v(t) \leq f(t, x, v'(t)) + l(t)x$ ($(t, x) \in S$),
- (iii) $w''(t) + l(t)w(t) \geq f(t, x, w'(t)) + l(t)x$ ($(t, x) \in S$),

then (1) has a solution $u : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

REMARKS. If $f(t, x, p) = f(t, x)$ and $k(t) = 0$, conditions (i)–(iii) reduce to

$$v''(t) + l(t)v(t) \leq f(t, x) + l(t)x \leq w''(t) + l(t)w(t) \quad ((t, x) \in S),$$

which are satisfied for example if $f(t, x) + l(t)x$ is increasing in $x \in [v(t), w(t)]$ for each $t \in I$ and if

$$v''(t) \leq f(t, v(t)), \quad w''(t) \geq f(t, w(t)) \quad (t \in I).$$

This case is covered by the result in [2].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 34A40, 34C11.

Key words and phrases: second order equations, Max Müller's Theorem, rotationally symmetric solutions.

Schrader [6] proved the existence of a solution u of (1) between v and w under the assumptions that

$$v''(t) \leq f(t, v(t), v'(t)), \quad w''(t) \geq f(t, w(t), w'(t)) \quad (t \in I),$$

that f is continuous on $I \times \mathbb{R}^2$, that all solutions of initial value problems for equation (1) exist on I , and that Dirichlet boundary value problems for (1) on compact subintervals of I have at most one solution.

Moreover, as described in [2] the differential inequalities above should not be mixed up with upper and lower solutions of boundary value problems in the sense of Nagumo [4], where the inequalities are in opposite direction. The following trivial example ($f = 0$) shows most clearly the difference from the method of upper and lower solutions for boundary value problems:

For $I = [a, b]$ there is an affine function between $v \leq w$ if $v'' \leq 0$ and $w'' \geq 0$, but in general it is not possible to prescribe boundary values between $v(a) \leq w(a)$ and $v(b) \leq w(b)$.

On the other hand, Rachůnková [5] proves the existence of solutions of (1) satisfying various boundary conditions, which satisfy $v(t_u) \leq u(t_u) \leq w(t_u)$ for some $t_u \in I$.

2. Max Müller's Theorem. Let \mathbb{R}^2 be ordered by the natural cone $K = \{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$. To prove Theorem 1 we make use of the following two-dimensional version of Max Müller's Theorem [3] (see also [7]):

Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2), \eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in C^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\xi(t) \leq \eta(t)$ on $[a, b]$, and let

$$D := \{(t, x, y) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi(t) \leq (x, y) \leq \eta(t)\}.$$

Let $F = (F_1, F_2) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be continuous such that for $(t, x, y) \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1'(t) &\leq F_1(t, \xi_1(t), y), & \xi_2'(t) &\leq F_2(t, x, \xi_2(t)), \\ \eta_1'(t) &\geq F_1(t, \eta_1(t), y), & \eta_2'(t) &\geq F_2(t, x, \eta_2(t)), \end{aligned}$$

and let $\xi(a) \leq (x_0, y_0) \leq \eta(a)$. Then the initial value problem

$$(x, y)'(t) = F(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad (x(a), y(a)) = (x_0, y_0)$$

has a solution $(x, y) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$; in particular $\text{graph}(x, y) \subseteq D$, that is, $\xi(t) \leq (x(t), y(t)) \leq \eta(t)$ on $[a, b]$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. First, we prove the assertion for any compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq I$. Let $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive solution of (2), and let

$$\bar{v} := v/h, \quad \bar{w} := w/h.$$

Fix $t_0 \in [a, b]$ such that

$$\bar{w}(t_0) - \bar{v}(t_0) = \min\{\bar{w}(t) - \bar{v}(t) : t \in [a, b]\},$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 = a &\Rightarrow \bar{v}'(t_0) \leq \bar{w}'(t_0), \\ t_0 \in (a, b) &\Rightarrow \bar{v}'(t_0) = \bar{w}'(t_0), \\ t_0 = b &\Rightarrow \bar{v}'(t_0) \geq \bar{w}'(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

