

## Regularity of domains of parameterized families of closed linear operators

by TERESA WINIARSKA and TADEUSZ WINIARSKI (Kraków)

*To Professor Józef Siciak on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to provide a method of reduction of some problems concerning families  $A_t = (A(t))_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of linear operators with domains  $(\mathcal{D}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  to a problem in which all the operators have the same domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . To do it we propose to construct a family  $(\Psi_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of automorphisms of a given Banach space  $X$  having two properties: (i) the mapping  $t \mapsto \Psi_t$  is sufficiently regular and (ii)  $\Psi_t(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}_t$  for  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ . Three effective constructions are presented: for elliptic operators of second order with the Robin boundary condition with a parameter; for operators in a Hilbert space for which eigenspaces form a complete orthogonal system of closed linear subspaces; and for a class of closed operators having bounded inverses.

**1. Introduction.** Most of the results concerning differential operators with a parameter  $t$  in the coefficients have been obtained under the assumption that the operators  $(A_t = A(t))_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of a given family have domains independent of  $t$  (see e.g. [2, 6, 7, 8]).

One of possible ways of handling some problems concerning operators  $(A_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  with domains  $\mathcal{D}_t \subset X$  depending on  $t$  is to find a sufficiently regular (with respect to  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ ) family  $\Psi_t$  of automorphisms of the Banach space  $X$  such that  $\Psi_t(\mathcal{D}_t) = \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is a fixed linear subspace of  $X$ .

In general, the domain of a differential operator is determined by some boundary conditions. Thus it would be useful to find an effective construction of a family  $\Psi_t$  using the boundary conditions only. Such a construction for a family of elliptic operators of order two with the Robin boundary condition with a parameter (i.e.  $\partial u / \partial n + a(x, t)u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ) is presented in 2.1. The problem of existence and construction of a family  $(\Psi_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  for general types of boundary conditions is more delicate and still open.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47A05, 35J25, 42C99.

*Key words and phrases*: families of operators, isomorphic domains, boundary conditions, eigenspaces, Fourier series.

Research of T. Winiarski partially supported by KBN grant no. 2 P03A 015 22.

In Section 2.2 there is a construction of a continuous family  $\Psi_t$  for some families  $(\mathcal{D}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of domains of operators in a Hilbert space  $H$  for which the corresponding eigenspaces form a complete orthogonal system of closed linear subspaces of  $H$ .

If  $\mathcal{D}_t$  is the domain of a closed invertible operator  $A_t : X \rightarrow X$  and  $R_t = A_t^{-1}$ , for  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , then the natural candidate for  $\Phi_t = \Psi_t^{-1}$  is  $\overline{R_{t_0} A_t}$  whenever  $R_{t_0} A_t$  is closable. If it is closable then we may use some results presented in [3] concerning the topology of generalized convergence to prove that the expected family is good (for more details see Section 3). Unfortunately, if  $\mathcal{D}_t$  depends on  $t$ , it may happen that  $R_{t_0} A_t$  is not closable.

**2. Regularity of families of linear subspaces.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\mathcal{T}$  an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $(\mathcal{D}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  a family of linear subspaces of  $X$ .

DEFINITION 1. We say that the family  $(\mathcal{D}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}_a^k$  (resp. strongly of class  $\mathcal{C}_a^k$ ) if there exist a linear subspace  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $X$  and a family  $(\Psi_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of automorphisms of  $X$  such that

- the mapping  $\mathcal{T} \ni t \mapsto \Psi_t \in \text{Aut}(X)$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$  (resp. strongly of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ )<sup>(1)</sup> and
- $\Psi_t(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}_t$  for  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Considering a family  $(A_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of closed linear operators with the family of domains  $(\mathcal{D}_t = \mathcal{D}(A_t))_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of class  $\mathcal{C}_a^k$  we may reduce some problems to a family with a constant domain. For example, suppose that  $u$  is a classical solution of the evolution equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = A(t)u + f(t)$$

in which the family of domains  $(\mathcal{D}_t = \mathcal{D}(A_t) = \mathcal{D}(A(t)))_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}_a^1$ . Let  $(\Psi_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  be a family of automorphisms of  $X$  as above and  $(\Phi_t = \Psi_t^{-1})_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  the family of inverses.

