

## A uniqueness theorem of Cartan–Gutzmer type for holomorphic mappings in $\mathbb{C}^n$

by PIOTR LICZBERSKI and JERZY POŁUBIŃSKI (Łódź)

**Abstract.** We continue our previous work on a problem of Janiec connected with a uniqueness theorem, of Cartan–Gutzmer type, for holomorphic mappings in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . To solve this problem we apply properties of  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical functions.

**1. Introduction.** The following two uniqueness theorems are well known.

**THEOREM (H. Cartan, [1]).** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  be a holomorphic mapping. If there exists a point  $b \in \Omega$  such that  $g(b) = b$ ,  $Dg(b) = I$ , then  $g(z) = z$  for every  $z \in \Omega$ .*

**THEOREM (A. Gutzmer, [3]).** *Let  $\Delta$  be the open unit disc in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $g : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  be a holomorphic function of the form*

$$g(\zeta) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \zeta^{\nu}, \quad \zeta \in \Delta.$$

*If there exists an integer  $j \geq 0$  such that  $|a_j| = 1$ , then  $g(\zeta) = a_j \zeta^j$ ,  $\zeta \in \Delta$ .*

We consider a related problem connected with a uniqueness theorem (given by E. Janiec in [4]) for holomorphic mappings in bounded complete Reinhardt domains

$$\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}}(r) = \left\{ z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{s=1}^n |z_s|^{2t_s} < r \right\},$$

where  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . We write  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}}$  for  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}}(1)$ ; if  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ , then  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{1}}$  is the euclidean open unit ball. For  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of positive integers, we set  $\mathbf{t}/\mathbf{j} = (t_1/j_1, \dots, t_n/j_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

In [4] E. Janiec proved the following result.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 32A10, 32A30, 30C80.

*Key words and phrases*: holomorphic mappings, uniqueness theorem,  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical functions.

THEOREM (E. Janiec, [4]). *Let  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $g = (g^1, \dots, g^n)$  be a holomorphic mapping in  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}}$ . If each  $g^s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n$ , has an expansion into a series of homogeneous polynomials of the form*

$$(1.1) \quad g^s(z) = z_s^{j_s} + \sum_{\nu=j_s+1}^{\infty} P^{s,\nu}(z), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}},$$

and  $g : \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}/\mathbf{j}}$ , then  $g$  transforms  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}}$  onto  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}/\mathbf{j}}$  and

$$g(z) = (z_1^{j_1}, \dots, z_n^{j_n}), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}}.$$

It is clear that when  $\mathbf{j} = (1, \dots, 1)$ , the above theorem coincides with the Cartan uniqueness theorem for  $\Omega = \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}}$  and  $b = 0$ .

E. Janiec [4] also asked whether it is possible to omit the assumption that

$$(1.2) \quad P^{s,0} = P^{s,1} = \dots = P^{s,j_s-1} = 0, \quad s = 1, \dots, n.$$

He tried to solve this problem by restricting the set of mappings considered. He obtained an affirmative answer for every  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  under the additional assumption that  $g : \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}/\mathbf{j}}$  is holomorphic in  $\overline{\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}}}$ . Another approach is to reduce the generality of the domains  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{t}}$ . We have proved in [6] that assumption (1.2) is not necessary when  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{j} = (j, \dots, j) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . In this paper we generalize this result to the case  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .

The main theorem (Theorem 3) and its proof are given in Section 3. There we apply some properties of  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical functions; these properties are presented in Section 2.

We now introduce some further notations. Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the set of all integers. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  we write  $\lambda \mathbf{j} = (\lambda j_1, \dots, \lambda j_n)$ ,  $\lambda^{\mathbf{j}} z = (\lambda^{j_1} z_1, \dots, \lambda^{j_n} z_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{l} = (j_1 + l_1, \dots, j_n + l_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{l} = (j_1 l_1, \dots, j_n l_n)$  and  $|\mathbf{j}| = j_1 + \dots + j_n$ .

