

## The Lax–Phillips infinitesimal generator and the scattering matrix for automorphic functions

by YOICHI UETAKE (Poznań)

**Abstract.** We study the infinitesimal generator of the Lax–Phillips semigroup of the automorphic scattering system defined on the Poincaré upper half-plane for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We show that its spectrum consists only of the poles of the resolvent of the generator, and coincides with the poles of the scattering matrix, counted with multiplicities. Using this we construct an operator whose eigenvalues, counted with algebraic multiplicities (i.e. dimensions of generalized eigenspaces), are precisely the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function. We give an operator model on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  of this generator as explicit as possible. We obtain a condition equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis in terms of cyclic vectors for a weak resolvent of the scattering matrix.

**1. Introduction.** Since the scattering theoretic view of the theory of automorphic functions was suggested by Gelfand [Ge] in 1962, Pavlov and Faddeev [PavFa] showed in 1972 that the Lax–Phillips scattering theory, applied to the non-Euclidean wave equation, is a natural tool in the theory of automorphic functions. This was taken up and further studied by Lax and Phillips themselves and culminated in their monograph [LP1] and its important supplement [LP2].

In [LP1], the poles of the scattering matrix of the non-Euclidean wave equation on the Poincaré upper half-plane are related to the poles of the resolvent (and so to the eigenvalues) of the infinitesimal generator of the Lax–Phillips semigroup. See also [LP2, Cor. 4.3]. In this paper we study this relation in more detail.

To make the paper as self-contained as possible, we begin in §2 with a description of the Lax–Phillips scattering theory for automorphic functions on the fundamental domain of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then in §§3 and 4 we develop a general spectral theory of discrete-time and continuous-time Lax–Phillips scattering, respectively, except that a unitary factor of the scattering matrix we

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11F03, 11F72, 47A11, 47A40.

*Key words and phrases*: Lax–Phillips scattering theory, automorphic function, scattering matrix, weak resolvent, cyclic vector.

have in mind consists of the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. The only result we use from scattering theory is a translation representation theorem (see e.g. Theorem 1.1 of Ch. II in [LP3]) for the discrete scattering system. In §§5 and 6 we treat scattering theory in the setting of automorphic functions.

In §2, we briefly review the Lax–Phillips scattering theory for automorphic functions. For more details, see [LP1], [LP2], Epilogue of [LP3] and the references there. In the general case of a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the behavior of the eigenvalues for cusp forms is complicated. However in our case (and in most of [LP1]), where  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , it is well-known (see Motohashi [Mo], Zagier [Z]) that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  of the non-Euclidean Laplacian  $\Delta$  for the cusp forms  $\psi_j$  are all real and  $\lambda_j > 1/4$ . In §2 we apply this fact to describe explicitly the subspaces  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  introduced in [LP1, 2]. We also use the Eisenstein transform to explain that the energy form  $E$  is positive definite on the subspace corresponding to the continuous spectrum of  $\Delta$ .

In §3 we study discrete-time Lax–Phillips scattering systems corresponding to their continuous-time counterparts. We define the subspace  $K$  of the whole Hilbert space  $H$  ( $H$  is a subspace of the  $L^2$ -space of functions on the fundamental domain  $\mathfrak{F}$  for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ) on which the Lax–Phillips semigroup and generator will be defined. This space is defined by using a unitary causal factor  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  of the discrete scattering matrix. We decompose  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  into a weak resolvent form. This procedure allows one to *carve out* an operator  $A_d$  on a Hilbert space  $K$ . We show in §6 that this  $A_d$  has a cyclicity property. We base our development on the methods of shift operator realization of linear systems from Helton [H] and [U2].

In §4 we define our Lax–Phillips semigroup and study some spectral properties of its infinitesimal generator  $A_c$ . We call this generator the Lax–Phillips (infinitesimal) generator, as in the title of the paper. We show that the operator  $A_d$  carved out from the discrete scattering matrix is related to  $A_c$  by the Cayley transform. Using this relation, we prove that the resolvent of  $A_c$  is meromorphic. Then we show that the spectrum of  $A_c$  corresponds precisely (i.e. counted with multiplicities) to the poles of the unitary causal factor  $\mathcal{S}_{c0}(s)$  of the continuous scattering matrix, corresponding to  $K$ .

In [LP1, 2], the original Lax–Phillips generator denoted by  $B''$  acting on  $K''$  is defined. There the meromorphy in  $\mathbb{C}$  of its resolvent is proved by showing compactness of the resolvent. It turns out that our space  $K$  is obtained by discarding a one-dimensional non-essential generalized eigenspace of  $B''$ . Actually  $\sigma(B'') \setminus \sigma(A_c) = \{-1/2\}$ . So the meromorphy of  $B''$ 's resolvent proved in [LP1, 2] follows from that of  $A_c$ 's resolvent. Our proof of Theorem 4.2(i) gives a simple proof of this.

In §4 we also construct an operator acting on  $K$  with eigenvalues corresponding precisely to the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. For discussions of this kind of operator, see e.g. Lax and Phillips [LP2, §6] and Patterson [Pat, §5.18].

The underlying mechanism of pole correspondence between the scattering matrix and the resolvent of the Lax–Phillips generator is the cyclicity of the two vectors in the weak resolvent decomposition of the scattering matrix. This has been shown in [U1] and [U3]. In this paper we show this pole correspondence directly in Lemma 4.1 used to prove Theorem 4.2(ii). The cyclicity will be used in §6.

In §5 we represent  $A_c$  acting on  $K$  as minus the left  $L^2$ -derivative restricted to the subspace  $\mathcal{K}_c$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$ , using the explicit formulas for translation representations for the scattering system in §2 obtained in [LP2]. Here  $\mathcal{K}_c$  is the image of  $K$  under the outgoing representation. We give an expression of  $\mathcal{K}_c$  as explicit as possible.

In §6 we show cyclicity of the two vectors in the weak resolvent decomposition of  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$ . Using these and the operator model in §5, we formulate a condition equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis in terms of cyclic vectors. To deduce this condition, we use a result on absence of zero-pole cancellation in cascade connection of dynamical systems in Hilbert space [U3].

*Notations.*  $I$  denotes the identity operator;  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbb{R}_- = (-\infty, 0]$ ;  $P_W$  is the orthogonal projection onto the Hilbert space  $W$ ;  $S_d: \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  is the (discrete) scattering operator;  $\mathcal{S}_d: L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$  is the (discrete) scattering matrix;  $\mathcal{S}_c: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is the (continuous) scattering operator;  $\mathcal{S}_c: L^2(i\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(i\mathbb{R})$  is the (continuous) scattering matrix.

**2. Lax–Phillips scattering theory on the fundamental domain of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .** Consider the fundamental domain  $\mathfrak{F} = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}$  of  $\Gamma := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\mathfrak{H} = \{w = x + iy; y > 0\}$  is the Poincaré upper half-plane, and  $\Gamma$  is the modular group defined by

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{H} \ni w \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} w := \frac{aw + b}{cw + d} \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

$\mathfrak{F}$  has a cusp at  $i\infty$ .

Let  $L^2(\mathfrak{F})$  be the Hilbert space defined by

$$L^2(\mathfrak{F}) = \left\{ u = u(w), w \in \mathfrak{F}; \|u\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})} := \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})}} < \infty \right\}$$

with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})} = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} u\bar{v} \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

It is well-known that  $L^2(\mathfrak{F})$  has the following spectral decomposition with respect to the non-Euclidean Laplacian  $\Delta = -y^2(\partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2)$  ( $-\Delta$  is called the *Laplace–Beltrami operator*):

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\mathfrak{F}) &= L_d^2(\mathfrak{F}) \oplus L_c^2(\mathfrak{F}), & L_d^2(\mathfrak{F}) &= \mathbb{C} \oplus {}^\circ L^2(\mathfrak{F}), \\ {}^\circ L^2(\mathfrak{F}) &= \text{cl span}\{\psi_j; j \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here cl means topological closure. Each subspace is an invariant subspace of  $L^2(\mathfrak{F})$  with respect to  $\Delta$ .  $\psi_j$  is a cusp form and it is known (Motohashi [Mo], Zagier [Z]) that  $\Delta\psi_j = (1/4 + \kappa_j^2)\psi_j$  with  $\kappa_j > 0$ . Let

$$L = -\Delta + 1/4.$$

Then  $L\psi_j = -\kappa_j^2\psi_j$ . Therefore the only non-negative eigenvalue of  $L$  is  $1/4$  with a constant eigenfunction  $c$ :  $Lc = (1/4)c$ . Note that  $\|c\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})} = \sqrt{\pi/3}|c|$  ([Mo]).

The *Eisenstein series* of two variables  $E(z, s)$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  is by definition

$$E(z, 1/2 + s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} [\Im\gamma(z)]^{1/2+s}.$$

Here for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty$ ,  $\gamma(z) = z + n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For convenience the second variable  $s$  is shifted by  $1/2$ .  $E(z, 1/2 + s)$  is an automorphic function, that is,  $E(\gamma(z), 1/2 + s) = E(z, 1/2 + s)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , thus  $E(z, 1/2 + s)$  can be viewed as a function on  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

The Eisenstein series is a (non- $L^2$ -)eigenfunction of  $\Delta$ :

$$(\Delta - 1/4)E(z, 1/2 + i\xi) = -(i\xi)^2 E(z, 1/2 + i\xi)$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The *Eisenstein transform*  $\text{Eis}: L_c^2(\mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is defined by

$$\text{Eis}[f](\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathfrak{F}} f(z) E(z, 1/2 - i\xi) d\mu, \quad d\mu = \frac{dx dy}{y^2},$$

for  $f = f(z) \in L_c^2(\mathfrak{F})$  (Lang [La], Motohashi [Mo]). This transform is unitary (see §4 for the inner product of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \supset L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ) and the inverse is given by

$$\text{Eis}^{-1}[w](z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty w(\xi) E(z, 1/2 + i\xi) d\xi$$

for  $w = w(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  ([Mo]).

