Intersection of analytic curves

by TADEUSZ KRASIŃSKI (Łódź) and Krzysztof Jan Nowak (Kraków)

> Dedicated to Professor Józef Siciak on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract. We give a relation between two theories of improper intersections, of Tworzewski and of Stückrad–Vogel, for the case of algebraic curves. Given two arbitrary quasiprojective curves V_1, V_2 , the intersection cycle $V_1 \bullet V_2$ in the sense of Tworzewski turns out to be the rational part of the Vogel cycle $v(V_1, V_2)$. We also give short proofs of two known effective formulae for the intersection cycle $V_1 \bullet V_2$ in terms of local parametrizations of the curves.

1. Introduction. For two arbitrary purely dimensional analytic subsets V_1 , V_2 (or, in general, for two analytic cycles V_1 , V_2) of a complex manifold M, Tworzewski [T] defined an intersection product $V_1 \bullet V_2$ which is an analytic cycle. This theory was initiated in the case of improper isolated intersections by Achilles, Winiarski and Tworzewski [ATW], who made use of Draper's ideas (cf. [D]) concerning proper intersections in complex analytic geometry. The intersection cycle $V_1 \bullet V_2$ coincides with the classical one for every proper intersection of V_1 and V_2 . On the other hand, for two arbitrary purely dimensional quasiprojective varieties V_1 , V_2 over a field Kwe have the Vogel intersection cycle $v(V_1, V_2)$ (see [CFV, G1, G2]), which is an algebraic cycle defined over a pure transcendental extension of K. So, we may distinguish in $v(V_1, V_2)$ its rational part $v_{\text{rat}}(V_1, V_2)$ (i.e. the part defined over K) and transcendental part $v_{\text{tr}}(V_1, V_2)$:

 $v(V_1, V_2) = v_{\rm rat}(V_1, V_2) + v_{\rm tr}(V_1, V_2).$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 14C17, 32B15.

Key words and phrases: improper intersection, analytic and algebraic curves, Tworzewski intersection cycle, Vogel intersection cycle, normal cone, relative tangent cone.

The authors were partially supported by KBN Grants: No. 2 P03A 007 18 (the first) and No. 5 P03A 005 21 (the second).

The main result of the paper (Theorem 1) asserts that if V_1, V_2 are arbitrary quasiprojective curves over \mathbb{C} , then

$$V_1 \bullet V_2 = v_{\mathrm{rat}}(V_1, V_2).$$

For plane algebraic curves, this was obtained by the first author in [K2] by other methods. The proof of the main theorem is based on the method of deformation to the normal cone for analytic improper intersections, investigated by the second author in [N1, N2, N3]. Namely, we analyze the normal cone

$$C := C_{(V_1 \times V_2) \cap \varDelta}(V_1 \times V_2)$$

to $(V_1 \times V_2) \cap \Delta$ in $V_1 \times V_2$, where Δ is the diagonal.

The other results are new short proofs of two known effective formulae for the intersection cycle $V_1 \bullet V_2$ of analytic curves V_1 and V_2 in terms of local parametrizations of V_1 and V_2 (Theorem 2). Whereas the first formula due to Chądzyński, Krasiński and Tworzewski [CKT]—refers to an isolated intersection, the second one—due to the first author [K2]—is concerned with self-intersection. What makes it possible to simplify the reasonings is a theorem by the second author [N4] to the effect that the generalized intersection index \tilde{g} is realized by every collection of hyperplanes admissible with respect to the tangent cone B at P to the support of the normal cone C.

2. Normal cones. For two arbitrary purely dimensional analytic subsets V_1 , V_2 of a complex manifold M, Tworzewski [T] defined, by means of the analytic intersection algorithm, a generalized intersection index \tilde{g} of V_1 and V_2 at a point P (which is a sequence of non-negative integers), an intersection index g (the sum of the components of \tilde{g}) and an intersection product $V_1 \bullet V_2$ (an analytic cycle such that $\operatorname{mult}_P(V_1 \bullet V_2) = g(P)$ for $P \in M$). The intersection product $V_1 \bullet V_2$ coincides with the classical one for every proper analytic intersection. The intersection index g at a point P for an analytic set V and a submanifold S of the ambient manifold M coincides with the multiplicity at P of the normal cone $C := C_{V \cap S}V$ (cf. [AR, N1, N2, N3]), where the intersection $V \cap S$ is understood in the ideal-theoretic sense, i.e. as a possibly non-reduced analytic subspace of M. One may regard the normal cone C both as an analytic space and an analytic cycle. Throughout the paper we adopt the latter meaning.