For every fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , let  $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/k)$ . We will use the equality

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{j} \mathbf{l}|} = \begin{cases} k^n & \text{if } k | \mathbf{l}, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \nmid \mathbf{l}, \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where  $k | \mathbf{l}$  means that there exists an  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{l} = k \mathbf{m}$ . This formula follows directly from the equalities

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{j} \mathbf{l}|} = \sum_{j_1=0, \dots, j_n=0}^{k-1} \prod_{s=1}^n \varepsilon^{j_s l_s} = \prod_{s=1}^n \sum_{j_s=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{j_s l_s}$$

and from the well known fact that  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{jl}$  is  $k$  if  $k | l$  and zero otherwise, for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**2.  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical functions.** Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and let  $\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/k)$ . A nonempty subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  will be called *separately  $k$ -symmetrical* if for any  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in U$  and  $s = 1, \dots, n$  the point  $(z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}, \varepsilon z_s, z_{s+1}, \dots, z_n)$  is also in  $U$ .

Let  $U$  be a separately  $k$ -symmetrical subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  be the vector space of all functions  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{j}} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ , for  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , be a linear operator defined as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad (\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{j}}F)(z) = F(\varepsilon^{\mathbf{j}}z), \quad z \in U, F \in \mathcal{F}(U).$$

A function  $F \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  will be called  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical if for every  $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{l}}F = \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}|}F.$$

It is easy to see that (2.2) is equivalent to

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}, \varepsilon z_s, z_{s+1}, \dots, z_n) = \varepsilon^{j_s}F(z)$$

for every  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in U$  and  $s = 1, \dots, n$ .

The  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical functions form a complex linear subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}(U)$ . From now on, we write  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}(U)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ , respectively.

The analysis of the spaces  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$  can be restricted to the case when  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n$ , because  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{j}+k\mathbf{l}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{j}}$  and consequently  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}+k\mathbf{l}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$  for  $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

Observe that the notion of a  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical function  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on a separately  $k$ -symmetrical set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  coincides for  $n = 1$  with the notion of a  $(j; k)$ -symmetrical function  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on a  $k$ -symmetrical set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ , given in [5].

For  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n$ , define the following operators  $\pi_{\mathbf{j}}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$(2.3) \quad \pi_{\mathbf{j}}F = k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}|} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{l}}F, \quad F \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Now we give a useful decomposition theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $U$  be a separately  $k$ -symmetrical subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then*

$$(2.4) \quad F = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \pi_{\mathbf{j}}F,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \pi_{\mathbf{j}}F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}, \quad \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n.$$

*The above decomposition is unique in the following sense: if*

$$(2.6) \quad F = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} F_{\mathbf{j}}$$

*where  $F_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$  for  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n$ , then  $F_{\mathbf{j}} = \pi_{\mathbf{j}}F$ .*

*Proof.* (2.4) follows from (2.3), (1.3) and (2.1):

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \pi_{\mathbf{j}} F = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}|} \mathcal{L}_1 F = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} k^{-n} \mathcal{L}_1 F \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}|} = \mathcal{L}_0 F = F.$$

Now let  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K}^n$ . Since (2.3) and (2.1) give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}(\pi_{\mathbf{j}} F) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}} \left( k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}|} \mathcal{L}_1 F \right) = k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}|} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{L}_1 F \\ &= \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{m}|} k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{j}(1+\mathbf{m})|} \mathcal{L}_{1+\mathbf{m}} F = \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{m}|} k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{s}=\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{m}+(k-1, \dots, k-1)} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{s}|} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}} F \\ &= \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{m}|} k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{s}|} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}} F = \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{m}|} \pi_{\mathbf{j}} F, \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $\pi_{\mathbf{j}} F$  satisfies condition (2.2), and consequently (2.5) holds.

To prove the uniqueness, suppose that (2.6) holds and  $F_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{j}}$  for  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n$ . Then, in view of (2.3), (2.5), (2.2) and (1.3), for every  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K}^n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathbf{m}} F &= \pi_{\mathbf{m}} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} F_{\mathbf{j}} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \pi_{\mathbf{m}} F_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{l}\mathbf{m}|} \mathcal{L}_1 F_{\mathbf{j}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{l}\mathbf{m}|} \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}|} F_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} k^{-n} F_{\mathbf{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{m})|} = F_{\mathbf{m}}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The functions  $F_{\mathbf{j}} = \pi_{\mathbf{j}} F$  will be called the  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical parts of  $F$ .

Theorem 1 can also be proved by the methods of the representation theory of finite groups (see for instance [2]). Another proof of Theorem 1, in the case of  $n = 2$ , has been given in [7] by a reduction to the case  $n = 1$ , considered in [5].