We first consider the case $t_0 \in [a, b)$, and prove

$$\bar{v}'(t) \leq \bar{w}'(t) \quad (t \in [t_0, b]).$$

By using (2) we have

$$\bar{v}'' = \frac{v''}{h} + l\bar{v} + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \bar{v} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{v}',$$

and by (ii) with $x = v(t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}'' &\leq \frac{1}{h} f(t, v, v') + l\bar{v} + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \bar{v} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{v}' \\ &= \frac{1}{h} f(t, v, h'\bar{v} + h\bar{v}') + l\bar{v} + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \bar{v} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{v}'. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, from

$$\bar{w}'' = \frac{w''}{h} + l\bar{w} + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \bar{w} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{w}'$$

we get by (iii) and again for $x = v(t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}'' &\geq \frac{1}{h} f(t, v, w') + l\bar{w} + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \bar{w} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{w}' \\ &= \frac{1}{h} f(t, v, h'\bar{w} + h\bar{w}') + l\bar{w} + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \bar{w} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{w}'. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by

$$G(t, x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \frac{1}{h(t)} f(t, v(t), h'(t)x + h(t)y) + l(t)\bar{v}(t) + k(t) \frac{|h'(t)|}{h(t)} x - \frac{2h'(t)}{h(t)} y \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (i), the functions

$$p \mapsto f(t, z, p) + k(t)p, \quad p \mapsto f(t, z, -p) + k(t)p$$

are increasing on \mathbb{R} , so the second coordinate of G is increasing in x , and the first coordinate is increasing in y . Hence G is quasimonotone increasing in (x, y) with respect to the cone $K = \{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$ (cf. [8]). Moreover G is continuous and Lipschitz continuous in (x, y) . From the estimates for \bar{v}'' , \bar{w}'' above we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{v} \\ \bar{v}' \end{pmatrix}' - G(t, \bar{v}(t), \bar{v}'(t)) \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \bar{w} \\ \bar{w}' \end{pmatrix}' - G(t, \bar{w}(t), \bar{w}'(t))$$

for $t \in [t_0, b]$. Together with $(\bar{v}(t_0), \bar{v}'(t_0)) \leq (\bar{w}(t_0), \bar{w}'(t_0))$, a classical result on differential inequalities (see [8, Satz 2]) implies

$$(\bar{v}(t), \bar{v}'(t)) \leq (\bar{w}(t), \bar{w}'(t)) \quad (t \in [t_0, b]).$$

Next, consider equation (1). The transformation $\bar{u} := u/h$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}'' &= \frac{u''}{h} - \frac{h''}{h} \bar{u} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{u}' \\ &= \frac{1}{h} f(t, u, u') + \left(l + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \right) \bar{u} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{u}' \\ &= \frac{1}{h} f(t, h\bar{u}, h'\bar{u} + h\bar{u}') + \left(l + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \right) \bar{u} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{u}'. \end{aligned}$$

We fix $c_0 \in [\bar{v}(t_0), \bar{w}(t_0)]$ and $c_1 \in [\bar{v}'(t_0), \bar{w}'(t_0)]$, and consider the initial value problem

$$(3) \quad (x'(t), y'(t)) = F(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad (x(t_0), y(t_0)) = (c_0, c_1),$$

with

$$D := \{(t, x, y) : t \in [t_0, b], (\bar{v}(t), \bar{v}'(t)) \leq (x, y) \leq (\bar{w}(t), \bar{w}'(t))\},$$

and $F = (F_1, F_2) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$F(t, x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \frac{1}{h(t)} f(t, h(t)x, h'(t)x + h(t)y) + (l(t) + k(t) \frac{|h'(t)|}{h(t)})x - \frac{2h'(t)}{h(t)}y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that if $(x, y) : [t_0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a solution of (3), then $u(t) = h(t)x(t)$ is a solution of (1) on $[t_0, b]$.