Note that by the above eigenfunction property of the Eisenstein series,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta - 1/4)\text{Eis}^{-1}[w](z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (\Delta - 1/4) \int_0^\infty w(\xi) E(z, 1/2 + i\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty w(\xi) (\Delta - 1/4) E(z, 1/2 + i\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty w(\xi) \xi^2 E(z, 1/2 + i\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

for  $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$  (the space of compactly supported  $C^\infty$ -functions). Note also that  $\text{Eis}^{-1}[C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)]$  is dense in  $L_c^2(\mathfrak{F}) \supset \text{dom}(\Delta)$ . So we see that  $-L = \Delta - 1/4$  is still positive definite on  $L_c^2(\mathfrak{F})$ . Consequently, the energy form  $E$  defined below is positive definite on  $L_c^2(\mathfrak{F}) \times L_c^2(\mathfrak{F})$ .

Consider the solutions of the following automorphic wave equation on  $\mathfrak{F}$ :

$$u_{tt}(w, t) = Lu(w, t), \quad w = x + iy \in \mathfrak{F},$$

with initial values  $u(w, 0)$  and  $u_t(w, 0)$ . Rewrite the above wave equation in the first order form as  $df/dt = \mathbf{L}f$ , where

$$f = f(t) = \{f_1(t), f_2(t)\} = \{u(w, t), u_t(w, t)\} = \begin{bmatrix} u(w, t) \\ u_t(w, t) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ L & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The operator  $\mathbf{L}$  is denoted by  $A$  in [LP1, 2].

The bilinear energy form  $E$  is given by

$$E(f, g) = \langle f_1, -Lg_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})} + \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})}$$

for  $f = \{f_1, f_2\}, g = \{g_1, g_2\} \in L^2(\mathfrak{F}) \times L^2(\mathfrak{F})$ .

The  $E$ -energy form  $E(f) := E(f, f)$  for data  $f = \{f_1, f_2\}$  will be zero for e.g.  $f = \{c, \pm c/2\}$ . To avoid this disadvantage of indefiniteness, we introduce another bilinear energy form defined by

$$G(f, g) = E(f, g) + 2K(f, g),$$

where

$$K(f, g) = \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_0} \frac{f_1 \bar{g}_1}{y^2} dx dy, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_0 = \mathfrak{F} \cap \{y \leq a\}, \quad a > 1.$$

We denote by  $H_G$  the completion with respect to the  $G$ -norm defined via  $G(f) := G(f, f)$  of the space of  $C^\infty$ -solutions with compact support in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . It is known ([LP1]) that the  $E$ - and  $G$ -forms are equivalent on any closed subspace of  $H_G$  on which  $E$  is positive. The operator  $\mathbf{L}$  generates

a one-parameter group  $\{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of unitary operators with respect to the indefinite energy form  $E$ . We also write  $U(t)$  as  $e^{t\mathbf{L}}$ .

The *incoming* and *outgoing solutions* of the above wave equation are given by

$$u_-(w, t) = y^{1/2}\phi(ye^t) \quad \text{and} \quad u_+(w, t) = y^{1/2}\phi(ye^{-t}),$$

respectively, where  $\phi$  is chosen to be  $C^\infty$  and vanishing for  $y \leq a$ . Then the incoming and outgoing subspaces  $D_{0-}$  and  $D_{0+}$  (denoted by  $D_-$  and  $D_+$  in [LP1, 2]) are defined to be the closure in  $H_G$  of the initial data corresponding to the above incoming and outgoing solutions, respectively:

$$D_{0-} = \text{cl}\{\{y^{1/2}\phi(y), y^{3/2}\phi'(y)\}\}, \quad D_{0+} = \text{cl}\{\{y^{1/2}\phi(y), -y^{3/2}\phi'(y)\}\},$$

where  $\phi$  is chosen as above. Actually, instead of the above  $D_{0\pm}$ , we will use  $D_\pm$  (denoted by  $D'_\pm$  or  $D''_\pm$  in [LP1, 2]) defined below as our incoming and outgoing subspaces.

In [LP1, 2], the case where  $L$  has a finite number of positive eigenvalues  $\lambda_j^2$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , is treated. Let  $q_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , be the corresponding eigenfunctions. As we saw above, in our case of  $\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $m = 1$ ,  $\lambda_1^2 = 1/4$  and  $q_1 = c$ . Then  $\mathbf{L}p_1^\pm = \pm\lambda_1 p_1^\pm$ , where  $p_1^\pm = \{q_1, \pm\lambda_1 q_1\} = \{c, \pm c/2\}$ . Recall that  $E(p_1^\pm) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = \text{span}\{p_j^\pm; j = 1, \dots, m\} = \text{span}\{p_1^+, p_1^-\} = \text{span}\{p, q\}$ , where  $p = \{1, 0\}$ ,  $q = \{0, 1\}$ . Note that  $E(p, q) = G(p, q) = 0$  (i.e.  $p$  and  $q$  are  $E$ - and  $G$ -orthogonal). Denote the  $E$ -orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $H_G$  by  $H'_G$ . Define  $H'_E$  to be the quotient space  $H'_G/\mathcal{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the finite-dimensional subspace spanned by the null vectors of  $\mathbf{L}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{L}f = 0, f = \{f_1, f_2\} \Leftrightarrow Lf_1 = 0, f_2 = 0$ . However, neither the cusp form  $\psi_j$  nor the constant  $c$  satisfies  $Lf_1 = 0$  as we saw above. Hence it turns out that  $\mathcal{I} = \{0\}$  and  $H'_E = H'_G$  after all. On  $H'_E$ ,  $E$  is positive definite and equivalent to  $G$ . Thus  $U(t)$  is unitary on  $H'_E$ . However the subspaces  $D_{0-}$  and  $D_{0+}$  do not lie in  $H'_E$ . Thus we define two types of incoming and outgoing subspaces in  $H'_E$  as follows:

$$D'_\pm = P_{H'_E} D_{0\pm} \quad \text{or} \quad D''_\pm = D_{0\pm} \cap H'_E.$$

Here  $P_{H'_E}$  is the  $E$ -orthogonal projection onto  $H'_E$  from  $H_G$ . Define  $H$  (denoted by  $H'_c$  in [LP1, 2]) to be the  $E$ -orthogonal complement of the eigenfunctions in  $H'_E$  from the point spectrum of  $\mathbf{L}$ . It is seen that the eigenfunctions of  $\mathbf{L}$  in  $H'_E$  are  $g_j^\pm = \{\psi_j, \pm i\kappa_j \psi_j\}$  with eigenvalues  $\pm i\kappa_j$  for the cusp forms  $\psi_j$ . Since  $H$  is invariant under  $U(t)$ ,  $U(t)$  is also unitary on  $H$ . The subspaces  $D_\pm = D'_\pm$  or  $D''_\pm$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$(2.1) \quad U(t)D_- \subseteq D_-, \quad \forall t \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad U(t)D_+ \subseteq D_+, \quad \forall t \geq 0;$$

$$(2.2) \quad \bigcap_{t \leq 0} U(t)D_- = \{0\} = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} U(t)D_+;$$

$$(2.3) \quad \text{cl} \left[ \bigcup_{t \geq 0} U(t)D_- \right] = H = \text{cl} \left[ \bigcup_{t \leq 0} U(t)D_+ \right].$$

Note that  $D_+'' \perp D_-''$  but  $D_+' and  $D_-'$  are not orthogonal to each other. We call this the *continuous(-time) Lax-Phillips (automorphic) scattering system*.$

**3. The discrete-time Lax-Phillips scattering system.** Recall that  $\mathbf{L}$  is the infinitesimal generator of the one-parameter group  $\{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of unitary operators acting on  $H$ , that is,  $\mathbf{L} = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} (U(t) - I)/t$ . Then the Cayley transform  $V = (I + \mathbf{L})(I - \mathbf{L})^{-1}$  is a unitary operator on  $H$  ([LP3, Chap. II, §3]). Moreover it can be shown that the same subspace  $D_-$  (or  $D_+$ ) of the continuous Lax-Phillips scattering system (2.1)–(2.3) will be incoming (or outgoing) for  $V$  ([LP3, Lemma 3.2]) in the following sense:

$$(3.1) \quad V^n D_- \subset D_-, \quad \forall n \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad V^n D_+ \subset D_+, \quad \forall n \geq 0;$$

$$(3.2) \quad \bigcap_{n \leq 0} V^n D_- = \{0\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V^n D_+;$$

$$(3.3) \quad \text{cl} \left[ \bigcup_{n \geq 0} V^n D_- \right] = H = \text{cl} \left[ \bigcup_{n \leq 0} V^n D_+ \right].$$

We call this quadruple  $(V, H, D_-, D_+)$  the *discrete(-time) Lax-Phillips (automorphic) scattering system*.

In the case of the automorphic wave equation on the fundamental domain  $\mathfrak{F} = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{H}$  of §1,  $\dim(D_+ \ominus V D_+) = \dim(D_- \ominus V^{-1} D_-) = \sharp\{\text{cusps}\} = 1$ . In this case we have the following discrete incoming and outgoing representations.

First let

$$\ell^2 = \ell^2(-\infty, \infty) = \left\{ u = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus (u_n)_n; \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |u_n|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Here  $(\alpha)_n$  is the vector in  $\ell^2$  that has  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  in the  $n$ th place and zeros elsewhere. Thus  $\{(1)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  constitutes an orthonormal basis of  $\ell^2$ . The inner product of  $\ell^2$  is given by  $\langle u, v \rangle_{\ell^2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n \bar{v}_n$  for  $u = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus (u_n)_n$  and  $v = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus (v_n)_n$ . There exist unitary discrete incoming and outgoing representations ([LP3, Theorem 1.1, pp. 38, 40])

$$\Psi_- : H \rightarrow \ell^2(-\infty, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_+ : H \rightarrow \ell^2(-\infty, \infty)$$

such that

$$\Psi_-(D_-) = \ell^2(-\infty, -1) =: \ell_-^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_+(D_+) = \ell^2(0, \infty) =: \ell_+^2.$$

Furthermore, in these representations  $V$  is transformed into the bilateral shift  $\sigma$  on  $\ell^2$ , that is,  $\Psi_- V = \sigma \Psi_-$  and  $\Psi_+ V = \sigma \Psi_+$ . Here for  $u = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus (u_n)_n \in \ell^2$ ,  $\sigma u = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus (u_{n-1})_n$ .