Since  $u(t) \in \mathcal{D}_t$ , there exists  $v(t) \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\Psi_t(v(t)) = u(t)$ . We have

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{d\Psi_t}{dt}v(t) + \Psi_t \frac{dv}{dt}$$

and after a standard calculation we obtain

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = \underbrace{\left( \Phi_t A(t) \psi_t - \frac{d\psi_t}{dt} \right)}_{B(t)} v(t) + \underbrace{\Phi_t f(t)}_{F(t)}.$$

Thus  $v$  is a classical solution of the evolution equation

$$(2) \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = B(t)v + F(t)$$

with the family  $(B_t = B(t))_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of operators having domains independent of  $t$ .

---

<sup>(1)</sup> This means that for any  $x \in X$  the mapping  $t \mapsto \Psi_t x$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ .

**2.1. Construction using boundary conditions.** Now we produce an example of a family  $(\mathcal{D}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of class  $\mathcal{C}_a^k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , of the domains for elliptic operators of order two which is a nonconstant family of linear subspaces of  $X = \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with boundary  $S = \partial\Omega$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^{k+1}$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = [0, T]$ , and let  $a : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$  nonvanishing on  $S$ . The sets

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{D}_t = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega) : u \in H^2(\Omega) \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + a(x, t)u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\},$$

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{D} = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega) : u \in H^2(\Omega) \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}$$

are dense linear subspaces of  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ , where  $n$  is the interior unit normal vector field on  $S$ .

Let  $\eta : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$  such that

$$(5) \quad 1/2 \leq \eta_t(x) = \eta(x, t) \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega}, t \in \mathcal{T},$$

$$(6) \quad \eta_t(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \eta_t(x)}{\partial n} = a(x, t) \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega, t \in \mathcal{T}.$$

The function  $\eta$  can be constructed in the following way. We consider  $S$  as the retract of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$  (for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough) of the open  $\varepsilon$ -tube

$$\text{TUB}^\varepsilon(S) = \{x + \tau n(x) : x \in S, |\tau| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Then we take a function  $h_\varepsilon$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} h_\varepsilon(x) &= 0 && \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{TUB}^\varepsilon(S), \\ h_\varepsilon(x) &= 1 && \text{for } x \in \text{TUB}^{\varepsilon/2}(S), \\ h_\varepsilon(x) &\in [0, 1] && \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

The function

$$(7) \quad f_\varepsilon : \text{TUB}^\varepsilon(S) \ni x + \tau n(x) \mapsto a(t, x)\tau \in \mathbb{R}$$

is of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ , and for  $\varepsilon$  small enough, the function  $\eta = h_\varepsilon f_\varepsilon + 1$  is one we have been looking for.

Let  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$  be given by

$$(8) \quad \Phi_t(u) = \eta_t \cdot u \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega), t \in [0, T]$$

and let  $\Psi_t = \Phi_t^{-1}$ . One can verify that

- $\Phi_t \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega))$ ,
- $\Phi_t(\mathcal{D}_t) = \mathcal{D}$  and  $\Psi_t(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}_t$ ,
- the mapping  $\mathcal{T} \ni t \mapsto \Phi_t \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega))$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ . Thus the mapping  $\mathcal{T} \ni t \mapsto \Psi_t \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega))$  is also of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ .

Considering parametrized boundary conditions of the form

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu_t} + a(x, t)u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\mu_t$  is a vector field on  $S$  parametrized by  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , one can look for  $\Phi_t$  of the form

$$(10) \quad \Phi_t u = \eta_t \cdot (u \circ \varphi_t) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega),$$

where  $\varphi_t$  is a diffeomorphism of  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that  $\varphi'_t(x).n(x) = \mu_t(x)$ ,  $\varphi_t(x) = x$  for  $x \in S$ ,  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , and  $\eta$  is as in (8). Indeed, if  $u$  satisfies (9) then

$$\frac{\partial(u \circ \varphi)}{\partial n}(x) + a(x, t)(u \circ \varphi)(x) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mu_t} + a(x, t)u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Thus,  $v = u \circ \varphi_t \in \mathcal{D}_t$ ,  $\eta_t v \in \mathcal{D}$  and vice versa.

Let us remark that the boundary conditions (9) parametrized by  $t$  are natural, for example, when we consider the family  $(A_t = tA + (1-t)\Delta)_{t \in [0,1]}$  in which  $A$  is a strongly elliptic operator of the second order. For an application see the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [1].