For $(t, x, y) \in D$ we obviously have

$$\bar{v}'(t) \leq F_1(t, \bar{v}(t), y) = y,$$

and

$$(\bar{v}')'(t) \leq F_2(t, x, \bar{v}'(t))$$

follows from the following inequalities (note that $(t, h(t)x) \in S$): From (i) we obtain

$$f(t, hx, h'\bar{v} + h\bar{v}') - f(t, hx, h'x + h\bar{v}') \leq k|h'|(x - \bar{v}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(t, x, \bar{v}') &= \frac{1}{h} f(t, hx, h'x + h\bar{v}') + \left(l + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \right) x - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{v}' \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h} f(t, hx, h'\bar{v} + h\bar{v}') - k \frac{|h'|}{h} (x - \bar{v}) + \left(l + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \right) x - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{v}' \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{h} f(t, hx, v') + lx + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \bar{v} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{v}' \\ &= \frac{1}{h} f(t, hx, v') + \frac{l}{h} (hx) + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \bar{v} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{v}', \end{aligned}$$

which by (ii) is

$$\geq \frac{v''}{h} + l\bar{v} + k \frac{|h'|}{h} \bar{v} - \frac{2h'}{h} \bar{v}' = \bar{v}''.$$

Analogously

$$\bar{w}'(t) \geq F_1(t, \bar{w}(t), y) = y,$$

and

$$(\bar{w}')'(t) \geq F_2(t, x, \bar{w}'(t)).$$

According to Max Müller's Theorem we have a solution of (3), hence a solution $u : [t_0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the initial value problem

$$(4) \quad u''(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t)), \quad u(t_0) = h(t_0)c_0, \quad u'(t_0) = h'(t_0)c_0 + h(t_0)c_1,$$

on $[t_0, b]$.

In case $t_0 \in (a, b]$ we consider the initial value problem (4) to the left, i.e., for any $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we set

$$\varphi_-(t) = \varphi(a + b - t) \quad (t \in [a, b]),$$

and define S_- and $f_- : S_- \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$S_- = \{(t, x) : t \in [a, b], v_-(t) \leq x \leq w_-(t)\}$$

and

$$f_-(t, x, p) = f(a + b - t, x, -p).$$

Now, (2) and (i)–(iii) hold for h, k, l, v, w , and S, f replaced by h_-, k_-, l_-, v_-, w_- , and S_-, f_- , respectively. Since also

$$\bar{v}'(t_0) \geq \bar{w}'(t_0) \Rightarrow (\bar{v}_-)'(a + b - t_0) \leq (\bar{w}_-)'(a + b - t_0),$$

the first part of our proof, where t_0 is replaced by $a + b - t_0$, gives a solution $u_- : [a + b - t_0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of

$$\begin{aligned} (u_-)''(t) &= f_-(t, u_-(t), (u_-)'(t)), \\ u_-(a + b - t_0) &= h(t_0)c_0, \quad (u_-)'(a + b - t_0) = -h'(t_0)c_0 - h(t_0)c_1, \end{aligned}$$

and $u = (u_-)_-$ solves (4) on $[a, t_0]$.

If, in case $t_0 \in (a, b)$, we choose $c_0 \in [\bar{v}(t_0), \bar{w}(t_0)]$, $c_1 = \bar{v}'(t_0) = \bar{w}'(t_0)$, we may put together the solutions obtained by the above procedure to get a solution of (4) on $[a, b]$, which a fortiori satisfies $v \leq u \leq w$ on $[a, b]$.

To prove the theorem on the given interval I , which we may assume to be noncompact, we choose an increasing sequence $(I_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of compact intervals

such that

$$I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n.$$

If I contains one of its boundary points, it belongs to some I_{n_0} , and we assume $n_0 = 1$ without loss of generality. Next, for each n we choose a solution $u_n : I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1) such that

$$v(t) \leq u_n(t) \leq w(t) \quad (t \in I_n).$$

We fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider u_m , $m \geq n$. Then

$|u_m''(t)| \leq \max\{|f(\tau, x, 0)| : \tau \in I_n, v(\tau) \leq x \leq w(\tau)\} + k(t)|u_m'(t)|$ ($t \in I_n$), from which we get (by [1, Chapter XII, Lemma 5.1]) a constant $L_n \geq 0$ such that

$$|u_m'(t)| \leq L_n \quad (m \geq n, t \in I_n).$$

By a standard diagonal procedure and Ascoli–Arzelà’s Theorem we get a subsequence (u_{n_k}) which (together with the first and second derivatives) is locally uniformly convergent on I . Its limit is then a solution $u : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1) such that $v(t) \leq u(t) \leq w(t)$ on I . ■