The (*discrete*) *scattering operator*  $S_d: \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  is defined to be  $S_d = \Psi_+ \Psi_-^{-1}$ ; it is unitary.

To define the discrete scattering matrix, we introduce the Fourier transform (for the discrete case)  $\mathcal{F}_d: \ell^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$  defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_d[u](z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{T} = \{z; |z| = 1\},$$

for  $u = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\oplus \infty} (u_n)_n \in \ell^2$ . Here  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  is the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  equipped with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \overline{g(e^{i\theta})} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathbb{T}} z^{-1} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dz = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n \bar{g}_n$$

for  $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n z^n$ ,  $g(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g_n z^n$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ . Note that  $\{z^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . The operator  $\mathcal{F}_d$  is unitary. Then  $\mathcal{S}_d = \mathcal{F}_d S_d \mathcal{F}_d^{-1}: L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$  is a unitary operator, which we call the (*discrete*) *scattering matrix*.

Now set  $S_d(1)_0 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\oplus \infty} (t_n)_n$ . Define a complex-valued function  $\mathcal{S}_d(z)$  by  $\mathcal{S}_d(z) = \mathcal{F}_d[S_d(1)_0](z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t_n z^n$ .

We collect some known facts in the following lemma with proof.

LEMMA 3.1.

- (i) *The discrete scattering operator  $S_d$  commutes with  $\sigma^n$ , that is,  $\sigma^n S_d = S_d \sigma^n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*
- (ii) *For  $\mathcal{F}_d[u] \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $u \in \ell^2$ , we have  $(\mathcal{S}_d \mathcal{F}_d[u])(z) = \mathcal{S}_d(z) \cdot \mathcal{F}_d[u](z)$ .*
- (iii) *The following are equivalent: (a)  $D_- \perp D_+$ ; (b)  $S_d \ell_-^2 \subset \ell_-^2$ ; and (c)  $t_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , that is,  $\mathcal{S}_d(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 t_n z^n$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Since  $\sigma \Psi_+ = \Psi_+ V$  and  $\sigma \Psi_- = \Psi_- V$ , we get  $\sigma S_d \sigma^{-1} = S_d$ . The assertion follows by using this recursively.

(ii) Write  $u = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\oplus \infty} (u_n)_n$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_d[\sigma^n u](z) = z^n \mathcal{F}_d[u](z)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have, by using (i),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{S}_d \mathcal{F}_d[u])(z) &= \mathcal{F}_d[S_d u](z) = \mathcal{F}_d \left[ S_d \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\oplus \infty} (u_n)_n \right) \right](z) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_d \left[ S_d \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\oplus \infty} u_n \sigma^n(1)_0 \right) \right](z) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_d \left[ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n \sigma^n S_d(1)_0 \right](z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n z^n \mathcal{F}_d[S_d(1)_0](z) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_d[S_d(1)_0](z) \cdot \mathcal{F}_d[u](z) = \mathcal{S}_d(z) \cdot \mathcal{F}_d[u](z). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Since  $\Psi_+$  is unitary, if  $D_- \perp D_+$  then

$$S_d \ell_-^2 = S_d \Psi_-(D_-) = \Psi_+ \Psi_-^{-1} \Psi_-(D_-) = \Psi_+(D_-) \subset [\Psi_+(D_+)]^\perp = \ell_-^2,$$

from which (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) follows. If  $S_d \ell_-^2 \subset \ell_-^2$  then  $\Psi_+(D_-) = S_d \ell_-^2 \subset \ell_-^2 = [\Psi_+(D_+)]^\perp$ . Thus (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). (b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) follows from (ii). ■

By Lemma 3.1(ii), we also write  $\mathcal{S}_d(z)$  for the discrete scattering matrix with a slight notation abuse.

Suppose that the discrete scattering matrix decomposes as  $\mathcal{S}_d(z) = \mathcal{S}_{d1}(z)\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_{dj}(z)$  ( $j = 0, 1$ ) are unitary. Then the discrete scattering operator has the corresponding decomposition  $S_d = S_{d1}S_{d0}$  with  $S_{dj}$  ( $j = 0, 1$ ) unitary. Let us call  $S_{d0}$  (or  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$ ) *causal* if  $S_{d0}\ell_-^2 \subset \ell_-^2$ . For causal  $S_{d0}$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_d = \ell_-^2 \ominus S_{d0}\ell_-^2$  and  $K = \Psi_+^{-1}\mathcal{K}_d$ .

If  $S_{d0}$  is causal, then  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}$  is written as  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 s_n z^n$  by the same argument of Lemma 3.1(iii) and its proof. Set  $\beta_d = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\oplus -1} (s_n)_n$  and  $\delta_d = s_0$ . Thus  $S_{d0}(1)_0 = \beta_d \oplus (\delta_d)_0$ . Let  $P_{\ell_-^2}$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\ell^2$  onto  $\ell_-^2$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *Let*

$$\mathcal{X}_d = \text{cl span}\{(P_{\ell_-^2} \sigma)^n \beta_d; n \geq 0\} := \text{cl}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n (P_{\ell_-^2} \sigma)^n \beta_d; \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}, N < \infty\right\}.$$

*Then for causal  $S_{d0}$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{K}_d = P_{\ell_-^2} S_{d0} \ell_+^2 = \mathcal{X}_d.$$

*Proof.* We have  $\mathcal{K}_d \oplus S_{d0}\ell_-^2 \oplus \ell_+^2 = \ell^2$ . So, since  $S_{d0}$  is unitary,  $S_{d0}^{-1}\mathcal{K}_d \oplus \ell_-^2 \oplus S_{d0}^{-1}\ell_+^2 = S_{d0}^{-1}\ell^2 = \ell^2$ , from which we see  $S_{d0}^{-1}\mathcal{K}_d \oplus S_{d0}^{-1}\ell_+^2 = \ell_+^2$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{K}_d \oplus \ell_+^2 = S_{d0}\ell_+^2$ , which proves the first equality.

On the other hand,

$$P_{\ell_-^2} S_{d0} \ell_+^2 = \left\{ P_{\ell_-^2} S_{d0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus (\alpha_n)_n \right\} \quad \left( \text{for } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus (\alpha_n)_n \in \ell_+^2 \right)$$

$$= \left\{ P_{\ell_-^2} S_{d0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus \alpha_n \sigma^n (1)_0 \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ P_{\ell_-^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus \alpha_n \sigma^n S_{d0}(1)_0 \right\} \quad (\text{Lemma 3.1(i)})$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n (P_{\ell_-^2} \sigma)^n P_{\ell_-^2} S_{d0}(1)_0 \right\}$$

(since  $P_{\ell_-^2} \sigma^n = (P_{\ell_-^2} \sigma)^n P_{\ell_-^2}$ ,  $\forall n \geq 0$ )

$$\subset \text{cl span}\{(P_{\ell_-^2} \sigma)^n \beta_d; n \geq 0\} = \mathcal{X}_d.$$

We also see that  $\text{span}\{(P_{\ell_-} \sigma)^n \beta_d; n \geq 0\} \subset P_{\ell_-} S_{d_0} \ell_+^2$ . Since  $P_{\ell_-} S_{d_0} \ell_+^2 = \ell_-^2 \ominus S_{d_0} \ell_-^2$  is closed, we have  $\mathcal{X}_d \subset P_{\ell_-} S_{d_0} \ell_+^2$  by taking closure. Thus  $\mathcal{X}_d = P_{\ell_-} S_{d_0} \ell_+^2$ . This proves the second equality. ■

**THEOREM 3.3.** *There exist a bounded operator  $A_d: K \rightarrow K$ ,  $b_d, c_d \in K$  and  $d_d \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_{d_0}(z) = \langle (zI - A_d)^{-1} b_d, c_d \rangle_K + d_d$  for  $|z| > 1$ . Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_K$  is the restriction of the inner product on  $H$  to  $K$ . The function  $\mathcal{S}_{d_0}(z)$  is holomorphic in  $|z| > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{X}_d = \mathcal{K}_d$  is  $P_{\ell_-} \sigma$ -invariant by construction. Thus we can define  $\mathfrak{A}_d: \mathcal{K}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_d$  by  $\mathfrak{A}_d x = P_{\ell_-} \sigma x$ . Set  $\gamma_d = P_{\mathcal{K}_d}(1)_{-1}$ . Since  $\beta_d = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\oplus -1} (s_n)_n \in \mathcal{K}_d$ , we have, for  $n \leq -1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= \langle (P_{\ell_-} \sigma)^{-(n+1)} \beta_d, (1)_{-1} \rangle_{\ell^2} = \langle P_{\mathcal{K}_d} (P_{\ell_-} \sigma)^{-(n+1)} \beta_d, (1)_{-1} \rangle_{\ell^2} \\ &= \langle (P_{\ell_-} \sigma)^{-(n+1)} \beta_d, P_{\mathcal{K}_d}(1)_{-1} \rangle_{\ell^2} = \langle \mathfrak{A}_d^{-(n+1)} \beta_d, \gamma_d \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_d} \end{aligned}$$

