Separation of global semianalytic sets

by HAMEDOU DIAKITE (Bamako)

Abstract. Given global semianalytic sets A and B, we define a minimal analytic set N such that $\overline{A} \setminus N$ and $\overline{B} \setminus N$ can be separated by an analytic function. Our statement is very similar to the one proved by Bröcker for semialgebraic sets.

1. Introduction. A global semianalytic set in a global analytic set $Z \subset \Omega$, Ω an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , is a semianalytic subset of Z admitting a description

$$S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \{ x \in Z \mid f_i(x) = 0, \, g_{i1}(x) > 0, \dots, g_{ik_i}(x) > 0 \},\$$

where all the functions involved are analytic functions on the open set Ω .

Of course a global semianalytic set is semianalytic in the usual local sense. The converse is not true in general; an example can be found in [CA96].

In particular, a global analytic set (often called a C-analytic set) is the zero set of finitely many global analytic functions.

This class of sets may be considered as the class of definable sets with respect to the ring $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, somewhat like the class of semialgebraic sets with respect to the ring of polynomials. The analogy stops here because many properties of semialgebraic sets are not known for global semianalytic sets; for instance, it is not known whether the closure or the connected components of a global semianalytic set are still global, except in some particular cases (sets of small dimension or compact sets). This is because the so called *Artin–Lang property*, which relates the definable sets of a ring of functions to the constructible sets of the real spectrum of the ring, does not hold for $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, not even in dimension one ([AB90]). A weaker form of the Artin–Lang property was proved in the case dim $(\Omega) \leq 2$ or when the ambient space is compact (see [Cas94], [CA96], and [ABR96] for a complete survey of those results).

Key words and phrases: global analytic set, global semianalytic set, separation.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 03C64, 12D15.

More recently, some general results were proved in [ABS05]. In this short note we will use those results to find a criterion to separate global semianalytic sets.

We shall consider global semianalytic sets A, B in a global analytic set $Z \subset \Omega$. The set Z has a well defined larger coherent ideal sheaf $\mathfrak{I}_Z \subset \mathfrak{O}_\Omega$ and we denote by \mathfrak{O}_Z the quotient sheaf, which is a coherent sheaf of \mathfrak{O}_Ω -modules. By Cartan's Theorem B, any global section of this sheaf is the restriction of an analytic function on Ω . So when we speak of global analytic functions we always mean analytic functions on Ω .

We will define the *nullspace* N of A and B, which is roughly speaking a minimal global analytic set such that \overline{A} and \overline{B} can be generically separated in irreducible sets outside N (see Section 3 for a precise definition). We prove the following statement.

THEOREM. Let $Z \subset \Omega$ be a global analytic set and let $A, B \subset Z$ be global semianalytic sets. Assume the dimension of their nullspace N to be smaller than dim(Z). Then there is a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $f(\overline{A} \setminus N) > 0$ and $f(\overline{B} \setminus N) < 0$.

A more precise statement is already known for $\dim(Z) = 1$ or 2 (see [Rui84] and [BP04]). A similar result was proved by Bröcker [Brö91] for semialgebraic sets, in a different formulation.

Note that this is not a result about *closed* global semianalytic sets since closures are not known to be global as we noticed before.

2. Definitions and preliminary results. We begin by defining what we mean by *separation*.

DEFINITION 2.1. Two sets $P, Q \subset Z$ are *separable* if there is a function $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that g(P) > 0 and g(Q) < 0. They are *generically separable* if there is a proper global analytic subset $Z' \subset Z$ with $\dim(Z') < \dim(Z)$ and a function $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $g(P \setminus Z') > 0$ and $g(Q \setminus Z') < 0$.

Note that if one of the two sets is empty then separation holds trivially. Also note that closed sets are separable if and only if they are disjoint. So any function generically separating P, Q should vanish on $(\overline{P} \cap Q) \cup (\overline{Q} \cap P)$.

The next result proves that obstructions to separation are located at the boundaries of the two sets. It slightly improves Theorem 4.2 in [ABS05], where the sets are supposed to be closed. We include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let A, B be global semianalytic sets in the global analytic set $Z \subset \Omega$. Let X be a global analytic set containing $\overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ and such that dim $(X) < \dim(Z)$. Assume there is an open neighbourhood U of X and a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that

 $f(\overline{A} \cap U \setminus X) > 0, \quad f(\overline{B} \cap U \setminus X) < 0.$

Then $\overline{A} \setminus X$ and $\overline{B} \setminus X$ are separable.