**2.2. Construction using eigenspaces.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, and  $H_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , a complete orthogonal sequence of closed linear subspaces of  $H$ . We will use the following well known facts from the theory of Fourier series.

LEMMA 1. *If  $a_j \in H_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  then the series  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j$  converges to a point  $a \in H$  if and only if the series  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|a_j\|^2$  is convergent. Moreover, if*

$$a = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j$$

then

$$\|a\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|a_j\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_j = p_j(a) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $p_j : H \rightarrow H_j$  is the orthogonal projection of  $H$  onto  $H_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$

To any sequence  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of real (complex if  $H$  is a complex space) numbers corresponds a closed linear operator  $A = A_\lambda(t) : H \rightarrow H$  given by

$$Ax = A_\lambda x = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j p_j(x).$$

The operator  $A$  with domain

$$\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ x \in H : \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j p_j(x) \text{ is convergent} \right\}$$

is a closed densely defined linear operator and  $\lambda_j$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenspace  $H_j$ .

From now on we assume that  $H_j$  and  $\lambda_j$  depend on the parameter  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ . This implies that the projections  $p_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , also depend on  $t$ . Thus,  $H_j(t)$ ,  $\lambda_j(t)$ ,  $p_j(t)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , are sequences of closed subspaces, numbers and projections, respectively, parametrized by  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ .

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose that for given  $t, t_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\Phi_j(t) : H \rightarrow H$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , are bounded linear mappings satisfying the following conditions:*

(i)  $\Phi_j(t)(H_j(t)) = H_j(t_0)$  and  $\Phi_j(t)|_{H_j(t)} : H_j(t) \rightarrow H_j(t_0)$  is an isomorphism of Banach spaces for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

(ii) there exist positive constants  $M(t), m(t) > 0$  such that

$$m(t)\|x\| \leq \|\Phi_j(t)x\| \leq M(t)\|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in H_j(t), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

(iii) there exist positive constants  $\delta(t), \Delta(t) > 0$  such that

$$\delta(t) \leq \left| \frac{\lambda_j(t_0)}{\lambda_j(t)} \right| \leq \Delta(t) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$(11) \quad \Phi_t := \Phi(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_j(t) \circ p_j(t)$$

is an automorphism of  $H$  such that  $\Phi_t(\mathcal{D}_t) = \mathcal{D}_{t_0}$ .

*Proof.* We begin by proving that  $\Phi_t$  is well defined. Since

$$\|\Phi_j(t)(p_j(t)x)\|^2 \leq \|\Phi_j(t)\|^2 \|p_j(t)x\|^2 \leq M^2(t) \|p_j(t)x\|^2$$

and the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|p_j(t)x\|^2$  is convergent (because  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j(t)x$  is convergent), the series defining  $\Phi_t x$  is convergent for any  $(t, x) \in I \times H$ .

Since

$$\|\Phi_t x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\Phi_j(t)p_j(t)x\|^2 \leq M^2(t)\|x\|^2,$$

the operator  $\Phi_t$  is bounded.

Injectivity of  $\Phi_t$  follows from Lemma 1. Indeed,

$$\ker \Phi_t = \{x \in H : \Phi_j(t)p_j(t)x = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots\} = \{0\}.$$

Let  $y \in H$  and

$$(12) \quad x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Phi_j(t))^{-1} p_j(t_0)y.$$

To prove surjectivity we must prove that the series (12) defining  $x$  is convergent and that  $\Phi_t x = y$ .

Assuming the convergence for the moment, we have

$$\Phi_t x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_j(t) p_j(t) x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_j(t) (\Phi_j(t))^{-1} p_j(t_0) y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j(t_0) y = y.$$

The convergence of  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\Phi_j(t))^{-1} p_j(t_0) y$  follows from Lemma 1, because of the estimates

$$\|(\Phi_j(t))^{-1} p_j(t_0) y\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{m(t)} \|p_j(t) y\|^2 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, y \in X.$$

