

Invisible obstacles

by A. G. RAMM (Manhattan, Kansas)

Abstract. It is proved that one can choose a control function on an arbitrarily small open subset of the boundary of an obstacle so that the total radiation from this obstacle for a fixed direction of the incident plane wave and for a fixed wave number will be as small as one wishes. The obstacle is called “invisible” in this case.

1. Introduction. Consider a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 3$, with a connected Lipschitz boundary S . Let F be an arbitrarily small, fixed, open subset of S , $F' = S \setminus F$, and N be the outer unit normal to S . The domain D is the *obstacle*. Consider the scattering problem:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^2 u + k^2 u &= 0 \quad \text{in } D' := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus D, \\ u &= w \quad \text{on } F, \quad u_N + hu = 0 \quad \text{on } F'. \end{aligned}$$

Here w is the function we can set up at will (the *control function*), h is a piecewise-continuous function with $\text{Im } h \geq 0$, and $k > 0$ is a fixed constant, and u_N is the normal derivative of u . The function u satisfies the following condition:

$$(2) \quad u = u_0 + v, \quad u_0 = e^{ik\alpha \cdot x},$$

and

$$(3) \quad v = \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} A(\beta, \alpha) + o\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \quad r := |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \beta := \frac{x}{r}.$$

The function $A(\beta, \alpha)$ is called the *scattering amplitude*, $\alpha, \beta \in S^2$ are the unit vectors, S^2 is the unit sphere, α , the direction of the incident wave u_0 , is assumed fixed, so $A(\beta, \alpha) = A(\beta)$. Problem (1)–(3) has a unique solution ([1]).

Define the cross-section σ , or the *total radiation* from the obstacle, as

$$(4) \quad \sigma = \int_{S^2} |A(\beta)|^2 d\beta.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35J05, 35R30, 74J20, 74J25.

Key words and phrases: wave scattering, inverse problems, invisible obstacles.

The problem is:

Given an arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$, can one choose w so that $\sigma < \varepsilon$?

If this choice is possible, we call the obstacle “invisible” for the fixed α and k .

Our basic result is the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *Given an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$ and an arbitrarily small open subset $F \in S$, one can find $w \in C_0^\infty(F)$ such that $\sigma < \varepsilon$. The same result holds for the boundary conditions $u|_F = w, u|_{F'} = 0$.*

A similar problem was first posed and solved in [2], where the Neumann boundary condition was assumed and the control function was not u on F , but u_N on F . The boundary conditions in this paper allow one to consider impedance obstacles, so it broadens the possible applications of our theory. Inverse problems for scattering by obstacles are considered in [1] and [3].

2. Proof of Theorem 1. By Green’s formula we get

$$(5) \quad v(x) = \int_{F'} G(x, s)(u_{0N} + hu_0) ds + \int_F G_N(x, s)v ds,$$

where G is the Green’s function:

$$(6) \quad \nabla^2 G + k^2 G = -\delta(x - y) \quad \text{in } D', \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x| \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial |x|} - ikG \right) = 0,$$

and

$$(7) \quad G_N + hG = 0 \quad \text{on } F', \quad G = 0 \quad \text{on } F.$$

By Ramm’s lemma ([1, p. 46]), one gets

$$(8) \quad G(x, y) = \frac{e^{ikr}}{4\pi r} \psi(y, \nu) + o\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \quad r := |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \frac{x}{r} = -\nu.$$

Here $\psi := \psi(y, \nu) = \psi(y, \nu, k)$ is the scattering solution:

$$(9) \quad \nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0 \quad \text{in } D', \quad \psi_N + h\psi = 0 \quad \text{on } F', \quad \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } F,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \psi = e^{ik\nu \cdot x} + \eta, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|(\eta_r - ik\eta) = 0.$$

Using (4), (5) and (8), we get

$$(11) \quad A(\beta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{F'} \psi(s, -\beta)(u_{0N} + hu_0) ds + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_F (w - u_0)\psi_N(s, -\beta) ds,$$

and

$$(12) \quad \sigma = \int_{S^2} |A_0(\beta) - A_1(\beta)|^2 d\beta,$$

where

$$(13) \quad A_0(\beta) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{F'} \psi(s, -\beta)(u_{0N} + hu_0) ds - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_F u_0 \psi_N(s, -\beta) ds,$$

and

$$(14) \quad A_1(\beta) := -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_F w(s) \psi_N(s, -\beta) ds.$$

The conclusion of Theorem 1 follows immediately from Lemma 1.