A diagonal procedure reduces the study of intersections of two analytic subsets V_1 and V_2 of a complex manifold M to that of intersections of the product $V_1 \times V_2$ with the diagonal Δ which is a submanifold of the product $M \times M$. Therefore, the index g of intersection at P of two analytic sets V_1 and V_2 is the multiplicity at (P, P) of the normal cone

$$C := C_{(V_1 \times V_2) \cap \Delta}(V_1 \times V_2).$$

We now recall a geometric construction of C (see e.g. [N3, Ch. II, Sects. 3 and 4]). Define the following family of analytic sets parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$:

 $\{(x, y, \lambda(x-y); 1: \lambda) : x \in V_1, y \in V_2, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}_x^m \times \mathbb{C}_y^m \times \mathbb{C}_v^m \times \mathbb{P}_1.$ The closure \mathcal{V} of this family in $\mathbb{C}_x^m \times \mathbb{C}_y^m \times \mathbb{C}_v^m \times \mathbb{P}_1$ is an analytic set, and the fibre \mathcal{V}_{∞} of \mathcal{V} over $\lambda = \infty$ (which may be regarded both as an analytic subspace and an analytic cycle) is the normal cone C. The normal cone $C = \mathcal{V}_{\infty}$ is the limit of the cycles \mathcal{V}_{λ} (the fibres of \mathcal{V} over λ) in the topology of locally uniform convergence of positive cycles. From the set-theoretical viewpoint, the support of the cone C is the analytic set

(1)
$$|C| = \{(x, x, v) \in V_1 \times V_2 \times \mathbb{C}_v^m : x_n, y_n \to x \text{ for some } x_n \in V_1, y_n \in V_2,$$

and $\lambda_n(x_n - y_n) \to v \text{ for some } \lambda_n \to \infty\}.$

From now on we shall consider two analytic curves V_1 and V_2 . Then the normal cone C is an analytic cone of pure dimension 2. Since the intersection problem in question is local, we may view V_1 , V_2 as germs at a fixed point $P = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

The constructions of normal cones and intersection indices are additive (see e.g. [N3, Ch. II, Sect. 3]). Consequently, if V_{1i} (i = 1, ..., k) and V_{2j} (j = 1, ..., l) are the irreducible components of the germs V_1 and V_2 respectively, the (generalized) intersection index for the pair V_1 , V_2 is the sum of those for the kl pairs V_{1i} , V_{2j} , and

$$C = \sum_{i,j} C_{(V_{1i} \times V_{2j}) \cap \Delta}(V_{1i} \times V_{2j}).$$

One may thus confine oneself to the case where the analytic curve germs V_1 and V_2 are irreducible. There are two different cases:

- $V_1 \neq V_2$, and then $C = C_0$ is an algebraic cone with vertex at the origin;
- $V_1 = V_2 = V$, and then C is the sum of an analytic cone C' over $V^{\Delta} := (V \times V) \cap \Delta$ (counted with coefficient 1) and an algebraic cone C_0 with vertex at the origin.

For the case of self-intersection we show that the multiplicity at the origin of the analytic cone C' is equal to the multiplicity at P = 0 of the curve V (Proposition 1). From this we will deduce the main theorem of the paper (Theorem 1).

We should mention that—in view of the geometric description (1) of the normal cone C (see also [N3, Ch. II, Sects. 3 and 4])—for arbitrary analytic sets V_1 and V_2 , the support of the fibre over (P, P) of the cone C is the relative tangent cone to V_1 and V_2 at P (defined in [ATW]). The relative tangent cones to analytic curves were studied by Ciesielska [C] and the first author [K1]; in particular, the paper [K1] describes the relative tangent cone in terms of parametrizations of the curves.

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose V is an irreducible curve germ at $P = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $C := C_{V^{\Delta}}(V \times V)$. Let C' be the part of the normal cone C that lies over V^{Δ} . Then the multiplicity of C' at the origin coincides with the multiplicity of V at P = 0.

Proof. Indeed, V can be parametrized, in suitable coordinates near the origin, as follows:

$$x_1 = t^p, \quad x_2 = \varphi_2(t), \ldots, x_m = \varphi_m(t)$$

with $\operatorname{ord}_0 \varphi_k > p$. Obviously, the multiplicity of V at P = 0 is p and the tangent line to V at P is $\{(t, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^m : t \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Put $\varphi(t) = (\varphi_2(t), \ldots, \varphi_m(t))$; then it is easy to check that

 $(t^p, \varphi(t), t^p, \varphi(t), pst^{p-1}, s\varphi'(t))$

is a parametrization of the cone C'. Since

$$\operatorname{ord}_{(0,0)}(t^p,\varphi(t),t^p,\varphi(t),pst^{p-1},s\varphi'(t)) = p,$$

it follows that $\operatorname{mult}_P C' = p$, as desired.