For  $x \in \mathcal{D}_t$  the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j(t) p_j(t) x$  is convergent and we have  $\Phi_t x = y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j(t_0) y$ . Thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Phi_j(t) p_j(t) x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j(t_0) y,$$

which implies that

$$(13) \quad p_j(t_0) y = \Phi_j(t) p_j(t) x.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\lambda_j(t_0)}{\lambda_j(t)} \Phi_j(t) (\lambda_j(t) p_j(t) x) \right\|^2 &\leq \left| \frac{\lambda_j(t_0)}{\lambda_j(t)} \right|^2 M^2(t) \|\lambda_j(t) p_j(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \Delta^2(t) M^2(t) \|\lambda_j(t) p_j(t)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

the series

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j(t_0)}{\lambda_j(t)} \Phi_j(t) (\lambda_j(t) p_j(t) x)$$

is convergent and hence, because of (13), so is  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j(t_0) p_j(t_0) y$ . This means that  $\Phi_t(\mathcal{D}_t) \subset \mathcal{D}_{t_0}$ . The proof of the inverse inclusion is similar. ■

REMARK 1. If, in Proposition 2,  $\Phi_j(t) : H_j(t) \rightarrow H_j(t_0)$  is an isometry for all  $j$  and  $t$ , then  $\Phi_t$  is also an isometry.

THEOREM 3. *If the mappings*

$$I \ni t \mapsto p_j(t) \quad \text{and} \quad I \ni t \mapsto \Phi_j(t) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

*are continuous and there exist  $M, m > 0$  such that*

$$m\|x\| \leq \|\Phi_j(t)x\| \leq M\|x\| \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, x \in X,$$

*then for any compact set  $K \subset I \times H$ , the mapping  $K \ni (t, x) \mapsto \Phi_t x$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* By Dini's theorem, the sequence

$$S_\nu(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \|p_j(t)x\|^2, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots,$$

converges uniformly to  $\|x\|^2$  on compact subsets of  $I \times H$ . Since

$$\left\| \sum_{j=p}^{p+s} \Phi_j(t)(p_j(t)x) \right\|^2 \leq M^2 \sum_{j=p}^{p+s} \|p_j(t)x\|^2,$$

the Cauchy condition of uniform convergence is satisfied for the series  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \Phi_j(t)(p_j(t)x)$  and so  $\Phi$  is continuous on  $K$ . ■

To obtain a higher regularity for the family  $(\Phi_t)$  we must assume a higher regularity for  $\Phi_j(t)$  and some assumptions that guarantee differentiability of series term by term.

EXAMPLE 1. The mapping

$$\Phi_j(t) := p_j(t_0) \circ p_j(t) : H \rightarrow H_j(t_0) \subset H$$

is a bounded linear map. Assuming, for example, that

$$H_j^\perp(t_0) \cap H_j(t) = \{0\}$$

we see that  $\Phi_j(t)$  is injective.

If additionally we assume that  $\dim H_j(t) = k_j < \infty$  is independent of  $t$  then

$$\Phi_j(t)|_{H_j(t)} : H_j(t) \rightarrow H_j(t_0)$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover

$$\|\Phi_j(t)x\|^2 \leq \|p_j(t)x\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_j(t_0) = p_j(t_0).$$

Therefore, using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 3, we may prove that the continuity of the mapping  $I \times H \ni (t, x) \mapsto p_j(t)x \in H$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  implies the continuity of  $\Phi$  on compact subsets of  $I \times H$ .

**3. Families of closed operators with bounded inverses.** Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces over the field  $\mathbb{K}$  of real or complex numbers. We endow the space  $C(X, Y)$  of closed linear operators  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  with the topology of generalized convergence [3, Ch. IV]. The domain of a given operator  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ . The space of bounded linear operators  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ , and  $\text{Isom}(X, Y)$  is the subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  of bijective bounded linear operators with bounded inverses. The subspace of  $C(X, Y)$  consisting of the invertible densely defined operators  $A$  such that  $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)$ . If  $X = Y$  we will write  $C(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $\text{Aut}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  instead of  $C(X, X)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(X, X)$ ,  $\text{Isom}(X, X)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(X, X)$ , respectively. Since  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \subset C(X, Y)$ , we may consider  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  with the induced topology, which by [3, Ch. IV, Theorem 2.23] is equivalent to the norm topology in  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . Let us also recall that by the same theorem, the convergence of  $A_n$  to  $A$  in  $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)$  is equivalent to the convergence of  $A_n^{-1}$  to  $A^{-1}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ ,  $\text{Isom}(X, Y)$  is open in  $C(X, Y)$  and  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is open in  $C(X)$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a metric space,  $A_h \in \mathcal{R}(X, Y)$  and  $\Phi_h \in \text{Aut}(X)$  for  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . If the mappings

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto A_h \in C(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto \Phi_h \in \mathcal{B}(X)$$

are continuous then the mapping

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto A_h \circ \Phi_h \in \mathcal{R}(X, Y)$$

is also continuous.