4. Examples. Let $g : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and bounded ($\alpha \leq g \leq \beta$), and Lipschitz continuous in its third variable. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote Euclid’s norm on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. The classical Ansatz for rotationally symmetric solutions of

$$(5) \quad \Delta z(\xi) = g(\|\xi\|, z(\xi), \|(\text{grad } z)(\xi)\|) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is the transformation $u(\|\xi\|) = z(\xi)$, leading to the singular problem

$$(6) \quad u''(t) = g(t, u(t), |u'(t)|) - \frac{n-1}{t} u'(t) \quad (t \in (0, \infty)).$$

We may choose $l(t) = 0$ and $k(t) = k_0 + (n-1)/t$ with k_0 any Lipschitz constant of $p \mapsto g(t, x, p)$. Then $h(t) = 1$ solves (2). Fix $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider

$$v(t) = \frac{\alpha}{2n} t^2 + c, \quad w(t) = \frac{\beta}{2n} t^2 + c.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v''(t) &= \frac{\alpha}{n} = \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{n} (n-1) \\ &\leq g(t, x, v'(t)) - \frac{n-1}{t} v'(t) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, \infty)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} w''(t) &= \frac{\beta}{n} = \beta - \frac{\beta}{n} (n-1) \\ &\geq g(t, x, w'(t)) - \frac{n-1}{t} w'(t) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, \infty)). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1 there is a solution $u : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (6) with $v(t) \leq u(t) \leq w(t)$ ($t \in (0, \infty)$). In particular the extension $u(0) = c$ leads to $u'(0) = 0$. By elementary calculus, $u \in C^2([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$. Therefore $z(\xi) := u(\|\xi\|)$ is in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, and is a symmetric solution of equation (5) such that

$$\frac{\alpha}{n} \|\xi\|^2 + c \leq z(\xi) \leq \frac{\beta}{n} \|\xi\|^2 + c \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

REMARK. In general there is no harmonic function between $v \leq w$ if v is superharmonic and w is subharmonic [2].

In our second example we consider the case $f(t, x, p) = f(t, x)$, $k(t) = 0$, and constant functions $v(t) = m$, $w(t) = M$ ($t \in I$). Then conditions (i)–(iii) reduce to

$$l(t)m \leq f(t, x) + l(t)x \leq l(t)M \quad (t \in I, m \leq x \leq M).$$

If f is of the form $f(t, x) = l(t)g(t, x)$ and $l(t) \geq 0$ these inequalities hold if

$$m \leq g(t, x) + x \leq M \quad (t \in I, m \leq x \leq M).$$

Consider for example $I = (0, 1)$,

$$h(t) = t(1-t), \quad l(t) = \frac{2}{t(1-t)},$$

for which (2) holds, and $g(t, x) = \cos(tx) - x$. By Theorem 1 there is a solution $u : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of

$$u''(t) = \frac{2(\cos(tu(t)) - u(t))}{t(1-t)}$$

with $-1 \leq u(t) \leq 1$ ($t \in (0, 1)$).

References

- [1] P. Hartman, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [2] R. Lemmert, *Über gewöhnliche Differentialungleichungen zweiter Ordnung*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1981), 720–724.
- [3] M. Müller, *Über das Fundamentaltheorem in der Theorie der gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen*, Math. Z. 26 (1927), 619–645.
- [4] N. Nagumo, *Über die Differentialgleichung $y'' = f(x, y, y')$* , Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan III. Ser. 19 (1937), 861–866.
- [5] I. Rachůnková, *Upper and lower solutions satisfying the inverse inequality*, Ann. Polon. Math. 65 (1997), 235–244.
- [6] K. W. Schrader, *Differential inequalities for second and third order equations*, J. Differential Equations 25 (1977), 203–215.
- [7] R. Uhl, *An extension of Max Müller's theorem to differential equations in ordered Banach spaces*, Funkcial. Ekvac. 39 (1996), 203–216.

- [8] P. Volkmann, *Gewöhnliche Differentialungleichungen mit quasimonoton wachsenden Funktionen in topologischen Vektorräumen*, Math. Z. 127 (1972), 157–164.

Mathematisches Institut I
Universität Karlsruhe
D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany
E-mail: Gerd.Herzog@math.uni-karlsruhe.de
Roland.Lemmert@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7.10.2004
Révisé le 27.1.2005

(1536)