3. The main result. We apply Proposition 1 to show that the intersection cycle $V_1 \bullet V_2$ is the rational part of the Vogel cycle $v(V_1, V_2)$.

THEOREM 1. For any quasiprojective curves V_1 and V_2 , the intersection cycle $V_1 \bullet V_2$ is the rational part $v_{rat}(V_1, V_2)$ of the Vogel cycle $v(V_1, V_2)$.

Proof. Since the contructions of the intersection cycle and Vogel cycle are local, we can confine ourselves to the affine case. By additivity, we may assume that the curves V_1 , V_2 are irreducible. There are two cases: $V_1 \neq V_2$ or $V_1 = V_2 = V$. We keep the foregoing notation: the normal cone C is the sum of the cones C_0 and C'. While in the first case C' = 0 and C_0 is a finite sum of algebraic cones with vertices at (P, P), $P \in V_1 \cap V_2$, in the second C' is a cone over V^{Δ} (counted with coefficient 1) and C_0 is a finite sum of algebraic cones with vertices at (P, P), $P \in$ singular locus of V.

In the first case both $V_1 \bullet V_2$ and $v(V_1, V_2) = v_{rat}(V_1, V_2)$ are 0-cycles on $V_1 \cap V_2$:

$$V_1 \bullet V_2 = \sum i(V_1 \bullet V_2; P) \cdot [P], \quad v_{\rm rat}(V_1, V_2) = \sum \varepsilon_P \cdot [P],$$

where P ranges over $V_1 \cap V_2$. But both the coefficients ε_P and $i(V_1 \bullet V_2; P)$ are equal to the multiplicity at the vertex (P, P) of the normal cone C; these equalities follow from the fact that the Vogel cycle is invariant under deformation to the normal cone (cf. [G2]) and from the description of the intersection index by normal cones (cf. [AR, N1, N2, N3]). Hence $V_1 \bullet V_2 = v_{\rm rat}(V_1, V_2)$, as desired. In the case of self-intersection,

$$V \bullet V = [V] + \sum i(V \bullet V; P) \cdot [P],$$

$$w_{\rm rat}(V, V) = [V] + \sum \varepsilon_P \cdot [P],$$

where P ranges over the singular locus of V. Again, as before, ε_P is equal to the multiplicity at (P, P) of the algebraic cone C_0 because the Vogel cycle is invariant under deformation to the normal cone (cf. [G2] and also [G1, Chap. 3, Sect. 2A]). On the other hand, we have

$$\operatorname{mult}_P(V \bullet V) = \operatorname{mult}_P V + i(V \bullet V; P),$$

and $\operatorname{mult}_P(V \bullet V)$ is, by definition, equal to the intersection index g(P), which again coincides with the multiplicity of the normal cone C. Therefore

 $\operatorname{mult}_{P}V + i(V \bullet V; P) = \operatorname{mult}_{(P,P)}C = \operatorname{mult}_{(P,P)}C' + \operatorname{mult}_{(P,P)}C_0.$

Hence and by Proposition 1, we obtain

$$i(V \bullet V; P) = \operatorname{mult}_{(P,P)} C_0 = \varepsilon_P,$$

which completes the proof. \blacksquare

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the self-intersection of the curve

$$V := \{(x, y) : y^2 - x^3 = 0\}$$

in \mathbb{C}^2 (for simplicity we do not consider this intersection in \mathbb{P}^2). Using the intersection algorithms of both theories we obtain

 $V \bullet V = 1 \cdot [V] + 3 \cdot [(0,0)]$ (a cycle over the field \mathbb{C}),

$$v(V,V) = 1 \cdot [V] + 3 \cdot [(0,0)] + 1 \cdot \left[\left(\frac{4a^2}{9b^2}, -\frac{8a^3}{27b^3} \right) \right]$$
 (a cycle over the field $\mathbb{C}(a,b)$).