*Proof.* Since  $A_h \in \mathcal{R}(X, Y)$ , the continuity of  $\mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto A_h \in C(X, Y)$  is equivalent to the continuity of  $\mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto A_h^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ . Thus the mapping  $\mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto (A_h \circ \Phi_h)^{-1} = \Phi_h^{-1} \circ A_h^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  is continuous, and hence so is the mapping (15). ■

LEMMA 5. Let  $A_j \in \mathcal{R}(X, Y)$  and  $R_j = A_j^{-1}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . If  $R_j \circ A_i$  is bounded for  $i, j = 1, 2$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(A_1^*) = \mathcal{D}(A_2^*)$ .

*Proof.* By symmetry, it is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{D}(A_1^*) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_2^*)$ . Take  $y^* \in \mathcal{D}(A_1^*)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle A_2 x, y^* \rangle| &= |\langle A_1 \circ (R_1 \circ A_2) x, y^* \rangle| = |\langle (R_1 \circ A_2) x, A_1^* y^* \rangle| \\ &\leq \|A_1^* y^*\| \cdot \|R_1 \circ A_2\| \cdot \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{D}(A_2), \end{aligned}$$

we have  $y^* \in \mathcal{D}(A_2^*)$  and so  $\mathcal{D}(A_1^*) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_2^*)$ . ■

LEMMA 6. If  $A \in C(X, Y)$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is such that  $\mathcal{D}(A \circ \Phi)$  is dense in  $X$  then  $\mathcal{D}(A^*) \subset \mathcal{D}((A \circ \Phi)^*)$ . Moreover, if  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(X)$  then  $\mathcal{D}(A^*) = \mathcal{D}((A \circ \Phi)^*)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y^* \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is continuous, for  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A \circ \Phi)$  we have

$$|\langle (A \circ \Phi) x, y^* \rangle| = |\langle \Phi x, A^* y^* \rangle| \leq \|A^* y^*\| \cdot \|\Phi x\| \leq \|A^* y^*\| \cdot \|\Phi\| \cdot \|x\|$$

and so  $y^* \in \mathcal{D}((A \circ \Phi)^*)$ .

If  $\Phi$  is invertible then by the above  $\mathcal{D}((A \circ \Phi)^*) \subset \mathcal{D}((A \circ \Phi \circ \Phi^{-1})^*) = \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ . ■

THEOREM 7. Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \rho)$  be a connected metric space and  $(A_h)_{h \in \mathcal{H}}$  a family of linear operators  $A_h \in \mathcal{R}(X, Y)$ . If  $R_k \circ A_h$  is closable for each  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ , and for each  $k \in \mathcal{H}$  the mapping

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto \overline{R_k \circ A_h} \in C(X)$$

is continuous, then:

- (i)  $\overline{R_k \circ A_h} \in \text{Aut}(X)$  for each  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ ,
- (ii) for any  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$  there exist  $m, M > 0$  such that

$$m \|R_h y\| \leq \|R_k y\| \leq M \|R_h y\| \quad \text{for } y \in Y,$$

- (iii)  $\mathcal{D}(A_h^*) = \mathcal{D}^* = \text{const}$ ,
- (iv)  $\mathcal{D}(A_h^* \circ R_k^*) = X^*$  for all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ .



*Proof.* Since  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is open in  $C(X)$  and  $\overline{R_k \circ A_k} = \text{Id}_X \in \text{Aut}(X)$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(k) > 0$  such that  $\overline{R_k \circ A_h} \in \text{Aut}(X)$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\varrho(h, k) < \delta$ . Thus, for a given  $k \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \overline{R_k \circ A_h} \in \text{Aut}(X)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

To prove that  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{H}$  it is enough to prove that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is open and closed. For given  $h_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$\overline{R_k \circ A_h} = \overline{R_k \circ A_{h_0} \circ R_{h_0} \circ A_h}.$$