( $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_d}$  is the restriction of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\ell^2}$  to  $\mathcal{K}_d$ ). Since  $\mathfrak{A}_d$  is obviously a contraction, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_d(z) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 s_n z^n = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \langle z^n \mathfrak{A}_d^{-(n+1)} \beta_d, \gamma_d \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_d} + \delta_d \\ &= \langle (zI - \mathfrak{A}_d)^{-1} \beta_d, \gamma_d \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_d} + \delta_d \end{aligned}$$

for  $|z| > 1$  and hence that  $\mathcal{S}_d(z)$  is holomorphic in  $|z| > 1$ . Since  $\Psi_+|_K: K \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_d$  is unitary, the weak resolvent form in the theorem can be obtained by setting  $A_d = (\Psi_+|_K)^{-1} \mathfrak{A}_d \Psi_+|_K$ ,  $b_d = (\Psi_+|_K)^{-1} \beta_d$ ,  $c_d = (\Psi_+|_K)^{-1} \gamma_d$  and  $d_d = \delta_d$ . This completes the proof. ■

**4. The continuous-time Lax–Phillips scattering system.** We now recall the continuous incoming and outgoing translation representations for continuous(-time) Lax–Phillips scattering systems. Let  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable complex-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} d\tau$  for  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , we define the Fourier transform (for the continuous case)  $\mathcal{F}_c: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(i\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_c[f](s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{s\tau} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad s \in i\mathbb{R}, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}.$$

Here  $L^2(i\mathbb{R})$  is the Hilbert space of square integrable complex-valued functions of  $i\mathbb{R}$  with the inner product  $\langle F, G \rangle_{L^2(i\mathbb{R})} = i^{-1} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} F(s) \overline{G(s)} ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(-i\xi) \overline{G(-i\xi)} d\xi$  for  $F, G \in L^2(i\mathbb{R})$ . The operator  $\mathcal{F}_c$  is unitary with

respect to these inner products and its inverse is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_c^{-1}[F](\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} e^{-\tau s} F(s) ds = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\tau\xi} F(-i\xi) d\xi.$$

Recall that  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  is the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on  $\mathbb{T}$  (see §3). Define the Cayley transform  $\mathcal{C}: L^2(i\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$  by

$$f(s) \mapsto \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{z+1} f\left(\frac{z-1}{z+1}\right).$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is unitary. Its inverse is given by

$$f(z) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(1-s)} f\left(\frac{1+s}{1-s}\right).$$

Now we define the continuous incoming spectral representation  $\Phi_-: H \rightarrow L^2(i\mathbb{R})$  for the continuous Lax-Phillips scattering system by  $\Phi_- = \mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_d\Psi_-$ . The continuous outgoing spectral representation  $\Phi_+: H \rightarrow L^2(i\mathbb{R})$  is defined by  $\Phi_+ = \mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_d\Psi_+$ . The continuous incoming and outgoing translation representations  $\mathcal{T}_-: H \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{T}_+: H \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  are defined respectively by  $\mathcal{T}_- = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\Phi_-$  and  $\mathcal{T}_+ = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\Phi_+$ .

We recall that  $H^2 \subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$  is the Hardy space ( $p = 2$ ) with the orthonormal basis  $\{z^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Let  $H_-^2$  be its orthogonal complement (the conjugate Hardy space) in  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  with the orthonormal basis  $\{z^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{-1}$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} H_-^2 \ni z^n &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{-1}} \frac{(1-s)^{|n|-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}(1+s)^{|n|}} && \text{for } n < 0, \\ H^2 \ni z^n &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{-1}} \frac{(1+s)^n}{\sqrt{\pi}(1-s)^{n+1}} && \text{for } n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now define a unitary operator  $\mathcal{G}: \ell^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_d$ . Then we see that  $\mathcal{G}(\ell_-^2) = L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\ell_+^2) = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_- = \mathcal{G}\Psi_-$  and  $\Psi_-(D_-) = \ell_-^2$ , we see that  $\mathcal{T}_-(D_-) = L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$ . Similarly, we have  $\mathcal{T}_+(D_+) = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

For the incoming representations, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L^2(\mathfrak{F}) \supset H = H & \xrightarrow{\Psi_-} & \ell^2 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_d} & L^2(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{-1}} & L^2(i\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_c^{-1}} & L^2(\mathbb{R}) \\ & & \downarrow V & & \downarrow z & & \downarrow \frac{1+s}{1-s} & & \downarrow \frac{1-d^\ell/d\tau}{1+d^\ell/d\tau} \\ L^2(\mathfrak{F}) \supset H = H & \xrightarrow{\Psi_-} & \ell^2 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_d} & L^2(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{-1}} & L^2(i\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_c^{-1}} & L^2(\mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

Here all the maps are unitary. By replacing  $\Psi_-$  by  $\Psi_+$ , we get a commutative diagram for the outgoing representations. From this we have similarities between generators  $\mathbf{L} \sim s \sim -d^\ell/d\tau$ . Here  $\sim$  means that two operators are similar, and  $d^\ell/d\tau$  is the left  $L^2$ -derivative. Thus we also have similarities

between one-parameter groups  $U(t) = e^{t\mathbf{L}} \sim e^{ts} \sim T(t)$ , where  $[T(t)f](\tau) = f(\tau - t)$ ,  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , for each of the incoming and outgoing representations. We therefore have  $\mathcal{T}_-U(t) = T(t)\mathcal{T}_-$  and  $\mathcal{T}_+U(t) = T(t)\mathcal{T}_+$ .

The (continuous) scattering operator  $S_c: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is defined to be  $S_c = \mathcal{T}_+\mathcal{T}_-^{-1}$ . It is obviously unitary. Now the operator  $S_c: L^2(i\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(i\mathbb{R})$  is defined to be  $S_c = \mathcal{F}_c S_c \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_c$  is unitary with respect to the above inner products,  $S_c$  is also unitary. From the definition we see that  $S_c = \mathcal{C}^{-1}S_d\mathcal{C}$ . Thus we can check that its action is multiplication by the complex-valued function  $S_c(s) = S_d(\frac{1+s}{1-s})$ ,  $s \in i\mathbb{R}$ . We call  $S_c(s)$  the (continuous) scattering matrix. Thus we also see that the action of  $S_c$  is realized as a convolution.

Summarizing all the incoming and outgoing representations, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 H & \xrightarrow{\Psi_-} & \ell^2 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_d} & L^2(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{-1}} & L^2(i\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_c^{-1}} & L^2(\mathbb{R}) \\
 \parallel & & S_d \downarrow & & S_d \downarrow & & S_c \downarrow & & S_c \downarrow \\
 H & \xrightarrow{\Psi_+} & \ell^2 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_d} & L^2(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{-1}} & L^2(i\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_c^{-1}} & L^2(\mathbb{R})
 \end{array}$$

Here all the maps are unitary.

Suppose that the scattering matrix decomposes as  $S_c(s) = S_{c1}(s)S_{c0}(s)$ , where  $S_{cj}(s)$  ( $j = 0, 1$ ) are unitary. Then equivalently  $S_c = S_{c1}S_{c0}$  with  $S_{cj}$  ( $j = 0, 1$ ) unitary. Let us say  $S_{c0}$  is causal if  $S_{c0}L^2(\mathbb{R}_-) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$ . This is equivalent to  $S_{d0}\ell^2_- \subset \ell^2_-$  for  $S_{d0} = \mathcal{G}^{-1}S_{c0}\mathcal{G}$ .

For the above  $S_{c0}$ , let  $K_c = L^2(\mathbb{R}_-) \ominus S_{c0}L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{T}_+^{-1}K_c = K$  ( $= \Psi_+^{-1}K_d$ ), defined in §3.

Let  $P_K$  be the orthogonal projection of  $H$  onto  $K$ . Define the Lax–Phillips semigroup  $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  by  $Z(t) = P_K U(t)|_K$  for  $t \geq 0$ , and let  $A_c$  be its infinitesimal generator; that is,  $A_c k = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} t^{-1}(P_K U(t) - I)k$  with  $\text{dom}(A_c) \subset K$  consisting of those  $k \in K$  for which the above limit exists. Note that  $A_c = P_K \mathbf{L}|_K$  and  $\text{dom}(A_c) = \text{dom}(\mathbf{L}|_K) = \text{dom}(\mathbf{L}) \cap K$ . Since  $Z(t)$  is strongly continuous (because  $U(t)$  is),  $\text{dom}(A_c)$  is dense in  $K$  (see e.g. [LP3, App. 1]).

Note that if  $S_{c1} = 1$  and  $S_{c0} = S_c$  itself is causal then  $D_- \perp D_+$  and  $K = H \ominus (D_- \oplus D_+)$  by Lemma 3.1(iii), and  $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  coincides with the original Lax–Phillips semigroup.

Now we go back to the continuous Lax–Phillips automorphic scattering system for  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  described in §2. If  $D_{\pm} = D''_{\pm}$ , then the scattering matrix is

$$S_c(s) = - \left( \frac{s - 1/2}{s + 1/2} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(s)\zeta(2s)}{\Gamma(s + 1/2)\zeta(2s + 1)} = - \frac{s - 1/2}{s + 1/2} \frac{\xi(2s)}{\xi(-2s)},$$

where  $\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s - 1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)$ . In [LP1] it is denoted

by  $\mathcal{S}''(z) = \mathcal{S}_c(iz)$ .  $\xi(s)$  is an entire function, and its zeros are non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$ . So  $\xi(2s)/\xi(-2s)$  has non-real poles in  $-1/2 < \Re s < 0$ . The Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to saying that all the poles (or zeros) of  $\xi(2s)/\xi(-2s)$  lie on  $\Re s = -1/4$  (or  $1/4$ ). The case of  $D_{\pm} = D'_{\pm}$  will be treated in §5.

It is easy to see that  $K''$  in [LP1] is given by  $\mathcal{T}_+^{-1}(L^2(\mathbb{R}_-) \ominus S_c L^2(\mathbb{R}_-))$  since  $S_c$  itself is causal. The corresponding  $Z(t)$  and  $A_c$  are denoted by  $Z''(t)$  and  $B''$  respectively in [LP1]. It has been shown in [LP1, Th. 6.17] that  $(sI - B'')^{-1}$  is meromorphic in the whole complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Throughout the rest of this paper, let

$$S_{c0} = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1} \frac{\xi(2s)}{\xi(-2s)} \mathcal{F}_c.$$

For this  $S_{c0}$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_c = L^2(\mathbb{R}_-) \ominus S_{c0} L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$  and  $K = \mathcal{T}_+^{-1} \mathcal{K}_c$ .