Proof. We can assume that f vanishes on X by replacing it by fg for some positive global equation g of X. Hence

$$\{f=0\} \cap (\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}) \cap U = X \cap (\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}) \cap U.$$

Since X and $\Omega \setminus U$ are closed in Ω and disjoint, we can find an analytic function h' separating them with $X \subset \{h' > 0\} \subset U$. Hence we can assume that U is an open global semianalytic neighbourhood of X.

So $(A \cup B) \cap U$ is a global semianalytic set. Hence, by [ABS05, Theorem 2.5], we can find a positive equation h of X such that h < |f| on $(\overline{A \cup B}) \cap U \setminus X$. We can assume h < 1 on U, by shrinking it.

Take a smaller neighbourhood V of X with $\overline{V} \subset U$. Since $\overline{A} \setminus V$ and $\overline{B} \setminus V$ are closed and disjoint, there is $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $g(\overline{A} \setminus V) > 0$ and $g(\overline{B} \setminus V) < 0$; moreover, we can assume $|g| \ge 1$ on $\overline{A \cup B} \setminus V$.

Consider the open covering $\{U, \Omega \setminus \overline{V}\}$ and take a subordinate partition of unity $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ such that $\sigma_{1|V} = 1$; then the smooth function $\varphi = \sigma_1 f + \sigma_2 g$ on \mathbb{R}^n has the following properties:

• $\varphi_{|V} = f$.

•
$$\varphi(\overline{A} \setminus X) > 0$$
 and $\varphi(\overline{B} \setminus X) < 0$.

• $|\varphi(x)| > 0$ on $\overline{A \cup B} \setminus V$.

Consider the function $\eta(x)$ defined as $(\varphi(x) - f(x))/h^2(x)$ for $x \notin X$ and 0 for $x \in X$; it is well defined and smooth because $\varphi(x) - f(x)$ is identically zero on V.

Now, we want to find an analytic approximation R of η such that $f + Rh^2$ separates $\overline{A} \setminus X$ and $\overline{B} \setminus X$.

To do this, take an exhaustive sequence $\{K_m\}$ of compact sets such that

$$K_i \subset \mathring{K}_{i+1}, \quad \bigcup K_m = \Omega.$$

Define

$$r_m = \inf_{(K_{m+1} \setminus \mathring{K}_m) \cap ((\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}) \setminus V)} |\varphi(x)|, \quad s_m = \sup_{K_{m+1} \cap ((\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}) \setminus V)} h^2(x).$$

Note that $r_m > 0$ since $|\varphi(x)| > 0$ on $\overline{A \cup B} \setminus V$ and the set where we take the infimum is compact. Also $s_m > 0$ because $X = \{h = 0\} \subset V$. Finally, choose

$$0 < \varepsilon_m < \min(r_m/s_m, 1).$$

Applying Whitney's approximation theorem we find $R \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that

 $|R(x) - \eta(x)| < \varepsilon_m \quad \text{on } K_{m+1} \setminus \mathring{K}_m.$

H. Diakite

CLAIM. $f + Rh^2$ separates $\overline{A} \setminus X$ and $\overline{B} \setminus X$.

Proof. Assume first $x \in (\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}) \setminus V$; then, on $K_{m+1} \setminus \mathring{K}_m$,

 $|\varphi(x) - (f + Rh^2)(x)| = h^2(x)|\eta(x) - R(x)| < s_m \varepsilon_m < r_m,$

and this proves that $(f + Rh^2)(x)$ has the same sign as $\varphi(x)$.

If $x \in V \setminus X$ and $x \in K_{m+1} \setminus \mathring{K}_m$ we have $\eta(x) = 0$ and $|R(x)| < \varepsilon_m < 1$ so that

$$|f(x)| - |R(x)h^2(x)| > |f(x)| - h^2(x) \ge h(x) - h^2(x) > 0$$

since |h| < 1 on V. Hence $f + Rh^2$ has the same sign as f on $V \setminus X$.

The next fact is Lemma 4.5 of [ABS05].

