

**Critique of “Two-dimensional examples of
rank-one convex functions that are not quasiconvex”
by M. K. Benaouda and J. J. Telega**

by PATRIZIO NEFF (Darmstadt)

Abstract. It is noted that the examples provided in the paper “Two-dimensional examples of rank-one convex functions that are not quasiconvex” by M. K. Benaouda and J. J. Telega, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 73 (2000), 291–295, contain unrecoverable errors.

1. Introduction. We consider variational integrals

$$(1.1) \quad I(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} W(\nabla\varphi(x)) \, dV,$$

defined for sufficiently regular deformations $\varphi : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ where Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^m . Here, $\nabla\varphi(x)$ denotes the deformation gradient at $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and W is a continuous function on the space $\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ of all real $m \times n$ matrices. One of the important problems in the calculus of variations is to characterise the integrand W for which the integral I is lower semi-continuous. In this respect the following notions have been introduced (see e.g. [1–3, 5, 6]):

1. W is *rank-one convex* if for each matrix $F \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ and each rank-one matrix $B \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$, the real-valued function $t \mapsto W(F + tB)$ is convex.
2. W is *quasiconvex* if for any matrix $F \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$,

$$(1.2) \quad \forall \psi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \psi(x) = F \cdot x, x \in \partial\Omega : \\ \int_{\Omega} W(\nabla\psi(x)) \, dV \geq W(F) \cdot |\Omega|.$$

Quasiconvexity implies that the homogeneous deformation $\varphi(x) = F \cdot x$ is energy optimal for homogeneous boundary conditions.

For “nice” integrands W the quasiconvexity condition is necessary and sufficient for the weak lower semicontinuity of I . However, quasiconvexity is

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 49J40, 49J45.

Key words and phrases: quasiconvex, rank-one convex.

a nonlocal condition, difficult to check in practice. The rank-one convexity is in principle easy to verify: for $W \in C^2$ it is the *Legendre–Hadamard ellipticity* condition. Moreover, it can be shown that quasiconvexity implies rank-one convexity. The converse is not true as has been shown by Šverák [8] for the case $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$. It is a long standing open problem [7] whether for $m = n = 2$ rank-one convexity implies quasiconvexity.

The authors of [4] claim to have found a counterexample for this two-dimensional case. I show that their example is not a counterexample.

2. Analysis. In the following, let $\mathbb{M}^{2 \times 2}$ denote the set of two times two matrices and define for the deformation $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ the corresponding deformation gradient

$$\nabla\varphi(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,x_1}(x_1, x_2) & \varphi_{1,x_2}(x_1, x_2) \\ \varphi_{2,x_1}(x_1, x_2) & \varphi_{2,x_2}(x_1, x_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11}(x_1, x_2) & F_{12}(x_1, x_2) \\ F_{21}(x_1, x_2) & F_{22}(x_1, x_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In [4, Lem. 4.1] it is claimed that the quadratic function $W : \mathbb{M}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (the function g there) given by

$$(2.3) \quad W(F) = F_{11} F_{22} + F_{12}^2 + F_{21}^2$$

is rank-one convex and in [4, Lem. 4.2] it is argued that W is not quasiconvex.

Let us rewrite W in the form

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} W(F) &= F_{11} F_{22} + F_{12}^2 + F_{21}^2 \\ &= F_{11} F_{22} - F_{12} F_{21} + F_{12}^2 + F_{21}^2 + F_{12} F_{21} \\ &= \det F + (F_{12}^2 + F_{21}^2 + F_{12} F_{21}). \end{aligned}$$

By Young’s inequality it is easy to see that

$$(2.5) \quad \forall F \in \mathbb{M}^{2 \times 2} : F_{12}^2 + F_{21}^2 + F_{12} F_{21} > 0.$$

Therefore, $F \mapsto F_{12}^2 + F_{21}^2 + F_{12} F_{21}$ is a strictly positive quadratic form, hence strictly convex. Altogether, W is the sum of the quasi-affine function $F \mapsto \det F$ and a strictly convex term, hence rank-one convex *and* quasiconvex. The error in [4, Lem. 4.2] stems from the fact that the test-function used is indeed not periodic on the unit cube $[0, 1]^2$.

In [4, Th. 3.1] the same error occurs. The test-function is again not periodic on $[0, 1]^2$, therefore, Theorem 3.1 is wrong. Moreover, the meaning of Theorem 3.1 as far as a counterexample to the above mentioned open question is concerned, is not clear to the author, since “rank-one convexity at 0” does not imply rank one convexity.

The observation that this paper is erroneous is not new; indeed, it is clearly pointed out by Baisheng Yan in Mathematical Reviews [MR1785693 (2001g: 49005)]:

“The authors claim to provide two-dimensional examples of rank-one convex functions that are not quasiconvex. {Reviewer’s remarks: Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.2 appear to be incorrect because Lemma 2.4 is wrongly quoted and used. The periodic functions in Lemma 2.4 should be of $[0, 1]^n$ -period, as originally stated in the papers [4, 8] cited [B. Dacorogna, *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*, Springer, Berlin, 1989; MR0990890 (90e:49001); V. Šverák, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 120 (1992), no. 1-2, 185–189; MR1149994 (93b:49026)]. This paper had the good intention to solve a very hard open problem, but unfortunately appears not to contain any result that is new and correct.}”

Acknowledgements. The present author was kindly made aware by the unknown referee of the already existing Mathematical Reviews discussion by Baisheng Yan.

References

- [1] J. M. Ball, *Constitutive inequalities and existence theorems in nonlinear elastostatics*, in: R. J. Knops (ed.), *Nonlinear Analysis and Mechanics: Heriot-Watt Symposium*, Vol. 1, Pitman, London, 1977, 187–238.
- [2] —, *Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity*, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 63 (1977), 337–403.
- [3] J. M. Ball and F. Murat, *$W^{1,p}$ -quasiconvexity and variational problems for multiple integrals*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 58 (1984), 225–253.
- [4] M. K. Benaouda and J. J. Telega, *Two-dimensional examples of rank-one convex functions that are not quasiconvex*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 73 (2000), 291–295.
- [5] P. G. Ciarlet, *Three-Dimensional Elasticity*, *Stud. Math. Appl.* 1, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [6] B. Dacorogna, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, *Appl. Math. Sci.* 78, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] P. Pedregal and V. Šverák, *A note on quasiconvexity and rank-one convexity for 2×2 matrices*, *J. Convex Anal.* 5 (1998), 107–117.
- [8] V. Šverák, *Rank-one convexity does not imply quasiconvexity*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 120 (1992), 185–189.

AG6, Fachbereich Mathematik
 Darmstadt University of Technology
 Schlossgartenstrasse 7
 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
 E-mail: neff@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Reçu par la Rédaction le 22.9.2005

Révisé le 27.9.2005

(1609)