Since  $\overline{R_k \circ A_{h_0}} \in \text{Aut}(X)$ , and by the same argument as before there exists  $\delta = \delta(h_0) > 0$  such that  $\overline{R_{h_0} \circ A_h} \in \text{Aut}(X)$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  satisfying  $\varrho(h, h_0) < \delta$ , the set  $\mathfrak{M}$  is open. Suppose now that  $h_n \in \mathfrak{M}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $h_n \rightarrow h_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\overline{R_{h_0} \circ A_{h_n}} \in \text{Aut}(X)$ , by the previous part of the proof. Since  $\overline{R_k \circ A_{h_n}} = \overline{R_k \circ A_{h_0} \circ R_{h_0} \circ A_{h_n}}$  and  $\overline{R_k \circ A_{h_n}}$ ,  $\overline{R_{h_0} \circ A_{h_n}}$  are automorphisms of  $X$ , it follows that  $h_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$  and so  $\mathfrak{M}$  is closed.

To prove (ii) fix  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\overline{R_k \circ A_h} \in \text{Aut}(X)$ , there exist  $m, M > 0$  such that

$$m\|x\| \leq \|(R_k \circ A_h)x\| \leq M\|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{D}(A_h).$$

Since  $A_h$  is onto, taking  $y = A_hx$  we get

$$m\|R_hy\| \leq \|R_ky\| \leq M\|R_hy\| \quad \text{for } y \in Y.$$

To prove (iii) observe that for  $k, h \in \mathcal{H}$  we have  $A_h = A_k \circ \overline{R_k \circ A_h}$ . Thus, by Lemma 6,  $\mathcal{D}(A_h^*) = \mathcal{D}(A_k^*)$ , because  $\overline{R_k \circ A_h} \in \text{Aut}(X)$ .

(iv) is a consequence of the fact that  $R_k^* \in \mathcal{B}(X^*, Y^*)$  is the inverse to  $A_k^*$  (see e.g. [3, Ch. III, Theorem 5.30]), which has the same domain as  $A_h^*$ , because of (3). ■

REMARK 2. Observe that for  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ , if  $R_k \circ A_h$  and  $R_h \circ A_k$  are closable then conditions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 7 are equivalent. If  $\mathcal{D}(A_h^* \circ R_k^*)$  is dense in  $X^*$  in the weak\* topology on  $X^*$  then  $R_k \circ A_h$  is closable. If condition (iii) of Theorem 7 is satisfied, then  $R_k \circ A_h$  is closable and (i), (ii), (iv) hold.

A sufficient condition for the assumptions of Theorem 7 to hold is presented in the following

PROPOSITION 8. *If  $\mathcal{H} = [0, T]$ , all the operators of the family  $(A_t^*)_{t \in [0, T]}$  have the same domain  $\mathcal{D}^*$  and for every  $y^* \in \mathcal{D}^*$  the mapping*

$$(17) \quad [0, T] \ni t \mapsto A_t^*y^* \in X^*$$

*is of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  then the family  $(A_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 7.*

*Proof.* By [4, Ch. II, Lemma 1.5], the family  $(A_t^* \circ R_s^*)_{s, t \in [0, T]}$  of bounded operators is continuous with respect to  $(s, t)$ . Since also  $A_t^* \circ R_s^* = (R_s \circ A_t)^*$

and  $\mathcal{D}((R_s \circ A_t)^*) = X^*$ , the mapping  $R_s \circ A_t$  is closable, and by [3, Ch. IV, Theorem 2.23], the continuity of the family  $(R_s \circ A_t)^*$  with respect to  $(s, t)$  implies the continuity of  $(\overline{R_s \circ A_t})$ . ■

**3.1. Some remarks on the case of differential operators.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{H}$  a connected metric space. Let

$$(18) \quad A_h = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x, h) D^\alpha \quad \text{for } h \in \mathcal{H}$$

be a family of differential operators of order  $m$  with coefficients  $a_\alpha$  continuous in  $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathcal{H}$ . Closedness of  $A_h$  and continuity of the mapping  $h \mapsto A_h$  depend on the domain  $\mathcal{D}(A_h)$ , the space  $X$  in which  $\mathcal{D}(A_h)$  is contained, and the space  $Y$  of values of  $A_h$ .

- If  $\mathcal{D}(A_h) = X = H^m(\Omega)$  and  $Y = \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$  then  $A_h$  is bounded and the mapping  $\mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto A_h \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is continuous.