LEMMA 1. *Given an arbitrary function $f \in L^2(S^2)$ and an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find $w \in C_0^\infty(F)$ such that $\|f(\beta) - A_1(\beta)\| < \varepsilon$, where $\|\cdot\| := \|\cdot\|_{L^2(S^2)}$.*

Indeed, one can take $f(\beta) = A_0(\beta)$ and use Lemma 1.

Let us prove Lemma 1. If this lemma is false, then there is an $f \in L^2(S^2)$, $f \neq 0$, such that

$$(15) \quad \int_{S^2} d\beta f(\beta) \int_F ds w(s) \psi_N(s, -\beta) = 0 \quad \forall w \in C_0^\infty(F).$$

This implies

$$(16) \quad \int_{S^2} d\beta f(\beta) \psi_N(s, -\beta) = 0 \quad \forall s \in F.$$

Define the function

$$(17) \quad z(x) := \int_{S^2} d\beta f(\beta) \psi(x, -\beta).$$

This function solves the equation

$$\nabla^2 z + k^2 z = 0 \quad \text{in } D'$$

and satisfies the boundary conditions

$$z = z_N = 0 \quad \text{on } F.$$

By the uniqueness of the solution to the Cauchy problem for elliptic equations, this implies

$$(18) \quad z(x) = 0 \quad \text{in } D'.$$

It follows from (18) that $f = 0$. This contradiction proves Lemma 1 and, consequently, Theorem 1.

To complete the proof, let us derive from (18) that $f = 0$. The function ψ satisfies

$$\psi(x, \beta) = T e^{ik\beta \cdot x},$$

where T is a linear boundedly invertible operator, acting on the x variable only (see [1]). The specific form of T is not important for our argument.

Applying the inverse operator T^{-1} to (17) and taking into account (18), one gets

$$(19) \quad \int_{S^2} d\beta f(\beta) e^{-ik\beta \cdot x} = 0 \quad \forall x \in D'.$$

The left-hand side in (19) is an entire function of x . Therefore (19) implies

$$(20) \quad \int_{S^2} d\beta f(\beta) e^{-ik\beta \cdot x} = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Equation (20) means that the Fourier transform of the distribution

$$f(\beta) \frac{\delta(|\xi| - k)}{|\xi|^2}$$

is zero. Here $\xi = |\xi|\beta$ is the (dual to x) Fourier transform variable. By the injectivity of the Fourier transform, it follows that this distribution is zero, so $f = 0$, and the proof is completed. The last statement of Theorem 1 is proved similarly. ■

3. Conclusion. The basic result of this note is the proof of the following statement:

By choosing a suitable control function on an arbitrarily small open subset of the boundary of a bounded obstacle, one can make the total radiation from this obstacle, although positive, but as small as one wishes, for a fixed wave number and a fixed direction of the incident wave. Thus, the obstacle can be made practically invisible.

References

- [1] A. G. Ramm, *Scattering by Obstacles*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1986.
- [2] —, *Minimization of the total radiation from an obstacle by a control function on a part of the boundary*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. 4 (1996), 531–534.
- [3] —, *Inverse Problems*, Springer, New York, 2005.

Mathematics Department
 Kansas State University
 Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, U.S.A.
 E-mail: ramm@math.ksu.edu

*Received 26.9.2006
 and in final form 6.12.2006*

(1700)