Now we give two corollaries of Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be separately  $k$ -symmetrical,  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $F_{\mathbf{j}}$  be its  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical parts,  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathcal{K}^n$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} |F(\varepsilon^{\mathbf{l}} z)|^2 = k^n \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} |F_{\mathbf{j}}(z)|^2, \quad z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Since  $F_{\mathbf{j}} = \pi_{\mathbf{j}} F$ , we have

$$k^{2n} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} |F_{\mathbf{j}}(z)|^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{-|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}|} F(\varepsilon^{\mathbf{l}} z) \right) \left( \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{j}\mathbf{m}|} \overline{F(\varepsilon^{\mathbf{m}} z)} \right).$$

Now change the order of summation and use (1.3).  $\blacksquare$

Let  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and for  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $a_{\mathbf{m}} z^{\mathbf{m}} = a_{\mathbf{m}} z_1^{m_1} \dots z_n^{m_n}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded complete Reinhardt domain with centre at the origin, and let  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  be a holomorphic function of the*

form

$$F(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_{\mathbf{m}} z^{\mathbf{m}}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in U.$$

Then, for any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{K}^n$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad F_{\mathbf{j}}(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_{\mathbf{j}+k\mathbf{d}} z^{\mathbf{j}+k\mathbf{d}}, \quad z \in U.$$

*Proof.* As  $U$  is a separately  $k$ -symmetrical domain for every  $k \geq 2$  and  $F$  is the sum of an absolutely convergent power series, we can change the order of summation after using formula (2.3). Now apply (1.3). ■

For  $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  let  $\mathbf{j}^s = (0, \dots, 0, j_s, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Now we prove a uniqueness theorem for  $(\mathbf{j}; k)$ -symmetrical functions.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \cap \mathcal{K}^n$ . If  $f = (f^1, \dots, f^n) : \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is holomorphic and the  $(\mathbf{j}^s; k)$ -symmetrical part  $f_{\mathbf{j}^s}^s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n$ , of  $f^s$  has the form*

$$(2.8) \quad f_{\mathbf{j}^s}^s(z) = z_s^{j_s}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}},$$

then

$$f(z) = (z_1^{j_1}, \dots, z_n^{j_n}), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}.$$

*Proof.* In view of the uniqueness of the decomposition (2.6) it is sufficient to show that the  $(\mathbf{l}; k)$ -symmetrical part  $f_{\mathbf{l}}^q$  of  $f^q$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, n$ , vanishes in  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}$  if  $\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n$  and  $\mathbf{l} \neq \mathbf{j}^q$ . Observe that applying Corollary 1 to  $f^s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n$ , we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad k^{-n} \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K}^n} |f^s(\varepsilon^{\mathbf{m}} z)|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^n |f_{\mathbf{j}^s}^s(z)|^2 + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{\mathbf{j}^s \neq \mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} |f_{\mathbf{l}}^s(z)|^2.$$

Now change the order of summation on the left-hand side of this equality and use the assumption

$$\sum_{s=1}^n |f^s(\varepsilon^{\mathbf{m}} z)|^2 < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}, \quad \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K}^n.$$

Simultaneously, apply (2.8) to the first sum on the right-hand side of (2.9). Then, for every component  $|f_{\mathbf{l}}^q(z)|^2$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\mathbf{l} \neq \mathbf{j}^q$ , of the multiple sum on the right-hand side of (2.9), we obtain

$$|f_{\mathbf{l}}^q(z)|^2 < 1 - \sum_{s=1}^n |z_s|^{2j_s}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}.$$

Thus for  $q = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\mathbf{l} \neq \mathbf{j}^q$ ,

$$\max_{\partial B^{\mathbf{j}}(r)} |f_{\mathbf{l}}^q(z)|^2 \leq 1 - r, \quad r \in (0, 1).$$

Hence, by the maximum principle,

$$0 \leq \max_{\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}(r)} |f_1^q(z)| \leq \sqrt{1-r}, \quad r \in (0, 1).$$

Now, observe that the maximum above is a nondecreasing function of  $r \in (0, 1)$ , while the right-hand side decreases in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sqrt{1-r} = 0$ . Therefore,  $\max_{\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}(r)} |f_1^q(z)| = 0$ , for  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{l} \neq \mathbf{j}^q$ . Consequently,  $f_1^q(z) = 0$  for  $\mathbf{l} \neq \mathbf{j}^q$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, n$  and  $z \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}$ , because  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}} = \bigcup_{r \in (0,1)} \overline{\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}(r)}$ . ■