For  $A_d: K \rightarrow K$  obtained in Theorem 3.3, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1.

- (i)  $(zI - A_d)^{-1}$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \{-1\}$ .
- (ii)  $(zI - A_d)^{-1}$  has a pole of order  $m(z_0)$  at  $z_0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  has a pole of order  $m(z_0)$  at  $z_0$ .
- (iii)  $\sigma(A_d) \setminus \{-1\}$  consists only of the poles of the resolvent (thus the eigenvalues) of  $A_d$ . The closure of the set of finite linear combinations of generalized eigenvectors (i.e., the vectors from the subspaces  $\text{Ker}(z_0 I - A_d)^{m(z_0)}$  with aforementioned poles  $z_0$ ) is  $K$ .

*Proof.* Recall the Hadamard product formula (e.g. [Pat, p. 34])

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\varrho_n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{s}{\bar{\varrho}_n}\right),$$

the product being absolutely convergent for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here  $\varrho_n, 0 < \Re \varrho_n < 1, \Im \varrho_n > 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , are the non-trivial zeros counted with multiplicities of the Riemann zeta-function in  $\{s; \Im s \geq 0\}$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{S}_{c0}(s) = \frac{\xi(2s)}{\xi(-2s)} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - 2s/\varrho_n)(1 - 2s/\bar{\varrho}_n)}{(1 + 2s/\varrho_n)(1 + 2s/\bar{\varrho}_n)}.$$

However, it is easy to check that if  $\lambda = \varrho_n/2$  then

$$\frac{(1 - s/\lambda)(1 - s/\bar{\lambda})}{(1 + s/\lambda)(1 + s/\bar{\lambda})} = \frac{|\alpha|}{\alpha} \frac{\alpha - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z} \cdot \frac{|\bar{\alpha}|}{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\bar{\alpha} - z}{1 - \alpha z},$$

where

$$s = \frac{z^{-1} - 1}{z^{-1} + 1} = \frac{1 - z}{1 + z}, \quad \alpha = \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} \in \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}.$$

Since  $\overline{\xi(\bar{s})} = \xi(s)$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{c0}(s)\overline{\mathcal{S}_{c0}(s)} = 1$  for all  $s \in i\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z^{-1}) \in H^\infty$  and is inner. Since the decomposition of an inner function into Blaschke and singular parts is unique,

$$\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z^{-1}) = \mathcal{S}_{c0}\left(\frac{z^{-1} - 1}{z^{-1} + 1}\right) = \mathcal{S}_{c0}\left(\frac{1 - z}{1 + z}\right)$$

consists only of the Blaschke product.

$\mathcal{K}_d = \ell^2 \ominus \mathcal{S}_{d0}\ell^2$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $M_d := H^2 \ominus \mathcal{S}_{d0}(z^{-1})H^2$  via  $u \mapsto z^{-1}\mathcal{F}_d[u](z^{-1})$ . It is known that  $M_d \subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$  is  $P_{H^2}z^{-1}$ -invariant (e.g. Radjavi and Rosenthal [RaRo], Nikol'skiĭ [N]). Let  $\alpha_d := P_{H^2}z^{-1}|_{M_d} = P_{M_d}z^{-1}|_{M_d}$ . Then we have  $A_d \sim \mathfrak{A}_d = P_{\mathcal{K}_d}\sigma|_{\mathcal{K}_d} \sim \alpha_d$ . ( $\mathfrak{A}_d$  is defined in the proof of Theorem 3.3.)

It is known that  $z_0 \in \sigma(\alpha_d)$  if and only if  $\bar{z}_0$  is a zero of order  $m_0$  of the Blaschke product  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z^{-1})$ , and in that case  $\dim \text{Ker}(z_0 - \alpha_d)^{m_0} = \dim \text{span}\{(1 - z_0z)^{-\nu}; 1 \leq \nu \leq m_0\} = m_0$ , which is the algebraic multiplicity and the Riesz index (e.g. Theorem 3.14 of [RaRo] and its proof). The operator  $\alpha_d$  is bounded and  $\sigma(\alpha_d)$  accumulates at  $-1$ . So it is easy to see that  $(z - \alpha_d)^{-1}$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \{-1\}$ , and that  $z_0$  is a pole of order  $m_0$  of  $(z - \alpha_d)^{-1}$  if and only if  $z_0$  is a pole of order  $m_0$  of  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$ . It is known that the generalized eigenvectors of  $\alpha_d$  span  $M_d$  densely if a singular part does not exist (see Nikol'skiĭ [N, p. 83]). Thus the proof is complete. ■

From the above proof, we see that the dimension of  $K''$  in [LP1] is 1 greater than that of our  $K$ . The main result of this section is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 4.2.**

- (i)  $(sI - A_c)^{-1}$  is meromorphic in the whole complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- (ii)  $(sI - A_c)^{-1}$  has a pole of order  $m(s_0)$  at  $s_0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{S}_{c0}(s)$  has a pole of order  $m(s_0)$  at  $s_0$ .
- (iii) The closure of the set of finite linear combinations of generalized eigenvectors (i.e., the vectors from  $\text{Ker}(s_0I - A_c)^{m(s_0)}$  with aforementioned poles  $s_0$ ) is  $K$ .

From (i) of the above theorem, we also see that  $(sI - B'')^{-1}$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  since  $B''$  acts on a space of dimension only 1 greater than that of  $K$ . To prove this theorem, we need (i) of the following lemma. (ii) will be used in §6.

**LEMMA 4.3.**

- (i)  $(sI - A_c)^{-1}$  has a pole of order  $m$  at  $s_0 = (z_0 - 1)/(z_0 + 1) \neq \infty$  if and only if  $(zI - A_d)^{-1}$  has a pole of order  $m$  at  $z_0 \neq -1$ .
- (ii)  $\sigma_c(A_d) = \{-1\}$ , that is,  $-1$  is in the continuous spectrum of  $A_d$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $V = (I + \mathbf{L})(I - \mathbf{L})^{-1}$ . From this  $V(I - \mathbf{L}) = I + \mathbf{L}$  on  $\text{dom}(\mathbf{L})$ , and so

$$P_K V|_K - P_K V \mathbf{L}|_K = I_K + P_K \mathbf{L}|_K \quad \text{on } \text{dom}(\mathbf{L}|_K).$$

Let  $L_1$  be the infinitesimal generator of  $T(t)$ . Recall  $L_1 = -d^\ell/d\tau$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = (I + L_1)(I - L_1)^{-1}$ , which is a unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  since  $L_1 \sim \mathbf{L}$ . First note that  $\mathcal{V}L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  [this follows from  $\mathcal{V} \sim \sigma$ ,  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ell_+^2$  ( $\xrightarrow{\sim}$  denotes isometric isomorphism) and  $\sigma\ell_+^2 \subset \ell_+^2$ ] and  $\mathcal{K}_c \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$ . Hence we have

$$P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} L_1 k = P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} L_1 k + P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} L_1 k = P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} L_1 k$$

for  $k \in \text{dom}(L_1)$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{K}_c = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{K}_d$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_d = \ell_-^2 \ominus S_{d0}\ell_-^2$  for  $S_{d0} = \mathcal{G}^{-1}S_{c0}\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_d: \ell^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . However,  $\mathcal{K}_d = P_{\ell_-^2} S_{d0} \ell_+^2$  (Lemma 3.2). If  $y = P_{\ell_-^2} x$  for some  $x \in \ell^2$  then  $\mathcal{G}y = P_{\mathcal{G}\ell_-^2} \mathcal{G}x = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} \mathcal{G}x$  since  $\mathcal{G}$  is unitary. Thus

$$\mathcal{G}P_{\ell_-^2} S_{d0} \ell_+^2 = P_{\mathcal{G}\ell_-^2} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G}^{-1}S_{c0}\mathcal{G})\ell_+^2 = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Hence we have  $\mathcal{K}_c = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

So any  $f \in \mathcal{K}_c$  can be written as  $f = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} g$  for some  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Since  $T(t)$  and  $S_{c0}$  carry over to multiplication by  $e^{ts}$  and  $S_{c0}(s)$  respectively in the Fourier transforms, they commute:  $T(t)S_{c0}g = S_{c0}T(t)g$ . So, since  $T(t)g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  for  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} T(t) P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} g = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} T(t) S_{c0} g = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} T(t) g \in \mathcal{K}_c.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{K}_c$  is  $P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} T(t)$ -invariant for  $t \geq 0$ .

Therefore, since  $\mathcal{K}_c$  is closed, for  $k \in \text{dom}(L_1|_{\mathcal{K}_c})$  we have

$$P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} L_1 k = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} \frac{1}{t} (T(t)k - k) \in \mathcal{K}_c.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} P_{\mathcal{K}_c} L_1 k &= P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} P_{\mathcal{K}_c} P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} L_1 k + P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} P_{\mathcal{K}_c} P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} L_1 k \\ &= P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} P_{\mathcal{K}_c} P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} L_1 k = P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} L_1 k = P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V} L_1 k. \end{aligned}$$

Going back to  $\mathbf{L}$ , this means that  $P_K V \mathbf{L} k = P_K V P_K \mathbf{L} k$  for  $k \in \text{dom}(\mathbf{L}|_K)$ . Therefore we have  $P_K V \mathbf{L}|_K = P_K V|_K P_K \mathbf{L}|_K$ . Since  $V \sim \sigma$  and  $K \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}_d$ , we have  $A_d = P_K V|_K$ . Consequently,  $A_d - A_d A_c = I + A_c$  on  $\text{dom}(A_c) \subset K$ .