The construction of the Vogel cycle imposes a lower bound on the dimensions of its components. Since no such bound exists for intersection cycles, the cycles $V_1 \bullet V_2$ and $v_{rat}(V_1, V_2)$ need not coincide for higher dimensional sets V_1, V_2 . We illustrate this by Example 2 (Ex. 5 from [N3, Ch. III, Sect. 3]) concerning the self-intersection of a projective surface. We should also emphasize that while the Vogel cycle $v(V_1, V_2)$ is effective, $V_1 \bullet V_2$ may not be an effective cycle, as demonstrated in Example 3.

EXAMPLE 2. Let V be the cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 given by the equation

$$z_0 z_1 z_2 + z_1 z_2 z_3 + z_2 z_3 z_0 + z_3 z_0 z_1 = 0.$$

Its singular locus consists of only four nodes (i.e. non-degenerate isolated singular points of degree 2)

$$P_0 = (1:0:0:0), \quad P_1 = (0:1:0:0), P_2 = (0:0:1:0), \quad P_3 = (0:0:0:1).$$

We have (cf. [N3, Ch. III, Sect. 3, Ex. 5])

$$V \bullet V = 1 \cdot [V] + 2 \cdot [P_0] + 2 \cdot [P_1] + 2 \cdot [P_2] + 2 \cdot [P_3].$$

On the other hand, the Vogel cycle $v(V_1, V_2)$ is the sum of [V] and a transcendental curve of degree 6 through P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3 . Hence

$$v_{\rm rat}(V_1, V_2) = 1 \cdot [V] \neq V \bullet V_2$$

EXAMPLE 3. Consider two affine sets in $\mathbb{C}^6 = \mathbb{C}^4_{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbb{C}^2_{y,z}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, defined by

$$V_1 := \{ (\mathbf{x}, y, z) : zx_1x_2 = yx_3x_4 \}, \quad V_2 := \{ (\mathbf{x}, y, z) : z = y = 0 \}$$

By straightforward calculations we obtain

$$V_{1} \bullet V_{2} = 1 \cdot [V_{2}] + 1 \cdot [\mathbb{C}_{x_{1},x_{3}}^{2}] + 1 \cdot [\mathbb{C}_{x_{1},x_{4}}^{2}] + 1 \cdot [\mathbb{C}_{x_{2},x_{3}}^{2}] + 1 \cdot [\mathbb{C}_{x_{2},x_{4}}^{2}] -1 \cdot [\mathbb{C}_{x_{1}}] - 1 \cdot [\mathbb{C}_{x_{2}}] - 1 \cdot [\mathbb{C}_{x_{3}}] - 1 \cdot [\mathbb{C}_{x_{4}}] + 2 \cdot [0], v(V_{1},V_{2}) = 1 \cdot [V_{2}] + v^{2},$$

where v^2 is the cycle of dimension 3 on $V_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(a, b) = \mathbb{C}(a, b)^4$ determined by the equation

$$ax_1x_2 + bx_3x_4 = 0.$$

Hence

$$v_{\mathrm{rat}}(V_1, V_2) = 1 \cdot [V_2] \neq V_1 \bullet V_2.$$

4. Formulae for intersection cycles. For two analytic curve germs V_1, V_2 at $P = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$, put

$$C := C_{(V_1 \times V_2) \cap \varDelta}(V_1 \times V_2),$$

and let C' and C_0 be the parts of C that lie over $(V_1 \times V_2) \cap \Delta$ and the origin, respectively. From the geometric description (1) of the normal cone C (see also [N3, Ch. II, Sects. 3 and 4]), it follows that the support of the fibre over the origin of the cone C coincides with the relative tangent cones to V_1 and V_2 at P. For the definition and properties of relative tangent cones we refer the reader to [ATW, C, K1]. From these papers we can thus deduce the following

PROPOSITION 2. Let V_1 , V_2 be two analytic curve germs at the point $P = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Then the cone C_0 is a finite sum of planes; it is the zero cycle iff $V_1 = V_2 = V$ and P is a regular point of V. Moreover, if one of the germs, say V_1 , is irreducible, all those planes contain the tangent line to V_1 at P.

We are now in a position to present short proofs of two effective formulae (from [CKT, K2]) for the intersection indices of two analytic curves V_1 and V_2 in terms of their parametrizations at a point P.

198

By additivity one may confine oneself to the case where V_1 and V_2 are two irreducible curve germs at $P = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$. The problem is evident whenever V_1 and V_2 do not have a common tangent line at P, as then the intersection index g of V_1 and V_2 at P is equal to $\operatorname{mult}_P V_1 \cdot \operatorname{mult}_P V_2$.