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let Z be a global analytic set in Ω and $\{Z_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ be the (locally finite) family of its global irreducible components having the same dimension as Z. Let P, Q be subsets of Z. Then P and Q are generically separable in Z if and only if for every α , $P \cap Z_{\alpha}$ and $Q \cap Z_{\alpha}$ are generically separable in Z_{α} .

Proof. One direction is clear: if f generically separates P and Q, its restriction to Z_{α} generically separates $P \cap Z_{\alpha}$ and $Q \cap Z_{\alpha}$. Conversely, assume $f_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ generically separates $P \cap Z_{\alpha}$ and $Q \cap Z_{\alpha}$. Consider the global analytic set having the same components as Z (including the ones of smaller dimension) except Z_{α} . Take a positive equation p_{α} of this global space. Then $f_{\alpha}p_{\alpha}$ vanishes on all irreducible components of Z except Z_{α} and still generically separates $P \cap Z_{\alpha}$ and $Q \cap Z_{\alpha}$. So

$$\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}$$

is well defined on Z and generically separates P and Q because $\{Z_{\alpha}\}$ is a locally finite family.

3. Separation. Before entering the proof of the Theorem of the Introduction, we need some preparation.

DEFINITION 3.1. The Zariski closure of a semianalytic set $A \subset Z \subset \Omega$ is the smallest global analytic subset of Z containing it. It will be denoted by \overline{A}^{Z} .

REMARK 3.2. The Zariski closure of a semianalytic set can be very big if the set is not global: see for instance the examples in [CA96].

We do not know whether the boundary of a global analytic set $A \subset Z$ is global or not, unless Z is a manifold of dimension ≤ 3 . Nevertheless, $\overline{\partial A}^Z$ is a global analytic set contained in the zero set of the product of the (finitely many) functions defining A. If Z is irreducible, this implies $\dim(\overline{\partial A}^Z) < \dim(Z)$, and so, in this case, generic separation for two global semianalytic sets is the same as generic separation for their closures.

We shall repeatedly use the following key lemma, which is Corollary 2.8 in [ABS05].

LEMMA 3.3. Let S be a global semianalytic set in Z, and $g, h \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ be global analytic functions. Then there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, such that:

(i) $g + \varepsilon h$ has the same sign as g on \overline{S} ;

(ii)
$$\{\varepsilon = 0\} = \{g = 0\} \cap \overline{S}^{2}$$
.

Next we define the *nullspace* of A and B.

DEFINITION 3.4. Let $A, B \subset Z$ be global semianalytic sets. We define the *nullspace* of A and B to be the union of all irreducible analytic subsets Y of Z such that $\overline{A} \cap Y$ and $\overline{B} \cap Y$ are not generically separable in Y.

PROPOSITION 3.5. The nullspace N of A and B is a global analytic set.

Proof. If N = Z, that is, A and B are not generically separable in any irreducible component Z_{α} of Z, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, write $Z = Z' \cup Z''$, where Z' consists of the irreducible components of Z where $\overline{A} \cap Z_{\alpha}$ and $\overline{B} \cap Z_{\alpha}$ are not generically separable in Z', and Z'' consists of the others. Of course, $Z' \subset N$. Now, by Proposition 2.3, $\overline{A} \cap Z''$ and $\overline{B} \cap Z''$ are generically separable in Z'', i.e. there is a proper global analytic subset W_1 in Z'', with dim $(W_1) < \dim(Z'')$, such that $\overline{A} \setminus Z' \cup W_1$ and $\overline{B} \setminus Z' \cup W_1$ are separable. Thus $Z' \subset N \subset Z' \cup W_1$. In fact, if Y_1 is an irreducible global analytic subset of Z'' and $Y_1 \notin W_1$, then $\overline{A} \cap Y_1$ and $\overline{B} \cap Y_1$ are generically separable since $\overline{A} \cap Y_1 \setminus W_1 \cap Y_1$ and $\overline{B} \cap Y_1 \setminus W_1 \cap Y_1$ are separable and dim $(W_1 \cap Y_1) < \dim(Y_1)$.

Now, we can write $W_1 = W'_1 \cup W''_1$, where W'_1 consists of the irreducible components of W_1 where \overline{A} and \overline{B} are not generically separable, and W''_1 consists of the others. Then, of course, $W'_1 \subset N$. We find that $\overline{A} \cap W''_1$ and $\overline{B} \cap W''_1$ are also generically separable by Proposition 2.3, so there is a proper global analytic subset $W_2 \subset W''_1$, with $\dim(W_2) < \dim(W''_1)$, such that $\overline{A} \cap W_1 \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup W_2$ and $\overline{B} \cap W_1 \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup W_2$ are separable.