Since  $Z(t)$  is a contraction for all  $t \geq 0$ , the spectrum  $\sigma(A_c)$  of the generator  $A_c$  is contained in the left half-plane (see e.g. [LP3, App. 1]). Thus  $1 \notin \sigma(A_c)$ . Since for  $z = (1 + s)/(1 - s)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (zI - A_d)^{-1} &= \frac{1 - s}{2} (I - A_c)(sI - A_c)^{-1} = \frac{1}{z + 1} (I - A_c)(sI - A_c)^{-1}, \\ \frac{1 - s}{2} \frac{1}{(s - s_0)^m} &= 2^{-m} (z_0 + 1)^m \frac{[(z - z_0) + (z_0 + 1)]^{m-1}}{(z - z_0)^m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_0 = (1 + s_0)/(1 - s_0)$ , and  $I - A_c$  has a bounded inverse  $\frac{1}{2}(I + A_d)$  on  $K$ , it follows that  $(zI - A_d)^{-1}$  has a pole of order  $m$  at  $z_0 = (1 + s_0)/(1 - s_0) \neq \infty, -1$  if and only if  $(sI - A_c)^{-1}$  has a pole of order  $m$  at  $s_0 \neq 1, \infty$ . Note that  $z = \infty$  (resp.  $s = 1$ ) is not a singular point of the resolvent of  $A_d$  (resp.  $A_c$ ). This completes the proof of (i). Since  $I + A_d = 2(I - A_c)^{-1}$ , we see that  $I + A_d$  is one-to-one,  $\text{cl}[\text{Im}(I + A_d)] = K$  but  $\text{Im}(I + A_d) \neq K$ . Thus  $-1$  is in the continuous spectrum of  $A_d$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* (i) follows from Lemma 4.1(i) and Lemma 4.3(i) and its proof. Given that  $(zI - A_d)^{-1}$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \{-1\}$ , it can be seen that the equality  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z) = \langle (zI - A_d)^{-1}b_d, c_d \rangle_K + d_d$  for  $|z| > 1$  (Theorem 3.3) extends uniquely to  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \{-1\}$  as a meromorphic function. It is clear that  $\mathcal{S}_{c0}(s)$  has a pole of order  $m$  at  $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  has a pole of order  $m$  at  $z_0 = (1 + s_0)/(1 - s_0)$ . Thus (ii) follows from Lemma 4.1(ii) and Lemma 4.3(i).

By Theorem 4.2(i),  $s_0 \in \sigma(A_c)$  if and only if  $s_0$  is a pole of  $(sI - A_c)^{-1}$ . So each  $s_0 \in \sigma(A_c)$  is isolated. Since  $A_c \sim -P_{\mathcal{K}_c} d^\ell / d\tau|_{\mathcal{K}_c}$ , it is easy to see that  $A_c$  is a closed operator. So we can use the theorem of Gohberg, Goldberg and Kaashoek [GGK, Th. XV.2.1] (see also [U3, Th. 3.1]) to get  $A_c = \text{Diag}(A_c(s_0), A_c(\tau))$ , where  $A_c(s_0)$  (bounded) is the Riesz projection  $(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_\Gamma (sI - A_c)^{-1} ds$ , where the path of integration  $\Gamma$  is a small circle about  $s_0$  containing no other spectral point of  $A_c$ , and  $\sigma(A_c(\tau)) = \tau := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{s_0\}$ . Let  $A_d(z_0) = [I + A_c(s_0)][I - A_c(s_0)]^{-1}$ . Note that

$$z_0 I - A_d = \frac{2}{1 - s_0} (s_0 I - A_c)(I - A_c)^{-1}, \quad 1 - s_0 \neq 0.$$

Since  $[s_0 I - A_c(s_0)][I - A_c(s_0)]^{-1} = [I - A_c(s_0)]^{-1}[s_0 I - A_c(s_0)]$ , we see that  $[z_0 I - A_d(z_0)]^m x = 0$  if and only if  $[s_0 I - A_c(s_0)]^m x = 0$ ,  $m \geq 0$ . This together with Lemma 4.1(iii) proves (iii). ■

The operator  $A_c = P_K \mathbf{L}|_K$  has the following properties, where  $I_K$  stands for the identity operator on  $K$ .

**THEOREM 4.4.**

- (i) *The resolvent of  $-2A_c$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$ . So the spectrum of  $-2A_c$  consists of eigenvalues of finite algebraic multiplicities.*
- (ii)  *$s_0$  is a non-trivial zero of multiplicity  $m_0$  of  $\zeta(s)$  if and only if  $s_0$  is an eigenvalue of algebraic multiplicity  $m_0$  of  $-2A_c$ . The set of the generalized eigenvectors corresponding to each eigenvalue is a basis of  $K$ .*
- (iii) *The spectrum of  $2A_c + \frac{1}{2}I_K$  consists of eigenvalues on the imaginary axis if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true.*
- (iv) *The algebraic multiplicity of any eigenvalue of  $2A_c + \frac{1}{2}I_K$  is one if and only if all the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  are simple.*

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{S}_{c0}(s)$  has non-real poles in  $\{s; -1/2 < \Re s < 0\}$  which correspond one-to-one, counted with multiplicities, to the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ . The critical line  $\Re s = 1/2$  of  $\zeta(s)$  corresponds to the line  $\Re s = -1/4$ . Hence assertions (i)–(iv) are immediate consequences of Theorem 4.2(i)–(iii). ■

**5. An operator model for  $A_c$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$ .** In [LP2] Lax and Phillips obtained explicit formulas for translation representations for the continuous scattering system in §2 with  $H = H'_c$  and  $D_{\pm} = D'_{\pm}$ . In this section, using their representations, we give expressions as explicit as possible for  $\mathcal{K}_c$  defined in §4.

Let  $u(x, y, t)$  be the solution to the non-Euclidean wave equation with automorphic initial data  $f = \{f_1, f_2\}$ :

$$u_{tt} = Lu, \quad u(0) = f_1, \quad u_t(0) = f_2.$$

Here  $f = \{f_1, f_2\}$  is defined on  $\mathfrak{H}$  with finite  $G$ -norm. The solution  $u(x, y, t)$  is periodic in  $x$  with period 1 for all  $y > 0$ . Thus the zero Fourier coefficient  $u^{(0)}(y, t) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} u(x, y, t) dx$ ,  $0 < y < \infty$ , satisfies the equation

$$u_{tt}^{(0)} = y^2 u_{yy}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{4} u^{(0)} \quad \text{for all } y > 0.$$

The change of variables  $\tau = \log y$ ,  $v = v(\tau, t) = u^{(0)}/\sqrt{y}$  transforms the non-Euclidean wave equation into the classical wave equation  $v_{tt} = v_{\tau\tau}$ . The initial data goes over into

$$v(\tau, 0) = e^{-\tau/2} f_1^{(0)}(e^{\tau}) \quad \text{and} \quad v_t(\tau, 0) = e^{-\tau/2} f_2^{(0)}(e^{\tau}),$$

where

$$f_i^{(0)}(y) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f_i(x, y) dx, \quad 0 < y < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Since  $v_{\tau} + v_t$  (resp.  $v_{\tau} - v_t$ ) is a function of  $\tau + t$  (resp.  $\tau - t$ ), it can be shown that  $T_- : H'_c \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_- f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [v_{\tau}(-\tau, 0) + v_t(-\tau, 0)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [-\partial_{\tau}(v(-\tau, 0)) + v_t(-\tau, 0)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\partial_{\tau}(e^{\tau/2} f_1^{(0)}(e^{-\tau})) - e^{\tau/2} f_2^{(0)}(e^{-\tau})], \quad -\infty < \tau < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for the initial data  $f = \{f_1, f_2\}$  is an incoming translation representation. Here  $v_{\tau}(-\tau, 0) = (\partial_{\tau} v)(-\tau, 0) = (\partial v / \partial \tau)(-\tau, 0)$ . Similarly, for  $f = \{f_1, f_2\}$ ,

$$T_+ f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [v_{\tau}(\tau, 0) - v_t(\tau, 0)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\partial_{\tau}(e^{-\tau/2} f_1^{(0)}(e^{\tau})) - e^{-\tau/2} f_2^{(0)}(e^{\tau})]$$

for  $-\infty < \tau < \infty$  defines an outgoing translation representation  $T_+ : H'_c \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Given  $k_- \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $h = T_-^{-1}k_- \in H'_c$  is obtained as follows: Set

$$g_0(w) = \{y^{1/2}\phi(y), y^{3/2}\phi'(y)\}, \quad y = \Im w,$$

where

$$\phi(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\log y} k_-(-\sigma) d\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\log y}^{\infty} k_-(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then define

$$g(w) = \sum_{\Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} g_0(\gamma w).$$

Lastly project  $g$  onto  $H'_E$ :  $h = P_{H'_E}g$ . Then  $h \in H'_c$ . Similarly, given  $k_+$  in  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $h = T_+^{-1}k_+ \in H'_c$  is obtained as follows: Set

$$g_0(w) = \{y^{1/2}\phi(y), -y^{3/2}\phi'(y)\}, \quad y = \Im w,$$

where

$$\phi(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\log y} k_+(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then define

$$g(w) = \sum_{\Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} g_0(\gamma w).$$

Lastly project  $g$  onto  $H'_E$ :  $h = P_{H'_E}g$ . Then  $h \in H'_c$ .

Note that in the last step of inversion of  $T_\pm$  into an element  $h \in H'_c$ , it suffices to project  $g$  onto  $H'_E = H'_G$ , not onto  $H'_c$ . See p. 277 of [LP2]. Thanks to this, we do not need any knowledge about the cusp forms  $\psi_j$  and their eigenvalues.