- Let  $X = Y = \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$  and let  $D$  be a closed subspace of  $H^m(\Omega)$  such that  $D$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ , and the mapping  $A_h : D \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$  is one-to-one and onto for  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R_h = A_h^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  and the mapping  $\mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto A_h \in C(X, Y)$  is continuous. This situation often occurs when considering strongly elliptic operators  $A_h$  with boundary operators independent of  $h$ ,

$$(19) \quad B_j = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_j} b_{j\alpha}(x) D^\alpha, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m/2,$$

which cover  $A_h$  for each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . If additionally we know that  $\mathcal{D}(A_h^*) = \mathcal{D}^*$  is independent of  $h$  then  $R_k \circ A_h$  is closable for each  $h, k$ .

Now we show an example of a family  $(\tilde{A}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of elliptic operators with pairwise different domains for which the corresponding family  $(\mathcal{D}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$  of domains is of class  $\mathcal{C}_a^k$  and the family of domains of the conjugate operators is independent of  $t$ .

Keep the notation of Section 2.1 and assume that  $a(x, t) = t$ . The sets  $\mathcal{D}_t$  given by (3) are dense linear subspaces of  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{D}_t \neq \mathcal{D}_\tau$  for  $t \neq \tau \in [0, T]$  and  $\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is given by (4). The operator

$$(20) \quad A = -\Delta + \lambda I$$

is well defined on  $H^2(\Omega)$ ; when considered as defined only on  $\mathcal{D}_t$ , it is closed, and for  $\lambda$  large enough, it is onto and one-to-one. By the closed graph theorem its inverse is bounded. Let  $A_t$  denote the operator given by (20) with domain  $\mathcal{D}_t$ .

EXAMPLE 2. The family

$$\tilde{A}_t = A_0 \circ \Phi_t : \mathcal{D}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$$

parametrized by  $t \in [0, T]$  is a continuous (with respect to  $t$ ) family of closed densely defined linear differential operators with pairwise different domains. Indeed, since  $(B_t = A_t \circ \Psi_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a family of closed differential operators of order two with coefficients continuous with respect to both  $x$  and  $t$ , and with domains independent of  $t$ , the mapping  $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto B_t \in C(\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega))$  is continuous and, by Lemma 4, the mapping  $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto A_t = B_t \circ \Phi_t \in C(\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega))$  is also continuous.

By Lemma 6, the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{A}_t^*) = \mathcal{D}(A^*)$  is the same for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

The next example show that in Theorem 7 the assumption of continuity of the mapping (16) cannot be replaced by the continuity of the family  $(A_h)_{h \in \mathcal{H}}$ .

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $(A_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  be a family of self-adjoint operators with pairwise different domains, and with the same property for the family  $A_t^*$ . Since  $C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset \bigcap_{t \in [0, T]} \mathcal{D}_t$ ,  $C_0^\infty$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ ,  $(R_\tau \circ A_t)u = (R_\tau \circ A_\tau)u = u$  for  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $(R_\tau \circ A_t)u \neq u$  for  $u \in \mathcal{D}_t \setminus \mathcal{D}_\tau$ , it follows that the operator  $R_\tau \circ A_t$  is not closable for  $t \neq \tau$ . Thus, the mapping (16) is even not well defined.

### References

- [1] Yu. M. Berezanskiĭ, *Eigenfunction Expansions of Self-Adjoint Operators*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1965 (in Russian).
- [2] L. Hörmander, *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Springer, 1963.
- [3] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer, 1980.
- [4] S. G. Kreĭn, *Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Nauka, Moscow, 1963 (in Russian).
- [5] J. van Neerven, *The Adjoint of a Semigroup of Linear Operators*, Springer, 1992.
- [6] M. Schechter, *Differentiability of solutions of elliptic problems with respect to parameters*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A 13 (1976), 601–608.
- [7] T. Winiarska, *Differentiability of solutions with respect to parameters*, Univ. Jagell. Acta Math. 26 (1987), 217–225.
- [8] —, *Differential Equations with Parameter*, Technical Univ. of Cracow, Monograph 68, 1988.

Teresa Winiarska  
 Institute of Mathematics  
 Technical University of Kraków  
 Warszawska 24  
 31-155 Kraków, Poland  
 E-mail: twiniars@usk.pk.edu.pl

Tadeusz Winiarski  
 Institute of Mathematics  
 Jagiellonian University  
 Reymonta 4  
 30-059 Kraków, Poland  
 E-mail: winiarsk@im.uj.edu.pl

Reçu par la Rédaction le 15.5.2002

Révisé le 18.12.2002

(1379)