THEOREM 2. Consider two irreducible curve germs V_1 , V_2 at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$, having a common tangent line, parametrized as follows:

$$(x_1 = t^p, x_k = \varphi_k(t)), \quad (x_1 = t^q, x_k = \psi_k(t)), \quad k = 2, \dots, m,$$

with $\operatorname{ord}_0 \varphi_k > p$ and $\operatorname{ord}_0 \psi_k > q$. Then the generalized intersection index $\tilde{g} = (g_0, g_1, g_2)$ of V_1 and V_2 at 0 is equal to

$$\widetilde{g} = \begin{cases} \left(0, 0, q^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{ord}_{0}(\varphi(t^{q}) - \psi(\varepsilon^{i}t^{p}))\right) & \text{if } V_{1} \neq V_{2}, \\\\ \left(0, p, \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \operatorname{ord}_{0}(\varphi(t) - \varphi(\varepsilon^{i}t))\right) & \text{if } V_{1} = V_{2} = V, \end{cases}$$

where ε is a primitive root of unity of degree q (in the second case p = q and $\varphi(t) = \psi(t)$).

Proof. For the proof, observe that for instance, via the linear change of coordinates u = x + y, v = x - y, the normal cone

$$C := C_{(V_1 \times V_2) \cap \varDelta}(V_1 \times V_2)$$

can be treated as a cone in the product $\mathbb{C}_x^m \times \mathbb{C}_y^m$ of the ambient spaces (see e.g. [N3]). In view of Proposition 2, the part C_0 of C that lies over the origin is a finite union of planes containing the line

$$L := \{ (x_1, 0, \dots, 0, y_1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}_x^m \times \mathbb{C}_y^m : x_1 + y_1 = 0 \}.$$

CASE I: $V_1 \neq V_2$. Then $C = C_0$ and the tangent cone B at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$ to the support of C is a finite union of planes containing L.

The generalized intersection index \tilde{g} is realized by every collection of hyperplanes (H_1, H_2) admissible with respect to B (cf. [N4]). Since the hyperplane $\{x_1 - y_1 = 0\}$ meets the cone B properly, it can be taken as the first element H_1 of such an admissible collection of hyperplanes. Proceed now with the intersection algorithm:

Step 0: the result $\rho_0 = 0$, the remainder $\alpha_0 = V_1 \times V_2$; Step 1: the result $\rho_1 = 0$, the remainder $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 \cdot H_1$; Step 2: for a generic hyperplane H_2 of the form

$$\Big\{ l(x,y) := \sum_{k=2}^{m} a_k(x_k - y_k) = 0 \Big\},$$

we have $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\varrho_2 = \alpha_1 \cdot H_2 = (V_1 \times V_2) \cdot H_1 \cdot H_2$, and the index g_2 is the degree at the origin of this 0-cycle. But

$$(x_1 = t^{pq}, x_k = \varphi_k(t^q)), \quad (y_1 = \tau^{pq}, y_k = \psi_k(\tau^p)), \quad k = 2, \dots, m_q$$

is a parametrization with multiplicity pq of the product $V_1 \times V_2$. Hence and using parametric multiplicity (see e.g. [TW]), we get the required result

$$g_{2} = \frac{1}{pq} \operatorname{mult}_{(0,0)}(t^{pq} - \tau^{pq}, l(\varphi(t^{q}) - \psi(\tau^{p})))$$

= $\frac{1}{pq} \sum_{i=1}^{pq} \operatorname{mult}_{(0,0)}(\eta^{i}t - \tau, l(\varphi(t^{q}) - \psi(\tau^{p})))$
= $\frac{1}{pq} \sum_{i=1}^{pq} \operatorname{ord}_{0}(\varphi(t^{q}) - \psi((\eta^{i}t)^{p})) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{ord}_{0}(\varphi(t^{q}) - \psi(\varepsilon^{i}t^{p}));$

here η is a primitive root of unity of degree pq.