For the above two translation representations  $T_-: H'_c \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T_+: H'_c \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , one can define the scattering operator  $S_c: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by  $S_c = T_+T_-^{-1}$ . The corresponding scattering matrix  $\mathcal{S}_c(s)$  (denoted by  $\mathcal{S}'(z) = \mathcal{S}_c(s)$ ,  $s = iz$  in [LP3]) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_c(s) &= -\frac{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(s)\zeta(2s)}{\Gamma(s+1/2)\zeta(2s+1)} = \mathcal{S}_{c0}(s)\mathcal{S}_{c1}(s), \\ \mathcal{S}_{c0}(s) &= \frac{\xi(2s)}{\xi(-2s)}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{c1}(s) = \frac{1/2+s}{1/2-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Note  $\mathcal{S}_{c1}(s)$  is different from that in §4.

Take  $e_n = e_n(\tau) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , that span  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  densely; e.g., we can choose  $e_n = e_n(\tau) = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_d[(1)_n] = \mathcal{G}[(1)_n] = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{-1}[z^n] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Hence by Lemma 3.2,  $\kappa_n = \kappa_n(\tau) = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)}S_{c0}e_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , span  $\mathcal{K}_c$ . Note that  $\kappa_n = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)}S_c c_n = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)}T_+T_-^{-1}c_n = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)}T_+h_n$ , where we

set  $c_n = S_{c1}^{-1}e_n$  and  $h_n = T_-^{-1}c_n$ . Since

$$e_n(\tau) = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{-1}[z^n] = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\left[\frac{(1+s)^n}{\sqrt{\pi}(1-s)^{n+1}}\right] \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

$e_n$  is a finite linear combination of

$$\mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\left[\frac{k!}{(1-s)^{k+1}}\right] = \begin{cases} \tau^k e^{-\tau} & (\tau > 0), \\ 0 & (\tau \leq 0), \end{cases}$$

$0 \leq k \leq n$ . From  $e_n$ ,  $c_n$  is easy to calculate:  $c_n(\tau) = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}[\mathcal{S}_{c1}(s)^{-1}\mathcal{F}_c[e_n]]$ . Note that

$$\mathcal{F}_c^{-1}[\mathcal{S}_{c1}(s)^{-1}] = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s+1/2} - 1\right] = \sqrt{2\pi}(w(\tau) - \delta(\tau)),$$

where  $\delta(\tau)$  is the Dirac function and

$$w(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\tau > 0), \\ e^{\tau/2} & (\tau \leq 0). \end{cases}$$

Using this as an integral kernel, we have

$$c_n(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e_n(\tau - \sigma)w(\sigma) d\sigma - \sqrt{2}e_n(\tau).$$

To obtain  $h_n = T_-^{-1}c_n \in H'_c$ , we start with a solution of the wave equation given by  $u_{0,n}(w, t) = y^{1/2}\phi_n(ye^t)$  for

$$\phi_n(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\log y}^{\infty} c_n(\tau) d\tau.$$

To make this solution automorphic, we sum over the right cosets  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma$  as in [LP2, p. 186]:

$$u_n(w, t) = u_{0,n}(w, t) + \sum_{\substack{(c,d)=1 \\ 0 \leq d < c}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{y}{\{c(x+m)+d\}^2 + c^2y^2} \right]^{1/2} \phi_n\left( \frac{ye^t}{\{c(x+m)+d\}^2 + c^2y^2} \right).$$

Hence we have

$$g_n = g_n(w) = \{g_{n,1}(w), g_{n,2}(w)\} = \{u_n(w, 0), (\partial_t u_n)(w, 0)\}$$

and

$$h_n = P_{H'_E} g_n = g_n - \frac{E(g_n, p)}{E(p, p)} p - \frac{E(g_n, q)}{E(q, q)} q.$$

We recall that  $p = \{1, 0\}$ ,  $q = \{0, 1\}$  span  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $E(p, q) = 0$ . Here

$$E(g_n, p) = -\frac{1}{4} \langle g_{n,1}, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})}, \quad E(p, p) = -\frac{\pi}{12},$$

$$E(g_n, q) = \langle g_{n,2}, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})}, \quad E(q, q) = \frac{\pi}{3}.$$

Pulling back  $\kappa_n = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)}T_+h_n = P_{\mathcal{K}_c}T_+h_n \in \mathcal{K}_c$  to  $K \subset H'_c$ , we see that  $P_K h_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) span  $K$ .

To get  $g_n^{(0)} = g_n^{(0)}(y) = \{g_{n,1}^{(0)}(y), g_{n,2}^{(0)}(y)\}$ , note that  $u_n^{(0)}(y, t) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} u_n(x, y, t) dx$  is obtained as in [LP2, p. 187]:

$$u_n^{(0)}(y, t) = y^{1/2} \phi_n(ye^t) + y^{1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(m)}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \phi_n\left(\frac{e^t}{ym^2(r^2 + 1)}\right) dr.$$

Here  $E$  is the Euler function,  $E(m) = \sum_{(c,m)=1} 1$ . Thus

$$g_{n,1}^{(0)}(y) = y^{1/2} \phi_n(y) + y^{1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(m)}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \phi_n\left(\frac{1}{ym^2(r^2 + 1)}\right) dr$$

$$g_{n,2}^{(0)}(y) = y^{3/2} \phi_n(y) + y^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(m)}{m^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r^2 + 1)^{3/2}} \phi'_n\left(\frac{1}{ym^2(r^2 + 1)}\right) dr.$$

Hence

$$h_n^{(0)} = g_n^{(0)} - \frac{E(g_n, p)}{E(p, p)} p^{(0)} - \frac{E(g_n, q)}{E(q, q)} q^{(0)}.$$

Note that  $p = p^{(0)}$ ,  $q = q^{(0)}$ . Now applying the outgoing representation, using this  $h_n^{(0)}$ , we obtain  $\kappa_n = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)}T_+h_n$ . This construction is summarized in the following theorem. We recall that  $d^\ell/d\tau$  is the left  $L^2$ -derivative (see §4).

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Using the aforementioned  $h_n^{(0)}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_c \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$  can be expressed as the closure of the span of*

$$\kappa_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \frac{d}{d\tau} (e^{-\tau/2} h_{n,1}^{(0)}(e^\tau)) - e^{-\tau/2} h_{n,2}^{(0)}(e^\tau) \right] \quad (-\infty < \tau \leq 0), \quad n \geq 0.$$

*The operator  $-d^\ell/d\tau$  restricted to  $\mathcal{K}_c$  satisfies all the spectral properties in Theorems 4.2 and 4.4, which  $A_c$  satisfies.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{K}_c$  is  $P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)}T(t)$ -invariant for  $t \geq 0$ , as we saw in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we infer that

$$P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \left( -\frac{d^\ell}{d\tau} \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{K}_c} = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} \left( -\frac{d^\ell}{d\tau} \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{K}_c} = -\frac{d^\ell}{d\tau} \Big|_{\mathcal{K}_c}.$$

Since this operator is similar to  $A_c$  on  $K$ , the remaining assertions follow from Theorems 4.2 and 4.4. ■

**6. Cyclic conditions for weak resolvent decomposition.** First we recall the notion of a cyclic vector for a bounded operator.

DEFINITION 6.1. Let  $X$  be a separable complex Hilbert space. Let  $A: X \rightarrow X$  be a bounded operator on  $X$  and  $b \in X$ . We say that  $b$  is *cyclic* for  $A$  if  $X = \text{cl span}\{A^n b; n \geq 0\}$ .

LEMMA 6.2. For  $A_d: K \rightarrow K$  and  $b_d, c_d \in K$  as in Theorem 3.3, the following properties hold;

- (i)  $b_d$  is cyclic for  $A_d$ .
- (ii)  $c_d$  is cyclic for  $A_d^*$ . Here  $A_d^*$  denotes the adjoint operator of  $A_d$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\beta_d$  is obviously cyclic for  $\mathfrak{A}_d$  (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.3) by definition. (See Lemma 3.2.) The assertion readily follows from this.

(ii) It suffices to show that  $\gamma_d$  is cyclic for  $\mathfrak{A}_d^*$ . Suppose that there exists a  $\xi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\oplus-1} (\xi_n)_n \in \mathcal{K}_d \subset \ell_-^2$  such that  $\langle \xi, \mathfrak{A}_d^{*k} \gamma_d \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_d} = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Then, since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, \mathfrak{A}_d^{*k} \gamma_d \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_d} &= \langle \mathfrak{A}_d^k \xi, \gamma_d \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_d} = \langle \mathfrak{A}_d^k \xi, P_{\mathcal{K}_d}(1)_{-1} \rangle_{\ell^2} \\ &= \langle P_{\mathcal{K}_d} \mathfrak{A}_d^k \xi, (1)_{-1} \rangle_{\ell^2} = \xi_{-(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $\xi = 0$ . This completes the proof. ■

Recall that  $\mathcal{V} = (I + L_1)(I - L_1)^{-1}$  is a unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for  $L_1 = -d^\ell/d\tau$ . Note that

$$\mathfrak{A}_d = P_{\ell_-^2} \sigma|_{\mathcal{K}_d} = P_{\mathcal{K}_d} \sigma|_{\mathcal{K}_d} \sim P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} \mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{K}_c} = P_{\mathcal{K}_c} \mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{K}_c} =: F_{cd}.$$

Let  $\beta_c := \mathcal{G}(\beta_d) = \mathcal{G}[P_{\ell_-^2} S_{d0}(1)_0] = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} e_0$ , where  $e_0 = \mathcal{G}[(1)_0]$ . Then since  $(P_{\ell_-^2} \sigma)^n P_{\ell_-^2} = P_{\ell_-^2} \sigma^n$ ,  $\sigma^n S_d = S_d \sigma^n$  and  $\sigma^n(1)_0 = (1)_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{cd}^n \beta_c &= (P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} \mathcal{V})^n P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} e_0 = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} \mathcal{V}^n S_{c0} e_0 \\ &= P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} \mathcal{V}^n e_0 = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} e_n = P_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)} S_{c0} e_n = \kappa_n. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\gamma_c = \mathcal{G}[P_{\mathcal{K}_d}(1)_{-1}] = P_{\mathcal{K}_c} e_{-1}$  and  $\delta_c = \delta_d (= d_d)$ . (See the proof of Theorem 3.3.) Here

$$e_{-1} = \mathcal{G}[(1)_{-1}] = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1} \mathcal{C}^{-1}[z^{-1}] = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(1+s)} \right] = \begin{cases} 0 & (\tau > 0), \\ e^\tau / \sqrt{\pi} & (\tau \leq 0). \end{cases}$$