### 3. Main result

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and assume that  $f = (f^1, \dots, f^n) : \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is holomorphic. If, for every  $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,*

$$f^s(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} P^{s,\nu}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}},$$

*is an expansion of  $f^s$  into a series of homogeneous polynomials and*

$$(3.1) \quad P^{s,j_s}(z) = z_s^{j_s}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}},$$

*then*

$$f(z) = (z_1^{j_1}, \dots, z_n^{j_n}), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $k = 1 + \max(j_1, \dots, j_n)$  and let  $g = (g^1, \dots, g^n)$  where  $g^s = f_{\mathbf{j}^s}^s$  for  $\mathbf{j}^s = (0, \dots, 0, j_s, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $s = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $f$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}$ ,  $g$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}$ . We will show that  $g$  satisfies the assumptions of the Janiec theorem with  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{j}$ .

Observe first that  $g$  maps  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}$  into  $\mathbb{B}$ . Indeed, from the definition of  $g^s$ , in view of Corollary 1 and by the assumption that  $f(\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}) \subset \mathbb{B}$ , we have, for  $z \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^n |g^s(z)|^2 &= \sum_{s=1}^n |f_{\mathbf{j}^s}^s(z)|^2 \leq k^{-n} \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} |f^s(\varepsilon^{\mathbf{l}} z)|^2 \\ &= k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} \sum_{s=1}^n |f^s(\varepsilon^{\mathbf{l}} z)|^2 < k^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{K}^n} 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that

$$(3.2) \quad g^s(z) = z_s^{j_s} + \sum_{\nu > j_s}^{\infty} \widetilde{P}^{s,\nu}(z), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}},$$

where  $\widetilde{P}^{s,\nu}$  are homogeneous polynomials of order  $\nu$ .

Indeed, as  $f^s$  is holomorphic, from (3.1) we have

$$f^s(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_{\mathbf{m}} z^{\mathbf{m}}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}},$$

where  $a_{\mathbf{m}} = 1$  for  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{j}^s$  and  $a_{\mathbf{m}} = 0$  for the remaining  $\mathbf{m}$  such that  $|\mathbf{m}| = j_s$ . Therefore, by Corollary 2,

$$g^s(z) = f_{\mathbf{j}^s}^s(z) = z_s^{j_s} + \sum_{\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_{\mathbf{j}^s + k\mathbf{d}} z^{\mathbf{j}^s + k\mathbf{d}}.$$

This implies (3.2).

From the Janiec uniqueness theorem we infer that, for  $s = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$g^s(z) = z_s^{j_s}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}.$$

Since  $g^s = f_{\mathbf{j}^s}^s$ , this means that for every  $s = 1, \dots, n$  the  $(\mathbf{j}^s; k)$ -symmetrical part  $f_{\mathbf{j}^s}^s$  of  $f^s$  satisfies (2.8). Theorem 2 also shows that

$$f(z) = (z_1^{j_1}, \dots, z_n^{j_n}), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{j}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK 1. Putting  $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$  in Theorem 3, we see that the assumption  $g(0) = 0$  is not necessary in the Cartan theorem with  $\Omega = \mathbb{B}$  and  $b = 0$ .

REMARK 2. If we put  $n = 1$  in Theorem 3, we obtain Gutzmer's uniqueness theorem.

## References

- [1] H. Cartan, *Les fonctions de deux variables complexes et la problème de la représentation analytique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 96 (1931), 1–114.
- [2] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. 129, Springer, 1991.
- [3] A. Gutzmer, *Ein Satz über Potenzreihen*, Math. Ann. 32 (1888), 596–600.
- [4] E. Janiec, *Some uniqueness theorems concerning holomorphic mappings*, Demonstratio Math. 23 (1990), 879–892.
- [5] P. Liczberski and J. Połubiński, *On  $(j, k)$ -symmetrical functions*, Math. Bohem. 120 (1995), 13–28.
- [6] —, —, *Some remarks on Janiec uniqueness theorem for holomorphic mappings in  $C^n$* , Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska 53 (1999), 115–119.
- [7] J. Połubiński, *Symmetrical functions of several variables and their applications to solving some functional equations*, Math. Bohem. (2002), to appear.

Institute of Mathematics  
 Technical University of Łódź  
 Al. Politechniki 11  
 90-924 Łódź, Poland  
 E-mail: piliczb@ck-sg.p.lodz.pl

Reçu par la Rédaction le 29.3.2001  
 Révisé le 8.11.2001 et 28.2.2002

(1249)