CASE II: $V_1 = V_2 = V$. Then the normal cone C is the sum of C_0 and an analytic cone C' that lies over $V^{\Delta} = (V \times V) \cap \Delta$; C' can be parametrized by

$$(t^p + pst^{p-1}, \varphi(t) + s\varphi'(t), t^p - pst^{p-1}, \varphi(t) - s\varphi'(t)).$$

The tangent cone B to the support of C at the origin is thus the union of the plane

$$\{(x_1,0,\ldots,0,y_1,0,\ldots,0)\in\mathbb{C}_x^m\times\mathbb{C}_y^m:x_1,y_1\in\mathbb{C}\}\$$

and a finite number of planes containing the line L (which form the support of C_0). Therefore, as in Case I, the hyperplane $H_1 := \{x_1 - y_1 = 0\}$ can be picked up as the first element H_1 of a collection of hyperplanes which realizes the generalized intersection index. Proceed again with the intersection algorithm:

Step θ : the result $\rho_0 = 0$, the remainder $\alpha_0 = V \times V$;

Step 1: $\alpha_0 \cdot H_1 = \sum_{i=1}^p W_i$, where $W_i = \{(t^p, \varphi(t), t^p, \varphi(\varepsilon^i t))\}, i = 1, \ldots, p;$ the result $\varrho_1 = W_p = V^{\Delta}, g_1 = p$ and the remainder $\alpha_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} W_i;$

Step 2: for a generic hyperplane H_2 , $\alpha_1 \cdot H_2 = \rho_2$, $\alpha_2 = 0$ and the index g_2 of the result ρ_2 at (P, P) is equal to

$$g_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \operatorname{ord}_0(\varphi(t) - \varphi(\varepsilon^i t)),$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete. \blacksquare

We immediately obtain the following formulae for the intersection cycles and indices:

200

COROLLARY ([CKT, Th. 1], [K2, Th. 4]). 1) If $V_1 \neq V_2$, then

(2)
$$V_{1} \bullet V_{2} = i(V_{1} \bullet V_{2}; 0) \cdot [0],$$
$$i(V_{1} \bullet V_{2}; 0) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{ord}_{0}(\varphi(t^{q}) - \psi(\varepsilon^{i}t^{p}));$$

2) if $V_1 = V_2 = V$, then

(3)

$$V \bullet V = 1 \cdot [V] + i(V \bullet V; 0) \cdot [0],$$

$$i(V \bullet V; 0) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \operatorname{ord}_0(\varphi(t) - \varphi(\varepsilon^i t)).$$

REMARK. By the additivity of the intersection index, formulae (2) and (3) can be applied to calculate $i(V_1 \bullet V_2; P)$ for arbitrary analytic curve germs V_1, V_2 at P.

References

- [AR] R. Achilles and S. Rams, Intersection numbers, Segre numbers and generalized Samuel multiplicities, Arch. Math. (Basel) 77 (2001), 391–398.
- [ATW] R. Achilles, P. Tworzewski and T. Winiarski, On improper isolated intersection in complex analytic geometry, Ann. Polon. Math. 51 (1990), 21–36.
- [CKT] J. Chądzyński, T. Krasiński and P. Tworzewski, On the intersection multiplicity of analytic curves in \mathbb{C}^n , Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 45 (1997), 163–169.
- [C] D. Ciesielska, Relative tangent cone of analytic curves, Ann. Polon. Math. 72 (1999), 191–195.
- [D] R. N. Draper, Intersection theory in analytic geometry, Math. Ann. 180 (1969), 175–204.
- [CFV] H. Flenner, L. O'Carroll and W. Vogel, Joins and Intersections, Springer, 1999.
- [G1] L. J. van Gastel, *Excess intersections*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Utrecht, 1989.
- [G2] —, Excess intersections and a correspondence principle, Invent. Math. 103 (1991), 197–221.
- [K1] T. Krasiński, The join of algebraic curves, Illinois J. Math., to appear.
- [K2] —, Improper intersection of analytic curves, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. 39 (2001), 153–166.
- [N1] K. J. Nowak, Analytic improper intersections I: deformation to the normal cone, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 48 (2000), 121–130.
- [N2] —, Analytic improper intersections II: deformation to an algebraic bicone and applications, ibid., 131–140.
- [N3] —, Improper intersections in complex analytic geometry, Dissertationes Math. 391 (2001).
- [N4] —, Remarks on the generalized index of an analytic improper intersection, Ann. Polon. Math. 81 (2003), to appear.
- [T] P. Tworzewski, Intersection theory in complex analytic geometry, ibid. 62 (1995), 177–191.

T. Krasiński and K. J. Nowak

[TW] P. Tworzewski and T. Winiarski, Cycles of zeroes of holomorphic mappings, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 37 (1989), 95–101.

Faculty of Mathematics University of Łódź Banacha 22 90-238 Łódź, Poland E-mail: krasinsk@krysia.uni.lodz.pl Institute of Mathematics Jagiellonian University Reymonta 4 30-059 Kraków, Poland E-mail: nowak@im.uj.edu.pl

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5.12.2001 Révisé le 15.5.2002

(1385)

202