Then we see from the proof of Theorem 3.3 that  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z) = \langle (zI - F_{cd})^{-1} \beta_c, \gamma_c \rangle + \delta_c$ . Let  $c: \mathcal{K}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined by  $cx = \langle x, \gamma_c \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ , and until the end of this paper let

$$A = F_{cd}, \quad b = \beta_c, \quad d = \delta_c.$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z) = c(zI - A)^{-1} b + d$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{S}_{d2}(z) = 1/(z - z_0)$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{S}_{d2}(z) \mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  is expressed as a weak resolvent of an augmented operator  $A_{\text{aug}}$  of  $A$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_{d2}(z) \mathcal{S}_{d0}(z) = c_{\text{aug}}(zI - A_{\text{aug}})^{-1} b_{\text{aug}},$$

where

$$A_{\text{aug}} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ c & z_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_{\text{aug}} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_{\text{aug}} = [0 \ 1],$$

$$X_{\text{aug}} = \mathcal{K}_c \oplus \mathbb{C}, \quad A_{\text{aug}}: X_{\text{aug}} \rightarrow X_{\text{aug}}, \quad b_{\text{aug}} \in X_{\text{aug}}, \quad c_{\text{aug}}: X_{\text{aug}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

This construction has a dynamical system theoretic interpretation, namely a cascade connection of two dynamical systems (see e.g. [U3]).

Note that the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line  $\Re s = 1/2$  correspond to the zeros of the discrete scattering matrix

$$\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z) = \frac{\xi(2s)}{\xi(-2s)} \Big|_{s=\frac{z-1}{z+1}} \quad \text{on} \quad C_z = \left\{ z; z = \frac{1+s}{1-s}, \Re s = 1/4 \right\},$$

and that the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in the critical strip  $0 < \Re s < 1$  correspond to the zeros of  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  in

$$S_z = \{z; z = (1+s)/(1-s), 0 < \Re s < 1/2\}.$$

Thus the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  has no zero in  $S_z \setminus C_z$ .

We can restate the Riemann hypothesis in terms of cyclicity as follows.

**THEOREM 6.3.**

- (i) For a given parameter  $z_0 \in S_z \setminus C_z$ , construct  $(A_{\text{aug}}, b_{\text{aug}})$  as above. Then  $z_0$  is not a zero of  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  if and only if  $b_{\text{aug}}$  is cyclic for  $A_{\text{aug}}$ .
- (ii) The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if for each  $z_0 \in S_z \setminus C_z$ ,  $b_{\text{aug}}$  is cyclic for  $A_{\text{aug}}$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $\sigma(A_{\text{aug}}) = \sigma_p(A_{\text{aug}}) \cup \{-1\}$  and  $\sigma_p(A_{\text{aug}}) = \{z_0\} \cup \sigma_p(A)$ . Here  $\sigma_p(A_{\text{aug}})$  consists of the poles of  $(zI - A_{\text{aug}})^{-1}$ , and  $-1$  is in the continuous spectrum of  $A_{\text{aug}}$  arising from the continuous spectrum of  $A \sim A_d$  (see Lemma 4.3(ii)).

Note that for a fixed  $z_0 \in S_z \setminus C_z$ , zero-pole cancellation between  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{d2}(z)$  may occur only at  $z_0$  by construction. So it is easily seen that  $z_0$  is not a zero of  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$  if and only if zero-pole cancellation does not occur at any spectral point  $z_1$  in  $\sigma_p(A) \cup \{z_0\}$ .

Now it is known [U3, Theorem 4.8, Lemmas 3.3 and 3.7] that such zero-pole cancellation at  $z_1$  does not occur if and only if  $b_{\text{aug}}$  is cyclic for  $A_{\text{aug}}$  and  $c_{\text{aug}}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is cyclic for  $A_{\text{aug}}^*$ , when restricted to the generalized eigenspace corresponding to the spectrum point  $z_1$ . In [U3] the notions of local approximate controllability and observability at  $z_1$  are used. Since our operators are all bounded, all subtle conditions to apply Theorem 4.8 in [U3] are satisfied.

Since  $\gamma_c (= c^*)$  is cyclic for  $A^*$  by Lemma 6.2, and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} A^* & c^* \\ 0 & \bar{z}_0 \end{bmatrix}^0 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} A^* & c^* \\ 0 & \bar{z}_0 \end{bmatrix}^{k+1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} A^{*k}\gamma_c + \bar{z}_0 A^{*(k-1)}\gamma_c + \cdots + \bar{z}_0^k \gamma_c \\ \bar{z}_0^{k+1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $c_{\text{aug}}$  is also cyclic for  $A_{\text{aug}}^*$  independently of  $z_0$ .

Suppose  $z_0$  is not a zero of  $\mathcal{S}_{d0}(z)$ . Then zero-pole cancellation does not occur at any spectral point  $z_1 \in \sigma_p(A_{\text{aug}})$ . If  $b_{\text{aug}}$  fails to be cyclic for  $A_{\text{aug}}$ , then one can decompose  $(A_{\text{aug}}, b_{\text{aug}})$  into

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ 0 & F_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

where  $b_1$  is cyclic for  $F_{11}$ . Then  $\sigma(F_{22}) \cap \sigma_p(A_{\text{aug}}) = \emptyset$  by Theorem 4.8 in [U3]. Thus  $\sigma(F_{22}) = \{-1\}$ . (Note that  $-1 \notin S_z \setminus C_z$ .) It is easily seen that for  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$A_{\text{aug}}^k b_{\text{aug}} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ c & z_0 \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^k b \\ cA^{k-1}b + z_0 cA^{k-2}b + \cdots + z_0^{k-1}cb + z_0^k d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, as  $b$  is cyclic for  $A$  by Lemma 6.2, the dimension of the space on which  $F_{22}$  acts is one. We thus see that  $F_{22} = -1$  and  $-1 \in \sigma_p(A_{\text{aug}})$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $b_{\text{aug}}$  is cyclic for  $A_{\text{aug}}$ .

The converse can be shown similarly, again using Theorem 4.8 in [U3]. This completes the proof of (i). Assertion (ii) readily follows from (i). ■

Now,  $cA^n b$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , in  $A_{\text{aug}}^k b_{\text{aug}}$  can be expressed as follows: Recall  $F_{cd}^n \beta_c = A^n b = \kappa_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Hence, since  $\kappa_n \in \mathcal{K}_c$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} cA^n b &= \langle \kappa_n, \gamma_c \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \langle \kappa_n, P_{\mathcal{K}_c} e_{-1} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \langle \kappa_n, e_{-1} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= \langle T_+^{-1} \kappa_n, T_+^{-1} e_{-1} \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})} = \langle P_K h_n, T_+^{-1} e_{-1} \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})}. \end{aligned}$$

The constant  $d$  is calculated from

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \delta_c = \langle S_{d0}(1)_0, (1)_0 \rangle_{\ell^2} = \langle S_{c0} e_0, e_0 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \langle T_+ h_0, e_0 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= \langle h_0, T_+^{-1} e_0 \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{F})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_+ h_0$  is given by the right-hand side of the expression ( $n = 0$ ) with  $\tau \geq 0$  in Theorem 5.1, and  $e_0 = \mathcal{F}_c^{-1}[1/(\sqrt{\pi}(1-s))] = e^{-\tau}/\sqrt{\pi}$  is supported on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and useful suggestions.

## References

- [Ge] I. M. Gelfand, *Automorphic functions and the theory of representations*, in: Proc. Int. Congr. of Math., Stockholm, 1962, 74–85.
- [GGK] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg and M. A. Kaashoek, *Classes of Linear Operators, Vol. I*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 49, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990.
- [H] J. W. Helton, *Discrete time systems, operator models, and scattering theory*, J. Funct. Anal. 16 (1974), 15–38.
- [La] S. Lang,  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , Grad. Texts in Math. 105, Springer, New York, 1985 (reprint of the 1975 edition).
- [LP1] P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips, *Scattering Theory for Automorphic Functions*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 87, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1976.
- [LP2] —, —, *Scattering theory for automorphic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1980), 261–295.
- [LP3] —, —, *Scattering Theory*, rev. ed., Academic Press, New York, 1989.
- [Mo] Y. Motohashi, *Spectral Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 127, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [N] N. K. Nikol'skiĭ, *Treatise on the Shift Operator: Spectral Function Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [Pat] S. J. Patterson, *An Introduction to the Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [PavFa] B. S. Pavlov and L. D. Faddeev, *Scattering theory and automorphic functions*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 27 (1972), 161–193 (in Russian); English transl.: J. Soviet Math. 3 (1975), 522–548.
- [RaRo] H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *Invariant Subspaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [U1] Y. Uetake, *On a property of weak resolvents and its application to a spectral problem*, Ann. Polon. Math. 66 (1997), 263–268.
- [U2] —, *Operators on a Hilbert space similar to a part of the backward shift of multiplicity one*, Studia Math. 147 (2001), 27–35.
- [U3] —, *Some local properties of spectrum of linear dynamical systems in Hilbert space*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 51 (2005), 283–302.
- [Z] D. Zagier, *Eisenstein series and the Selberg trace formula I*, in: Automorphic Forms, Representation Theory and Arithmetic (Bombay, 1979), Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math. 10, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, and Springer, 1981, 303–355.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science  
 Adam Mickiewicz University  
 Umultowska 87  
 61-614 Poznań, Poland  
 E-mail: uetake@amu.edu.pl

Received 2.8.2006  
 and in final form 23.3.2007

(1690)