Thus $Z' \cup W'_1 \subset N \subset Z' \cup W'_1 \cup W_2$. In fact, if Y_2 is an irreducible global analytic subset of Z'' and $Y_2 \notin W_2$, then $\overline{A} \cap Y_2$ and $\overline{B} \cap Y_2$ are generically separable since $\overline{A} \cap Y_2 \setminus W_2 \cap Y_2$ and $\overline{B} \cap Y_2 \setminus W_2 \cap Y_2$ are separable and $\dim(W_2 \cap Y_2) < \dim(Y_2)$.

Hence, we can iterate this argument until we get a negative dimension of W_i . So there is $k \leq \dim(Z)$ such that

$$Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_k \subset N \subset Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_k \cup W_{k+1},$$

where $\dim(W_{k+1}) = -1$, hence $W_{k+1} = \emptyset$.

So $N = Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_k$ is a global analytic set and we are done.

Let us prove the Theorem of the Introduction.

Proof. Let $Z', Z'', W_i, W'_i, W''_i$ for i = 1, ..., k and W_{k+1} be the global analytic sets defined as in the proof of Proposition 3.5 such that $N = Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_k$, and $W_{k+1} = \emptyset$.

So $\overline{A} \setminus Z' \cup W_1$ and $\overline{B} \setminus Z' \cup W_1$ are separated, say, by a function g_1 in $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, and there is a function h_1 in $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that

 $h_1(\overline{A} \cap W_1'' \setminus W_2) > 0, \quad h_1(\overline{B} \cap W_1'' \setminus W_2) < 0.$

It is enough to prove the following recursive statement:

(*) Given $g_i, h_i \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that

- $g_i(\overline{A} \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \dots \cup W'_{i-1} \cup W_i) > 0,$ $g_i(\overline{B} \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \dots \cup W'_{i-1} \cup W_i) < 0,$
- $g_i(W_i) \equiv 0$ (if needed, multiply g_i by a positive equation of W_i) and $h_i \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$,
- $h_i(\overline{A} \cap W_i'' \setminus W_{i+1}) > 0, \ h_i(\overline{B} \cap W_i'' \setminus W_{i+1}) < 0,$

there is a function $g_{i+1} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that

• $g_{i+1}(\overline{A} \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_i \cup W_{i+1}) > 0,$ $g_{i+1}(\overline{B} \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_i \cup W_{i+1}) < 0.$

Indeed, it is enough to apply (*) successively to $Z \setminus Z' \cup W_1, \ldots, Z \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_{i-1} \cup W_i, \ldots$, up to $Z \setminus N$. So, let us prove (*).

Define

$$= ((A \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \dots \cup W'_i) \cap \{h_i \le 0\})$$
$$\cup ((B \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \dots \cup W'_i) \cap \{h_i \ge 0\}).$$

Then S is a global semianalytic set, and Lemma 3.3 yields $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, such that

•
$$\operatorname{sign}(g_i + \varepsilon h_i) = \operatorname{sign}(g_i)$$
 on \overline{S} ;

•
$$\{\varepsilon = 0\} = \{g_i = 0\} \cap \overline{S}^{2}$$
.

S

Now notice that

$$\{g_i = 0\} \cap \overline{A \cap \{h_i \le 0\}} \subset \{g_i = 0\} \cap \overline{A} \cap \{h_i \le 0\}$$

$$\subset (N \cup W_i) \cap \overline{A} \cap \{h_i \le 0\} \subset N \cup (W_i'' \cap \overline{A} \cap \{h_i \le 0\}) \subset N \cup W_{i+1}.$$

Since N is global we get $\{\varepsilon = 0\} \subset N \cup W_{i+1}$.

Put $g_{i+1} = g_i + \varepsilon h_i$. Then g_{i+1} separates $\overline{A} \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_{i-1} \cup W_i$ and $\overline{B} \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_{i-1} \cup W_i$. Indeed, $x \in \overline{A} \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_{i-1} \cup W_i$ implies $g_i(x) > 0$ and if $x \in \overline{S}$, $\operatorname{sign}(g_{i+1}) = \operatorname{sign}(g_i)$; if $x \notin \overline{S}$, then $g_i(x) > 0$ and $h_i(x) \ge 0$, hence $g_{i+1}(x) > 0$. For $x \in \overline{B} \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_{i-1} \cup W_i$, the same argument proves $g_{i+1}(x) < 0$. Next we prove that g_{i+1} separates outside $N \cup W_{i+1}$. In fact, if $\varepsilon(x) \neq 0$ and $x \in \overline{A} \setminus N \cup W_{i+1}$, then either $x \in \overline{A} \setminus Z' \cup W'_1 \cup \cdots \cup W'_{i-1} \cup W_i$ and so $g_{i+1}(x) > 0$ as above, or $x \in \overline{A} \cap W_i$ and so $g_i(x) = 0$, $h_i(x) > 0$ and $\varepsilon(x) > 0$. The same argument applies on $\overline{B} \setminus N \cup W_{i+1}$.

So g_{i+1} actually separates $\overline{A} \setminus N \cup W_{i+1}$ and $\overline{B} \setminus N \cup W_{i+1}$, with dim (W_{i+1}) < dim (W_i) . Hence (*) is proved. Since $W_{k+1} = \emptyset$, g_k separates $\overline{A} \setminus N$ and $\overline{B} \setminus N$, and we are done.

REMARK 3.6. We can describe the nullspace N of A and B as the zero set of two functions generically separating \overline{A} and \overline{B} . Indeed, any function gseparating off N can be easily modified to vanish on N, since N is global analytic. Consider the constant function 1 and apply once more Lemma 3.3 to the set $S = A \cup B$. We find a function $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \varepsilon \geq 0$, such that

- $\operatorname{sign}(g + \varepsilon) = \operatorname{sign}(g)$ on $\overline{A \cup B}$;
- $\{\varepsilon = 0\} = \overline{\{g = 0\} \cap \overline{A \cup B}^Z}.$

In particular, $g + \varepsilon$ generically separates A and B. Of course, $N \subset \{g = 0\}$. Also $N \supset \{g = 0\} \cap \overline{A \cup B}$, hence N, being global analytic, contains the Zariski closure of that set, which is $\{\varepsilon = 0\}$. Moreover, g separates off $\{\varepsilon = 0\}$, hence $N \subset \{\varepsilon = 0\}$.

It follows that $N = \{\varepsilon = 0\} = \overline{\{g = 0\} \cap \overline{A \cup B}^Z}$. Now it is easy to check that $N = \{x \in Z \mid g(x) = (g + \varepsilon)(x) = 0\}$, since both vanish on N but the second one does not vanish on the remaining part of the zero set of g.

References

- [ABS05] F. Acquistapace, F. Broglia and M. Shiota, The finiteness property and Lojasiewicz inequality for global semianalytic sets, Adv. Geom. 5 (2005), 377–390.
- [AB90] C. Andradas and E. Becker, A note on the real spectrum of analytic functions on an analytic manifold of dimension one, in: Real Analytic and Algebraic Geometry (Trento, 1988), Springer, Berlin, 1990, 1–21.
- [ABR96] C. Andradas, L. Bröcker, and J. M. Ruiz, Constructible Sets in Real Geometry, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [Brö91] L. Bröcker, On basic semialgebraic sets, Exposition. Math. 9 (1991), 289–334.
- [BP04] F. Broglia and F. Pieroni, Separation of global semianalytic subsets of 2-dimensional analytic manifolds, Pacific J. Math. 214 (2004), 1–16.
- [Cas94] A. Castilla, Artin-Lang property for analytic manifolds of dimension two, Math. Z. 217 (1994), 5–14.
- [CA96] A. Castilla and C. Andradas, Connected components of global semianalytic subsets of 2-dimensional analytic manifolds, J. Reine Angew. Math. 475 (1996), 137–148.

H. Diakite

[Rui84] J. M. Ruiz, A note on a separation problem, Arch. Math. (Basel) 43 (1984), 422–426.

DER de Mathématiques et Informatiques Université de Bamako–FAST BP: E3206, Bamako, Mali E-mail: diakite@mail.dm.unipi.it

> Received 21.5.2007 and in final form 25.7.2008

(1905)

186